

Some Properties of the Projective-Conformal Semi-Symmetric Connection in a Riemannian Manifold

Ho Tal Yun, Pak Pom I

In [1] a conformal semi-symmetric connection was defined as a connection that is conformally equivalent to Levi-Civita connection and discovered its properties, and based on it, proved that there exists a projective conformal semi-symmetric connection in a Riemannian manifold. In [5] it was discovered that the projective conformal symmetric connection is only the Levi-Civita connection itself. In [2] the projective conformal transformation and its invariant were considered in a statistical manifold. And in [6] the curvature copy problem between symmetric connections was studied in a Riemannian manifold. In [7] it was pointed out that there is no notion of minimum path length associated with the geodesics of any non-metric connection in a Riemannian manifold.

On the basis of the preceding study we considered properties of the projective-conformal semi-symmetric connection, namely the one form of non-metric connection, and proved that geodesic is minimum path length associated with geodesics of the connection in a Riemannian manifold.

In a Riemannian manifold the conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is a connection satisfying the equation

$$g_{ij} \rightarrow \bar{g}_{ij} = e^{-2\sigma} g_{ij} \quad (1)$$

for a 1-form π and a conformal transformation of metric

$$\bar{\nabla}_k \bar{g}_{ij} = 0, \quad \bar{T}_{ij}^k = \varphi_j \delta_i^k - \varphi_i \delta_j^k \quad (2)$$

where g_{ij} is the Riemannian metric and $\sigma(x) \in C^\infty(M)$ and \bar{T}_{ij}^k is the torsion tensor component of the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and φ_i is a component of the 1-form π . The connection coefficient $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ of the conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\} - (\sigma_i \delta_j^k + \sigma_j \delta_i^k - g_{ij} \delta^k) + \varphi_j \delta_i^k - g_{ij} \varphi^k \quad (3)$$

where $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ is the Christoffel symbol and $\sigma_i = \partial_i \sigma$.

In a Riemannian manifold the connection coefficient Γ_{ij}^k of the projective semi-symmetric connection ∇ is represented by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} (\psi_i \delta_j^k + \psi_j \delta_i^k + \varphi_j \delta_i^k - \varphi_i \delta_j^k) \quad (4)$$

where ψ_i is a component of the 1-form [1, 4].

In a Riemannian manifold the projective-conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is the connection satisfying $\bar{\nabla} = \overset{p}{\nabla}$, and that is a semi-symmetric connection projectively and conformally equivalent to Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$. Therefore its connection coefficient is

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\} - \sigma_i \delta_j^k \quad (5)$$

In this case from (3) and (4), $\varphi_i = \sigma_i$, $\psi_i = -\sigma_i$.

Remark. If $\pi = 0$, then $\varphi_i = \sigma_i = 0$. Hence from (5) the projective-conformal symmetric connection is the Levi-Civita connection itself [5].

1. Properties of the Projective Conformal Semi-symmetric Connection

Definition 1 A connection ∇ that is projectively and conformally equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection in a Riemannian manifold is called a projective conformal semi-symmetric connection.

By (5) the connection coefficient Γ_{ij}^k of the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\} - \sigma_i \delta_j^k$ or $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} k \\ ij \end{smallmatrix} \right\} - \varphi_i \delta_j^k$.

Hence the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection satisfying the equation

$$\nabla_k g_{ij} = 2\sigma_k g_{ij}, \quad T_{ij}^k = \sigma_j \delta_i^k - \sigma_i \delta_j^k \quad (6)$$

for the conformal transformation (2) of the metric.

Theorem 1. In a Riemannian manifold (M, g) the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ has a curvature copy of the Levi-Civita connection

Proof Using (5), the curvature tensor R_{ijk}^l of the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is

$$R_{ijk}^l = K_{ijk}^l - \delta_k^l \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_j \sigma_i \right) \quad (7)$$

where K_{ijk}^l is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$. By $\sigma_i = \partial_i \sigma$, $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_i \sigma_j = \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_j \sigma_i$. Hence $R_{ijk}^l = K_{ijk}^l$.

Definition 2 When two different connections have the same connection components, we say that each connection is an equivalent of the other.

Theorem 2 In a Riemannian manifold (M, g) the conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfying the equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_k \bar{g}_{ij} = 0, \quad \bar{T}_{ij}^k = \sigma_j \delta_i^k - \sigma_i \delta_j^k \quad (8)$$

for the conformal transformation (2) is an equivalent to the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ .

Proof The connection coefficient of the conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfying (8) is $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left\{ \bar{k} \right\} + \sigma_j \delta_i^k - g_{ij} \delta^k = \left\{ k \right\} - \sigma_i \delta_j^k$.

In comparison with (5), $\bar{\nabla}$ is an equivalent to ∇ .

Theorem 3 If the tangent vectors of the geodesics for the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are $\overset{\circ}{X}$ and the tangent vectors of the geodesics for the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ are X , then

$$\|X\| = \|\overset{\circ}{X}\| \quad (9)$$

where $\|X\|^2 = \bar{g}(X, X)$.

Proof The geodesics of the connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are given in a local coordinate system by

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{ds^2} + \left\{ k \right\} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \frac{dx^j}{ds} = 0 \quad (10)$$

where s is an affine parameter. And the geodesics of the connection ∇ are given in a local coordinate system by

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0 \quad (11)$$

where t is an affine parameter. On one hand

$$\frac{d^2 x^k}{dt^2} = \frac{dx^k}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt}, \quad \frac{d^2 x^k}{dt^2} = \frac{d^2 x^k}{ds^2} \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{dx^k}{ds} \frac{d^2 s}{dt^2}$$

$$\text{substituting these expressions into (11)} \\ \left(\frac{d^2 x^k}{ds^2} + \left\{ k \right\} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \right) \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{dx^k}{ds} \left(\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} - \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \frac{ds}{dt} \right) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \sigma_i \frac{dx^i}{dt}.$$

$$\text{Using } \frac{dx^k}{ds} \neq 0 \text{ and expression (10) } \frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} - \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \frac{ds}{dt} = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence } \ln \frac{ds}{dt} = \sigma + c.$$

$$\text{If } \sigma|_{t=0}, \frac{ds}{dt}|_{t=0} = 1, \text{ then } c=0. \text{ Consequently}$$

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = e^\sigma \quad (12)$$

$$\text{namely } s = \int_0^k e^{\sigma(x(t))} dt$$

Hence by theorem 2 and expressions (1) and (2)

$$\|X\|^2 = \bar{g}(X, X) = \bar{g}_{ij} \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} = e^{-2\sigma} g_{ij} e^\sigma \frac{dx^i}{ds} e^\sigma \frac{dx^j}{ds} = g_{ij} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \frac{dx^j}{ds} = \|\overset{\circ}{X}\|^2$$

2. The Variation of the Geodesics according to the Projective Conformal Semi-Symmetric Connection

Now we consider the variation of the geodesics according to the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ . This becomes a variational problem of the geodesics according to the conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ defined as expression (8) by theorem 2 in a Riemannian manifold.

Let $C:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable path and $J=(-1, 1)$ in a Riemannian manifold. Let the differentiable mapping $V:[\alpha, \beta] \times J \rightarrow M$ be a variety satisfying $V(t, 0)=C(t)$ for $\forall t \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\forall \varepsilon \in J$. Let V be the proper variety satisfying $V(\alpha, \varepsilon)=C(\alpha)$, $V(\beta, \varepsilon)=C(\beta)$.

The projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is the connection satisfying the equation

$$\nabla_Z g(X, Y) = 2\pi(Z)g(X, Y), \quad T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y \quad (13)$$

for $\forall X, Y, Z \in T(M)$, where $\pi(Z)$ is the true form. The conformal semi-symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ equating with this connection is the connection satisfying the equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_Z \bar{g}(X, Y) = 0, \quad \bar{T}(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{g}(X, Y) = e^{-2\sigma}g(X, Y)$, and $d\sigma = \pi$.

In a Riemannian manifold the length of a variety of the path C is

$$L(\varepsilon) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \|X(t, \varepsilon)\| dt \quad (15)$$

where $\|X(t, \varepsilon)\| = g(X, X)^{1/2}$ and $X(t, \varepsilon)$ is a tangent vector of variety V .

By theorem 3, if C is a geodesic according to $\bar{\nabla}$, then $\|X(t, \varepsilon)\|_{\varepsilon=0} = 1$ and by expression (8)

$$Z \langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_Z X + \langle X, \bar{\nabla}_Z Y \rangle$$

where $\langle X, Y \rangle = \bar{g}(X, Y)$.

Theorem 4. Let $C:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic according to the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection, $V:[\alpha, \beta] \times J \rightarrow M$ be the proper variety and $X, Y \in TV$ be

$$X = V_* D_1, \quad Y = V_* D_2 \quad \left(D_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad D_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \right).$$

If Y satisfies the conditions $\langle Y, X \rangle|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$, $\nabla_{D_1} Y|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$, $\nabla_{D_2} Y|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$, $\nabla_{D_1} Y|_{\alpha} = Y_{\beta} = 0$, then

$$L'(0) = 0 \quad (16)$$

and

$$L''(0) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \|d\sigma(X)Y + d\sigma(Y)X\|^2 \Big|_{t=0} dt \geq 0 \quad (17)$$

Proof First we will consider $L'(0)$. By expression (15),

$$L'(0) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} D_2 \|X\|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} D_2 \langle X, X \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} X, X \rangle}{\|X\|} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt.$$

From $[D_1, D_2] = 0$ and expression (14)

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_{D_1} Y - \nabla_{D_2} X = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y = d\sigma(Y)X - d\sigma(X)Y$$

Hence from $\bar{\nabla}_{D_2} X = \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y - d\sigma(Y)X + d\sigma(X)Y$,

$$L'(0) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle - d\sigma(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + d\sigma(X) \langle Y, X \rangle}{\|X\|} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt. \quad (18)$$

By the assumption of the theorem, $\|X\|_{\varepsilon=0} = 1$, $\bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$ and $\langle Y, X \rangle|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$. Thus

$$L'(0) = - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\sigma(Y)|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{d\sigma(Y)}{dt} \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = - \sigma(X)|_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \sigma(Y|_{\alpha}) - \sigma(Y|_{\beta}) = 0.$$

Hence (16) is proved.

Next we will consider $L''(0)$. Using expression (18)

$$\begin{aligned} L''(0) &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} D_2 \left(\frac{\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle - \pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle}{\|X\|} \right) \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = \\ &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left[\frac{D_2 (\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle - \pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle)}{\|X\|} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle - \pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle)^2}{\|X\|^3} \right] \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From theorem 2,

$$D_2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} X \rangle, \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)Y = \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y - \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y$$

then $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle = D_1 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle - \langle \bar{R}(Y, X)X, Y \rangle$ and from

$$\bar{T}(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y - \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y$$

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y \rangle = D_1 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y + T(Y, X), Y \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1}^2 Y + \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} \bar{T}(Y, X), Y \rangle$$

then

$$D_2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle = D_1 (\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y + \bar{T}(Y, X), Y \rangle) - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1}^2 Y + \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} \bar{T}(Y, X) + \bar{R}(Y, X)X, Y \rangle.$$

Using the assumption of the theorem,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} D_2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} dt = 0 \quad (20)$$

And

$$D_2 (\pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle) = \pi(\bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y) \langle X, X \rangle + 2\pi(Y) (\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, X \rangle - \pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle)$$

Using the assumption of the theorem

$$D_2 (\pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle)|_{\varepsilon=0} = -2\pi^2(Y) \langle X, X \rangle \quad (21)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2(\pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle) &= D_2(\pi(X)) \langle Y, X \rangle + \pi(X) D_2 \langle Y, X \rangle = \\
 &= \pi(\bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y) \langle Y, X \rangle + \pi(X) (\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle + \langle Y, \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} X \rangle) = \\
 &= \pi(\bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y) \langle Y, X \rangle + \pi(X) (\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_1} Y, Y \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, Y \rangle - \pi(X) \langle X, Y \rangle)
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on the assumption of the theorem

$$D_2(\pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle)|_{\varepsilon=0} = \pi^2(X) \langle Y, Y \rangle \quad (22)$$

And by the assumption of the theorem

$$(\langle \bar{\nabla}_{D_2} Y, X \rangle - \pi(Y) \langle X, X \rangle + \pi(X) \langle Y, X \rangle)^2|_{\varepsilon=0} = \pi^2(Y) \langle X, X \rangle|_{\varepsilon=0} \quad (23)$$

Substituting expressions (20), (21), (22) and (23) for (19), we obtain expression (17).

Theorem 4 shows that the geodesics according to the projective conformal semi-symmetric connection ∇ is a path of minimum length, if the conjugate point is not in its inner part.

References

- [1] Journal of Kim Il Sung University(Natural Science), 2, 2, 3, Juche102(2013).
- [2] T. Kurose; Interdisciplinary Information Science, 8, 1, 89, 2002.
- [3] P. Zhao; International Mathematical Form, 7, 341, 2008.
- [4] Zhao Pei Biao et al.; Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 17, 4, 49, 2001.
- [5] A. P. Norden; Spaces with Affine Connection, Nauka, 2~231, 1976.
- [6] S. B. Edgar; Class. Quantum. Grav., 10, 2545, 1993.
- [7] F. Critchley et al.; Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 102, 229, 2002.