September 11, 2020

```
int *my_malloc (int n) {
    int *res;

res = malloc(n * sizeof(int));
    if (res == NULL) {
        perror("Allocation failed.");
    }

return res;
}
```

Please see question_1.c for details.

```
2_1
       char *duplicate(char *str) {
           char *res;
3
           res = malloc(strlen(str) + 1);
           if (res == NULL) {
5
               return res;
6
8
           strcpy(res, str);
9
10
           return res;
11
12
```

Please see question_2.c for details.

```
int *create_array(int n, int initial_value) {
   int *p, *res;

res = malloc(n * sizeof(int));

if (res == NULL) {
   return res;
}
```

```
for (p = res; p < res + n; p++) {
         *p = initial_value;
}

return res;
}</pre>
```

Please see question_3.c for details.

```
4_1
           int main(void) {
               struct point {int x, y};
               struct rectangle {struct point upper_left, lower_right};
3
               struct rectangle *p;
5
               p = malloc(sizeof(struct rectangle));
               p.upper_left.x = 10;
               p.upper_left.y = 25;
9
               p.lower_right.x = 20;
11
               p.lower_right.y = 15;
12
13
               printf("%d %d\n", p.upper_left.x, p.upper_left.y);
14
               printf("%d %d\n", p.lower_right.x, p.lower_right.y);
15
16
               free(p);
18
               return 0;
19
           }
20
21
22
```

Please see question_4.c for details.

5. b), c) and d) are legal.

```
Correct Solution

b), c) are legal.
```

Notes

- The -> Operator
 - doesn't carry over to accessing nested members. Only works when struct is a pointer

Example

```
p->upper_left.x
```

```
6_1
       struct node *delete_from_list(struct node *list, int n)
       {
2
           struct node *cur = list, *temp;
3
           if (cur->value == n) {
5
                list = cur->next;
6
                return list;
           }
8
9
           for (cur = list; cur != NULL; cur = cur -> next) {
10
11
                if (cur->next != NULL && cur->next->value == n) {
12
                break;
                }
14
           }
15
16
           if (cur == NULL) {
17
               return list;
18
19
20
           temp = cur->next;
21
           cur->next = cur->next->next;
22
23
           free(temp);
24
           return list;
25
```

7. It's incorrect because it's deleting the node before moving to next.

To fix this bug, p must move to the next node before removing the current.

```
struct node *temp;
p = first;
while (p != NULL) {
    temp = p;
    p = p -> next
    remove(temp);
}
```

- 8. Please see file question_8/stack.h, question_8/stack.c, question_8/calc.c for details.
- 9. True.

By definition, (&x) - >a is the same as (*(&x)).a.

Since (*(&x)) = x, we can write (&x) - >a is the same as x.a.

```
void print_part(struct part *p)
{
    printf("Part number: %d\n", p->number);
    printf("Part name: %s\n", p->name);
    printf("Quantity on hand: %d\n", p->on_hand);
}
```

11. Please see question_11.c for details.

12.