# CSC236 Worksheet 2 Solution

## Hyungmo Gu

### April 30, 2020

## Question 1

• <u>Statement:</u> Any full binary tree with at least 1 node has more leaves than internal nodes.

*Proof.* Let n be the total number of nodes in a full binary tree.

We will prove the statement by complete induction on n.

## Base Case (n = 1):

Let n=1.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 1 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

There is only one full binary tree exists with 1 total number of node. That is, the full binary tree with 1 child node and 0 internal nodes.

Then, since we know a node is a leaf if it has no children, and since we know the child node has 0 children, we can write the child node is a leaf node.

Then, because we know the full binary tree has 1 leaf node, and 0 internal node, we can conclude it has more leaves than internal nodes.

## Base Case (n=2):

Let n=2.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 2 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Since we know the tree with 2 total number of nodes has 1 leaf and 1 internal node, using above fact, we can write the tree is not a full binary tree.

Then, by vacuous truth, we can conclude the tree has more leaves than internal nodes.

### Base Case (n=3):

Let n=3.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 3 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Because we know there are two types of binary trees possible, one is the tree with 2 internal nodes and 1 child and the other is 1 internal node and 2 children, using above fact, we can write the only possible full binary tree with 3 total nodes is 1 internal node and 2 children.

Now, the definition of leaf tells us leaf is a node that has no children.

Because we know by observation that the 2 child nodes don't have children, we can write the full binary tree has 2 leaves.

So, because we know the full binary tree has 1 internal node and 2 leaves, we can conclude the full binary tree has more leaves than internal node.

#### **Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an arbitrary natural number. Assume that for all natural number i satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , any full binary trees with i total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

Let T be an arbitrary full binary tree with k+1 nodes. Let T' be the binary tree obtained by removing 2 leaves from the same parent node.

Let  $\ell$  be the number of leaves of T, and m be the number of internal nodes of T. Similarly, let  $\ell'$  be the number of leaves of T' and m' be the number of internal nodes of T'. We must prove l > m. First, we need to show  $\ell' > m'$ .

The header tells us that T' is a full binary tree as a result of removing 2 leaves from the parent node of T.

Using this fact, we can calculate T' has

$$k + 1 - 2 = k - 1 \tag{1}$$

nodes.

Then, because we know  $1 \le k - 1 \le k$ , using induction hypothesis, we can write

$$\ell' > m' \tag{2}$$

Second, we need to show  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1.

The total number of both the leaf nodes and the internal nodes increase by 1 when 2 nodes are added to the same leaf node in a full binary tree.

Since 2 nodes added to the same leaf node of T' is T, we can write  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1.

Finally, putting together, because we know  $\ell' > m'$ ,  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1, we can conclude

$$\ell' + 1 > m' + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$\ell > m$$
 (4)

Notes:

- Complete Induction

\* Statement:  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n < i \Rightarrow A(n) \Rightarrow \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ A(i)$ 

\* Statement Alt.:  $\left( \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \left[ \ \bigwedge_{k=0}^{k=n-1} P(k) \right] \Rightarrow P(n) \right) \Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ 

## Simple Example 1:

**Statement:**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq 0 \Rightarrow 10 \mid (n^5 - n)$ 

We will prove the statement by strong induction on n.

1. Base Case (n=0)

Let n = 0.

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when n = 0. That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 0 (5)$$

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k (6)$$

2. Base Case (n=1)

Let n=1.

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when n = 1. That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 1 - 1$$
 (7)  
= 0 (8)

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k \tag{9}$$

### 3. Inductive Step

Assume  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that for all natural number i satisfying  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $10 \mid (i^5 - i)$ . That is,  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, (i^5 - i) = 10d$ .

We need to prove  $\exists \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1)) = 10\tilde{d}$ .

Let 
$$\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$$
.

Starting from  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1))$ , using binominal theorem, we can write,

$$(k+1)^{5} - (k+1) = \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]^{5} - \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{b=0}^{5} {5 \choose b} (k-1)^{5-b} \cdot 2^{b}$$

$$= (k-1)^{5} + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} + 40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} +$$

$$80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 32 - \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \left[ (k-1)^{5} - (k-1) \right] + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} +$$

$$40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} + 80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$

$$(13)$$

(The reason why k-1 is chosen instead of k-2 and k-3 is because of the last term  $2^5=32$ , i.e 32-2=30)

Then, because we know  $0 \le k-1 \le k$  and  $10 \mid (k-1)^5 - (k-1)$  from the header, we can write  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(k-1)^5 - (k-1) = 10c$ , and

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10c + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$
(14)

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10 \cdot \left[ c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3 \right]$$
(15)

(16)

Then, because we know  $\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$  from the header, we can conclude

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10\tilde{d} \tag{17}$$

## Question 2

### Rough Work:

Let P(n) be the predicate defined as follows

P(n): Postage of exactly n cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps

We will use complete induction to prove the statement holds for  $n \geq 13$ .

1. Base Case (n = 13)

Let n = 13.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 13. That is, the postage of exactly 13 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 13$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

2. Base Case (n = 14)

Let n = 14.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 14. That is, the postage of exactly 14 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(2 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 14$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

3. Base Case (n = 15)

Let n = 15.

We need to prove the statement is true for n=15. That is, the postage of exactly 15 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(1 \cdot 3) + (3 \cdot 4) = 15$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

#### 4. Base Case (n = 16)

Let 
$$n = 16$$
.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 16. That is, the postage of exactly 16 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(4 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 16$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

#### 5. Base Case (n = 17)

Let 
$$n = 17$$
.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 17. That is, the postage of exactly 17 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 17$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

#### 6. Inductive Step

Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \geq 13$ . Suppose that P(i) holds. That is, the postage of exactly i cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words,  $\exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for P(i+1). That is, the postage of exactly i+1 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words, we need to prove  $\exists k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = i+1$ . There are two cases:  $\ell > 0$  or  $\ell = 0$ .

We will use proof by cases.

1. Case 1  $(\ell > 0)$ :

Assume  $\ell > 0$ .

We need to prove  $\exists k', \ \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = i + 1.$ 

Let k' = k + 3 and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$  (where  $\ell - 2$  is possible since  $\ell > 0$ ).

– Show  $k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = 3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot l + 1$ , starting from the left, using the facts

Starting from the left hand side, using the facts k' = k + 3 and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$ , we can write

$$k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = (k+3) \cdot 3 + (\ell-2) \cdot 4 \tag{1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 9 + 4 \cdot \ell - 8 \tag{2}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= (3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell) + 1 \tag{4}$$

– Conclude  $k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = i + 1$ , using induction hypothesis.

Then, using induction hypothesis, i.e.  $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ , we can conclude

$$k' \cdot 3 + \ell' \cdot 4 = i + 1 \tag{5}$$

2. Case 2  $(\ell = 0)$ :

# Question 3