

Worksheet 5 Review 2

April 12, 2020

Question 1

- **Statement:** $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 2k_1 + 1) \wedge (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, mn = 2k_3 + 1)$

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume there is an integer k_1 such that $m = 2k_1 + 1$. Assume there is an integer k_2 such that $n = 2k_2 + 1$. Let $k_3 = (2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2$.

We need to prove $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

The assumption tells us $m = 2k_1 + 1$ and $n = 2k_2 + 1$.

By using these facts and then multiplying them together, we can conclude

$$mn = (2k_1 + 1)(2k_2 + 1) \tag{1}$$

$$= 4k_1k_2 + 2k_1 + 2k_2 + 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= 2[(2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2] + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= 2k_3 + 1 \tag{4}$$

□

Notes:

- Noticed professor pre-calculates the value of k_3 as roughwork before writing proof

Question 2

a. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Even}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

Predicate Logic Expanded: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 2k_1) \wedge (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

b. The value of k used for m and n must not be under the same variable.

Question 3

Question 4