CSC236 Worksheet 2 Solution

Hyungmo Gu

April 30, 2020

Question 1

• <u>Statement:</u> Any full binary tree with at least 1 node has more leaves than internal nodes.

Proof. Let n be the total number of nodes in a full binary tree.

We will prove the statement by complete induction on n.

Base Case (n = 1):

Let n=1.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 1 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

There is only one full binary tree exists with 1 total number of node. That is, the full binary tree with 1 child node and 0 internal nodes.

Then, since we know a node is a leaf if it has no children, and since we know the child node has 0 children, we can write the child node is a leaf node.

Then, because we know the full binary tree has 1 leaf node, and 0 internal node, we can conclude it has more leaves than internal nodes.

Base Case (n=2):

Let n=2.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 2 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Since we know the tree with 2 total number of nodes has 1 leaf and 1 internal node, using above fact, we can write the tree is not a full binary tree.

Then, by vacuous truth, we can conclude the tree has more leaves than internal nodes.

Base Case (n=3):

Let n=3.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 3 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Because we know there are two types of binary trees possible, one is the tree with 2 internal nodes and 1 child and the other is 1 internal node and 2 children, using above fact, we can write the only possible full binary tree with 3 total nodes is 1 internal node and 2 children.

Now, the definition of leaf tells us leaf is a node that has no children.

Because we know by observation that the 2 child nodes don't have children, we can write the full binary tree has 2 leaves.

So, because we know the full binary tree has 1 internal node and 2 leaves, we can conclude the full binary tree has more leaves than internal node.

Inductive Step:

Let $k \geq 1$ be an arbitrary natural number. Assume that for all natural number i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq k$, any full binary trees with i total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

Let T be an arbitrary full binary tree with k+1 nodes. Let T' be the binary tree obtained by removing 2 leaves from the same parent node.

Let ℓ be the number of leaves of T, and m be the number of internal nodes of T. Similarly, let ℓ' be the number of leaves of T' and m' be the number of internal nodes of T'. We must prove l > m. First, we need to show $\ell' > m'$.

The header tells us that T' is a full binary tree as a result of removing 2 leaves from the parent node of T.

Using this fact, we can calculate T' has

$$k + 1 - 2 = k - 1 \tag{1}$$

nodes.

Then, because we know $1 \le k - 1 \le k$, using induction hypothesis, we can write

$$\ell' > m' \tag{2}$$

Second, we need to show $\ell = \ell' + 1$ and m = m' + 1.

The total number of both the leaf nodes and the internal nodes increase by 1 when 2 nodes are added to the same leaf node in a full binary tree.

Since 2 nodes added to the same leaf node of T' is T, we can write $\ell = \ell' + 1$ and m = m' + 1.

Finally, putting together, because we know $\ell' > m'$, $\ell = \ell' + 1$ and m = m' + 1, we can conclude

$$\ell' + 1 > m' + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$\ell > m$$
 (4)

Notes:

- Complete Induction
 - * Statement: $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n < i \Rightarrow A(n) \Rightarrow \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ A(i)$
 - * Statement Alt.: $\left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \left[\ \bigwedge_{k=0}^{k=n-1} P(k) \right] \Rightarrow P(n) \right) \Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$

Simple Example 1:

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq 0 \Rightarrow 10 \mid (n^5 - n)$

We will prove the statement by strong induction on n.

1. Base Case (n=0)

Let n = 0.

We need to prove $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$ is true when n = 0. That is, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(n^5 - n) = 10k$.

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 0 (5)$$

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k (6)$$

2. Base Case (n=1)

Let n=1.

We need to prove $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$ is true when n = 1. That is, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(n^5 - n) = 10k$.

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 1 - 1$$
 (7)
= 0 (8)

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k \tag{9}$$

3. Inductive Step

Assume $k \geq 1$. Assume that for all natural number i satisfying $0 \leq i \leq k$, $10 \mid (i^5 - i)$. That is, $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, (i^5 - i) = 10d$.

We need to prove $\exists \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $((k+1)^5 - (k+1)) = 10\tilde{d}$.

Let
$$\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$$
.

Starting from $((k+1)^5 - (k+1))$, using binominal theorem, we can write,

$$(k+1)^{5} - (k+1) = \left[(k-1) + 2 \right]^{5} - \left[(k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{b=0}^{5} {5 \choose b} (k-1)^{5-b} \cdot 2^{b}$$

$$= (k-1)^{5} + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} + 40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} +$$

$$80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 32 - \left[(k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \left[(k-1)^{5} - (k-1) \right] + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} +$$

$$40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} + 80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$

$$(13)$$

(The reason why k-1 is chosen instead of k-2 and k-3 is because of the last term $2^5=32$, i.e 32-2=30)

Then, because we know $0 \le k-1 \le k$ and $10 \mid (k-1)^5 - (k-1)$ from the header, we can write $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(k-1)^5 - (k-1) = 10c$, and

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10c + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$
(14)

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10 \cdot \left[c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3 \right]$$
(15)

(16)

Then, because we know $\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$ from the header, we can conclude

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10\tilde{d} \tag{17}$$

Question 2

• Proof. Let P(n) be the predicate defined as follows

P(n): Postage of exactly n cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps

We will use complete induction to prove the statement holds for $n \geq 13$.

Base Case (n = 13):

Let n = 13.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 13. That is, the postage of exactly 13 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know $(3 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 13$, we can conclude the statement holds.

Base Case (n = 14):

Let n = 14.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 14. That is, the postage of exactly 14 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know $(2 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 14$, we can conclude the statement holds.

Base Case (n = 15):

Let n = 15.

We need to prove the statement is true for n=15. That is, the postage of exactly 15 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know $(1 \cdot 3) + (3 \cdot 4) = 15$, we can conclude the statement holds.

Base Case (n = 16):

Let n = 16.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 16. That is, the postage of exactly 16 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know $(4 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 16$, we can conclude the statement holds.

Base Case (n = 17):

Let n = 17.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 17. That is, the postage of exactly 17 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know $(3 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 17$, we can conclude the statement holds.

Inductive Step:

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \geq 13$. Suppose that P(i) holds. That is, the postage of exactly i cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words, $\exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$.

We need to prove the statement is true for P(i+1). That is, the postage of exactly i+1 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words, we need to prove $\exists k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ 3k'+4\ell'=i+1$. There are two cases: $\ell > 0$ or $\ell = 0$.

We will use proof by cases.

Case 1 ($\ell > 0$):

Assume $\ell > 0$.

We need to prove $\exists k', \ \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ 3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1.$

Let k' = k + 3 and $\ell' = \ell - 2$ (where $\ell - 2$ is possible since $\ell > 0$).

Starting from the left hand side, using the facts k' = k + 3 and $\ell' = \ell - 2$, we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k+3) \cdot 3 + (\ell-2) \cdot 4 \tag{1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 9 + 4 \cdot \ell - 8 \tag{2}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= (3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell) + 1 \tag{4}$$

Then, using induction hypothesis, i.e. $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$, we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \tag{5}$$

Case 2 ($\ell = 0$):

First, we need to choose the value of k'.

The header tells us

$$3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell = i \tag{6}$$

Using the fact $\ell = 0$, we can write

$$3 \cdot k = i \tag{7}$$

$$k = \frac{i}{3} \tag{8}$$

Then, because we know $i \ge 18$, we can write $k \ge 6$.

Then, since k' must be a natural number and $k \ge 6$, let k' = k - 5.

Second, we need to choose the value of ℓ' .

Since we know $\ell = 0$, and since we want the total to increase from i by 1 in $3 \cdot k' + 4 \cdot \ell$, let $\ell' = 4$.

Finally, starting from the left, using the facts k' = k - 5 and $\ell' = 4$, we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k - 5) \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4 \tag{9}$$

$$= 3k - 15 + 16 \tag{10}$$

$$=3k+1\tag{11}$$

Then, by the fact $4\ell = \ell = 0$, we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = 3k + 4\ell + 1 \tag{12}$$

$$= (3k + 4\ell) + 1 \tag{13}$$

Then, by using inductive hypothesis, $3k + 4\ell = i$, we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \tag{14}$$

Notes:

- Noticed professor's solution is much shorter

- Noticed professor's solution uses inductive step before base case

inductive step: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $n \geq 6$. Assume $H(n) : \bigwedge_{i=6}^{n-1} C(i)$. I will show that C(n) follows, that postage of n cents can be made using only 3- and 4- cent stamps.

base case n = 6: Use two 3-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

base case n=7: Use one 3-cent and one 4-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

base case n = 8: Use two 4-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

 $n \geq 9$: Since $9 \leq n$, $6 \leq n-3 < n$, so we know C(n-3), postage of n-3 cents can be made using 3- and 4-cent stamps. Let k and j be integers such that n-3=3k+4j. Adding 3 to both sides yields n=3(k+1)+4j, so C(n) follows in this case.

So C(n) follows from H(n) in all possible cases

- Noticed professor's note uses **thus** and **in other words** to unwrap statement further.

We will prove that P(i+1) holds, i.e., that we can make i+1 cents of postage using only 4-cent and 7-cent stamps. In other words, we must prove that there are k', $\ell' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $4 \cdot k' + 7 \cdot \ell' = i+1$.

Question 3

• Proof. Define $C(n): f(n) \leq 3^n$.

We will prove by complete induction that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2 \Rightarrow C(n)$.

Base Case (n = 0):

Let n=0.

We need to prove C(0) follows. That is, $f(0) \leq 3^0$.

The definition of f(n) tells us that f(0) = 1.

Using this fact, we can conclude

$$f(0) = 1 \le 1 \tag{1}$$

 $\le 3^0 \tag{2}$

$$\leq 3^0 \tag{2}$$

Base Case (n = 1):

Let n=1.

We need to prove C(n) follows. That is, $f(1) \leq 3$.

The definition of f(n) tells us that f(1) = 3.

Using this fact, we can conclude

$$f(1) = 3 \le 3 \tag{3}$$

$$\leq 3^1 \tag{4}$$

Inductive Step:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $n \geq 2$. Assume $H(n) : \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} C(i)$.

We need to prove C(n) follows. That is, $f(n) \leq 3^n$.

Since $2 \le n$, $0 \le n-1 < n$ and $0 \le n-2 < n$, we can conclude C(n-1) and C(n-2)is true, i.e. $f(n-1) \le 3^{n-1}$ and $f(n-2) \le 3^{n-2}$.

Then, since we know from the definition of f(n) that f(n) = 2(f(n-1) + f(n-2)) + 1, using it with above fact, we can write

$$f(n) = 2(f(n-1) + f(n-2)) + 1 \le 2(3^{n-1} + 3^{n-2}) + 1$$
 (5)

$$= 2 \cdot 3^{n-2}(3+1) + 1 \tag{6}$$

$$= 8 \cdot 3^{n-2} + 1 \tag{7}$$

Then, since $n \ge 2$ and $3^{n-2} \ge 1$, we can conclude

$$f(n) \le 8 \cdot 3^{n-2} + 3^{n-2}$$

$$= (8+1) \cdot 3^{n-2}$$

$$= 9 \cdot 3^{n-2}$$

$$= 3^{2} \cdot 3^{n-2}$$

$$= (10)$$

$$= 3^{n-2+2}$$

$$= (12)$$

$$= (8+1) \cdot 3^{n-2} \tag{9}$$

$$=9\cdot 3^{n-2}\tag{10}$$

$$=3^2 \cdot 3^{n-2} \tag{11}$$

$$=3^{n-2+2} (12)$$

$$=3^{n} \tag{13}$$