

CSC 369 Worksheet 1 Solution

August 16, 2020

1. The cpu utilization is 100%.

The CPU utilization formula is given as

$$\text{CPU Utilization} = 1 - \prod_i \text{I/O blocked time of } i\text{th process} \quad (1)$$

Since the processes do no I/O, we can write there is no I/O blocked time.

Thus, we can conclude

$$\text{CPU Utilization} = 1 - 0 \quad (2)$$

$$= 1 \quad (3)$$

which is 100%.

Notes

- **CPU Utilization**

- Means % of time CPU is in use
- Formula is

$$\text{CPU Utilization} = 1 - \prod_i \text{I/O blocked time of } i\text{th process} \quad (4)$$

- **Process**

- Means a program in execution

- **PID**

- Is a short hand form for ‘process identifier’

- **Process States**

- in simplified view, process can be in one of the three states

1. **Running:**

- * Is running on a processor
- * Means ‘Is executing instructions’

2. **Ready:**

- * Is ready to run
- * But, OS chosen to not to run it at the moment

3. **Blocked:**

- * Is not ready to run until some other event takes place

Example

Running an I/O request to disk → process blocked → other process can do their job while waiting

2. It takes total of 10 seconds to run.

The first task only uses CPU, and takes 4 seconds.

But, for the second task, on top of 4 seconds used for I/O, 1 second is used for preparing and initiating I/O, and the other 1 second is used for signaling that I/O is done.

So in total, we have $4 + 4 + 1 + 1 = 10$ seconds.

10 seconds

Time	PID: 0	PID: 1	CPU	I/Os
1	RUN:cpu	READY	1	
2	RUN:cpu	READY	1	
3	RUN:cpu	READY	1	
4	RUN:cpu	READY	1	
5	DONE	RUN:io	1	
6	DONE	WAITING		1
7	DONE	WAITING		1
8	DONE	WAITING		1
9	DONE	WAITING		1
10*	DONE	DONE		

3. Yes. Switching the order does matter.

When the order is switched, the process 2 with I/O runs, and the process 2 enters the blocked state.

While at blocked state, the other process executes.

Since both take 4 seconds, by the time process 2 finishes, process 1 is finished.

Thus, total of 6 seconds are taken.

4. With flag `SWITCH_ON_END`, system runs as if it's without I/O. That is, process 2 runs after process 1 finishes.

The only difference is that process 2 executes at the same time process 1 finishes.

So instead of 10 seconds, there are 9 seconds in total

Process 1
finishes
and process 2
starts at the
same time →

Time	PID: 0	PID: 1	CPU	IOs
1	RUN:io	READY	1	
2	WAITING	READY		1
3	WAITING	READY		1
4	WAITING	READY		1
5	WAITING	READY		1
6*	DONE	RUN:cpu	1	
7	DONE	RUN:cpu	1	
8	DONE	RUN:cpu	1	
9	DONE	RUN:cpu	1	

5. I need to write what happens when one is waiting for I/O (`SWITCH_ON_IO`).

The result is the same as question 2.

While process 1 is in blocked state, process 2 is executes.

```
moegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % python process-run.py -l 1:0,4:100 -c -S SWITCH_ON_IO
```

Time	PID: 0	PID: 1	CPU	IOs
1	RUN:io	READY	1	
2	WAITING	RUN:cpu	1	1
3	WAITING	RUN:cpu	1	1
4	WAITING	RUN:cpu	1	1
5	WAITING	RUN:cpu	1	1
6*	DONE	DONE		

6. First, I need to write what happens when combination of processes (`-I IO_RUN_LATER`, `SWITCH_ON_IO`) are used.

There are total of four processes.

While process 1 is in blocked state for I/O, process 2 executes.

When process 1 finishes its first I/O operation, it doesn't execute the next right away. It waits for process 3 and 4 to finish until it finally gets its turn for more I/O operations.

Second, I need to write if the system resources are effectively utilized under the combination of processes.

The answer is no.

System resources could have been utilized more effectively if process 3 and 4 are run while process 1 is performing its I/O operation.

```
moegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_LATER -c -p
Time  PID: 0    PID: 1    PID: 2    PID: 3    CPU    I/Os
1      RUN:io    READY    READY    READY    1
2      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1    1
3      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1    1
4      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1    1
5      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1    1
6*     READY    RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1
7      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1
8      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1
9      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1
10     READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1
11     READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1
12     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1
13     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1
14     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1
15     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1
16     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1
17     RUN:io    DONE     DONE     DONE     1
18     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
19     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
20     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
21     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
22*    RUN:io    DONE     DONE     DONE     1
23     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
24     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
25     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
26     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1    1
27*    DONE     DONE     DONE     DONE
Stats: Total Time 27
Stats: CPU Busy 18 (66.67%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (44.44%)
```

- First, I need to write the difference between the process with `-I IO_RUN_LATER` and `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`.

When the process is run with `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`, process 1 runs immediately one after another. And in each of process 1's blocked state, other processes are executed (process 2, process 3, process 4).

This differs from `-I IO_RUN_LATER` where process 1 waits until other processes finish.

-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE

Process 2,3,4
runs while
process 1
is in blocked
state

```
moegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE -c -p
Time  PID: 0    PID: 1    PID: 2    PID: 3    CPU    I/Os
1      RUN:io    READY    READY    READY    1      1
2      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
3      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
4      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
5      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
6*     RUN:io    READY    READY    READY    1      1
7      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
8      WAITING  DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
9      WAITING  DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
10     WAITING  DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
11*    RUN:io    DONE     READY    READY    1      1
12     WAITING  DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
13     WAITING  DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
14     WAITING  DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
15     WAITING  DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
16*    DONE     DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
17     DONE     DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
18     DONE     DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1

Stats: Total Time 18
Stats: CPU Busy 18 (100.00%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (66.67%)

© 2008-19, ARPACI-DUSSEAU
THREE
EASY
PIECES
```

-I IO_RUN_LATER

Process 1
waits until others
finish

```
moegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_LATER -c -p
Time  PID: 0    PID: 1    PID: 2    PID: 3    CPU    I/Os
1      RUN:io    READY    READY    READY    1      1
2      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
3      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
4      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
5      WAITING  RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
6*     READY    RUN:cpu   READY    READY    1      1
7      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
8      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
9      READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
10     READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
11     READY    DONE     RUN:cpu   READY    1      1
12     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
13     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
14     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
15     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
16     READY    DONE     DONE     RUN:cpu   1      1
17     RUN:io    DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
18     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
19     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
20     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
21     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
22*    RUN:io    DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
23     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
24     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
25     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
26     WAITING  DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1
27*    DONE     DONE     DONE     DONE     1      1

Stats: Total Time 27
Stats: CPU Busy 18 (66.67%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (44.44%)

THREE
```

Second, I need to write why running a process that just completed an I/O again is a good idea?

It is a good idea since processes are better managed. That is, more can be done in less amount of time.

8. I need to write what happens when the following flags are used

- -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE vs -I IO_RUN_LATER

```
- -s 1 -l 3:50,3:50
```

When it is run with `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`, the CPU part of process 1 executes while process 2 waits in ready state.

When process 1 enters blocked state for I/O, process 2 starts.

We know that process 1 stays in blocked state for 4 seconds for each I/O operation.

Since process 2 is all about CPU and takes 3 seconds to complete, process 2 will finish before process 1's second I/O operation.

Now, when it is run with `-I IO_RUN_LATER`, the same happens as above.

So, in this example, there is no difference between `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE` and `-I IO_RUN_LATER`.

`-I IO_RUN_LATER`

```
moegui@MacBook-Pro-5: ~/week1 % ./process-run.py -s 1 -l 3:50,3:50 -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE -c -p
Time  PID: 0      PID: 1      CPU      10s
1  RUN:cpu  READY  1
2  RUN:io   READY  1
3  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
4  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
5  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
6  WAITING  DONE     1
7* RUN:io   DONE     1
8  WAITING  DONE     1
9  WAITING  DONE     1
10 WAITING  DONE     1
11 WAITING  DONE     1
12* DONE   DONE     1
Stats: Total Time 12
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (50.00%)
Stats: IO Busy  8 (66.67%)
```

=

`-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`

```
moegui@MacBook-Pro-5: ~/week1 % ./process-run.py -s 1 -l 3:50,3:50 -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE -c -p
Time  PID: 0      PID: 1      CPU      10s
1  RUN:cpu  READY  1
2  RUN:io   READY  1
3  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
4  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
5  WAITING  RUN:cpu  1
6  WAITING  DONE     1
7* RUN:io   DONE     1
8  WAITING  DONE     1
9  WAITING  DONE     1
10 WAITING  DONE     1
11 WAITING  DONE     1
12* DONE   DONE     1
Stats: Total Time 12
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (50.00%)
Stats: IO Busy  8 (66.67%)
```

```
- -s 2 -l 3:50,3:50
```

When it is run with `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`, process 1 enters blocked state for I/O operation at time = 2, and process 2 executes while process 1 is in blocked state between time = 2 and time = 5.

We know that each CPU operation takes 1 second and initialization of I/O operation takes 1 second.

Using this information, process 2 will enter blocked state for I/O operation at time = 3 until time = 6.

Then, at time = 6, process 1 will run another I/O operation and enter blocked state from time = 7 to time = 10.

Then, at time = 8, process 2 will run last I/O operation and enter blocked state from time = 9 to time = 12.

Then, at time = 11, process 1 will execute CPU operation, and will finish at the same time.

Now, when it is run with `-I IO_RUN_LATER`, the same happens as above.

So, in this example, there is no difference between `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE` and `-I IO_RUN_LATER`.

-I IO_RUN_LATER

```

boegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -s 2 -l 3:50,3:50 -I IO_RUN_LATER -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  I/Os
1  RUN:io  READY  1
2  WAITING RUN:cpu  1  1
3  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
4  WAITING WAITING  2
5  WAITING WAITING  2
6*  RUN:io  WAITING  1  1
7  WAITING WAITING  2
8*  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
9  WAITING WAITING  2
10  WAITING WAITING  2
11*  RUN:cpu  WAITING  1  1
12  DONE  WAITING
13*  DONE  DONE

Stats: Total Time 13
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (46.15%)
Stats: IO Busy 11 (84.62%)

```

-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE

```

boegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -s 2 -l 3:50,3:50 -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  I/Os
1  RUN:io  READY  1
2  WAITING RUN:cpu  1  1
3  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
4  WAITING WAITING  2
5  WAITING WAITING  2
6*  RUN:io  WAITING  1  1
7  WAITING WAITING  2
8*  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
9  WAITING WAITING  2
10  WAITING WAITING  2
11*  RUN:cpu  WAITING  1  1
12  DONE  WAITING
13*  DONE  DONE

Stats: Total Time 13
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (46.15%)
Stats: IO Busy 11 (84.62%)

```

=

- -S SWITCH_ON_IO vs -S SWITCH_ON_END

– -s 3 -l 3:50,3:50

When the processes are run with `-S SWITCH_ON_IO`, it will work the same as when ran with `-I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`.

However, when the processes are run with `-S SWITCH_ON_END`, process 2 will begin when process 1 finishes.

And this happens at time = 8.

-S SWITCH_ON_IO

```

boegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -s 3 -l 3:50,3:50 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  I/Os
1  RUN:cpu  READY  1
2  RUN:io  READY  1
3  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
4  WAITING WAITING  2
5  WAITING WAITING  2
6  WAITING WAITING  2
7*  RUN:cpu  WAITING  1  1
8*  DONE  RUN:io  1  1
9  DONE  WAITING  1
10  DONE  WAITING  1
11  DONE  WAITING  1
12  DONE  WAITING  1
13*  DONE  RUN:cpu  1

Stats: Total Time 13
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (46.15%)
Stats: IO Busy 9 (69.23%)

```

-S SWITCH_ON_END

```

boegu@MacBook-Pro-5 week_1 % ./process-run.py -s 3 -l 3:50,3:50 -S SWITCH_ON_END -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  I/Os
1  RUN:cpu  READY  1
2  RUN:io  READY  1
3  WAITING RUN:io  1  1
4  WAITING READY  1
5  WAITING READY  1
6  WAITING READY  1
7*  RUN:cpu  READY  1
8  DONE  RUN:io  1  1
9  DONE  WAITING  1
10  DONE  WAITING  1
11  DONE  WAITING  1
12  DONE  WAITING  1
13*  DONE  RUN:io  1  1
14  DONE  WAITING  1
15  DONE  WAITING  1
16  DONE  WAITING  1
17  DONE  WAITING  1
18*  DONE  RUN:cpu  1

Stats: Total Time 18
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (33.33%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (66.67%)

```

Process1

Process2