# Problem Set 3 Solution

### March 23, 2020

## Question 1

1. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Base Case (n = 0):

Let n = 0.

Then,

$$a_0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

Then it follows from above that the base case holds.

Inductive Case (n > 0):

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and assume  $a_n = x \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i$ .

Then,

$$x \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \cdot a_n = x \prod_{i=0}^n a_i$$

$$= a_{n+1}$$
(1)

$$= a_{n+1} \tag{2}$$

Then it follows from above that the recursive sequence of numbers is true for all natural numbers.

#### 2. From the following table

String Length	Number of Even (Digit Sum)	Number of Odd (Digit Sum)	Total
1	2	1	3
2	5	4	9
3	14	13	27

we see that  $E_n = \frac{3^n + 1}{2}$  and  $O_n = \frac{3^n - 1}{2}$ .

As well, we see that the number of new elements in  $E_{n+1}$  is  $3^n$ .

Now, we will prove that  $E_n$  and  $O_n$  are true for all natural numbers using the induction hypothesis.

#### Base Case (n = 1):

Let n=1.

Then,  $E_n = \frac{4}{2} = 2$  and  $O_n = \frac{2}{2} = 1$ .

Since the result matches to data in table, the base case holds.

#### **Inductive Case:**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $E_n = \frac{3^n + 1}{2}$  and  $O_n = \frac{3^n - 1}{2}$ .

Then,

$$E_{n+1} = \frac{3^n + 1}{2} + 3^n \tag{1}$$

$$=\frac{3^n+1}{2} + \frac{2\cdot 3^n}{2} \tag{2}$$

$$=\frac{3\cdot 3^n+1}{2}\tag{3}$$

$$=\frac{3^{n+1}+1}{2}\tag{4}$$

Then, it follows from above that the inductive step for  $E_n$  holds.

Similarly, for  $O_n$ ,

$$O_{n+1} = \frac{3^n - 1}{2} + 3^n \tag{5}$$

$$=\frac{3^n-1}{2} + \frac{2\cdot 3^n}{2} \tag{6}$$

$$=\frac{3\cdot 3^n - 1}{2} \tag{7}$$

$$=\frac{3^{n+1}-1}{2}\tag{8}$$

Then, it follows from above that the inductive step for  $O_n$  holds.

Then, it follows from the definition of induction hypothesis that the value of  $E_n$  and  $O_n$  are true for all n.

## Question 2

a. Since first 1 repeats every 4i - 1 times and the second 1 repeats every 4i times,

$$(0.\overline{0011})_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4i-1} \tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^i + 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^i \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^{i}$$
 (3)

$$= \frac{3}{16} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^i \tag{4}$$

Then,

$$\frac{3}{16} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)^i = \frac{3}{16} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{16}^{\frac{n}{4}}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{16}\right)}\right) \tag{5}$$

by using the formula  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r^i = \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}$ .

Then,

$$\frac{3}{16} \cdot \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{16}^{\frac{n}{4}}}{1 - (\frac{1}{16})} \right) = \left( \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}^{n}}{\frac{15}{16}} \right) \tag{6}$$

$$=\frac{1}{5}\cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{2}^n\right)\tag{7}$$

$$=\frac{1}{5}\cdot\left(\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}\right)\tag{8}$$

Then,

$$0.2 - \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(\frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}\right) = \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(\frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}\right) \tag{9}$$

$$= \frac{2^n}{5 \cdot 2^n} - \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(\frac{2^n - 1}{2^n}\right) \tag{10}$$

$$=\frac{1}{5\cdot 2^n}\tag{11}$$

Then, it follows from above that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \ 4 \mid n \Rightarrow \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^n}$ 

b. Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $x \in \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 \le x < 1\}$ .

We will prove that the statement  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall x \in S, \exists x_1 \in S, FB(n, x_1) \land 0 \leq x - x_1 < 1$  is true using induction hypothesis.

Let n=1.

Case 1  $(0 \le x < 0.5, \text{ from } S = x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le x < 1)$ :

Let  $x_1 = 0$ .

Then,

$$0 = (0.0)_2 \tag{1}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{b_i}{2} \tag{2}$$

by the fact that  $b_i = 0$ .

Then, it follows from above that  $FB(1, x_1)$  is true.

Now we will prove that  $0 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2}$  is true.

Let  $x_1 = 0$ . Assume  $0 \le x < 0.5$ .

Then,

$$0 \le x < 0.5 \tag{3}$$

$$0 - x_1 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2} - x_1 \tag{4}$$

$$0 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2} \tag{5}$$

Then, it follows from above that  $FB(n, x_1) \wedge 0 \leq x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2}$  hold for the base case with  $0 \leq x < 0.5$ .

Case 2  $(0.5 \le x < 1 \text{ from } S = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ge 0}, 0 \le x < 1\})$ :

First, we will prove that  $FB(1, x_1)$  is true.

Let  $x_1 = 0.5$ .

Then,

$$0.5 = \frac{1}{2} \tag{6}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{b_i}{2} \tag{7}$$

where  $b_i = 1$ .

Then, it follows from the definition of finite fractional binary representation that x has fractional binary representation with 1 bits, and  $FB(1, x_1)$  is true.

Now, we will prove that  $0 \le x - x_1 < 0.5$ .

Let  $x_1 = 0.5$ . Assume  $0.5 \le x < 1$ .

Then,

$$0.5 - x_1 \le x - x_1 < 1 - x_1 \tag{8}$$

$$0 \le x - x_1 < 0.5 \tag{9}$$

Then, it follows from above that  $0 \le x - x_1 < 0.5$  is true.

Then, since  $0 \le x - x_1 < 0.5$  is true and  $FB(1, x_1)$  is true,  $FB(1, x_1) \land 0 \le x - x_1 < 0.5$  is true for the case  $0.5 \le x < 1$ .

Then, by combining results from case 1 and case 2, we can conclude that the statement holds for the base case.

Now, let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $x \in S$ . Assume  $\exists x_1 \in S, FB(n, x_1) \land 0 \leq x - x_1 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

Then, we will prove that the statement  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall x \in S, FB(n, x_1) \land 0 \le x - x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n}$  is true for inductive case by separating  $0 \le x - x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n}$  into following cases.

Case 1  $(0 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}})$ :

First, we will prove that  $FB(n+1,x_2)$  is true.

Let  $x_2 = x_1$ .

Then,

$$x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{2} \tag{10}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i}{2} + \frac{b_{i+1}}{2} \tag{11}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{b_i}{2} \tag{12}$$

by setting  $b_{i+1} = 0$ .

Then, it follows from above that  $FB(n+1, x_2)$  is true.

Now, we will prove that  $0 \le x - x_2 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true.

Let  $x_2 = x_1$ . Assume  $0 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ .

Then, it follows from assumption that  $0 \le x - x_2 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true.

Then, since  $FB(n+1,x_2)$  is true and  $0 \le x - x_2 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true,  $FB(n+1,x_2) \land 0 \le x - x_2 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true for the case  $0 \le x - x_1 < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ .

Case 2  $(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x - x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n})$ :

First, we will prove that  $FB(n+1,x_2)$  is true.

Let  $x_2 = x_1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ .

Then,

$$x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{2^i} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \tag{13}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i'}{2} \tag{14}$$

by the fact that  $b'_i = b_i$ ,  $b'_n = 0$  and  $b'_{n+1} = 1$ .

Then, it follows from the definition of finite fractional binary representation that  $FBn + 1, x_2$  is true.

Now, we will prove that  $0 \le x - x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $x_2 = x_1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ . Assume  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x - x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

Then,

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x - x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n} \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x - x_1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le \frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$
 (16)

$$0 \le x - x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \tag{17}$$

$$0 \le x - x_2 \le \frac{2}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \tag{18}$$

$$0 \le x - x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \tag{19}$$

Then it follows from above that  $0 \le x - x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true.

Then, since  $FB(n+1,x_2)$  is true, and  $0 \le x-x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true,  $FB(n+1,x_2) \land 0 \le x-x_2 \le \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  is true for the case  $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le x-x_1 \le \frac{1}{2^n}$ .

Then, because the statement is true in both case 1 and case 2, the statement at inductive step holds.

Then, it follows from induction hypothesis that the statement is true for all natural numbers.

### Question 3

a. Definition of Big-Oh:  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f): \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n), where f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ 

Since  $a \le b \land c \le d \Rightarrow a+b \le c+d$ , we will prove that  $n^4+165n^3 \le c(n^4-165n^3)$  $n^2$ ) by validating the following inequalities, then combining it together

$$n^4 \le \frac{c}{3}n^4 \tag{1}$$

$$165n^3 \le \frac{c}{3}n^4 \tag{2}$$

$$cn^2 \le \frac{c}{3}n^4 \tag{3}$$

$$cn^2 \le \frac{c}{3}n^4 \tag{3}$$

Validating  $(n^4 \leq \frac{c}{3}n^4)$ :

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n_0 = 2$ , and c = 249. Assume  $n \ge n_0$ .

Then,

$$n^4 \le \frac{249}{3}n^4 \tag{4}$$

$$n^4 \le 83n^4 \tag{5}$$

Then, it follows from above that  $n^4 \leq \frac{c}{3}n^4$  holds.

# Question 4