

Q1. (1 mark each) True/False [5 minutes]

Indicate below, for each statement, whether it is (T) rue or (F)alse. Circle the correct answer.

T/F Whenever the processor is in kernel mode, interrupts should be disabled.

T/F One of the ways a context switch is triggered is when a process calls `yield()` voluntarily.

T/F User-level threads are not visible to the OS for scheduling purposes.

T/F A process will go back to the Running state immediately after receiving a SIGCONT signal.

T/F A process is placed in the blocked state when it fails to acquire a spinlock.

T/F In the round-robin scheduling algorithm, the quantum assigned to a process should be roughly equal to the context switch time.

Q2. (2 marks each) (Conceptual) [5 minutes]

Explain briefly the following concepts or terms, in the context of this course:

a) Spinlock

b) Scheduling quantum

c) System call

Q3. (10 marks) (Conceptual + Reasoning) [15 minutes] Answer the following short questions.

a) [1 marks] Which of the following scheduling algorithms may cause starvation? Circle all that apply.

- a. FCFS
- b. SJF
- c. Round-Robin
- d. MLFQ (final revision)

b) [2 marks] What happens if a thread executes a `pthread_cond_signal` on a condition variable `cv1`, if no other thread is currently waiting on `cv1`? Explain in detail.

c) [2 marks] What's the difference between an interrupt and a system call?

d) [2 marks] Kernel-level threads are typically faster than user-level threads. Do you agree with this statement? Explain your rationale in detail.

e) [3 marks] A program called "run_stuff" uses 1 `fork()` system call and 2 `exec()` system calls. The `exec` system calls execute the following commands "ls -l" and "cat /proc/cpuinfo", respectively. Depending on how these system calls are issued, how many processes are likely to be spawned by the "run_stuff" program (other than the main program itself)? You must explain your rationale to get marks for this question.

Q4. (12 marks) Synchronization (Reasoning) [15 minutes]

Consider a bank that manages several customer accounts, but which allows only one operation for its customers: `transfer_amount(account *a1, account *a2, float amount)`, which transfers the given `amount` from account `a1` to account `a2`. The implementation of this function is given below:

```
typedef struct acct {  
    float balance;  
    pthread_mutex_t *lock;  
} account;  
  
void transfer_amount(account *a1, account *a2, float amount) {  
    pthread_mutex_lock(a1->lock);  
    pthread_mutex_lock(a2->lock);  
    a1->balance -= amount;  
    a2->balance += amount;  
    pthread_mutex_unlock(a1->lock);  
    pthread_mutex_unlock(a2->lock);  
}
```

You can assume that all synchronization variables have been properly initialized and that memory has been allocated where necessary. Account balance can be negative too.

a) (2 marks) Provide a sequence of execution which leads to deadlock.

b) (4 marks) Consider that instead of using a lock per account like in the code above, we use only one global lock `L`. Consider that `transfer_amount` is modified to instead acquire this global lock `L` at the beginning of the function, and release it at the end.

- i) Can deadlock occur in this case? If yes, give an example sequence of execution. If no, explain why not.
- ii) Do you see some other drawback to using this approach?