Worksheet 5 Review 2

April 13, 2020

Question 1

• Statement: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m = 2k_1 + 1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \ mn = 2k_3 + 1)$

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume there is an integer k_1 such that $m = 2k_1 + 1$. Assume there is an integer k_2 such that $n = 2k_2 + 1$. Let $k_3 = (2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2$.

We need to prove $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

The assumption tells us $m = 2k_1 + 1$ and $n = 2k_2 + 1$.

By using these facts and then multiplying them together, we can conclude

$$mn = (2k_1 + 1)(2k_2 + 1) \tag{1}$$

$$=4k_1k_2+2k_1+2k_2+1\tag{2}$$

$$= 2[(2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2] + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$=2k_3+1\tag{4}$$

Notes:

- Noticed professor pre-calculates the value of k_3 as roughwork before writing proof
- Noticed professor uses 'That is...' when expanding definition in writing

... and assume they are both odd. That is, we assume there exists $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = 2k_1 - 1$ and $n = 2k_2 - 1$.

Noticed professor uses 'i.e. ...' when expanding definition in writing.

We need to prove that mn is odd, i.e. there exists k_3 such that $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

– Noticed professor defines the header for R.H.S of \Rightarrow operator after 'We need to prove that ...'

We need to prove that mn is odd, i.e. there exists k_3 such that $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

Let
$$k_3 = 2k_1k_2 - k_1 - k_2 + 1$$

Question 2

a. Predicate Logic: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ Even(m) \land Odd(n) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

Predicate Logic Expanded: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 2k_1) \land (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

b. The value of k used for m and n must not be under the same variable.

Question 3

a. $Dom(f,g): \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \, g(n) \leq f(n), \, \text{where} \, \, f,g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Notes:

- Definition of is Dominated By: Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. We say that g is is dominated by f (or f dominates g) when for every natural number $n, g(n) \leq f(n)$.
- b. Proof. Let f(n) = 3n and g(n) = n.

We need to prove that g is dominated by f, i.e. for every natural number $n, g(n) \leq f(n)$.

The header tells us g(n) = n and f(n) = 3n.

Starting from g(n), we can conclude

$$g(n) = n \le 3n \tag{1}$$

$$= f(n) \tag{2}$$

Correct Solution:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f(n) = 3n and g(n) = n.

We need to prove that g is dominated by f, i.e. for every natural number $n, g(n) \leq f(n)$.

The header tells us g(n) = n and f(n) = 3n.

Since $n \geq 0$ from the fact $n \in \mathbb{N}$, starting from g(n), we can conclude

$$g(n) = n \le 3n \tag{1}$$
$$= f(n) \tag{2}$$

Question 4