# CSC236 Worksheet 3

### Hyungmo Gu

### May 4, 2020

## Question 1

• Given the statement to prove

P(x, y, z): There are no positive integers x, y, z such that  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ 

*Proof.* We will prove P(x, y, z) using proof by contradiction.

Assume  $\exists x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}^+, x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ .

First, we need to show there is smallest element  $x_0 \in X$  with  $y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$  satisfying  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ , using well-ordering principle.

The header tells us there are elements  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , satisfying  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ .

Then, we can write the set  $X=\{x\mid x\in\mathbb{N}^+,\,\exists y,z\in\mathbb{N}^+,\,x^3+3y^3=9z^3\}$  is not empty.

Then, using principle of well-ordering, we can write that there is smallest positive natural number  $x_0 \in X$  along with  $y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$  satisfying  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ .

Second, we need to show that  $x_1^3 = 9z_1^3 - 3y_1^3$  is satisfied, given  $x_0 > x_1$ .

We will do so in parts.

## Part 1 (Showing $x_0 = 3 \cdot x_1$ ):

We know that

$$x_0^3 + 3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3 (1)$$

$$x_0^3 = 9z_0^3 - 3y_0^3 \tag{2}$$

Since  $3 | 9z_0^3 - 3y_0^3$ , we can write  $3 | x_0^3$ .

Then, since 3 is a prime number, by using the hint provided in question 3 of assignment 1, we can write there is  $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_0 = 3 \cdot x_1$ .

Then, because we know  $x_0, 3 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we can conclude  $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

# Part 2 (Showing $y_0 = 3 \cdot y_1$ ):

We know that

$$x_0^3 + 3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3$$

$$3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3 - x_0^3$$
(3)

$$3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3 - x_0^3 \tag{4}$$

Then, using the fact  $x_0 = 3 \cdot x_1$  from part 1, we can calculate

$$3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3 - 3^3x_1^3$$

$$y_0^3 = 3z_0^3 - 3^2x_1^3$$
(5)
(6)

$$y_0^3 = 3z_0^3 - 3^2x_1^3 \tag{6}$$

Since  $3 \mid 3z_0^3 - 3^2x_1^3$ , we can write that  $3 \mid y_0^3$ .

Then, since 3 is a prime number, by using the hint provided in question 3 of assignment 1, we can write there is  $y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $y_0 = 3 \cdot y_1$ .

Then, because we know  $y_0, 3 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we can conclude  $y_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

# Part 3 (Showing $z_0 = 3 \cdot z_1$ ):

We know that

$$9z_0^3 = x_0^3 + 3y_0^3 \tag{7}$$

Then, using the fact  $x_0 = 3 \cdot x_1$  from part 1, and  $y_0 = 3 \cdot y_1$  from part 2, we can calculate

$$9z_0^3 = 3^3x_1^3 + 3^4y_1^3 (8)$$

$$z_0^3 = 3x_1^3 + 3^2y_1^3 \tag{9}$$

Since  $3 \mid 3x_1^3 + 3^2y_1^3$ , we can write that  $3 \mid z_0^3$ .

Then, since 3 is a prime number, by using the hint provided in question 3 of assignment 1, we can write there is  $z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $z_0 = 3 \cdot z_1$ .

Then, because we know  $z_0, 3 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we can conclude  $z_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

# Part 4 (Showing $x_1^3 = 9z_1^3 - 3y_1^3$ ):

We know that

$$9z_0^3 = x_0^3 + 3y_0^3 \tag{10}$$

Then, using the fact  $x_0 = 3 \cdot x_1$  from part 1,  $y_0 = 3 \cdot y_1$  from part 2, and  $z_0 = 3 \cdot z_1$  we can calculate

$$3^5 z_1^3 = 3^3 x_1^3 + 3^4 y_1^3 \tag{11}$$

$$3^2 z_1^3 = x_1^3 + 3y_1^3 (12)$$

$$9z_1^3 = x_1^3 + 3y_1^3 \tag{13}$$

Finally, the part 4 tells us

$$9z_1^3 = x_1^3 + 3y_1^3 (14)$$

where  $x_1 < x_0$ .

Then, because we know  $x_0$  is the smallest number satisfying  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$ , we can conclude above leads to contradiction.

Then, we can conclude the the assumption is false.

#### Notes:

- Proof By Contradiction:  $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q \land Q$  (Assuming we are proving  $P \Rightarrow Q$ )
- Principle of Well-Ordering: Any nonempty subset A of  $\mathbb{N}$  contains a minimum element; i.e. for any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \neq \emptyset$ , there is some  $a \in A$  such that for all  $a' \in A$ ,  $a \leq a'$ .
- examples of well-ordered sets
  - 1.  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
  - 2.  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{1, 2\}$
  - 3.  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 5\}$
- examples of non-well-ordered sets
  - 1.  $\mathbb{R}$  and the open interval (0,2)
  - $2. \mathbb{Z}$
- Learned the P in  $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q \land Q$  is the statement

P(x,y,z): There are no positive integers x,y,z such that  $x^3+3y^3=9z^3$ 

And learned the Q is the principle of well-ordering on P.

- Learned the goal of contradiction is to show the assumption violates principle of well-ordering. That is, there is  $x_1 \in X$  less than  $x_0$  satisfying  $x^3 + 3y^3 = 9z^3$
- Noticed professor reduced wordiness of work using short notations.

```
Then  x_0^3 + 3y_0^3 = 9z_0^3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_0^3 = 9z_0^3 - 3y_0^3 \Rightarrow 3 \mid x_0^3 \Rightarrow 3 \mid x_0 \quad \# \text{ by clue for A1 Q3}  let x_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+, 3x_1 = x_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3^3x_1^3 = 9z_0^3 - 3y_0^3 \Rightarrow 3^2x_1^3 = 3z_0^3 - y_0^3 \quad \# \text{ divide through by 3}   \Rightarrow \quad y_0^3 = 3z_0^3 - 3^2x_1^3 \Rightarrow 3 \mid y_0^3 \Rightarrow 3 \mid y_0  let y_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+, 3y_1 = y_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3^3y_1^3 = 3z_0^3 - 3^2x_1^3 \Rightarrow 3^2y_1^3 = z_0^3 - 3x_1^3 \quad \# \text{ divide through by 3}   \Rightarrow \quad 3x_1^3 + 3^2y_1^3 = z_0^3 \Rightarrow 3 \mid z_0  let z_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+, 3z_1 = z_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3x_1^3 + 3^2y_1^3 = 3^3z_1^3 \Rightarrow x_1^3 + 3y_1^3 = 9z_1^3 \quad \# \text{ divide through by 3}   \Rightarrow \quad x_1 \in X
```

## Question 2

### Rough Work:

#### 1. Basis

There are three cases: e = x, e = y and e = z. In each of the cases  $s_2(e) = 1$  and  $s_1(e) = 0$ .

Using this fact, starting from the left hand side, we can conclude

$$s_1(e) = 0 = 3 \cdot 0 \tag{1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot (1-1) \tag{2}$$

$$=3\cdot(s_2(e)-1)\tag{3}$$

#### 2. Inductive Step

### Notes:

#### • Structural Induction

- is a proof method used in mathematical logic, computer science, graph theory.
- is a generalization of mathematical induction over natural numbers.
- is a recursion method
- Example:

Define  $\varepsilon$ : The smallest set such that

- \*  $x, y, z \in \varepsilon \# \text{ variables}$
- \*  $e_1, e_2 \in \varepsilon \Rightarrow (e_1 + e_2), (e_1 e_2), (e_1 \times e_2), (e_1 \div e_2) \in \varepsilon \# \text{ operators}$

(steps omitted). Prove P(e):  $\mathbf{vr}(e) = \mathbf{op}(e) + 1 \# \mathbf{vr}$  means number of variable,  $\mathbf{op}$  means number of operators

to prove above using structural induction:

1. **Verify Base Case(s):** Show that the property is true for the simplest members, x,y,z. That is show P(x), P(y), and P(z).

There are three cases: e = x, e = y, and e = z. In each case  $\mathbf{vr}(e) = 1$  and  $\mathbf{op}(e) = 0$ , so P(e) holds for the basis.

2. **Inductive Step:** Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be arbitrary elements of  $\varepsilon$ . Assume  $H(e_1, e_2)$ :  $P(e_1)$  and  $P(e_2)$ . That is,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  have the property  $\mathbf{vr}(e_1) = \mathbf{op}(e_1) + 1$  and  $\mathbf{vr}(e_2) = \mathbf{op}(e_2) + 1$ .

We need to show all possible combinations of  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  have the property. That is,  $P((e_1 + e_2))$ ,  $P((e_1 - e_2))$ ,  $P((e_1 \times e_2))$ , and  $P((e_1 \div e_2))$ .

There are four cases, depending on how e is constructed from  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ :  $e = (e_1 + e_2)$ ,  $e = (e_1 - e_2)$ ,  $e = (e_1 \times e_2)$  and  $e = (e_1 \div e_2)$ . In each case we have

$$\mathbf{vr}(e) = \mathbf{vr}(e_1) + \mathbf{vr}(e_2) \tag{4}$$

$$op(e) = op(e_1) + op(e_2) + 1\# + 1 \text{ is from } + \text{in } e_1 + e_2$$
 (5)

Thus,

$$\mathbf{vr}(e) = \mathbf{vr}(e_1) + \mathbf{vr}(e_2)$$
 [by (4.1)] (6)  

$$= (\mathbf{op}(e_1) + 1) + (\mathbf{op}(e_2) + 1)$$
 [by induction hypothesis] (7)  

$$= (\mathbf{op}(e_1) + \mathbf{op}(e_2)) + 2$$
 (8)  

$$= (\mathbf{op}(e) - 1) + 2$$
 [by (4.2)] (9)  

$$= \mathbf{op}(e) + 1$$
 (10)

## Question 3