1. No. if the access is read for both threads, then concurrency error will not occur.

2. b), c) and d) are true

## Correct solution

c) and d) are true

## Notes

Question What does it mean when mutex is held by this thread?

Question What I do know is that pthread\_cond\_wait puts thread to sleep. My question here is, how come the mutex is not held when thread is in a blocked state/sleep?

- 3. a) Only b) causes starvation.
  - b) Conditional variable is a queue that allows threads to be put themselves on to sleep (in blocked state) when thread it is not desired using pthread\_cond\_wait function.

Since there are no threads inside cv1, there is nothing to awake using pthread\_cond\_signal.

So, nothing will occur.

c) System call is a subset of interrupt caused by user application to switch from user mode to kernel mode to perform previleged operations for the application.

Interrupt is a signal sent by hardware (e.g keyboard, mouse, hard drive) or software.

It tells the cpu to stop its activities and execute appropriate part of the operating system.

### Notes

• I need to review how interrupt works. I had to look up the information.

Question How does interrupt work?

- Interrupt
  - Is a signal
  - there are two types of interrupts:
    - \* Hardware interrupt
      - · Is signal generated by hardware (e.g RAM is full, Hard drive is full)
      - · Is sent to operating system
    - \* Software interrupt
      - · Is signal generated by software (e.g program crash, system call)

- · Is sent to operating system
- · May call trap instruction (esp. system call)



## References

- 1. venkatesan ramachandran, What is an Interrupt?, link
- d) No. This statment is false.

User level threads are generated in user-mode without kenerel being aware about it.

## Notes

Question What is the difference between user-level thread and kernel-level thread?

Question Why is thread that is generated at user level using procedure call faster than kernel level thread?

Question What is procedure call? How does it work?

## • Procedure call

- works in user-mode only
- doesn't require context switching
- doesn't need help from OS/Kernel
- no context-switching  $\rightarrow$  faster

# References

1. Tech Dose, System call vs Procedure call, link

e) System calls do not generate processes. fork() does.

With this reason the program run\_stuff generates only 1 additional process.

## Notes

Question What is a process? And how does process work?

Question How come system call doesn't generate process? And how come fork() generates process?

## • Process

- Is a running program
- Has 3 states

# 1. Running:

- \* means a process is running on a processor
- \* means instructions are being executed

## 2. Ready:

- \* means a process is ready to run
- \* means OS has chosen not to run the program at the given moment

#### 3. Blocked:

\* means a process has performed some kind of operation that makes it not ready to run until some event takes place

```
4_1
      typedef struct acct {
           float balance;
2
           pthread_mutex_t lock;
3
           pthread_cond_t cond;
       } account;
 5
 6
      void transfer_amount(account *a1, account *a2, float amount) {
           // lock critical section during the transfer process
9
           pthread_mutex_lock(&a1->lock);
10
           pthread_mutex_lock(&a2->lock);
           // transfer amount
12
           a1->balance -= amount;
13
           a2->balance += amount;
14
           pthread_mutex_lock(&a1->lock);
           pthread_mutex_lock(&a2->lock);
16
17
           // lock the transferring user if the balance is negative
18
           if (a1->balance < 0) {
19
               pthread_cond_wait(&a1->cond, &a1->lock);
20
           }
21
22
23
```

## **Correct Solution** typedef struct acct { 2 float balance; pthread\_mutex\_t lock; pthread\_cond\_t cond; } account; void transfer\_amount(account \*a1, account \*a2, float amount) { pthread\_mutex\_lock(&a1->lock); a1->balance -= amount; 11 while (a1->balance < 0) {</pre> pthread\_cond\_wait(&a1->cond, &a1->lock); 13 14 pthread mutex lock(&a1->lock); 15 16 17 pthread mutex lock(&a2->lock); a2->balance += amount; 18 19 if (a2->balance > 0) { 20 pthread\_cond\_signal(&a2->cond); 21 22 pthread\_mutex\_lock(&a2->lock); 23

## Notes

• Realized that I do not know how to create barriers to critical section.

Question When do we use the while loop like lock?

Question Does the use of if statement to put thread into sleep acceptable?

Question How can we construct safe barriers around critical section?

#### Locks

- Ensures that any critical section executes is a signle atomic operation
- Guarentees that no more than single code can be active within the code
- pthread\_mutex\_lock
  - Syntax: pthread\_mutex\_t VAR
  - Is used to provide mutual exclusion between threads
  - If mutex is already locked, thread blocks until mutex is available
  - Must be proerly initialized before use

## Static Way

pthread\_mutex\_t lock = PTHREAD\_MUTEX\_INITIALIZER

# Dynamic Way

```
int rc = pthread_mutex_init(&lock NULL);
assert(rc == 0);
```

5. a) It would favour I/O bound process. I/O bound process are mostly about waiting for the completion of input or output.

And example of this is continuous typing on microsoft word.

Usually the I/O-bound process lasts a short period of time.

On the other hand, CPU-bound process involves the execution of algorithm that requires a huge computation time.

An example of this is running a simulation.

Because of this, CPU-bound process usually lasts a long period of time.

Because of this, the processing algorithm would favour I/O bound process over CPU bound process.

b) Yes.

The processing algorithm favours algorithm with a short processing time in the past.

Using the explanation given in part a), we can write I/O bound processes are favoured over CPU-bound process

It follows from this information that if short I/O bound processes keep coming in, then CPU-bound process will never get a chance to run.

So, we can conclude the scheduling algorithm would cause starvation to CPU-bound processes.

- 6. 1) a) False
  - b) True
  - c) True
  - d) False
  - e) False
  - f) False
  - 2) a) Is one of simplest lock to build. It allows only one thread to enter at a time. The variable lock has two values 0 (free/available), 1 (in use/locked). Spinlock uses two operations. One is acquire() and another is release().acquire() uses while loop and test-and-lock function to ensure atomic operation in critical section. A thread is in locked state until lock is freed.

b) Is the amount of time where processes in the same queue runs until it repeats. The time slice or scheduling quantum must be a multiple of the time of timer-interrupt.

c) Is a software interrupt sent by user application, so it traps into kernel mode, perform previliged operation, return to user mode from trap, and continue application with the returned result.

## Notes

• I feel weak about scheduling quantum

Question What is scheduling quantum?

- 7. 1) a) True
  - b) True
  - c) False
  - d) True
  - e) False
  - f) False

## Notes

• I feel weak about PCB and context switch

Question What is program counter?

Question What is stack pointer?

Question What is user register?

Question What is kernel state?

2) a) Is a function that is a part of spin lock. It is used as a conditional statement in while loop to make sure only one thread can enter the critical at a time. Test and lock returns false if lock variable in spin lock is freed (with value of 0).

## Notes

- I feel weak about test-and-set
- b) Is a type of scheduling algorithm where one process is favoured and executed first over the others.

## Notes

• I don't feel confident about preemtive scheduling and non-preemptive scheduling Question What is preemptive scheduling?