

Worksheet 5 Review 2

April 13, 2020

Question 1

- **Statement:** $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 2k_1 + 1) \wedge (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow (\exists k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, mn = 2k_3 + 1)$

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume there is an integer k_1 such that $m = 2k_1 + 1$. Assume there is an integer k_2 such that $n = 2k_2 + 1$. Let $k_3 = (2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2$.

We need to prove $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

The assumption tells us $m = 2k_1 + 1$ and $n = 2k_2 + 1$.

By using these facts and then multiplying them together, we can conclude

$$mn = (2k_1 + 1)(2k_2 + 1) \tag{1}$$

$$= 4k_1k_2 + 2k_1 + 2k_2 + 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= 2[(2k_1k_2) + k_1 + k_2] + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= 2k_3 + 1 \tag{4}$$

□

Notes:

- Noticed professor pre-calculates the value of k_3 as roughwork before writing proof
- Noticed professor uses ‘That is...’ when expanding definition in writing

... and assume they are both odd. That is, we assume there exists $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = 2k_1 - 1$ and $n = 2k_2 - 1$.

- Noticed professor uses ‘i.e. ...’ when expanding definition in writing.

We need to prove that mn is odd, i.e. there exists k_3 such that $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

- Noticed professor defines the header for R.H.S of \Rightarrow operator after ‘We need to prove that ...’

We need to prove that mn is odd, i.e. there exists k_3 such that $mn = 2k_3 + 1$.

Let $k_3 = 2k_1k_2 - k_1 - k_2 + 1$

Question 2

- a. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{Even}(m) \wedge \text{Odd}(n) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

Predicate Logic Expanded: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, (\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 2k_1) \wedge (\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k_2 + 1) \Rightarrow m^2 - n^2 = m + n$

- b. The value of k used for m and n must not be under the same variable.

Question 3

a. $Dom(f, g) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, g(n) \leq f(n)$, where $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Notes:

- **Definition of is Dominated By:** Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. We say that g is **is dominated by** f (or f **dominates** g) when for every natural number n , $g(n) \leq f(n)$.

Question 4