

Problem Set 2 Solution

March 18, 2020

Question 1

a.

- b. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, Prime(p) \wedge p^k < n < p^k + p \Rightarrow gcd(p^k, n) = 1$

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $Prime(p)$, and $p^k < n < p^k + p$.

Then, p^k can either be divided by 1 or p by fact 3.

Since, $p^k < n < p^k + p$, n cannot be written in multiples of p .

Then, it follows from the definition of divisibility that $p \nmid n$.

Since $p \nmid n$, but $1 \mid p^k$ and $1 \mid n$, $gcd(p^k, n) = 1$.

- c. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} n > n_0 \wedge gcd(n, n+m) = 1$

Since there are infinitely many primes by fact 4, let $Prime(n)$ and $n > m$.

Since $Prime(n)$, by fact 3, n can either be divided by 1 or n .

Since $n \mid n$, but $n \nmid m$, $n \nmid (n+m)$, and n can't be chosen as the greatest common divisor of n and $n+m$.

Since $\gcd(n, n + m) \neq n$ but $1 \mid n$ and $1 \mid (n + m)$, $\gcd(n, n + m) = 1$.

Then, it follows from above that the statement $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$
 $n > n_0 \wedge \gcd(n, n + m) = 1$ is true.

- d. **Definition of Primary Gap:** Let $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a is a prime gap when there exists a prime p such that $p + a$ is also prime, and none of the numbers between p and $p + a$ (exclusive) are prime.

Case 1 ($a > 2$):

Let $a, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Assume $PrimaryGap(a)$, $Primary(p)$, and $a > 2$.

Then, $2 \nmid p$ and $2 \nmid p + a$.

Then,

$$2 \mid (p + a) - a \tag{1}$$

$$2 \mid a \tag{2}$$

by fact 1.

Then it follows from above that in case $a > 2$, primary gap is divisible by 2.

Case 2 ($a \leq 2$):

Let $a, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Assume $PrimaryGap(a)$, $Primary(p)$, and $a \leq 2$.

Then, only two primary numbers in \mathbb{Z}^+ exist - 1 and 2.

Then,

$$a = 2 - 1 \tag{1}$$

$$a = 1 \tag{2}$$

Then, it follows from above that in case $a \leq 2$, the value of primary gap is 1.

Question 2

a. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Because we know $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$ from fact 1, we can conclude $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x < 1 + \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Then,

$$\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \leq nx - n\lfloor x \rfloor \quad (1)$$

$$\leq n(x - \lfloor x \rfloor) \quad (2)$$

by using the above.

Then,

$$\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \leq n(x - \lfloor x \rfloor) \quad (3)$$

$$< n \quad (4)$$

$$< k \quad (5)$$

by using fact 1 and choosing $k = n$.

Then, it follows that the statement the statement $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$ is true.

b. **Negation of statement:** $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor > k$

Let $x = 0.5$ and $n = 2(k + 1)$.

Then,

$$\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{2(k+1)}{2} \rfloor - n\lfloor 0.5 \rfloor \quad (1)$$

$$= k + 1 - 0 \quad (2)$$

$$= k + 1 \quad (3)$$

$$> k \quad (4)$$

Then it follows that the statement $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor \leq k$ is false.

c.

d. **Predicate Logic:** $\exists f : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, f(x) = x^2 - (\lfloor x \rfloor)^2 \wedge \text{Onto}(f)$

Definition of Onto: $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **onto** when its codomain \mathbb{R} only contains values that could possibly be output by f (and no impossible values).

Let $f(x) = x^2 - (\lfloor x \rfloor)^2$.

Then,

$$(x^2 - \lfloor x \rfloor^2) \geq (x - \lfloor x \rfloor)(x + \lfloor x \rfloor) \quad (1)$$

$$\geq (x - \lfloor x \rfloor) \cdot 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\geq 0 \quad (3)$$

by fact 1 (i.e. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$).

Since f has lower bound of 0, and covers all of its codomain, f is onto.

Question 3

a. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = f(-x) \wedge -f(-x) = f(x) \Leftrightarrow f = 0$

Part 1: Proving in \Rightarrow direction

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $f(x) = f(-x) \wedge -f(-x) = f(x)$.

Then,

$$f(-x) - f(-x) = 2f(x) \quad (1)$$

$$0 = 2f(x) \quad (2)$$

by adding $f(x) = f(-x)$ and $-f(-x) = f(x)$ together.

Then,

$$0 = f(x) \tag{3}$$

Then it follows that the statement $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = f(-x) \wedge -f(-x) = f(x) \Rightarrow f = 0$ is true.

Part 2: Proving in \Leftarrow direction

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $f(x) = 0$.

Then,

$$-f(-x) = -(-0) \tag{1}$$

$$= 0 \tag{2}$$

$$= f(x) \tag{3}$$

It follows from above that $f(x) = 0$ is an odd function.

Also,

$$f(-x) = (-0) \tag{4}$$

$$= 0 \tag{5}$$

$$= f(x) \tag{6}$$

It follows from above that $f(x) = 0$ is an odd function.

Because we know $f(x) = 0$ is both even and odd, we can conclude that the statement $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = f(-x) \wedge -f(-x) = f(x)$ is true.

- b. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \exists f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, -f_1(x) = f_1(x) \wedge f_2(-x) = f_2(fx) \wedge f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$

Negation: $\exists f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, -f_1(-x) \neq f_1(x) \vee f_2(x) \neq f_2(x) \vee f(x) \neq f_1(x) + f_2(x)$

Let f be an even function. Assume $Even(f_1)$ and $Odd(f_2)$.

Then,

$$f(-x) = (f_1(-x) + f_2(-x)) \quad (1)$$

$$= f_1(x) - f_2(x) \quad (2)$$

$$\neq f(x) \quad (3)$$

Then, it follows from negation of the statement that every function cannot be written as a sum of an even function and an odd function.

- c. **Predicate Logic:** $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \exists f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, Even(f_1) \wedge Odd(f_2) \wedge f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$.

Negation: $\exists f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \neg Even(f_1) \vee \neg Odd(f_2) \vee f(x) \neq f_1(x) + f_2(x)$

Let f be an even function. Assume $Even(f_1)$ and $Odd(f_2)$.

Then,

$$f(-x) = f_1(-x)f_2(-x) \quad (1)$$

$$= -f_1(x)f_2(x) \quad (2)$$

$$\neq f(x) \quad (3)$$

Then, it follows from negation of the statement that every function cannot be written as a product of an even function and an odd function.