1. a) Yes, they are part of system call's Application Programming Interface, and they are the only way to interact between computer program and OS kernel.

Notes

- System Calls
 - Is issued by a client
 - Is the only entry points into the kernel system
 - Provides services via API or Application Program Interface
 - Has five different types of calls

Types of System Calls	Windows	Linux
Process Control	CreateProcess() ExitProcess() WaitForSingleObject()	fork() exit() wait()
File Management	CreateFile() ReadFile() WriteFile() CloseHandle()	open() read() write() close()
Device Management	SetConsoleMode() ReadConsole() WriteConsole()	ioctl() read() write()
Information Maintenance	GetCurrentProcessID() SetTimer() Sleep()	getpid() alarm() sleep()
Communication	CreatePipe() CreateFileMapping() MapViewOfFile()	pipe() shmget() mmap()

Example

open(), read(), write(), close(), mkdir() are other examples of system calls

References

- 1) Tutorials Point, Types of System Calls, link
- b) It is user's responsibility to keep track of allocated blocks of heap memory, and memory leak occurs if user fails to deallocate allocated blocks of heap memory

Notes

- Memory API
 - Has two types of memory
 - 1. Stack
 - * Is also called **automatic memory**
 - * Allocations and deallocations are managed by compiler

* Deallocates memory by the end of function call

2. Heap

- * Is long-lived
- * Allocation and deallocation are managed by user
- * Creates memory leak if memory not freed
- * valgrind is a useful heap memoery debugging tool link
- malloc()
 - * Is a C library call
 - * Syntax: void *malloc(size_t size)
 - * Allocates a block of size bytes to **heap memory** and if successful, returns a pointer to it
 - * Returns NULL if memory allocation is unsuccessful

Example

```
int *x = malloc(10 * sizeof(int));
- free()
  * Is a C library call
```

* Frees heap memory that is no longer in use

Example

```
int *x = malloc(10 * sizeof(int));
...
free(x);
- brk(), sbrk(), mmap()
```

* Are system calls for memory management

Buffer overflow

- is an error that occurs when not enough heap memory is allocated

```
char *src = "hello";
char *dst = (char *) malloc(strlen(src)); // too small
strcpy(dst, src); // work properly
```

- c) If the access by two threads are both about reading the stored value (as opposed to write), then concurrency error will not occur.
- d) Hand-over-hand locking is a fine-grained-locking, which allows more threads to be locked at once than single lock, and this means it will perform better than single lock when there is a lot of contention,

Notes

• Coarse-grained-locking

- Is one big lock that is used any time any critical section is accessed
- Is easy to write
- Is easy to prove correctness
- No fault-tolerance but deadlock-free
- Performs poorly when contention (the need for performance due to load) is high
 - * No concurrent access

Example

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

Pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (ready == 0)
    Pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
Pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);

Notice that only one thread can pass at a time
```

• Fine-grained-locking

- Uses different locks to often protect different data and data strutures
- Allows more threads to be in locked code at once


Example


```
void List_Init(list_t *L) {
       L->head = NULL;
       pthread_mutex_init(&L->lock, NULL);
   void List_Insert(list_t *L, int key) {
       // synchronization not needed
       node_t *new = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
       if (new == NULL) {
            perror("malloc");
            return;
11
12
       new->key = key;
13
14
                                                             Fine-grained lock here:)
       // just lock critical section
15
       pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
16
       new->next = L->head;
17
                                                            Notice lock is on a struct L
                 = new;
       L->head
18
       pthread mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
19
20
                                                             More threads can be locked
21
   int List_Lookup(list_t *L, int key) {
22
                                                             at once
       int rv = -1;
23
       pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
24
       node_t *curr = L->head;
25
       while (curr) {
            if (curr->key == key) {
                rv = 0;
                break;
            curr = curr->next;
       pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
33
       return rv; // now both success and failure
```


• Hand-over-hand locking

- Idea: instead of having a single lock for the entire list, a lock per node of the list is added; when traversing the list, the list grabs the next node's lock, and releases the current node's lock
- Is a fine-grained-locking
- Holds at most 2 locks at a time

Example







References

- 1) Techion, Linked Lists: The Role of Locking, link
- e) Interactive systems emphasizes quick response time, and for non-preemtive scheduling algorithms, the shorter tasks must wait for a process to finish, which means poor response time.

Notes

• Preemtive Scheduling Algorihtm

- Are designed so that different processes can be executed in the middle of any current process execution.
- Today, all of the modern scheduling algorithms are **preemptive**

Example

Shortest-Time-To-Completion (STCF) Scheduler

• Non-preemtive Scheduling Algorithm

- Are designed so that once a process enters the running state, it cannot be preempted (forestalled) until it completes its allotted time

Example

Shortest Job First (SJF) scheduler

f) Round Robin uses time slice on each process such that it returns quicker response time than Shortest Time to Completion First scheduling algorithm

g) <u>Notes</u>

- Trap
 - Is an exception in a user process
- Trap Instruction

_