CSC 369 Worksheet 5 Solution

August 18, 2020

1. I need to run randomly-generated problems with two jobs and two queues using file mlfq.py with I/O turned off, and compute the MLFQ execution trace for each.

Using the command ./mlfq.py -s 1 -m 10 -n 2 -j 2 -M 0, we have

```
Job List:
Job 0: startTime 0 – runTime 2 – ioFreq 0
Job 1: startTime 0 – runTime 7 – ioFreq 0
```

with

- allotment time for queue 1 is 1
- quantum length for queue 1 is 10
- allotment time for queue 0 is 1
- quantum length for queue 0 is 10
- no priority boost

the exeuction trace is:


Notes

- -m 10 sets the maximum runtime of a job to 10
- -M 0 turns off I/O in mlfq.py
- -n 2 sets number of queues to 2
- -j 2 sets number of jobs to 2
- Multi-level Feeback Queue (MLFQ):

- Is one of the most well-known approaches to scheduling
- Does two things:
 - a) Optimizes turnaround time
 - b) Minimizes response time
- Uses **priority level** and **Queues** to achieve it's goal

• MLFQ Basic Rules:

- Jobs on same queue \rightarrow Same priority
- Rule 1: If Priority(A) > Priority(B), A runs (B doesn't)
- Rule 2: If Priority(A) = Priority(B), A & B run in RR




• Attemp #1: How to Change Priority

- Rule 3: When a job enters the system, it is placed at the <u>highest</u> priority (the topmost queue)
- Rule 4a: If a job uses up an entire time slice while running, its' priority is reduced (i.e. it moves down on queue).
- Rule 4b: If a job gives up the CPU before the time slice is up, it stays at the <u>same</u> priority level (e.g I/O Operation)
 - * Means that the shifting down of priority level only depends on CPU time

Example (Along Came a Short Job):

- 1) A job A enters system
- 2) Job is placed on highest Queue Q_2
- 3) After time-slice (e.g. 10 ms) in Q_2 , A is placed on lower queue Q_1
- 4) After time-slice in Q_1 , A is placed in lowest priority queue Q_0



• Attemp #2: The Priority Boost

- Rule 5: After some time period S, move all the jobs in the system to the topmost queue.
 - * This is to prevent starvation (i.e. a job never being run)

• Attempt #3: Better Accounting (Fix of Attempt # 1)

- Is to prevent programmers from gaming (i.e tricking) the CPU so all programs get a fair share of allotment time
- Rule 4: Once a job uses up its time allotment at a given level (regardless of how
 many times it has given up the CPU), its priority is reduced (it moves down one
 queue).