CSC373 Worksheet 3 Solution

July 29, 2020

1. Using the following formula

$$M[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j\\ \min_{i \le k \le j} M[i,k] + M[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$
 (1)

we have

С	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	0	350	770	612	1212	1422
2	X	0	840	462	1662	1362
3	X	X	0	252	1092	1098
4	X	X	X	0	1440	936
5	X	X	X	X	0	720
6	X	X	X	X	x	0

Notes:

• Sequence of Dimensions

The sequence of dimensions $< p_0 = 5, p_1 = 10, p_2 = 3, p_2 = 12, p_3 = 5, p_4 = 50, p_5 = 6 >$ means there are 6 matrices with dimensions $p_{i-1} \times p_i$

$$-A_1 \rightarrow 5 \times 10$$

$$-A_2 \rightarrow 10 \times 3$$

$$-A_3 \rightarrow 3 \times 12$$

$$-A_4 \rightarrow 12 \times 5$$

$$-A_5 \rightarrow 5 \times 50$$

$$-A_6 \rightarrow 50 \times 6$$

- Dynamic Programming
 - Is applied to optimization problems
 - Applies when the subproblems overlap
 - Uses the following sequence of steps
 - 1. Characterize the structure of an optimal solution
 - 2. Recursively define the value of an optimal solution
 - 3. Construct an optimal solution from computed information
- Matrix-chain Multiplication
 - Is an optimization problem solved using dynamic programming
 - Goal is to find matrix parenthesis with fewest number of operations

Example:

Given chain of matrices $\langle A, B, C \rangle$, it's fully parenthesized product is:

- * (AB)C needs $(10 \times 30 \times 5) + (10 \times 5 \times 60) = 1500 + 3000 = 4500$ operations
- * A(BC) needs $(30 \times 5 \times 60) + (10 \times 30 \times 60) = 27000$ operations

Thus, (AB)C performs more efficiently than A(BC).

- Is stated as: given a chain $\langle A_1, A_2, ..., A_n \rangle$ of n matrices, where for i = 1, 2, ..., n matrix A_i has dimension $p_{i-1} \times p_i$, fully parenthesize the product $A_1 A_2 ... A_n$ in a way that minimizes the number of scalar multiplications.
- Steps

1. Check is the problem has Optimal Substructure

Let us adopt the notation $A_{i...j}$ where $i \leq j$, for the matrix that results from evaluating the product $A_i A_{i+1} ... A_j$.

Assume the solution has the following parentheses:

$$(A_{i...k})(A_{k+1...j})$$

If there is a better way to multiply $(A_{i...k})$, then we would have a more optimal solution.

This would be a contradiction, as we already stated that we have the optimal solution for $A_{i...j}$.

Therefore, this problem has optimal substructure.

2. Find the Recursive Solution

Let M[i,j] be the cost of multiplying matrices from A_i to A_j

We want to find out at which k' returns the fewest number of multiplications, or the minimum number of M.

The recursive formula for the cost of multiplying from A_i to A_j is

$$M[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{i \le k \le j} M[i,k] + M[k+1,j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$
 (2)

3. Computing the Estimated Cost

- * Steps
- 1) Fill the table for i = j
- 2) Fill the table for i < j with a spread of 1
- 3) Repeat 2 with the increased value of spread

Example:

Given

$$< A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 >$$

where

- * $A_1 \rightarrow 4 \times 10$
- * $A_2 \rightarrow 10 \times 3$
- * $A_3 \rightarrow 3 \times 12$
- * $A_4 \rightarrow 12 \times 20$
- * $A_5 \rightarrow 20 \times 7$

we have:

1) Fill the table for i = j

i\j	1	2	3	4	5
1	0				
2	x	0			
3	x	х	0		
4	×	x	x	0	
5	х	X	х	х	0



2) Fill the table for i < j with a spread of 1

2)
$$(i = 1, j = 2)$$
, $(i = 2, j = 3)$, $(i = 3, j = 4)$, $(i = 4, j = 5)$

i\j	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	120			
2	x	0	360		
3	x	х	0	720	
4	x	х	x	0	1680
5	x	х	х	x	0

since

$$*i = 1, j = 2$$

$$M[1,2] = \min_{1 \le k \le 2} (M[1,1] + M[1,2] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j)$$
 (3)

$$= \min_{1 \le k \le 2} (0 + 0 + p_0 p_1 p_2) \tag{4}$$

$$= \min_{1 \le k \le 2} (0 + 0 + 4 \cdot 10 \cdot 3) \tag{5}$$

$$= 120 \tag{6}$$

where $p_0 = 3$ is from the dimension 3×10 of A_1 , $p_k = 10$ is from the dimension of 3×10 of A_1 .

$$*i = 2, j = 3$$

$$M[2,3] = \min_{2 \le k \le 3} (M[2,2] + M[3,3] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j)$$
 (7)

$$= \min_{2 \le k \le 3} (0 + 0 + p_1 p_2 p_3) \tag{8}$$

$$= \min_{2 \le k \le 3} (0 + 0 + 10 \cdot 3 \cdot 12) \tag{9}$$

$$= 360 \tag{10}$$

$$*i = 3, j = 4$$

$$M[3,4] = \min_{3 \le k \le 4} (M[3,3] + M[4,4] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j)$$
 (11)

$$= \min_{3 \le k \le 4} (0 + 0 + p_2 p_3 p_4) \tag{12}$$

$$= \min_{3 \le k \le 4} (0 + 0 + 3 \cdot 12 \cdot 20) \tag{13}$$

$$=720\tag{14}$$

$$*i = 4, j = 5$$

$$M[4,5] = \min_{4 \le k \le 5} (M[4,4] + M[5,5] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j)$$
 (15)

$$= \min_{4 \le k \le 5} (0 + 0 + p_3 p_4 p_5) \tag{16}$$

$$= \min_{4 \le k \le 5} (0 + 0 + 12 \cdot 20 \cdot 7) \tag{17}$$

$$= 1680 \tag{18}$$

3) Repeat 2 with the increased value of spread

2)
$$(i = 1, j = 2)$$
, $(i = 2, j = 3)$, $(i = 3, j = 4)$, $(i = 4, j = 5)$

i\j	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	120	264	1080	1344
2	х	0	360	1320	1350
3	х	х	0	720	1140
4	х	x	х	0	1680
5	x	х	х	х	0

$$* i = 1, j = 3$$

$$\underline{k} = \underline{1}$$

$$M[1,3] = M[1,1] + M[2,3] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(19)

$$= 0 + 360 + p_0 p_1 p_3 \tag{20}$$

$$= 0 + 360 + 4 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \tag{21}$$

$$= 0 + 360 + 480 \tag{22}$$

$$= 840 \tag{23}$$

 $\underline{k} = 2$

$$M[1,3] = M[1,2] + M[3,3] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(24)

$$= 120 + 0 + p_0 p_2 p_3 \tag{25}$$

$$= 120 + 0 + 4 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \tag{26}$$

$$= 120 + 0 + 144 \tag{27}$$

$$= 264 \tag{28}$$

Thus, $\min_{1 \le k \le 3} M[1, 3] = 264$.

$$*i = 2, j = 4$$

 $\underline{k=2}$

$$M[2,4] = M[2,2] + M[3,4] + p_{i-1}p_kp_i$$
(29)

$$= 0 + 720 + p_1 p_2 p_4 \tag{30}$$

$$= 0 + 720 + 10 \cdot 3 \cdot 20 \tag{31}$$

$$= 0 + 720 + 600 \tag{32}$$

$$= 1320$$
 (33)

k = 3

$$M[2,4] = M[2,2] + M[3,4] + p_{i-1}p_kp_i$$
(34)

$$= 360 + 0 + p_1 p_3 p_4 \tag{35}$$

$$= 360 + 0 + 10 \cdot 12 \cdot 20 \tag{36}$$

$$= 360 + 0 + 2400 \tag{37}$$

$$=2760$$
 (38)

Thus, $\min_{2 \le k \le 4} M[2, 4] = 1320$.

$$*i = 3, j = 5$$

k=3

$$M[3,5] = M[3,3] + M[3,5] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j$$
(39)

$$= 0 + 1680 + p_2 p_3 p_5 \tag{40}$$

$$= 0 + 1680 + 3 \cdot 12 \cdot 7 \tag{41}$$

$$= 0 + 1680 + 252 \tag{42}$$

$$= 1932 \tag{43}$$

 $\underline{k=4}$

$$M[3,5] = M[3,4] + M[5,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_i$$
(44)

$$= 720 + 0 + p_2 p_4 p_5 \tag{45}$$

$$= 720 + 0 + 3 \cdot 20 \cdot 7 \tag{46}$$

$$= 720 + 420 \tag{47}$$

$$= 1140 \tag{48}$$

Thus, $\min_{3 \le k \le 5} M[3, 5] = 1140$.

*
$$i = 2, j = 5$$

 $\underline{k=2}$

$$M[2,5] = M[2,2] + M[3,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(49)

$$= 0 + 1140 + p_1 p_2 p_5 \tag{50}$$

$$= 0 + 1140 + 10 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \tag{51}$$

$$= 0 + 1140 + 210 \tag{52}$$

$$=1350\tag{53}$$

 $\underline{k} = 3$

$$M[2,5] = M[2,3] + M[4,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(54)

$$= 360 + 1680 + p_1 p_3 p_5 \tag{55}$$

$$= 2040 + 10 \cdot 12 \cdot 7 \tag{56}$$

$$= 2040 + 840 \tag{57}$$

$$=2880\tag{58}$$

 $\underline{k=4}$

$$M[2,5] = M[2,4] + M[5,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(59)

$$= 1320 + p_1 p_3 p_5 \tag{60}$$

$$= 1320 + 10 \cdot 20 \cdot 7 \tag{61}$$

$$= 1320 + 1400 \tag{62}$$

$$=2720$$
 (63)

Thus, $\min_{2 \le k \le 5} M[2, 5] = 1350$.

$$* i = 1, j = 5$$

 $\underline{k=1}$

$$M[1,5] = M[1,1] + M[3,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(64)

$$= 0 + 1350 + p_0 p_1 p_5 \tag{65}$$

$$= 0 + 1350 + 4 \cdot 10 \cdot 7 \tag{66}$$

$$= 0 + 1350 + 280 \tag{67}$$

$$= 1630 \tag{68}$$

 $\underline{k=2}$

$$M[1,5] = M[1,2] + M[3,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_i$$
(69)

$$= 120 + 1140 + p_0 p_2 p_5 \tag{70}$$

$$= 120 + 1140 + 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \tag{71}$$

$$= 1260 + 84 \tag{72}$$

$$= 1344$$
 (73)

 $\underline{k=3}$

$$M[1,5] = M[1,3] + M[4,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_i$$
(74)

$$= 264 + 1680 + p_0 p_3 p_5 \tag{75}$$

$$= 264 + 1680 + 4 \cdot 12 \cdot 7 \tag{76}$$

$$= 1944 + 336 \tag{77}$$

$$=2280\tag{78}$$

 $\underline{k=4}$

$$M[1,5] = M[1,4] + M[5,5] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j$$
(79)

$$= 1080 + 0 + p_0 p_4 p_5 \tag{80}$$

$$= 1080 + 4 \cdot 20 \cdot 7 \tag{81}$$


$$= 1080 + 560 \tag{82}$$

$$= 1640$$
 (83)

Thus, $\min_{1 \le k \le 5} M[1, 5] = 1344$.

4. Constructing the Optimal Solution

3)



So, the optimal solution is $(A_1A_2)((A_3A_4)A_5)$

$\underline{\textbf{References:}}$

1)