# CSC373 Worksheet 6 Solution

## August 14, 2020

## 1. 1. Multiply objective function by - 1

Maximize

$$-2x_1 - 7x_2 - x_3$$

Subject to

$$x_1 - x_3 = 7$$
$$3x_1 + x_2 \ge 7$$
$$x_2 \ge 0$$
$$x_3 \le 0$$

2. Replace non-nonnegative constraints  $x_1$ 

Maximize

$$-2x_1' + 2x_1'' - 7x_2 - x_3$$

Subject to

$$x'_{1} - x''_{1} - x_{3} = 7$$

$$3x'_{1} - 3x''_{1} + x_{2} \ge 7$$

$$x'_{1}, x''_{1}, x_{2} \ge 0$$

$$x_{3} \le 0$$

3. Replace non-nonnegative constraints  $x_3$ 

Maximize

$$-2x_1' + 2x_1'' - 7x_2 - x_3' + x_3''$$

Subject to

$$x'_1 - x''_1 - x'_3 + x''_3 = 7$$
$$3x'_1 - 3x''_1 + x_2 \ge 7$$
$$x'_1, x''_1, x_2, x'_3, x''_3 \ge 0$$

4. Replace equality constraints with  $\geq$  and  $\leq$ 

Maximize

$$-2x_1' + 2x_1'' - 7x_2 - x_3' + x_3''$$

Subject to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1' - x_1'' - x_3' + x_3'' &\leq 7 \\ x_1' - x_1'' - x_3' + x_3'' &\geq 7 \\ 3x_1' - 3x_1'' + x_2 &\geq 7 \\ x_1', x_1'', x_2, x_3', x_3'' &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

5. Correct greater-than-or-equal-to inequality constraints

Maximize

$$-2x_1' + 2x_1'' - 7x_2 - x_3' + x_3''$$

Subject to

$$x'_1 - x''_1 - x'_3 + x''_3 \le 7$$

$$-x'_1 + x''_1 + x'_3 - x''_3 \le -7$$

$$-3x'_1 + 3x''_1 - x_2 \le 7$$

$$x'_1, x''_1, x_2, x'_3, x''_3 \ge 0$$

#### Notes:

#### • Linear Programming

- Is a method to achieve the best outcome (such as maximum profit or lowest cost) in a mathematical model whose requirements are represented by linear relationships. [1]
- Is named to make it sound cool for government funding
  - \* Like dynamic programming
- Applications
  - \* Microeconomics (maximize profits, minimize costs)
  - \* Company management

#### • Standard Form

- Is a form of linear programming
- Are about maximizing, not minimizing <sup>[2]</sup>
- All have a positivity constraint for each variable [2]
- All other constraints are all of the form "linear combination of variables  $\leq$  constant".  $^{[2]}$



#### • Converting Linear Programming to Standard Form

- 1) The objective function might be a minimization rather than a maximization
  - Negate coefficients of the objective function



- 2) There might be variables without nonnegativity constraints
  - Replace each non-nonnegative variable  $x_i$  with  $x_i'$  and  $x_i''$
  - Modify linear program



- 3) There might be **equality constraints**, which have an equal sign rather than a less-than-or-equal-to sign
  - Replace equality constraint  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = b$  with  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \le b$  and  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \ge b$

- 4) There might be **inequality constraints**, but instead of having a less-than-or-equal-to-sign
  - Multiply incorrect inequality constraints by -1



#### References:

- 1) Wikipedia, Linear Programming, link
- 2) Instituto de Mathematicas, Standard form for Linear Programs, link

2.

$$z = 2x_1 - 6x_3$$

$$x_4 = 7 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3$$

$$x_5 = -8 + 3x_1 - x_2$$

$$x_6 = -x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3$$

The basic variables are variables on the lhs (i.e B = 4, 5, 6), and the non-basic variables are the variables on the rhs of the expressions (i.e. N = 1, 2, 3).



#### Notes:

#### • Slack Form

- Is a form of linear programming
- Is for efficient solving of liner programming problem using simplex algorithm



#### • Converting Linear Programs into Slack Form

- 1) Start from the standard form of linear programming
- 2) Shift objective functions to right



3) Introduce slack variable  $x_i$  to lhs and move expressions  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$  to rhs



4) Change inequalities in linear programming to equality



5) Use Variable z to denote objective function



6) Omit the nonnegativivty constraints



#### References:

- 1) Cambridge University, Linear Programming, link
- 3. Multiplying the first expression (under subject to) by 2, and summing the inequality constraints, we have

$$0 \le -6 \tag{1}$$

which is impossible.



#### **Notes**

- I noticed that infeasible solution has non-overlapping region
- Infeasible
  - A Linear Program is infeasible if there is no solution that satisfies all of the constraints
- 4. Let  $x_1 = 3r$  and  $x_2 = r$  where  $r \ge 0$ . Then the inequality constraints become

$$-2(3r) + (r) = -5r \le -1$$
$$-(3r) - 2(r) = -5r \le -2$$
$$3r, r > 0$$

and are valid.

Now, looking at the objective functions, with  $x_1 = 3r$  and  $x_2 = r$ , it becomes

$$3r - r = 2r$$

which increases without bound.

Thus, there is no maximum, and the linear program is unbounded.



#### Notes

• I learned that to show an LP is unbounded, I first have to subtitute  $x_i$  with a common variable r (e.g.  $x_1 = 3r$ ,  $x_2 = r$ ), check inequality constraints, and then look at objective functions and see if I can get max/min.

#### • Unbounded

 A Linear Program is unbounded if it has some feasible solutions but does not have a finite optimal objective value

#### References:

1) CLRS Solutions, 29.1 Standard and slack forms, link

5. At worst case, the upper bound of variables and constraints in conversion to standard form is 2n and 2m.

*Proof.* Suppose a linear program has n variables and m constraints.

When converting to standard form, the areas that affect the number of constraints and variables are:

- 1. Variables without nonnegativity constraints
- 2. Existence of equality constraints

So, in worst case, all of the variables are not nonnegative, and all of the expressions have equality constraints.

Since addressing each of non-nonnegative constraints adds an additional variable, we can write there would be total of 2n variables at the end.

And since addressing each equality constraints result in 1 additional constraint, we can conclude there would be total of 2m constraints.

References:

- 1) CLRS Solutions, 29.1 Standard and slack forms, link
- 6. The following is an example of a linear program for which the feasible region is not bounded, but the optimal objective value is finite.

Minimum

$$x_1 - x_2$$

Subject to

$$-2x_1 + x_2 \le -1$$
  
$$-x_1 + 2x_2 \le 10$$
  
$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 = 2r$  and  $x_2 = r$  where  $r \ge 0$ . Then, the inequality constraints become

$$-2(2r) + (r) = -3r \le -1 \tag{2}$$

$$-(2r) + 2(r) = 0 \le 10 \tag{3}$$

$$2r, r \ge 0 \tag{4}$$

which is valid.

Now, looking at the objective function, we have 2r - r = r.

Since we are looking for the minimum value and  $r \ge 0$ , we can write min(r) = 0 (if we are looking for the maximum, then r is ever-increasing and the linear program is unbounded).

Thus, the optimal objective value in this example is finite.



#### Notes:

#### • Feasible Region

- Is the set of all possible points (sets of values of the choice variables) of an optimization problem that satisfy the problem's constraints. [1]

#### References:

1) Wikipedia, Feasible region, link

7.


Maximize 
$$\sum_{v \in V - \{s\}} d_v$$
 Subject To 
$$d_v - d_u \le w(u,v) \text{ for each edge } (u,v) \in E$$
 
$$d_s = 0$$

## Notes:

- I chose positive sign. But is there a symstematic approaches to determining signs in objective functions? What does positive  $x_i$  means? what about negative  $x_i$ ?
- Formulating Problems as Linear Program (Shortest Path)
  - Single-source shortest-path problem can be formulated as a linear program.
  - Goal is computing the weight of a shortest path from s to t.

maximize 
$$d_t$$
 subject to 
$$d_v \leq d_u + w(u,v) \quad \text{for each edge } (u,v) \in E$$
 
$$d_s = 0 \; .$$

#### Example:



Maximize 
$$d_{t}$$
Subject To 
$$d_{t} - d_{s} \leq 6$$

$$d_{t} - d_{x} \leq -2$$

$$d_{x} - d_{t} \leq 5$$

$$d_{x} - d_{y} \leq -3$$

$$d_{x} - d_{z} \leq 7$$

$$d_{y} - d_{t} \leq 8$$

$$d_{y} - d_{s} \leq 7$$


$$d_{z} - d_{t} \leq -4$$

$$d_{z} - d_{y} \leq 9$$

$$d_{s} - d_{z} \leq 2$$

$$d_{s} = 0$$

On continued calculation, the values of each variables should be  $d_s = 0$ ,  $d_y = 7$ ,  $d_x = 4$ ,  $d_t = 2$ , and  $d_z = -2$ .



#### **References:**

1) University of Missouri St. Louis, Linear Programming, link

#### 8. Rough Works:


Goal: Find the feasible flow of minimum cost using linear program

Minimize 
$$\sum_{u,v\in V} a(u,v)f_{uv}$$
 Subject To

## Notes:

#### • Minimum-cost Flow Problem

- Goal  $\rightarrow$  Finding the cheapest possible way of sending a certain amount of flow through a flow network [1]




- Applications
  - 1) Finding delivery route from factory to a warehouse [1]

#### • Multicommodity Flow Problem

- Goal  $\rightarrow$  Finding whether a flow exists

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_{iuv} \leq c(u,v) \quad \text{for each } u,v \in V \;,$$
 
$$\sum_{v \in V} f_{iuv} - \sum_{v \in V} f_{ivu} = 0 \qquad \text{for each } i = 1,2,\ldots,k \text{ and for each } u \in V - \{s_i,t_i\} \;,$$
 
$$\sum_{v \in V} f_{i,s_i,v} - \sum_{v \in V} f_{i,v,s_i} = d_i \qquad \text{for each } i = 1,2,\ldots,k \;,$$
 
$$f_{iuv} \geq 0 \qquad \text{for each } u,v \in V \text{ and for each } i = 1,2,\ldots,k \;.$$

- Is a network flow problem with multiple commodities (flow demands) between different source and sink nodes [2].
- Applications [3]
  - 1) **Telephone Network**  $\rightarrow$  Each call is a commodity with its own source and sink
  - 2) Transportation Network  $\rightarrow$  Each trip is its own commodity
  - 3) Supply Chain  $\rightarrow$  Different goods move through the network



### Commodity

- Is Symbolized by  $K_i = (s_i, t_i, d_i)$ 
  - \*  $s_i \rightarrow \text{source of commodity } i \text{ (e.g. sender)}$
  - \*  $t_i \rightarrow \text{sink of commodity } i \text{ (e.g. recipient)}$
  - \*  $d_i \rightarrow$  demand of commodity i (e.g. number of items transported from s to t)

## • Flow of Commodity

- Is symbolized by  $f_{iuv}$ 
  - \* Means the flow of commodity i from vertex u to vertex v

#### • Aggregate Flow

- Is the sum of various commodity flows (e.g sum of all shipments from u to v)
- Is symbolozed by  $f_{uv} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{iuv}$

#### References:

- 1) Wikipedia, Minimum-cost flow problem, link
- 2) Wikipedia, Multicommodity flow problem, link
- 3) Rensselaer Polytechnique Institute, Math Models of OR Multicommodity Network Flow Problems, link