

1. a) False
- b) True
- c) True
- d) True
- e) True
- f) False

Correct Solution

- a) False
- b) True
- c) True
- d) **False**

(I am not too sure. But this may be due to the system setting up limited direct execution (baby proofing the CPU) before resuming the program)

- e) **False**

(I am not too sure. But this may be because the lock spins using CPU cycle until spinlock is available for the process. Using this, the process may be in running state and not the blocked state)

- f) False

Notes

• User Mode

- Is restricted
- Executing code has no ability to *directly* access hardware or reference memory ^[1]
- Crashes are always recoverable ^[1]
- Is where most of the code on our computer / applications are executed ^[3]

• Kernel Mode

- Is privileged (non-restricted)
- Executing code has complete and unrestricted access to the underlying hardware ^[3]

- Is generally reserved for the lowest-level, most trusted functions of the operating system ^[1]
- Is fatal to crash; it will halt the entire PC (i.e the blue screen of death) ^[3]

- **Interrupt**

- Are signals sent to the CPU by external devices, normally I/O devices. ^[2]
- Tells the CPU to stop its current activities and execute the appropriate part of the operating system (**Interrupt Handler**). ^[2]
- Has three different types ^[2]

- 1) **Hardware Interrupts**

- * Are generated by hardware devices to signal that they need some attention from the OS.
- * May be due to receiving some data

Examples

- Keystrokes on the keyboard
- Receiving data on the ethernet card
- * May be due to completing a task which the operating system previously requested

Examples

Transferring data between the hard drive and memory

- 2) **Software Interrupts**

- * Are generated by programs when a system call is requested

- 3) **Traps**

- * Are generated by the CPU itself
- * Indicate that some error or condition occurred for which assistance from the operating system is needed

- **Context Switch**

- Is switching from running a user level process to the OS kernel and often to other user processes before the current process is resumed
- Happens during a timer interrupt or system call
- Saves the following states for a process during a context switch
 - * Stack Pointer

- * Program Counter
- * User Registers
- * Kernel State
- May hinder performance

• System Call

Example

- `yield()`
 - * Is a system call
 - * Causes the calling thread to relinquish the CPU
 - * Places the current thread at the end of the run queue
 - * Schedules another thread to run

• Thread

- Is a lightweight process that can be managed independently by a scheduler ^[4]
- Improves the application performance using parallelism. (e.g peach)



- A thread is bound to a single process
- A process can have multiple threads

- Has two types
 - * **User-level Threads:**
 - Are implemented by users and kernel is not aware of the existence of these threads
 - Are represented by a program counter(PC), stack, registers and a small process control block
 - Are small and much faster than kernel level threads
 - * **Kernel-level Threads:**
 - Are handled by the operating system directly
 - Thread management is done by the kernel
 - Are slower than user-level threads
- **Process**
 - Is a program in execution
 - Is named by its process ID or PID
 - Can be described by the following states at any point in time
 - * Address Space
 - * CPU Registers
 - * Program Counter
 - * Stack Pointer
 - * I/O Information(wait. this is PCB)
 - Exists in one of many different **process states**, including
 1. Running
 2. Ready to Run
 3. Blocked
 - * Different events (Getting Scheduled, descheduled, or waiting for I/O) transitions one of these states to the other
- **Signals**
 - Provides a way to communicate with the process
 - Can cause job to stop, continue, or terminate
 - Can be delivered to an application
 - * Stops the application from whatever its doing
 - * Runs Signal handler (some code in application to handle the signal)
 - * When finished, the process resumes previous behavior
- **Spinlock**
 - Is the simplest lock to build
 - Uses a lock variable

- * 0 - (available/unlock/free)
- * 1 - (acquired/locked/held)
- Has two operations
 1. `acquire()`

```
boolean test_and_set(boolean *lock)
{
    boolean old = *lock;
    *lock = True;
    return old;
}

boolean lock;

void acquire(boolean *lock) {
    while(test_and_set(lock));
}
```

2. `release()`

```
void release(boolean *lock) {
    *lock = false;
}
```

- Allows a single thread to enter critical section at a time
- Spins using CPU cycles until the lock becomes available.
- May spin forever

- **Scheduling policies**

- Are algorithms for allocating CPU resources to concurrent tasks deployed on (i.e., allocated to) a processor (i.e., computing resource) or a shared pool of processors [5]
- Are sometimes called **Discipline**
- Covers the following algorithms in textbook
 - * **First In First Out**
 - * **Shortest Job First**
 - * **Shortest Time-to-completion First**
 - * **Round Robin**
 - Runs job for a **time slice** or **quantum**
 - Each job gets equal share of CPU time

- Is clock-driven [6]
- Is starvation-free [7]
- Must have the length of a time slice (**quantum**) as multiple of timer-interrupt period

```
void release(boolean *lock) {  
    *lock = false;  
}
```

* **Multi-level Feedback Queue**

References

- 1) Coding Horror, Understanding User and Kernel Mode, [link](#)
 - 2) Kansas State University, Basics of How Operating Systems Work, [link](#)
 - 3) Kansas State University, Glossary, [link](#)
 - 4) Tutorials Point, User-level threads and Kernel-level threads, [link](#)
 - 5) Science Direct, Scheduling Policy, [link](#)
 - 6) Guru 99: What is CPU Scheduling?, [link](#)
 - 7) Wikipedia: Round-robin Scheduling, [link](#)
2. a) Is a simple form of lock. It allows a single thread to enter critical section at a time. It spins using CPU cycles until lock becomes available. It uses variable `lock` with two values (0 for available, 1 for acquired) with operations `acquire()` and `release()`.
- b) Is a fraction of total turnaround time for a process. Is used by round-robin scheduling algorithm. A process under RR has equal parts of these. Furthermore, scheduling quantum assigned to a process to be multiples of timer-interrupt period

Correct Solution

In a preemptive scheduler, this is the time allotted to a process before context-switching to another process

- c) Is the programmatic way in which user requests for privileged service in operating system. On system call, the current process states (program counter, CPU register, kernel state) are saved, enters kernel mode, performs privileged operations such as reading the disk, executes return-from-trap instructions, and returns back to user mode and resumes the program with the attained result

Notes

- **Response Time**

- **Formula** $T_{response} = T_{firstrun} - T_{arrival}$
- measures the interactive performance between users and the system

- **Turnaround Time**

- **Formula** $T_{turnaround} = T_{completion} - T_{arrival}$
- measures the amount of time taken to complete a process

- **System Call**

- Is the programmatic way in which a computer program requests a privileged service from the kernel of the operating system
- i.e. Reading from disk
- Steps
 - 1) Setup **trap tables** on boot
 - 2) Execute system call
 - 3) Save *Program Counter, CPU registers, kernel stack* (so process can resume after **return-from-trap** or **context switch**)
 - 4) Switch from **user mode** to **kernel mode**
 - 5) Perform privileged operations
 - 6) Finish and execute **return-from-trap** instruction
 - 7) Return from **kernel mode** to **user mode** and resume user program

3. a) (b) is the only scheduling algorithm that causes starvation

Notes

- **Starvation**

- Is the problem that occurs when high priority processes keep executing and low priority processes get blocked for indefinite time ^[1]

- **Convoy Effect**


- Is the problem where number of relatively-short potential consumers of a resource get queued behind a heavy weight consumer



- **First In First Out**

- Is the most basic scheduling algorithm
- Is vulnerable to **convoy effect**

- No **starvation** as long as every process eventually completes
- **Shortest Job First**
 - Improves average **turnaround time** given processes of uneven length
 - Is a general scheduling principle useful in situation where turnaround time per process matters
 - Is vulnerable to **convoy effect**



- Is vulnerable to **starvation**
 - * When only short-term jobs come in while a long term job is in queue
- **Shortest Time-to-completion First**
 - Addresses **convoy effect** in **Shortest Job First**
 - Determines which of the remaining+new jobs has least time left, and schedule accordingly at any time




- Is vulnerable to **starvation**
 - * When only short-term jobs come in while a long term job is in queue
- **Round Robin**
 - Has good **response time** but terrible **turnaround time**
 - Runs job for a **time slice** or **quantum**
 - Each job gets equal share of CPU time
 - Is clock-driven ^[6]
 - Is starvation-free ^[7]
 - Must have the length of a time slice (**quantum**) as multiple of timer-interrupt period


```
void release(boolean *lock) {
    *lock = false;
}
```

• Multi-level Feedback Queue

- Is the most well known approaches to scheduling
- Optimizes **turnaround time**, and minimizes **response time**
- Observes the execution of a job and prioritizes accordingly without prior knowledge
- Rules
 - * **Rule 1:** If $\text{Priority}(A) > \text{Priority}(B)$, A runs (B doesn't)
 - * **Rule 2:** If $\text{Priority}(A) = \text{Priority}(B)$. A & B run in round-robin fashion using the time slice (quantum length) of the given queue
 - * **Rule 3:** When a job enters the system, it is placed at the highest priority (the top most queue)
 - * **Rule 4:** Once a job uses up its time allotment at a given level (regardless of how many times it has given up the CPU), its priority is reduced (it moves down on queue)
 - * **Rule 5:** After some time period S, move all the jobs in the system to the topmost queue.



- b) Nothing. `pthread_cond_signal` unblocks at least one of the thread that are blocked on the specified condition variable `cond`. Since there are no other threads waiting on `cv1`, there are no threads to awake.

Notes

• Critical Section

- Is a piece of code that accesses a *shared* resource, usually a variable or data structure

• Thread

- Is a lightweight process that can be managed independently by a scheduler [4]

- Improves the application performance using parallelism. (e.g peach)



- A thread is bound to a single process
- A process can have multiple threads
- Has two types
 - * **User-level Threads:**
 - Are implemented by users and kernel is not aware of the existence of these threads
 - Are represented by a program counter(PC), stack, registers and a small process control block
 - Are small and much faster than kernel level threads
 - * **Kernel-level Threads:**
 - Are handled by the operating system directly
 - Thread management is done by the kernel
 - Are slower than user-level threads

• Thread API

- `pthread_condwait`
 - * Puts the calling thread to sleep (a blocked state)
 - * Waits for some other thread to signal it

Example

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (ready == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

puts calling thread cond to sleep




– pthread_cond_signal

- * Is used to unblocks at least one of the threads that are blocked on the specified condition variable cond

Example

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
ready = 1;
pthread_cond_signal(&cond);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

Wakes a thread that's been put to sleep on cond variable



- c) Interrupt is a signals usually sent by external devices, normally I/O devices. It tells the CPU to stop the current process and execute appropriate part of the operating system.

System call is a programmatic way which a computer requests for privileged service from the kernel of the Operating System

Correct Solution

Interrupt is a signals are sent by hardware (keyboardm mouse, etc.), or software (page fault, protection violation, system call)

System call is a strictly subset of software interrupts where a user program asks the OS to perform some privileged functionality on its behalf.

Notes

- **Interrupt**

- Are signals sent to the CPU by external devices, normally I/O devices.

- Tells the CPU to stop current process and execute appropriate part of the operating system
- **System Call**
 - Is the programmatic way in which a computer program requests a privileged service from the kernel of the operating system
- d) No. I don't agree. I tried to find the reasons regarding inner workings of kernel threads and user threads without success :(
- e) There are 3 additional processes in total. `fork()` produces a child process of `run_itself` and one `exec()` produces a process for the command `ls -l` and the other `exec()` produces a process for command `cat /proc/cpuinfo`

Correct Solution

Only 1 process, because the `exec` system call does not spawn any new processes

4. a) I am not too sure on this one. My guess is that account one enters the critical section and while it's in critical section, account 2 switches context and goes to blocked state.

Then, account 1 need to wait for account 2. Thus, deadlock.

Correct Solution

There are two scenarios.

1. When two accounts transfer at the same time.

- `user_1` executes `transfer_amount(user_2, user_1)`
- `user_2` executes `transfer_amount(user_1, user_2)`
- Each will acquire their own lock at `pthread_mutex_lock(a1->lock)`
- But because they are already locked `pthread_mutex_lock(a2->lock)` can't execute, thus deadlock

2. When performing self transfer

- `user_1` executes `transfer_amount(user_1, user_1)`
- `user_1` will acquire own lock at `pthread_mutex_lock(a1->lock)`
- But because `user_1` is already locked, `pthread_mutex_lock(a2->lock)` can't execute, thus deadlock

Notes

• Semaphores

–

• Livelock

- Two or more threads repeatedly attempting this code over and over (e.g. acquiring lock), but progress is not being made (e.g. acquiring lock)
- Solution: Add a random delay before trying again (decrease odd of livelock)

- **Deadlock**



- Is a state in which each member of a group is waiting for another member including itself, to take action (e.g. releasing lock)
- Conditions for Deadlock (All four must be met)

- * **Mutual Exclusion**

- Occurs when threads claim exclusive control of resources that they require (e.g. thread grabbing a lock)

- * **Hold-and-wait**

- Occurs when threads hold resources allocated to them (e.g. locks that they have already acquired) while waiting for additional resources (e.g. locks that they wish to acquire)


- * **No Preemption**

- Occurs when resource cannot be forcibly removed from threads that are holding them

- * **Circular Wait**

- Occurs when there exists a circular chain of threads such that each threads hold one or more resources (e.g. locks) that are being requested by the next thread in the chain.

Example



- Preventions

* Circular Wait

- Write code such that circular wait is never induced
- Is the most practical prevention technique
- Requires deep understanding of the code base
- **Total Ordering** (Most straightforward)

Example

Given two locks in the system (L1 and L2), always acquire L1 before L2

- **Partial Ordering** (Applied to complex systems)

Example

Memory mapping code in Linux (has then different groups).

(Simple) `i_mutex` before `i_mmap_mutex`

(More complex) `i_mmap_mutex` before `private_lock` before `swap_lock`
before `mapping->tree_lock`

* Hold-and-wait



- Can be avoided by acquiring all locks at once
- Can be problematic
- Must know which lock must be held and acquire ahead of time
- Is likely to decrease concurrency (since all need to be acquired over their needs)

Example

```

1  pthread_mutex_lock(prevention); // begin acquisition
2  pthread_mutex_lock(L1);
3  pthread_mutex_lock(L2);
4  ...
5  pthread_mutex_unlock(prevention); // end

```

 Lock all in
order that doesn't cause deadlock
 unlock in
the same order

* No Preemption

- Can be avoided by adding code that force unlock if not available

Thread 1

```

1  top:
2  pthread_mutex_lock(L1);
3  if (pthread_mutex_trylock(L2) != 0) {
4  pthread_mutex_unlock(L1);
5  goto top;
6  }

```

1. Check if L2 is locked or not available

2. Unlock L1 forcibly (to avoid deadlock)

Thread 2

```

1  top:
2  pthread_mutex_lock(L2);
3  if (pthread_mutex_trylock(L1) != 0) {
4  pthread_mutex_unlock(L2);
5  goto top;
6  }

```

- pthread_mutex_trylock tries to lock the specified mutex.
- pthread_mutex_trylock returns 0 if lock is available
- pthread_mutex_trylock returns the following error if occupied

EBUSY - Mutex is already locked

EINVAL - Is not initialized mutex

EFAULT - Is in valid pointer

- May result in **live lock**

* **Mutual Exclusion**

- Idea: Avoid the mutual exclusion at all
- Use **lock-free/wait-free** approach: building data structures in a manner that does not require explicit locking using hardware instructions

Example

```

1  int CompareAndSwap(int *address, int expected, int new) {
2  if (*address == expected) {
3      *address = new;
4      return 1; // success
5  }
6  return 0; // failure
7  }

```

– Avoidance

* **Banker's Algorithm**

- No. In this case, only one account can enter at a time globally.
 - Only one transaction can be performed globally. Concurrency is lost.

c) **Notes**

- **Lock Based Concurrent Data Structures**

—