

# CSC236 Worksheet 2 Solution

Hyungmo Gu

April 30, 2020

## Question 1

- **Statement:** Any full binary tree with at least 1 node has more leaves than internal nodes.

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be the total number of nodes in a full binary tree.

We will prove the statement by complete induction on  $n$ .

### Base Case ( $n = 1$ ):

Let  $n = 1$ .

We need to prove the full binary tree with 1 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

There is only one full binary tree exists with 1 total number of node. That is, the full binary tree with 1 child node and 0 internal nodes.

Then, since we know a node is a leaf if it has no children, and since we know the child node has 0 children, we can write the child node is a leaf node.

Then, because we know the full binary tree has 1 leaf node, and 0 internal node, we can conclude it has more leaves than internal nodes.

### Base Case ( $n = 2$ ):

Let  $n = 2$ .

We need to prove the full binary tree with 2 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Since we know the tree with 2 total number of nodes has 1 leaf and 1 internal node, using above fact, we can write the tree is not a full binary tree.

Then, by vacuous truth, we can conclude the tree has more leaves than internal nodes.

### **Base Case ( $n = 3$ ):**

Let  $n = 3$ .

We need to prove the full binary tree with 3 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Because we know there are two types of binary trees possible, one is the tree with 2 internal nodes and 1 child and the other is 1 internal node and 2 children, using above fact, we can write the only possible full binary tree with 3 total nodes is 1 internal node and 2 children.

Now, the definition of leaf tells us leaf is a node that has no children.

Because we know by observation that the 2 child nodes don't have children, we can write the full binary tree has 2 leaves.

So, because we know the full binary tree has 1 internal node and 2 leaves, we can conclude the full binary tree has more leaves than internal node.

### **Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an arbitrary natural number. Assume that for all natural number  $i$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , any full binary trees with  $i$  total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

Let  $T$  be an arbitrary full binary tree with  $k + 1$  nodes. Let  $T'$  be the binary tree obtained by removing 2 leaves from the same parent node.

Let  $\ell$  be the number of leaves of  $T$ , and  $m$  be the number of internal nodes of  $T$ . Similarly, let  $\ell'$  be the number of leaves of  $T'$  and  $m'$  be the number of internal nodes of  $T'$ . We must prove  $\ell > m$ .

First, we need to show  $\ell' > m'$ .

The header tells us that  $T'$  is a full binary tree as a result of removing 2 leaves from the parent node of  $T$ .

Using this fact, we can calculate  $T'$  has

$$k + 1 - 2 = k - 1 \quad (1)$$

nodes.

Then, because we know  $1 \leq k - 1 \leq k$ , using induction hypothesis, we can write

$$\ell' > m' \quad (2)$$

Second, we need to show  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and  $m = m' + 1$ .

The total number of both the leaf nodes and the internal nodes increase by 1 when 2 nodes are added to the same leaf node in a full binary tree.

Since 2 nodes added to the same leaf node of  $T'$  is  $T$ , we can write  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and  $m = m' + 1$ .

Finally, putting together, because we know  $\ell' > m'$ ,  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and  $m = m' + 1$ , we can conclude

$$\ell' + 1 > m' + 1 \quad (3)$$

$$\ell > m \quad (4)$$

□

### Notes:

– Complete Induction

\* **Statement:**  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n < i \Rightarrow A(n) \Rightarrow \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, A(i)$

\* **Statement Alt.:**  $\left( \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \left[ \bigwedge_{k=0}^{k=n-1} P(k) \right] \Rightarrow P(n) \right) \Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$

\* **Simple Example 1:**

**Statement:**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 0 \Rightarrow 10 \mid (n^5 - n)$

We will prove the statement by strong induction on  $n$ .

1. Base Case ( $n = 0$ )

Let  $n = 0$ .

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when  $n = 0$ . That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let  $k = 0$ .

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact  $n = 0$ , we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 0 \tag{5}$$

Then, because we know  $10k = 0$ , we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k \tag{6}$$

2. Base Case ( $n = 1$ )

Let  $n = 1$ .

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when  $n = 1$ . That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let  $k = 0$ .

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact  $n = 1$ , we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 1 - 1 \tag{7}$$

$$= 0 \tag{8}$$

Then, because we know  $10k = 0$ , we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k \tag{9}$$

### 3. Inductive Step

Assume  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that for all natural number  $i$  satisfying  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $10 \mid (i^5 - i)$ . That is,  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(i^5 - i) = 10d$ .

We need to prove  $\exists \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1)) = 10\tilde{d}$ .

Let  $\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$ .

Starting from  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1))$ , using binominal theorem, we can write,

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = \left[(k-1) + 2\right]^5 - \left[(k-1) + 2\right] \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{b=0}^5 \binom{5}{b} (k-1)^{5-b} \cdot 2^b \quad (11)$$

$$= (k-1)^5 + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 32 - \left[(k-1) + 2\right] \quad (12)$$

$$= \left[(k-1)^5 - (k-1)\right] + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30 \quad (13)$$

(The reason why  $k-1$  is chosen instead of  $k-2$  and  $k-3$  is because of the last term  $2^5 = 32$ , i.e  $32 - 2 = 30$ )

Then, because we know  $0 \leq k-1 \leq k$  and  $10 \mid (k-1)^5 - (k-1)$  from the header, we can write  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(k-1)^5 - (k-1) = 10c$ , and

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10c + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30 \quad (14)$$

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10 \cdot \left[ c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3 \right] \quad (15)$$

$$(16)$$

Then, because we know  $\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$  from the header, we can conclude

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10\tilde{d} \quad (17)$$

## Question 2

- *Proof.* Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate defined as follows

$P(n)$ : Postage of exactly  $n$  cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps

We will use complete induction to prove the statement holds for  $n \geq 13$ .

**Base Case ( $n = 13$ ):**

Let  $n = 13$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $n = 13$ . That is, the postage of exactly 13 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 13$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

**Base Case ( $n = 14$ ):**

Let  $n = 14$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $n = 14$ . That is, the postage of exactly 14 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(2 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 14$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

**Base Case ( $n = 15$ ):**

Let  $n = 15$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $n = 15$ . That is, the postage of exactly 15 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(1 \cdot 3) + (3 \cdot 4) = 15$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

**Base Case ( $n = 16$ ):**

Let  $n = 16$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $n = 16$ . That is, the postage of exactly 16 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(4 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 16$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

**Base Case ( $n = 17$ ):**

Let  $n = 17$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $n = 17$ . That is, the postage of exactly 17 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 17$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

**Inductive Step:**

Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \geq 13$ . Suppose that  $P(i)$  holds. That is, the postage of exactly  $i$  cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words,  $\exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for  $P(i + 1)$ . That is, the postage of exactly  $i + 1$  cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words, we need to prove  $\exists k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1$ . There are two cases:  $\ell > 0$  or  $\ell = 0$ .

We will use proof by cases.

**Case 1 ( $\ell > 0$ ):**

Assume  $\ell > 0$ .

We need to prove  $\exists k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1$ .

Let  $k' = k + 3$  and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$  (where  $\ell - 2$  is possible since  $\ell > 0$ ).

Starting from the left hand side, using the facts  $k' = k + 3$  and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k + 3) \cdot 3 + (\ell - 2) \cdot 4 \tag{1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 9 + 4 \cdot \ell - 8 \tag{2}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= (3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell) + 1 \tag{4}$$



Then, using induction hypothesis, i.e.  $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ , we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \quad (5)$$

**Case 2 ( $\ell = 0$ ):**

First, we need to choose the value of  $k'$ .

The header tells us

$$3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell = i \quad (6)$$

Using the fact  $\ell = 0$ , we can write

$$3 \cdot k = i \quad (7)$$

$$k = \frac{i}{3} \quad (8)$$

Then, because we know  $i \geq 18$ , we can write  $k \geq 6$ .

Then, since  $k'$  must be a natural number and  $k \geq 6$ , let  $k' = k - 5$ .

Second, we need to choose the value of  $\ell'$ .

Since we know  $\ell = 0$ , and since we want the total to increase from  $i$  by 1 in  $3 \cdot k' + 4 \cdot \ell$ , let  $\ell' = 4$ .

Finally, starting from the left, using the facts  $k' = k - 5$  and  $\ell' = 4$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k - 5) \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4 \quad (9)$$

$$= 3k - 15 + 16 \quad (10)$$

$$= 3k + 1 \quad (11)$$

Then, by the fact  $4\ell = \ell = 0$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = 3k + 4\ell + 1 \quad (12)$$

$$= (3k + 4\ell) + 1 \quad (13)$$

Then, by using inductive hypothesis,  $3k + 4\ell = i$ , we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \quad (14)$$

□

### Notes:

- Noticed professor's solution is much shorter
- Noticed professor's solution uses inductive step before base case

**inductive step:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $n \geq 6$ . Assume  $H(n) : \bigwedge_{i=6}^{n-1} C(i)$ . I will show that  $C(n)$  follows, that postage of  $n$  cents can be made using only 3- and 4- cent stamps.

**base case  $n = 6$ :** Use two 3-cent stamps. So  $C(n)$  follows in this case.

**base case  $n = 7$ :** Use one 3-cent and one 4-cent stamps. So  $C(n)$  follows in this case.

**base case  $n = 8$ :** Use two 4-cent stamps. So  $C(n)$  follows in this case.

$n \geq 9$ : Since  $9 \leq n$ ,  $6 \leq n - 3 < n$ , so we know  $C(n - 3)$ , postage of  $n - 3$  cents can be made using 3- and 4-cent stamps. Let  $k$  and  $j$  be integers such that  $n - 3 = 3k + 4j$ . Adding 3 to both sides yields  $n = 3(k + 1) + 4j$ , so  $C(n)$  follows in this case.

So  $C(n)$  follows from  $H(n)$  in all possible cases ■

- Noticed professor's note uses **thus** and **in other words** to unwrap statement further.

We will prove that  $P(i + 1)$  holds, i.e., that we can make  $i + 1$  cents of postage using only 4-cent and 7-cent stamps. In other words, we must prove that there are  $k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $4 \cdot k' + 7 \cdot \ell' = i + 1$ .

## Question 3

### • Rough Work:

Define  $C(n) : f(n) \leq 3^n$ .

We will prove by complete induction that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2 \Rightarrow C(n)$ .

- Inductive Step

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $n \geq 2$ . Assume  $H(n) : \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} C(i)$ .

We need to prove  $C(n)$  follows. That is,  $f(n) \leq 3^n$ .

– Base Case ( $n = 0$ )

**Base Case ( $n = 0$ ):**

Let  $n = 0$ .

We need to prove  $C(0)$  is true. That is,  $f(0) \leq 3^0$ .

The definition of  $f(n)$  tells us that  $f(0) = 1$ .

Using this fact, we can conclude

$$f(0) = 1 \leq 1 \tag{15}$$

$$\leq 3^0 \tag{16}$$

– Base Case ( $n = 1$ )

Let  $n = 1$ .

We need to prove  $C(1)$  is true. That is,  $f(1) \leq 3^1$ .

**Base Case ( $n = 1$ ):**

Let  $n = 1$ .

We need to prove  $C(1)$  is true. That is,  $f(1) \leq 3$ .

The definition of  $f(n)$  tells us that  $f(1) = 3$ .

Using this fact, we can conclude

$$f(1) = 3 \leq 3 \tag{17}$$

$$\leq 3^1 \tag{18}$$

–  $n \geq 2$