

# CSC148 Worksheet 14 Solution

Hyungmo Gu

April 24, 2020

## Question 1

a.

Operation	Running time
Insert at the front of the list	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
Insert at the end of the list	$\mathcal{O}(1)$
Look up the element at index $i$ , where $0 \leq i < n$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$

### Correct Solution:

Operation	Running time
Insert at the front of the list	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
Insert at the end of the list	$\mathcal{O}(1)$
Look up the element at index $i$ , where $0 \leq i < n$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$

b. The inserting of an element at position  $i$  requires  $n - i$  elements to be shifted to right.

Using this fact, we can write the Big-Oh expression for inserting an item at index  $i$  is  $\mathcal{O}(n - i)$ .

## Question 2

a.

Operation	Running time
Insert at the front of the linked list	$\mathcal{O}(1)$
Insert at the end of the linked list	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
Look up the element at index $i$ , where $0 \leq i < n$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$

**Correct Solution:**

Operation	Running time
Insert at the front of the linked list	$\mathcal{O}(1)$
Insert at the end of the linked list	$\mathcal{O}(n)$
Look up the element at index $i$ , where $0 \leq i < n$	$\mathcal{O}(i)$

b. Without the traversal, the running time of inserting is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

With the traversal, the running time of inserting is  $\mathcal{O}(i)$ .

### Question 3

- Unlike linked lists that store node at different memory location, array-based lists store elements in memory immediately one after another.

Assuming it's easier for memory to find and perform operations on elements located right after another, I believe it's significantly faster for array-based lists to insert an element at position  $i$ .

**Correct Solution:**

Since  $n - i = 1,000,000 - 500,000 = 500,000$ , we can write  $\mathcal{O}(n - i) \approx \mathcal{O}(i)$

Using this fact, we can conclude the speed of linked lists and array-based lists are roughly about the same.

### Question 4

### Question 5