

## Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Prove statements using the definition of Big-Oh and its negation.
- Represent constant functions in Big-Oh expressions.
- Understand and use the definition of Omega and Theta to compare functions.

---

For your reference, here is the formal definition of Big-Oh:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f) : \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

1. **Constant functions.** As we discussed in class, *constant functions*, like  $f(n) = 100$ , will play an important role in our analysis of running time next week. For now let's get comfortable with the notation.

(a) Let  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ . Show how to express the statement  $g \in \mathcal{O}(1)$  by expanding the definition of Big-Oh.<sup>1</sup>

(b) Prove that  $100 + \frac{77}{n+1} \in \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

Note: this proof isn't too mathematically complex; treat this as another exercise in making sure you understand the definition of Big-Oh.

**Hint:** one algebraic property of inequalities is that  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \geq y \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{y}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> Remember that we often abbreviate Big-Oh expressions to just show the function bodies. " $\mathcal{O}(1)$ " is really shorthand for " $\mathcal{O}(f)$ ", where  $f$  is the constant function  $f(n) = 1$ ."

2. **Omega.** Recall that we can think of Big-Oh notation as describing an *upper bound* on the rate of growth of a function: saying “ $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ ” is like saying “ $g$  grows at most as fast as  $f$ .” Sometimes we care just as much about a *lower bound* on the rate of growth and for this, we have the symbol  $\Omega$  (the Greek letter Omega), which is defined analogously to Big-Oh:

$$g \in \Omega(f) : \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \geq cf(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

Using this definition, prove that for all  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , if  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ , then  $f \in \Omega(g)$ .

3. **Theta.** Both Big-Oh and Omega are limited in the same way as inequalities on numbers. “ $2 \leq 10^{10}$ ” is a true statement, but not very insightful; similarly, “ $n + 1 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{10})$ ” and “ $2^n + n^2 \in \Omega(n)$ ” are both true, but not very precise.

Our final piece of asymptotic notation is  $\Theta$  (the Greek letter Theta), which we define as:

$$g \in \Theta(f) : g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \wedge g \in \Omega(f) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

Or equivalently,

$$g \in \Theta(f) : \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow c_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq c_2 f(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

When we write  $g \in \Theta(f)$ , what we mean is “ $g$  grows at most as quickly as  $f$  and  $g$  grows at least as quickly as  $f$ ”—in other words, that  $f$  and  $g$  have the *same* rate of growth. In this case, we call  $f$  a **tight bound** on  $g$ , since  $g$  is essentially squeezed between constant multiples of  $f$ .

---

Prove that for all functions  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , and all numbers  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , if  $g \in \Omega(1)$ , then  $a + g \in \Theta(g)$ .<sup>2</sup>

(Or in other words, for such functions  $g$ , shifting them by a constant amount does not change their “Theta” bound.)

---

<sup>2</sup> Here we use  $a + g$  to denote the function  $g_1$  defined as  $g_1(n) = a + g(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

4. **Negating Big-Oh.** So far, we have only looked at proving that a function *is* Big-Oh of another function. In this question, we'll investigate what it means to show that a function *isn't* Big-Oh of another.

- (a) Express the statement  $g \notin \mathcal{O}(f)$  in predicate logic, using the expanded definition of Big-Oh. (As usual, simplify so that all negations are pushed as far “inside” as possible.)

- (b) Prove that for all positive real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $a > b$  then  $n^a \notin \mathcal{O}(n^b)$ .

**Hint:** for all positive real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x > y \Leftrightarrow \log x > \log y$ .