# CSC236 Worksheet 2 Solution

# Hyungmo Gu

### April 30, 2020

# Question 1

• <u>Statement:</u> Any full binary tree with at least 1 node has more leaves than internal nodes.

*Proof.* Let n be the total number of nodes in a full binary tree.

We will prove the statement by complete induction on n.

# Base Case (n = 1):

Let n=1.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 1 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

There is only one full binary tree exists with 1 total number of node. That is, the full binary tree with 1 child node and 0 internal nodes.

Then, since we know a node is a leaf if it has no children, and since we know the child node has 0 children, we can write the child node is a leaf node.

Then, because we know the full binary tree has 1 leaf node, and 0 internal node, we can conclude it has more leaves than internal nodes.

# Base Case (n=2):

Let n=2.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 2 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Since we know the tree with 2 total number of nodes has 1 leaf and 1 internal node, using above fact, we can write the tree is not a full binary tree.

Then, by vacuous truth, we can conclude the tree has more leaves than internal nodes.

#### Base Case (n=3):

Let n=3.

We need to prove the full binary tree with 3 total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

The definition of full binary tree tells us that a binary tree is a full binary tree if every internal node has two children.

Because we know there are two types of binary trees possible, one is the tree with 2 internal nodes and 1 child and the other is 1 internal node and 2 children, using above fact, we can write the only possible full binary tree with 3 total nodes is 1 internal node and 2 children.

Now, the definition of leaf tells us leaf is a node that has no children.

Because we know by observation that the 2 child nodes don't have children, we can write the full binary tree has 2 leaves.

So, because we know the full binary tree has 1 internal node and 2 leaves, we can conclude the full binary tree has more leaves than internal node.

#### **Inductive Step:**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an arbitrary natural number. Assume that for all natural number i satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , any full binary trees with i total number of nodes has more leaves than internal nodes.

Let T be an arbitrary full binary tree with k+1 nodes. Let T' be the binary tree obtained by removing 2 leaves from the same parent node.

Let  $\ell$  be the number of leaves of T, and m be the number of internal nodes of T. Similarly, let  $\ell'$  be the number of leaves of T' and m' be the number of internal nodes of T'. We must prove l > m. First, we need to show  $\ell' > m'$ .

The header tells us that T' is a full binary tree as a result of removing 2 leaves from the parent node of T.

Using this fact, we can calculate T' has

$$k + 1 - 2 = k - 1 \tag{1}$$

nodes.

Then, because we know  $1 \le k - 1 \le k$ , using induction hypothesis, we can write

$$\ell' > m' \tag{2}$$

Second, we need to show  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1.

The total number of both the leaf nodes and the internal nodes increase by 1 when 2 nodes are added to the same leaf node in a full binary tree.

Since 2 nodes added to the same leaf node of T' is T, we can write  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1.

Finally, putting together, because we know  $\ell' > m'$ ,  $\ell = \ell' + 1$  and m = m' + 1, we can conclude

$$\ell' + 1 > m' + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$\ell > m$$
 (4)

#### Notes:

- Complete Induction
  - \* Statement:  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n < i \Rightarrow A(n) \Rightarrow \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ A(i)$
  - \* Statement Alt.:  $\left( \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \left[ \ \bigwedge_{k=0}^{k=n-1} P(k) \right] \Rightarrow P(n) \right) \Rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$

## Simple Example 1:

**Statement:**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq 0 \Rightarrow 10 \mid (n^5 - n)$ 

We will prove the statement by strong induction on n.

1. Base Case (n=0)

Let n = 0.

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when n = 0. That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 0 (5)$$

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k (6)$$

2. Base Case (n=1)

Let n=1.

We need to prove  $10 \mid (n^5 - n)$  is true when n = 1. That is, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(n^5 - n) = 10k$ .

Let k = 0.

Starting from the left hand side, using the fact n=0, we can write

$$(n^5 - n) = 1 - 1$$
 (7)  
= 0 (8)

Then, because we know 10k = 0, we can conclude

$$(n^5 - n) = 10k \tag{9}$$

#### 3. Inductive Step

Assume  $k \geq 1$ . Assume that for all natural number i satisfying  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $10 \mid (i^5 - i)$ . That is,  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}, (i^5 - i) = 10d$ .

We need to prove  $\exists \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1)) = 10\tilde{d}$ .

Let 
$$\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$$
.

Starting from  $((k+1)^5 - (k+1))$ , using binominal theorem, we can write,

$$(k+1)^{5} - (k+1) = \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]^{5} - \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{b=0}^{5} {5 \choose b} (k-1)^{5-b} \cdot 2^{b}$$

$$= (k-1)^{5} + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} + 40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} +$$

$$80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 32 - \left[ (k-1) + 2 \right]$$

$$= \left[ (k-1)^{5} - (k-1) \right] + 10 \cdot (k-1)^{4} +$$

$$40 \cdot (k-1)^{3} + 80 \cdot (k-1)^{2} + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$

$$(13)$$

(The reason why k-1 is chosen instead of k-2 and k-3 is because of the last term  $2^5=32$ , i.e 32-2=30)

Then, because we know  $0 \le k-1 \le k$  and  $10 \mid (k-1)^5 - (k-1)$  from the header, we can write  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(k-1)^5 - (k-1) = 10c$ , and

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10c + 10 \cdot (k-1)^4 + 40 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 80 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 80 \cdot (k-1) + 30$$
(14)

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10 \cdot \left[ c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3 \right]$$
(15)

(16)

Then, because we know  $\tilde{d} = c + (k-1)^4 + 4 \cdot (k-1)^3 + 8 \cdot (k-1)^2 + 8 \cdot (k-1) + 3$  from the header, we can conclude

$$(k+1)^5 - (k+1) = 10\tilde{d} \tag{17}$$

# Question 2

• Proof. Let P(n) be the predicate defined as follows

P(n): Postage of exactly n cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps

We will use complete induction to prove the statement holds for  $n \geq 13$ .

# Base Case (n = 13):

Let n = 13.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 13. That is, the postage of exactly 13 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 13$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

### Base Case (n = 14):

Let n = 14.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 14. That is, the postage of exactly 14 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(2 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 14$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

# Base Case (n = 15):

Let n = 15.

We need to prove the statement is true for n=15. That is, the postage of exactly 15 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(1 \cdot 3) + (3 \cdot 4) = 15$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

# Base Case (n = 16):

Let n = 16.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 16. That is, the postage of exactly 16 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(4 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot 4) = 16$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

## Base Case (n = 17):

Let n = 17.

We need to prove the statement is true for n = 17. That is, the postage of exactly 17 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent.

Because we know  $(3 \cdot 3) + (2 \cdot 4) = 17$ , we can conclude the statement holds.

#### **Inductive Step:**

Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i \geq 13$ . Suppose that P(i) holds. That is, the postage of exactly i cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words,  $\exists k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ .

We need to prove the statement is true for P(i+1). That is, the postage of exactly i+1 cents can be made using only 3-cent and 4-cent stamps. In other words, we need to prove  $\exists k', \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ 3k'+4\ell'=i+1$ . There are two cases:  $\ell > 0$  or  $\ell = 0$ .

We will use proof by cases.

#### Case 1 ( $\ell > 0$ ):

Assume  $\ell > 0$ .

We need to prove  $\exists k', \ \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \ 3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1.$ 

Let k' = k + 3 and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$  (where  $\ell - 2$  is possible since  $\ell > 0$ ).

Starting from the left hand side, using the facts k' = k + 3 and  $\ell' = \ell - 2$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k+3) \cdot 3 + (\ell-2) \cdot 4 \tag{1}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 9 + 4 \cdot \ell - 8 \tag{2}$$

$$= 3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= (3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell) + 1 \tag{4}$$

Then, using induction hypothesis, i.e.  $k \cdot 3 + \ell \cdot 4 = i$ , we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \tag{5}$$

Case 2 ( $\ell = 0$ ):

First, we need to choose the value of k'.

The header tells us

$$3 \cdot k + 4 \cdot \ell = i \tag{6}$$

Using the fact  $\ell = 0$ , we can write

$$3 \cdot k = i \tag{7}$$

$$k = \frac{i}{3} \tag{8}$$

Then, because we know  $i \ge 18$ , we can write  $k \ge 6$ .

Then, since k' must be a natural number and  $k \ge 6$ , let k' = k - 5.

Second, we need to choose the value of  $\ell'$ .

Since we know  $\ell = 0$ , and since we want the total to increase from i by 1 in  $3 \cdot k' + 4 \cdot \ell$ , let  $\ell' = 4$ .

Finally, starting from the left, using the facts k' = k - 5 and  $\ell' = 4$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = (k - 5) \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4 \tag{9}$$

$$= 3k - 15 + 16 \tag{10}$$

$$=3k+1\tag{11}$$

Then, by the fact  $4\ell = \ell = 0$ , we can write

$$3k' + 4\ell' = 3k + 4\ell + 1 \tag{12}$$

$$= (3k + 4\ell) + 1 \tag{13}$$

Then, by using inductive hypothesis,  $3k + 4\ell = i$ , we can conclude

$$3k' + 4\ell' = i + 1 \tag{14}$$

. .

#### Notes:

- Noticed professor's solution is much shorter
- Noticed professor's solution uses inductive step before base case

inductive step: Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $n \geq 6$ . Assume  $H(n) : \bigwedge_{i=6}^{n-1} C(i)$ . I will show that C(n) follows, that postage of n cents can be made using only 3- and 4- cent stamps.

base case n = 6: Use two 3-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

base case n=7: Use one 3-cent and one 4-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

base case n = 8: Use two 4-cent stamps. So C(n) follows in this case.

 $n \geq 9$ : Since  $9 \leq n$ ,  $6 \leq n-3 < n$ , so we know C(n-3), postage of n-3 cents can be made using 3- and 4-cent stamps. Let k and j be integers such that n-3=3k+4j. Adding 3 to both sides yields n=3(k+1)+4j, so C(n) follows in this case.

So C(n) follows from H(n) in all possible cases

 Noticed professor's note uses thus and in other words to unwrap statement further.

We will prove that P(i+1) holds, i.e., that we can make i+1 cents of postage using only 4-cent and 7-cent stamps. In other words, we must prove that there are k',  $\ell' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $4 \cdot k' + 7 \cdot \ell' = i+1$ .

# Question 3