CSC373 Worksheet 5 Solution

August 10, 2020

1. *Proof.* Assume that a flow network $G = (V, E)$ violates the assumption that the network contains a path $s \rightsquigarrow v \rightsquigarrow t$ for all vertices $v \in V$. Let u be a vertex for which there is no path $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow t$.

    I must show such that there is no flow at vertex u. That is, there exists a maximum flow f in G such that $f(u, v) = f(v, u) = 0$ for all vertices $v \in V$.

    Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is some vertex u with flow f. That is, there exists some vertices $v \in V$ such that $f(u, v) > 0$ or $f(v, u) > 0$.

    I see that three cases follows, and I will prove each separately.

    1. **Cases 1:** $f(u, v) = 0$ and $f(v, u) > 0$

        Here, assume that $f(u, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and $f(v, u) > 0$ for some $v \in V$.

        Then, we can write $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) = 0$ and $\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) > 0$

        But this violates the flow conservation property (i.e $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v, u)$)

        Thus, by proof by contradiction, $f(u, v) = 0$ and $f(v, u) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and all $u \in V$ with no path $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow t$.

    2. **Cases 2:** $f(u, v) > 0$ and $f(v, u) = 0$

        Here, assume that $f(u, v) > 0$ for some $v \in V$ and $f(v, u) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

        Then, by similar work as case 1, the same result follows.

3. **Cases 3:** $f(u, v) > 0$ and $f(v, u) > 0$

   Here, assume that $f(u, v) > 0$ and $f(v, u) > 0$ for some $v \in V$.

   Since $s \rightsquigarrow v \rightsquigarrow t$ and u is connected by some vertices v, we can write $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow t$.

   Then, this violates the fact in header that the vertex u has no path $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow t$.

   Thus, by proof by contradiction, $f(u, v) = 0$ and $f(v, u) = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and all $u \in V$ with no path $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow t$.

   $\square$

Notes

- **Maximum Flow:**
  - Finds a flow of maximum value [1]

  Example

  Here, the maximum flow is $10 + 5 + 13 = 28$

- **Flow Network:**
  - $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph in which each edge $(u, v) \in E$ has a nonnegative capacity $c(u, v) \geq 0$.
  - Two vertices must exist: **source** s and **sink** t
  - **path** from source s to vertax v to sink t is represented by $s \rightsquigarrow v \rightsquigarrow t$

- **Capacity:**
    - Is a non-negative function $f : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
    - Has **capacity constraint** where for all $u, v \in V$ $0 \leq f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$
        * Means flow cannot be above capacity constraint

- **Flow:**
    - Is a real valued function $f : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ in G
    - Satisfies **capacity constraint** (i.e for all $u, v \in V$, $0 \leq f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$)
    - Satisfies **flow conservation**

    For all $u \in V - \{s, t\}$, we require

    $$\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) \tag{1}$$

    * Means flow into vertex u is the same as flow going out of vertex u. [1]
    * $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v)$ means flow <u>out of</u> vertex u
    * $\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u)$ means flow <u>into</u> vertex u
    * $v \in V$ in $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v)$ means all vertices that are an edge away from vertex u

    <u>**Example:**</u>

References

1) Princeton University, Network Flow 1, link

2. I need to formulate the problem of determining whether both of professor Adam's two children can go to the same school as maximum-flow problem.

The problem statement tells us the following:

1. There is 1 supersource (location of home)
2. There is 1 sink (location of school)
3. There are two sources (s_1 as child 1, s_2 as child 2)
4. Edge (u, v) has capacity of 0 or more (0 representing unavailable sidewalk, 1 for sidewalk with capacity of 1, 2 for street with capacity of 2 and so on)
5. Each vertex represents corner of intersection, and two children can have their paths crossing here.
6. Has flow of 2, 1 or 0 (1 is where one of the two children walking on the road. 0 is none.)

Here we are to find whether children must go on to a vertex and out to the same edge with the flow of 2, or determine whether there is only edge to school with capacity of 1 or less.

If none, then both children can safely go to school.

Notes:

- **Cross at a Corner**
  - Means to walk across the street at a corner of the intersection.

- **Multiple Sources and Sinks**
  - Has edges (s, s_i) where $i = 1...n$ and (t_j, t) where $j = 1...n$ with capacity of ∞

Example:

Lucky Puck Company having a set of m factories $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_m\}$, and a set of n warehourses and n warehouses $\{t_1, t_2, ..., t_n\}$

Supersource (factory location)

Sources (factories)

Sinks (warehouses)

Supersink (warhouse location)

These two are the same

3. I need to show how to transform a flow network $G = (V, E)$ with vertex capacities into an equivalent flow network $G' = (V', E')$ without vertex capacities.

For each vertex capacities, change as follows.

After transformation, there will be m more edges and verticies, where m represents the number of vertex capacities in G.

Notes:

- **Vertex Capacities**
  - Each vertex v has limit $l(v)$ on how much flow can pass through v

4. I need to show how to convert the problem of finding a flow f that obeys the constraints into the problem of finding a maximum flow in a single source, single-sink flow network

The steps are as follows:

- Combine all sources s_i into a single source s
- Combine all sinks t_j into a single sink t
- Connect source s to each adjacent vertex v with edge weight $\sum_i f(s_i, v) = p_i$

  - The total edge weight from s should be $\sum_i p_i$

- Connect each adjacent vertex v of t to t with edge weight $\sum_j f(v, t_j) = q_j$

  - The total edge weight to t should be $\sum_j q_j$

- Find a simple path from s to t with the maximum amount of total flow

---

Correct Solution:

I need to show how to convert the problem of finding a flow f that obeys the constraints into the problem of finding a maximum flow in a single source, single-sink flow network

The steps are as follows:

- Combine all sources s_i into a single source s

- Combine all sinks t_j into a single sink t

- Connect source s to each adjacent vertex v with edge weight $\sum\limits_i f(s_i, v) = p_i$

  – The total edge weight from s should be $\sum\limits_i p_i$

- Connect each adjacent vertex v of t to t with edge weight $\sum\limits_j f(v, t_j) = q_j$

  – The total edge weight to t should be $\sum\limits_j q_j$

- Find a simple path from s to t with the maximum amount of total flow

Example

Notes:

- **Ford-Fulkerson Method**
  – Is a greedy algorithm that solves the maximum-flow problem
    * Determines maximum flow from start vertex to sink vertex in a graph
  – Called method (not algorithm) because several different implementations with different running time is used

FORD-FULKERSON-METHOD (G, s, t)

1   initialize flow f to 0
2   **while** there exists an augmenting path p in the residual network G_f
3   augment flow f along p
4   **return** f

- **Residual Network**
  - Indicates how muh more flow is allowed in each edge in the network graph [1]
  - Consists of edges with capacities that represents how we can change the flow on edges of G.
  - Provides roadmap for adding flow to the original flow network

## Steps

1) *Flow = Capacity*: Opposite arrow

2) *Flow < Capacity*:
   - *Flow*: Oppisite Arrow
   - *Capacity − Flow*: Current Arrow

- **Augmenting Path**
  - Is a path from source S to sink T where you can increase the amount of flow
  - Is a path that doesn't contain cycle (simple path) [2]

  - Edge (u, v) of an augmented path can be increased by upto $c_f(u, v)$ withhout violating the capacity constraint

- **Augmentation**
  - 한국어로 '불필요한 수압 decrease 해서 앞으로 가는 수압 더 쎄게 만들기'
  - Is symbolized by $f \uparrow f'$

        * f is a flow in G

        * f' is a flow in the residual network G_f

References

1) Hacker Earth, Maximum Flow, link

2) Stack Overflow, What Exactly Is Augmentation Path, link

5. The augmented flow satisfies flow conservation, but not capacity constraint.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a flow network with sources s and sink t. Let f, f' be a flow in G. Let (u, v) be an edge in E where $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ and $v \in V$. We note that if $(u, v) \in E$, then $(v, u) \notin E$ and $f(v, u) = 0$. Thus, we can re-write the definition of flow augmentation (equation (26.4)) as

$$(f \uparrow f')(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) + f'(u, v) & [\text{If } (u, v) \in E] \\ 0 & [\text{Otherwise}] \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

which implies that the value of the augmentation of flow $f \uparrow f'$ on edge (u, v) is the sum of flow $f(u, v)$ and $f'(u, v)$ in G.

I need to show if the augmented flow of f and $f' \in G$ and satisfy the flow conservation property but not capacity constraint.

I will do so in parts

- **Part 1: Proving that $f \uparrow f'$ satisfies the flow conservation property**

  Here I prove that the augmented flow satisfies flow conservation. That is,

$$\sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(v, u) \tag{2}$$

  .

  And indeed we have,

$$\sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(u,v) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u,v) + f'(u,v) \qquad \text{[By augmentation def.]} \qquad (3)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(u,v) + \sum_{v \in V} f'(u,v) \qquad\qquad\qquad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(v,u) + \sum_{v \in V} f'(v,u) \qquad \text{[By flow conserv. of } f \text{ and } f'] \qquad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(v,u) + f'(v,u) \qquad\qquad\qquad (6)$$

$$= f \uparrow f'(v,u) \qquad\qquad\qquad (7)$$

- **Part 2: Disproving that $f \uparrow f'$ satisfies the capacity constraint**

  Here, I need to disprove that the augmented flow satisfies capacity constraint. That is,

$$(f \uparrow f')(u,v) > c(u,v) \qquad\qquad\qquad (8)$$

  Let $f(u,v) = f'(u,v) = 10$ and $c(u,v) = 8$.

  Then, we can write $(f \uparrow f')(s,t) = 20$ and $c(u,v) = 8$.

  Thus, we can conclude the augmentation of flow doesn't satisfy capacity constraint.

  $\square$

Notes:

- I need clarification from professor about the meaning of $f' \in G$. Is f' a flow from flow network or residual network?
- I feel I am struggling because I am jumping to solution without understanding the problem
- I feel constructing a predicate logic would have helped to better understand this problem
- Noticed that a solution in University of Texas really elaborated on $f \uparrow f'(u,v)$ before moving onto strategizing and constructing a solution

capacity constraint property.

First, we prove that $f \uparrow f'$ satisfies the flow conservation property. We note that if edge $(u, v) \in E$, then $(v, u) \notin E$ and $f(v, u) = 0$. Thus, we can rewrite the definition of flow augmentation (equation (26.4)), when applied to two flows, as

$$f \uparrow f'(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) + f'(u, v), & if (u, v) \in E \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The definition implies that the new flow on each edge is simply the sum of the two flows on that edge. We now prove that in $f \uparrow f'$, the net incoming flow for each vertex equals the net outgoing flow. Let $u \notin \{s, t\}$ be any vertex of G. We have

- Noticed that a solution in University of Texas made quick sketches before laying the outline of proof

- **Flow Network (cont'd)** [Important!]

  – Flow network requires that
    1) $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph
    2) each edge $(u, v) \in E$ has a non-negative capacity $c(u, v) \geq 0$
    3) If E contains an edge (u, v), then there is no edge (v, u) in the reverse direction (no anti-parallel edge)

- **Augmentation (cont'd)**

  – Flow value can be increased by

$$c_f(p) = \min_{(u,v) \in p} c_f(u, v) \tag{9}$$

Example:

In this example, augmentation by 2.

  – Augmentation of flow f by f' or $f \uparrow f'$ is a function $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$(f \uparrow f')(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u) & [\text{If } (u, v) \in E] \\ 0 & [\text{Otherwise}] \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

13

– Augmentation of flow $f \uparrow f'$ is the sum of flow on edge (u, v) in both flow network G and residual network G'

[NOTE!!] I really need to ask professor about this. I can't seem to understand using simple example why $f \uparrow f'(u, v) = f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u)$.

• **Proof of flow conservation for $f \uparrow f'$ when $f \in G$ and $f' \in G_f$**

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a flow network with sources s and sink t. Let f be a flow in G. Let G_f be a residual network of G induced by f and let f' be a flow in G_f. Let (u, v) be an edge in E where $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ and $v \in V$. We note that if $(u, v) \in E$, then $(v, u) \notin E$ and $f(v, u) = 0$. Thus, the definition

$$(f \uparrow f')(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u) & [\text{If } (u, v) \in E] \\ 0 & [\text{Otherwise}] \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

implies that the augmented flow $f \uparrow f'(u, v)$ on edge (u, v) is the sum of flow $f(u, v)$ in flow network G and flow $f'(u, v)$ minus its antiparallel flow $-f'(v, u)$ in residual flow network G'.

We now prove that the augmented flow satisfies flow conservation. That is,

$$\sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(v, u) \qquad (2)$$

.

And indeed we have

$$\sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u) \qquad \text{[By augmentation def.]}$$

$$(3)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) + \sum_{v \in V} f'(u, v) - \sum_{v \in V} f'(v, u) \qquad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) + \sum_{v \in V} f'(v, u) - \sum_{v \in V} f'(u, v) \quad \text{[By flow conserv. of } f \text{ and } f']$$

$$(5)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f(v, u) + f'(v, u) - f'(u, v) \qquad (6)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in V} f \uparrow f'(v, u) \qquad (7)$$

- – Flow in residual network also obey flow conservation
- **Proof of capacity constraint for $f \uparrow f'$ when $f \in G$ and $f' \in G_f$**

  Predicate Logic: $\forall f \in G,\ \forall f' \in G_f,\ \forall (u, v) \in E$ where $u, v \in V$, $0 \leq (f \uparrow f')(u, v) \wedge (f \uparrow f')(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$

  Let $G = (V, E)$ be a flow network with sources s and sink t. Let f be a flow in G. Let G_f be a residual network of G induced by f and let f' be a flow in G_f. Let (u, v) be an edge in E where $u, v \in V$.

  I need to prove that $f \uparrow f'$ satisfies capacity constraint. That is, $0 \leq (f \uparrow f')(u, v) \wedge (f \uparrow f')(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.

  I see there are two parts. I will prove each parts separately.

  1. **Part 1 $\left(0 \leq (f \uparrow f')(u, v)\right)$**

     Here, I need to show $0 \leq (f \uparrow f')(u, v)$. That is, $0 \leq f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u)$.

     And indeed we have,

$$(f \uparrow f')(u, v) = f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f'(v, u) \qquad (8)$$

$$\geq f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - c_f(v, u) \qquad \text{[Since } f'(v, u) \leq c_f(v, u)] \quad (9)$$

$$= f(u, v) + f'(u, v) - f(u, v) \quad \text{[By def. of residual capacity]}$$

$$(10)$$

$$= f'(u, v) \qquad (11)$$

$$\geq 0 \qquad \text{[By cap. const. of } f' \text{ in } G_f \text{]}$$

$$(12)$$

$$(13)$$

            – $c_f(v,u) = f(u,v)$ is allowed

2. **Part 2** $\left((f \uparrow f')(u,v) \leq c(u,v)\right)$
   Here, I need to show $(f \uparrow f')(u,v) \leq c(u,v)$. That is, $f(u,v)+f'(u,v)-f'(v,u) \leq c(u,v)$.

   And indeed we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f \uparrow f')(u,v) &= f(u,v) + f'(u,v) - f'(v,u) & (14)\\
&\leq f(u,v) + f'(u,v) & \text{[Since } f'(u,v) \geq 0 \text{ by cap. cons. of } f'] \\
& & (15)\\
&= f(u,v) + c_f(u,v) & \text{[Since } f'(u,v) \leq c_f(u,v)] \\
& & (16)\\
&= f(u,v) + (c(u,v) - f(u,v)) & \text{[By def of res. capacity]} \\
& & (17)\\
&= c(u,v) & (18)\\
& & (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

6. <u>**Notes:**</u>

       •