CHAPTER 8 DISCUSSIONS 2

What is a *trivial functional dependency*? List all trivial FDs in the following schema and explain how the properties of trivial FDs hold.

Student(ID, Name, Address)

Derive additional FDs from your initial set using each of the three *Armstrong's Axioms*.

Student(ID, Name, Address, Sex, Age, Dept, Dept_office, Dept_Chair, College, Dean, AdvisorID, AdvName, AdvDept)

| Show sound | _ | Augmer | ntation F | R <i>ule</i> in A | rmstron | g's Axior | ns is |
|---------------|---|--------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------|
| | | | | | | | |

Is the schema in BCNF? If not decompose the relation into a set of relation schemas, each of which is in BCNF.

Student(ID, Name, Address, Sex, Age, Dept, Dept_office, Dept_Chair, College, Dean, AdvisorID, AdvName, AdvDept)

Show that the following is true for any relation r(R) and its decomposition $\{R_1, R_2\}$.

$$r \subseteq \prod_{R_1} (r) \bowtie \prod_{R_2} (r)$$

It has been emphasized that *redundancy creates problems*. Discuss where redundancy lies in the following relation.

| title | author | риb-пате | pub-branch | keyword |
|-----------|--------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Compilers | Smith | McGraw-Hill | New York | parsing |
| Compilers | Jones | McGraw-Hill | New York | parsing |
| Compilers | Smith | McGraw-Hill | New York | analysis |
| Compilers | Jones | McGraw-Hill | New York | analysis |
| Networks | Jones | Oxford | London | Internet |
| Networks | Frick | Oxford | London | Internet |
| Networks | Jones | Oxford | London | Web |
| Networks | Frick | Oxford | London | Web |