#### Intro to DB

# CHAPTER 15 TRANSACTIONS

#### **Chapter 15: Transactions**

- Lock-Based Protocols
- Deadlock Handling
- Multiple Granularity
- Timestamp-Based Protocols
- Validation-Based Protocols
- Multiversion Schemes
- Snapshot Isolation
- Insert and Delete Operations
- Concurrency in Index Structures

### **Concurrency Control**

- Schedules must be serializable and recoverable (for database consistency)
  - and preferably cascadeless
- A policy in which only one transaction can execute at a time generates but provides a poor degree of concurrency.
- Concurrency-control schemes tradeoff between the amount of concurrency they allow and the amount of overhead that they incur.

#### **Lock-Based Protocols**

- A lock is a mechanism to control concurrent access to a data item
- Two modes :
  - 1. exclusive (X) mode: both read and write (lock-X instruction)
  - 2. shared (S) mode: only read (lock-S instruction)
- Lock requests are made to
- Transaction can proceed only after request is granted.

#### **Granting of Locks**

Lock-compatibility matrix

	S	X
S	true	false
X	false	false

- A transaction may be granted a lock on an item
   if the lock(s) already held on the item by
   other transactions
- Any number of transactions can hold shared locks on an item
- If any transaction holds an exclusive on the item no other transaction may hold any lock on the item.

#### **Example**

```
T_2: lock-S(A);
read (A);
unlock(A);
lock-S(B);
read (B);
unlock(B);
display(A+B)
```

- Locking as above is not sufficient to guarantee serializability
  - if A and B get updated in-between the read of A and B, the displayed sum would be wrong.

#### **Two-Phase Locking Protocol (2PL)**

- Locking Protocol

  - Locking protocols restrict the set of possible schedules.
- 2PL
  - Phase 1: Growing Phase
    - .
      - can acquire a lock-S or lock-X on item
      - can convert a lock-S to a lock-X (upgrade)
    - transaction may not release locks
  - Phase 2: Shrinking Phase
    - - can release a lock-S or lock-X
      - can convert a lock-X to a lock-S (downgrade)
    - transaction may not obtain locks

## **Example**

lock-X(A) read(A)	$T_5$	$T_6$	$T_7$
$\begin{array}{c c} lock\text{-}S(B) \\ read(B) \\ write(A) \\ unlock(A) \\ & lock\text{-}x(A) \\ & read(A) \\ & write(A) \\ & unlock(A) \\ & lock\text{-}s(A) \\ & lock\text{-}s(A) \\ & read(A) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} lock\text{-}X(A) \\ read(A) \\ lock\text{-}S(B) \\ read(B) \\ write(A) \end{array}$	lock-x(A) $read(A)$ $write(A)$	lock-S(A)

#### Features of 2PL

- The protocol assures (conflict) serializability
  - transactions can be serialized in the order of their lock points (the point where a transaction acquired its final lock).
  - There can be conflict serializable schedules that cannot be obtained if 2PL is used

	Dea	dlock	KS:	1	
	2PL			freedom from deadlocks	
	<ul> <li>starvation also possible</li> </ul>				
•	Cascading rollback:				
			under 2P	L	

### Strict / Rigorous 2PL

Strict 2PL

- A transaction must hold all its exclusive locks until it commits/aborts
- Rigorous 2PL
  - all locks are held until commit/abort

## END OF CHAPTER 15