

# ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY

HYUN JONG KIM

September 11, 2025

## CONTENTS

1. Dedekind domains	1
2. Local and global fields	3
2.1. Local fields	3
2.2. Global fields	4
2.3. Local fields are exactly the completions of global fields at places	4
2.4. Rings of integers of local and global fields	4
3. Adèles and idèles of global fields	5
4. Chebotarev density theorem	6
Appendix A. Galois theory	7
Appendix B. Absolute values and valuations on fields	7
B.1. Absolute values on fields	7
B.2. Valuations on fields	8
Appendix C. Miscellaneous definitions	9
C.1. Abstract algebra	9
C.2. Absolute values and norms	10
References	12

## 1. DEDEKIND DOMAINS

**Definition 1.0.1** (Noetherian conditions for a ring). Let  $R$  be a ring. We say:

- $R$  is **left-Noetherian** if every ascending chain of left ideals of  $R$  stabilizes, i.e., if for any chain

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \cdots$$

of left ideals, there exists  $n$  such that  $I_m = I_n$  for all  $m \geq n$ .

- $R$  is **right-Noetherian** if every ascending chain of right ideals of  $R$  stabilizes.
- $R$  is **Noetherian** if it is both left-Noetherian and right-Noetherian.

(♠ TODO: finitely generated ideal) If  $R$  is commutative (Definition C.1.1), then  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if every ideal is finitely generated.

**Definition 1.0.2** (Discrete valuation ring). (♠ TODO: define principal ideal) A local integral domain (Definition C.1.2)  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with maximal ideal (Definition C.1.4)  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called a **discrete valuation ring (DVR)** if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal and nonzero, and every nonzero ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{m}^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 0$ .

The fraction field of  $R$  then becomes a discrete valuation field (Definition B.2.3). (♠ TODO: explain how the discrete valuation works here.)

**Theorem 1.0.3** (Correspondence between DVRs and discretely valued fields). (♠ TODO: define field of fractions) Let  $K$  be a field equipped with a discrete valuation (Definition B.2.3)  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . The valuation ring (Definition B.2.2)

$$R_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$$

is a discrete valuation ring (Definition 1.0.2). Conversely, if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ , then there exists a discrete valuation

$$v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

such that  $R = R_v$  is the valuation ring associated to  $v$ .

In particular, the category of discrete valuation rings (up to isomorphism) corresponds bijectively to the category of fields equipped with a discrete valuation.

**Definition 1.0.4** (Integral element over a ring). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity (Definition C.1.1).

1. Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra. An element  $a \in A$  is called **integral over  $R$**  if there exists a monic polynomial

$$p(x) = x^n + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1x + r_0$$

with coefficients  $r_i \in R$  such that

$$p(a) = a^n + r_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \cdots + r_1a + r_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } A.$$

2. Let  $A$  be an extension ring of  $R$ . The ring extension  $A/R$  is called an **integral extension** if every element of  $A$  is integral over  $R$ .

(♠ TODO: define subring)

3. Let  $A$  be an extension ring of  $R$ . The **integral closure of  $R$  in  $A$** , sometimes denoted by  $\tilde{A}$ , is the subring

$$\tilde{A} = \{a \in A : a \text{ is integral over } R\}.$$

We say that  $R$  is integrally closed in  $A$  if  $\tilde{A}$  coincides with  $A$  (considered as a subring of  $R$ ).

4. Let  $R$  be an integral domain with field of fractions  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ . We say that  $R$  is **integrally closed** if it is integrally closed as a subring of  $K$ .

**Definition 1.0.5** (Dedekind domain). An integral domain (Definition C.1.2)  $R$  is called a **Dedekind domain** if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions: (♠ TODO: define field of fractions)

- $R$  is Noetherian (Definition 1.0.1), integrally closed (Definition 1.0.4) in its field of fractions, and every nonzero prime ideal (Definition C.1.4) of  $R$  is maximal (Definition C.1.4).
- Equivalently: for every nonzero prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ , the localization (Definition C.1.3)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete valuation ring (Definition 1.0.2).

The following is immediately from the definitions:

**Proposition 1.0.6.** Every DVR (Definition 1.0.2) is a Dedekind domain (Definition 1.0.5). Conversely, every local (Definition C.1.5) Dedekind domain is a DVR.

**Proposition 1.0.7.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain (Definition 1.0.5). It is a PID (Definition C.1.6) if and only if it is a UFD (Definition C.1.7).

## 2. LOCAL AND GLOBAL FIELDS

### 2.1. Local fields.

**Definition 2.1.1** (Local field). A **local field** is a field  $K$  with a nontrivial absolute value (Definition B.1.1)  $|\cdot|$  such that  $K$  is locally compact (Definition C.2.1) under the topology induced by  $|\cdot|$ ; we almost always treat a local field as a topological field with this topology.

The local field  $K$  is called **archimedean** if its absolute value is archimedean (Definition B.1.5) and is called **non-archimedean** if its absolute value is non-archimedean.

**Convention 2.1.2.** Given a local field (Definition 2.1.1)  $K$  with absolute value  $|\cdot|$ , we almost always equip it with the metric induced by  $|\cdot|$  (Definition B.1.4), which is a complete metric (Theorem 2.1.3)

**Theorem 2.1.3.** Let  $K$  be a local field (Definition 2.1.1).  $K$  is complete under the metric induced by (Definition B.1.4) its absolute value.

**Theorem 2.1.4.** (♠ TODO: TODO: define Laurent series) Up to isomorphism, local fields (Definition 2.1.1) consists precisely of:

- $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , which are the archimedean local fields),
- finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for a prime  $p$ , which are the nonarchimedean local fields of characteristic 0, and
- finite extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ , the field of formal Laurent series over a finite field, which are the non-archimedean local fields of positive characteristic.

## 2.2. Global fields.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A *global field* is a field  $K$  that is either:

- a finite extension of the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e., a *number field*), or
- a finite extension of the field of rational functions  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$  in one variable over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (i.e., a *global function field*).

**Definition 2.2.2.** Two absolute values (Definition B.1.1)  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  on a field  $F$  are *equivalent* if there exists a positive real number  $c > 0$  such that

$$|\cdot|_1 = |\cdot|_2^c.$$

**Definition 2.2.3** (Place of a global field). Let  $F$  be a global field. A *place of  $F$*  is an equivalence class (Definition 2.2.2) of absolute values (Definition B.1.1) on  $F$ .

If any (equivalently all) representatives of a place  $v$  of  $F$  is an archimedean absolute value (Definition B.1.5) (resp. non-archimedean absolute value), then we say that  $v$  is an *archimedean place* (resp. *non-archimedean place*). A representative of a place  $v$  is often denoted by  $|\cdot|_v$ .

**Definition 2.2.4.** Let  $K$  be a global field (Definition 2.2.1) and let  $v$  be a place (Definition 2.2.3) of  $K$ . Write  $|\cdot|_v$  for an absolute value representing  $v$ . The *completion of  $K$  at  $v$* , often denoted  $K_v$ , is the completion of  $K$  with respect to the metric induced by  $|\cdot|_v$  (Definition B.1.4).

## 2.3. Local fields are exactly the completions of global fields at places.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** Let  $L$  be a global field (Definition 2.2.1) and let  $v$  be any place (Definition 2.2.3). The completion  $L_v$  (Definition 2.2.4) of  $L$  with respect to  $v$  is a local field (Definition 2.1.1).

**Remark 2.3.2.** Conversely, all local fields can be obtained as completions of global fields at places.

## 2.4. Rings of integers of local and global fields.

**Definition 2.4.1** (Ring of Integers). Let  $K$  be either a global field (Definition 2.2.1) or a nonarchimedean (Definition B.1.5) local field (Definition 2.1.1).

The *ring of integers of  $K$*  or *integer ring of  $K$* , often denoted by notations including  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , is the set of elements of  $K$  that are integral over an appropriate base ring as follows:

- In the case where  $K$  is a number field,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the integral closure (Definition 1.0.4) of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \{x \in K \mid x \text{ is a root of a monic polynomial } f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]\}.$$

- In the case where  $K$  is a global function field over a finite field, i.e. a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the integral closure of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$  in  $K$ .
- In the case where  $K$  is a nonarchimedean local field with discrete valuation  $v$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$  (Definition B.2.2) of  $v$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Equivalently,  $K$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or of  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$  under and identification of  $K$  with (Theorem 2.1.4) a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

**Theorem 2.4.2.** 1. The ring of integers (Definition 2.4.1) of a nonarchimedean (Definition B.2.4) local field (Definition 2.1.1) is a DVR (Definition 1.0.2). In particular (Proposition 1.0.6), it is a Dedekind domains (Definition 1.0.5).  
2. The ring of integers (Definition 2.4.1) of a global field (Definition 2.2.1) is a Dedekind domains (Definition 1.0.5).

### 3. ADÈLES AND IDÈLES OF GLOBAL FIELDS

**Definition 3.0.1.** Let  $K$  be a global field. Write  $M_K$  for the set of all places (Definition 2.2.3) of  $K$  and write  $M_K^\infty$  for the set of archimedean places of  $K$ . Let  $S \subseteq M_K$  be some subset of places of  $K$  (typically,  $S$  is a finite set). For each  $v \in M_K$ , write  $\mathcal{O}_v$  for the ring of integers (Definition 2.4.1) in the completion  $K_v$  (Definition 2.2.4) (Theorem 2.3.1)

- The *adèle ring of  $K$* , denoted  $\mathbb{A}_K$ , is the restricted direct product of the  $K_v$  (over all places  $v$  of  $K$ ), with respect to the  $\mathcal{O}_v$  at non-archimedean  $v$ :

$$\mathbb{A}_K = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in M_K} K_v \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\}.$$

- The *idèle group of  $K$* , commonly denoted  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  or  $\mathbb{I}_K$ , is the group of invertible elements of  $\mathbb{A}_K$ :

$$\mathbb{I}_K = \mathbb{A}_K^\times = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in M_K} K_v^\times \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$  denotes the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  for non-archimedean  $v$ .

- The *adèle ring outside  $S$  of  $K$* , commonly denoted  $\mathbb{A}_K^S$  or  $\mathbb{A}_{K,S}$ , is the restricted product of the completions  $K_v$  over all places  $v \in M_K \setminus S$ , with respect to the rings of integers  $\mathcal{O}_v$  at non-archimedean places:

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,S} = \mathbb{A}_K^S = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in M_K \setminus S} K_v \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\}.$$

- The *idèle group outside  $S$  of  $K$* , commonly denoted  $(\mathbb{A}_K^\times)^S$ ,  $(\mathbb{A}_{K,S}^\times)$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_K^S$ , or  $\mathbb{I}_{K,S}$  is the group of invertible elements of  $\mathbb{A}_K^S$ :

$$(\mathbb{A}_K^\times)^S = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in M_K \setminus S} K_v^\times \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\}.$$

- The *ring of finite adèles of  $K$* , commonly denoted  $\mathbb{A}_{K,\text{fin}}, \mathbb{A}_K^{\text{fin}}, \mathbb{A}_{K,\text{f}}, \mathbb{A}_K^{\text{f}}$ , is the adèle ring outside  $S = M_K^\infty$ , the set of archimedean places of  $K$ :

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,\text{fin}} := \mathbb{A}_K^{M_K^\infty} = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \notin M_K^\infty} K_v \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\}.$$

- The *finite idèle group of  $K$* , commonly denoted  $\mathbb{A}_{K,\text{fin}}^\times, \mathbb{I}_{K,\text{fin}}, \mathbb{I}_K^{\text{fin}}, \mathbb{I}_{K,\text{f}}, \mathbb{I}_K^{\text{f}}$  etc. is the group of units of the ring of finite adèles:

$$\mathbb{A}_{K,\text{fin}}^\times := (\mathbb{A}_K^\times)^{M_K^\infty} = \left\{ (x_v)_v \in \prod_{v \notin M_K^\infty} K_v^\times \mid x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \text{ for all but finitely many non-archimedean } v \right\}.$$

All of these are equipped with the restricted product topology induced by the topologies of the local fields (Definition 2.1.1)  $K_v$  and the subspace topologies thereof.

**Definition 3.0.2** (Idelic norm of a global field). Let  $F$  be a global field. The *idelic norm* (also called the *module*) is the map

$$|\cdot| : \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

defined by (♠ TODO: definition of canonical absolute value of places)

$$|(x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}| := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} |x_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where the product is well-defined as all but finitely many factors equal 1.

(♠ TODO: state the product formula for global fields, define idele class group) By the product formula for global fields, the idelic norm factors through the idele class group and satisfies

$$|a| = 1 \quad \text{for all } a \in F^\times,$$

where  $F^\times$  is diagonally embedded in  $\mathbb{A}_F^\times$ .

#### 4. CHEBOTAREV DENSITY THEOREM

The Chebotarev density theorem is a statement that Frobenius elements  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are equidistributed across the conjugacy classes of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  of a finite Galois extension of number fields, showing that the splitting behavior of primes in extensions is governed by uniform distribution with respect to the Galois group structure.

**Theorem 4.0.1** (Chebotarev Density Theorem for number fields). (♠ TODO: define unramified prime) Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension (Definition A.0.1) of number fields (Definition 2.2.1) with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  (Definition A.0.1). For a conjugacy class  $C \subseteq G$ , let

$$\pi_C(x) = \#\{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K : N\mathfrak{p} \leq x, \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified in } L, \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in C\}$$

be the number of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  with norm at most  $x$  whose Frobenius conjugacy class  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $G$  equals  $C$ .

(♠ TODO: define natural density of primes) Then the natural density of such primes exists and satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_C(x)}{\pi(x)} = \frac{|C|}{|G|},$$

where  $\pi(x)$  is the number of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  with  $N\mathfrak{p} \leq x$ .

**Theorem 4.0.2** (Chebotarev Density theorem for function fields, see e.g. [Ros02, Theorem 9.13A, 9.13B]). Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension (Definition A.0.1) of global function fields (Definition 2.2.1) with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Let  $C \subset G$  be a conjugacy class in  $G$  and  $S'_K$  be the set of primes of  $K$  which are unramified in  $L$ . (♠ TODO: continue statement)

## APPENDIX A. GALOIS THEORY

**Definition A.0.1** (Galois Extension). An extension  $L/K$  is called a *Galois extension* if it is both a normal extension and a separable extension. Its *Galois group*, usually denoted by  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , is defined to be the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(L/K)$ .

## APPENDIX B. ABSOLUTE VALUES AND VALUATIONS ON FIELDS

### B.1. Absolute values on fields.

**Definition B.1.1** (Absolute Value on a Field). Let  $F$  be a field. An *absolute value on  $F$*  is a function

$$|\cdot| : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

that satisfies the following properties for all  $a, b \in F$ :

1. Non-negativity:  $|a| \geq 0$ ,
2. Positive-definiteness:  $|a| = 0 \iff a = 0$ ,
3. Multiplicativity:  $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ ,
4. Triangle inequality:  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ .

Here, 0 denotes the additive identity of the field  $F$ , and the codomain  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  consists of non-negative real numbers.

**Definition B.1.2** (Discrete absolute value). Let  $K$  be a field equipped with an absolute value (Definition B.1.1)  $|\cdot|$ . The absolute value  $|\cdot|$  is called *discrete* if its image  $|K^\times| = \{|x| : x \in K^\times\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a discrete subgroup of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  (with the usual topology).

**Definition B.1.3** (Trivial absolute value). Let  $K$  be a field. The *trivial absolute value on  $K$*  is the absolute value (Definition B.1.1)

$$|\cdot|_{\text{triv}} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

defined by

$$|x|_{\text{triv}} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition B.1.4.** Let  $K$  be a field with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . By the metric induced by  $|\cdot|$  we mean the metric induced by  $|\cdot|$  regarded as a norm (Definition C.2.5) on the 1-dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $K$  with absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$ .

**Definition B.1.5.** Let  $F$  be a field. An absolute value on  $F$  is said to be *non-archimedean* if

$$|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in F$$

and is said to be *archimedean* otherwise.

**Definition B.1.6** (Topology on a field induced by an absolute value). Let  $K$  be a field equipped with an absolute value (Definition B.1.1)  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . The *topology induced by  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$*  is defined by declaring a subset  $U \subseteq K$  to be open if for every  $x \in U$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in K : |y - x| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq U.$$

The collection of all such open sets forms a topology on  $K$ , making  $(K, \mathcal{T}_{|\cdot|})$  a topological field. The set  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is called the *open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around  $x$* .

Equivalently, the topology induced by  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$  is the topology induced by the metric induced by  $|\cdot|$  as a norm (Definition C.2.5) on the 1-dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $K$ .

## B.2. Valuations on fields.

**Definition B.2.1** (Valuation on a field). Let  $K$  be a field. A *valuation on  $K$*  is a function

$$v : K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\},$$

where  $(\Gamma, +, \leq)$  is a totally ordered abelian group and  $\infty$  is an element greater than all elements of  $\Gamma$ , satisfying for all  $x, y \in K$ :

1.  $v(x) = \infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
2.  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ ,
3.  $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ .

Alternatively (and essentially equivalently), a valuation on  $K$  is also defined as a function  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  with properties (2) and (3) and is extended into a function  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  by setting  $v(0) = \infty$ .

The pair  $(K, v)$  is called a *valued field*.

**Definition B.2.2** (Valuation Ring of a Valued Field). Let  $K$  be a field equipped with a valuation (Definition B.2.1)  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a totally ordered abelian group.

The *valuation ring of the valued field  $(K, v)$*  is the subring

$$\mathcal{O}_v := \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\},$$

where 0 is the neutral element of  $\Gamma$ . This ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a local ring with maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_v := \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}.$$

Its *residue field* is defined as  $\kappa_v := \mathcal{O}_v / \mathfrak{m}_v$ .



**Definition B.2.3** (Discrete valuation). Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field. The valuation  $v$  is called *discrete* if the value group  $\Gamma = v(K^\times)$  is isomorphic, as an ordered group, to  $\mathbb{Z}$  (the integers with the usual order).

**Definition B.2.4.** Let  $K$  be a field and let  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a discrete valuation (Definition B.2.3). If a positive real number  $c < 1$ . The function

$$|x|_v := \begin{cases} c^{v(x)} & \text{if } x \in K^\times \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a nonarchimedean absolute value on  $K$  (Definition B.1.5). Different values of  $c$  yield equivalent absolute values and thus do not change the topology induced by  $|\cdot|_v$  (Definition B.1.6)

## APPENDIX C. MISCELLANEOUS DEFINITIONS

### C.1. Abstract algebra.

**Definition C.1.1.** A *commutative (unital) ring* is a ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  such that  $\cdot$  is a commutative operation, i.e.  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ .

For many writers (e.g. “commutative” algebraists or number theorists), a *ring* refers to a commutative ring as above.

**Definition C.1.2.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a not-necessarily commutative ring.

1. An element  $a \in R$  is a *left zero-divisor* if there exists a nonzero  $x \in R$  such that  $ax = 0$ . Otherwise,  $a$  is called *left regular* or *left cancellable*.
2. An element  $a \in R$  is a *right zero-divisor* if there exists a nonzero  $x \in R$  such that  $xa = 0$ . Otherwise,  $a$  is called *right regular* or *right cancellable*.
3. An element  $a \in R$  is a *zero-divisor* if it is a left zero-divisor or a right zero-divisor.
4. An element  $a \in R$  is a *two-sided zero-divisor* if it is both a left zero-divisor and a right zero-divisor.
5. An element  $a \in R$  is *regular*, *cancellable*, or a *non-zero-divisor* if it is both left and right regular.

A zero-divisor of any kind that is not itself 0 is said to be a *nonzero zero divisor* or a *nontrivial zero divisor* of its kind.

A non-zero ring with no nontrivial zero divisors is called a *domain*. A domain that is also a commutative ring (Definition C.1.1) is also called an *integral domain*.

**Definition C.1.3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity (Definition C.1.1) and let  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset. The *localization of  $R$  at  $S$* , denoted by  $S^{-1}R$ , is the ring whose elements are equivalence classes of pairs  $(r, s) \in R \times S$  under the equivalence relation

$$(r, s) \sim (r', s') \iff \exists u \in S \text{ such that } u(sr' - s'r) = 0.$$

Write  $\frac{r}{s}$  for the equivalence class of  $(r, s)$ . Addition and multiplication on representatives are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{r}{s} + \frac{r'}{s'} &= \frac{rs' + r's}{ss'}, \\ \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{r'}{s'} &= \frac{rr'}{ss'}.\end{aligned}$$

The map  $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$  defines a ring homomorphism; therefore,  $S^{-1}R$  is naturally an  $R$ -algebra.

If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  (Definition C.1.4), then  $R_P := S^{-1}R$  with  $S = R \setminus P$  is called the *localization of  $R$  at  $P$* . It is a local ring (Definition C.1.5) whose maximum ideal is given by

$$S^{-1}P = \left\{ \frac{p}{s} \in R_P : p \in P \right\}.$$

**Definition C.1.4.** Let  $R$  be a (not necessarily commutative) ring. A proper two-sided ideal  $P \subseteq R$  is called a *prime ideal* if the following equivalent conditions holds:

1. If  $I, J$  are left ideals and  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .
2. If  $I, J$  are right ideals and  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .
3. If  $I, J$  are two-sided ideals and  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .
4. If  $x, y \in R$  with  $xRy \subseteq P$ , then  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ .

A proper left/right/two-sided ideal  $M \subsetneq R$  is called *maximal* if there exists no other left/right/two-sided ideal  $J \subseteq R$  such that  $M \subsetneq J \subsetneq R$ . Equivalently,

- a left/right ideal  $M$  of  $R$  is maximal if and only if the quotient module  $R/M$  is a simple left/right  $R$ -module.
- a two-sided ideal  $M$  of  $R$  is maximal if and only if the quotient ring  $R/M$  is a simple ring.

**Definition C.1.5.** Let  $R$  be a ring with unity, not necessarily commutative. The ring  $R$  is called a *local ring* if it has a unique maximal left ideal (Definition C.1.4). In this case,  $R$  also has a unique maximal right ideal, and these coincide with the Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  of  $R$ . The unique maximal left (and right) ideal of a local ring  $R$  may sometimes be denoted by  $\mathfrak{m}_R$ .

**Definition C.1.6** (Principal ideal ring/domain (PID)). Let  $R$  be a commutative unital ring (Definition C.1.1). Then  $R$  is a *principal ideal ring (PIR)* if every ideal of  $R$  is principal. If  $R$  is additionally an integral domain (Definition C.1.2), then  $R$  is said to be a *principal ideal domain (PID)*.

**Definition C.1.7** (Unique factorization domain (UFD) / Factorial ring). (♠ TODO: define irreducible elements) An integral domain (Definition C.1.2)  $R$  is called a *unique factorization domain (UFD)* or *factorial ring* if every nonzero nonunit element of  $R$  can be factored as a product of irreducible elements uniquely up to order and units.

## C.2. Absolute values and norms.

**Definition C.2.1** (Locally compact). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.  $X$  is *locally compact* if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists an open set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  containing  $x$  and a compact set  $K \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq K$ .

**Definition C.2.2** (Extended Metric Induced by an Extended Norm). Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  equipped with an absolute value (Definition B.1.1)

$$|\cdot| : F \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

and let  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be an extended norm on  $V$  (Definition C.2.5). Then the *extended metric induced by the extended norm* is the function

$$d : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

defined by

$$d(x, y) := \|x - y\|.$$

It is indeed an extended metric. If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm, then  $d$  is a metric.

**Definition C.2.3** (Topology induced by an extended metric). Let  $(X, d)$  be an extended metric space. The *topology induced by  $d$  on  $X$*  is defined by declaring a subset  $U \subseteq X$  to be open if for every  $x \in U$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open ball

$$B(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

is contained in  $U$ . The collection of all such open sets forms a topology on  $X$ . The set  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is called the *open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  centered at  $x$* .

**Definition C.2.4** (Topology induced by a norm). Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $K$  with absolute value (Definition B.1.1)  $|\cdot|$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be an extended norm on  $V$  (Definition C.2.5). The *topology induced by the extended norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $V$*  is defined by declaring a subset  $U \subseteq V$  to be open if for every  $x \in U$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$B(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in V : \|y - x\| < \varepsilon\}$$

is contained in  $U$ . The set  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is called the *open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around  $x$* . The collection of all such open sets forms a topology on  $V$ .

Equivalently, the topology on  $V$  induced by the extended norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is the topology on  $V$  induced by (Definition C.2.3) the extended metric  $d : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  induced by  $\|\cdot\|$  (Definition C.2.2).

**Definition C.2.5** (Extended Norm). Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  equipped with an absolute value (Definition B.1.1)

$$|\cdot| : F \rightarrow [0, \infty).$$

An *extended norm on  $V$*  is a function

$$\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfying for all  $x, y \in V$  and all scalars  $\alpha \in F$ :

1. **Positive definiteness:**  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

2. **Homogeneity:**  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$ .
3. **Triangle inequality:**  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ ,

where arithmetic is extended to allow sums involving  $\infty$  with the convention that  $a + \infty = \infty$  for any  $a \in [0, \infty]$ . A vector space with an extended norm over a field with an absolute value is called an *extended normed space*.

If the range of the extended norm is contained in  $[0, \infty)$ , then the extended norm is a *norm* in the usual sense and  $V$  may be called a *normed space*.

## REFERENCES

- [Ayo23] Joseph Ayoub. Counterexamples to F. Morel’s conjecture on  $\pi_0^{\mathfrak{D}^1}$ . *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 361:1087–1090, 2023.
- [BBD82] Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, and Pierre Deligne. Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (i). *Astérisque*, 100, 1982.
- [BC19] Tilman Bauer and Magnus Carlson. Tensor products of affine and formal abelian groups. *Documenta Mathematica*, 24:2525–2582, 2019.
- [BGI71] Pierre Berthelot, Alexander Grothendieck, and Luc Illusie. *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch (SGA6)*, volume 225 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Bor12] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer New York, 2012.
- [BSS18] Bhargav Bhatt, Christian Schnell, and Peter Scholze. Vanishing theorems for perverse sheaves on abelian varieties, revisited. *Selecta Mathematica*, 24:63–84, 2018.
- [Cho08] Utsav Choudhury. Homotopy theory of schemes and  $a^1$ -fundamental groups. Master’s thesis, Università degli Studi di Padova, 2008.
- [DA73] Pierre Deligne and Michael Artin. *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologies Étale des Schémas. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique due Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA 4)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin, 1973.
- [DBG<sup>+</sup>77] Pierre Deligne, Jean-François Boutot, Alexander Grothendieck, Luc Illusie, and Jean-Louis Verdier. *Étale Cohomology. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique due Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA 4 1/2)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Del80] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil : II. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 52:137–252, 1980.
- [Eke07] Torsten Ekedahl. *On The Adic Formalism*, pages 197–218. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2007.
- [FFK24] Arthur Forey, Javier Fresán, and Emmanuel Kowalski. Arithmetic fourier transforms over finite fields: generic vanishing, convolution, and equidistribution, 2024.
- [Fu15] Lei Fu. *Étale Cohomology Theory*, volume 14 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific, 2015.
- [GL96] Ofer Gabber and François Loeser. Faisceaux pervers  $\ell$ -adiques sur un tore. *Duke Math J.*, 83(3):501–606, 1996.
- [GR04] Alexander Grothendieck and Michèle Raynaud. Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1). eprint arXiv matyh/0206203, 2004. Updated edition of the book of the same title published by Springer-Verlag in 1971 as volume 224 of the series Lecture Notes in Mathematics.
- [Gro77] Alexander Grothendieck. *Cohomologie  $l$ -adique et fonctions  $L$*  Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique due Bois-Marie 1965-1966 (SGA 5), volume 589 of *Springer Lecture Notes*. Springer-Verlag, 1977. Avec la collaboration de I. Bucur, C. Houzel, L. Illusie, J.-P. Jouanolou, et J.-P. Serre.
- [GV72] Alexander Grothendieck and Jean-Louis Verdier. *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA 4)*. Lecture Notes in mathematics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1 edition, 1972.
- [HM73] Dale Husemoller and John Milnor. *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*, volume 73 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 2. Folge*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.

- [Hub97] Annette Huber. Mixed perverse sheaves for schemes over number fields. *Compositio Mathematica*, 108:107–121, 1997.
- [Kat90] Nicholas M. Katz. *Exponential sums and differential equations*, volume 124 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1990.
- [Kat96] Nicholas M. Katz. *Rigid Local Systems*, volume 139 of *annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [Kat98] Nicholas M. Katz. *Gauss Sums, Kloosterman Sums, and Monodromy Groups*, volume 116 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1998.
- [Kat12] Nicholas M. Katz. *Convolution and Equidistribution Sato-Tate Theorems for Finite-Field Mellin Transforms*, volume 180 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [KL85] Nicholas M. Katz and Gérard Laumon. Transformation de fourier et majoration de sommes exponentielles. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 62:145–202, 1985.
- [Krä14] Thomas Krämer. Perverse sheaves on semiabelian varieties. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova*, 132:83–102, 2014.
- [KW13] Reinhardt Kiehl and Rainer Weissauer. *Weil Conjectures, Perverse Sheaves and  $\ell$ -adic Fourier Transform*, volume 42 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [KW15] Thomas Krämer and Rainer Weissauer. Vanishing theorems for constructible sheaves on abelian varieties. *J. Algebraic Geometry*, 24:531–568, 2015.
- [May99] Jon Peter May. *A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, 1999.
- [Mor06] Fabien Morel.  $A_1$ -algebraic topology. In *International Congress of Mathematicians*, volume 2, pages 1035–1059, 2006.
- [Mor12] Fabien Morel.  *$A_1$ -Algebraic Topology over a field*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky.  $A_1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 90:45–143, 1999.
- [nLa25a] nLab authors. geometric morphism. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/geometric+morphism>, July 2025. Revision 61.
- [nLa25b] nLab authors. homotopy group of a spectrum. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/homotopy+group+of+a+spectrum>, June 2025. Revision 7.
- [nLa25c] nLab authors. Introduction to Stable homotopy theory – 1-1. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Introduction+to+Stable+homotopy+theory+--+1-1>, June 2025. Revision 43.
- [nLa25d] nLab authors. model structure on topological sequential spectra. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/model+structure+on+topological+sequential+spectra>, June 2025. Revision 61.
- [nLa25e] nLab authors. point of a topos. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/point+of+a+topos>, July 2025. Revision 53.
- [nLa25f] nLab authors. stable homotopy category. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/stable+homotopy+category>, June 2025. Revision 31.
- [Ros02] Michael Rosen. *Number Theory in Function Fields*, volume 210 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002.
- [Rud87] Walter Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis*. Mathematics Series. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 3 edition, 1987.
- [Sta25] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2025.
- [Voe98] Vladimir Voevodsky.  $A_1$ -homotopy theory. In *Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians*, volume 1, pages 579–604. Berlin, 1998.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1994. First paperback edition 1995 Reprinted 1997.
- [Wik25] Wikipedia contributors. Frobenius endomorphisms#frobenius for schemes — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2025. [Online; accessed 08-July-2025].