

softmax

October 21, 2019

c. Q3: Implement a Softmax classifier의 결과를 작성한 코드와 함께 출력하세요.

1 Softmax exercise

Complete and hand in this completed worksheet (including its outputs and any supporting code outside of the worksheet) with your assignment submission. For more details see the [assignments page](#) on the course website.

This exercise is analogous to the SVM exercise. You will:

- implement a fully-vectorized loss function for the Softmax classifier
- implement the fully-vectorized expression for its analytic gradient
- check your implementation with numerical gradient
- use a validation set to tune the learning rate and regularization strength
- optimize the loss function with SGD
- visualize the final learned weights

```
In [1]: import random
import numpy as np
from cs231n.data_utils import load_CIFAR10
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

%matplotlib inline
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'

# for auto-reloading external modules
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
%load_ext autoreload
%autoreload 2

In [2]: def get_CIFAR10_data(num_training=49000, num_validation=1000, num_test=1000, num_dev=500):
    """
    Load the CIFAR-10 dataset from disk and perform preprocessing to prepare
    it for the linear classifier. These are the same steps as we used for the
    SVM, but condensed to a single function.
    """
    # Load the raw CIFAR-10 data
```

```

cifar10_dir = 'cs231n/datasets/cifar-10-batches-py'

# Cleaning up variables to prevent loading data multiple times (which may cause memory error)
try:
    del X_train, y_train
    del X_test, y_test
    print('Clear previously loaded data.')
except:
    pass

X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)

# subsample the data
mask = list(range(num_training, num_training + num_validation))
X_val = X_train[mask]
y_val = y_train[mask]
mask = list(range(num_training))
X_train = X_train[mask]
y_train = y_train[mask]
mask = list(range(num_test))
X_test = X_test[mask]
y_test = y_test[mask]
mask = np.random.choice(num_training, num_dev, replace=False)
X_dev = X_train[mask]
y_dev = y_train[mask]

# Preprocessing: reshape the image data into rows
X_train = np.reshape(X_train, (X_train.shape[0], -1))
X_val = np.reshape(X_val, (X_val.shape[0], -1))
X_test = np.reshape(X_test, (X_test.shape[0], -1))
X_dev = np.reshape(X_dev, (X_dev.shape[0], -1))

# Normalize the data: subtract the mean image
mean_image = np.mean(X_train, axis = 0)
X_train -= mean_image
X_val -= mean_image
X_test -= mean_image
X_dev -= mean_image

# add bias dimension and transform into columns
X_train = np.hstack([X_train, np.ones((X_train.shape[0], 1))])
X_val = np.hstack([X_val, np.ones((X_val.shape[0], 1))])
X_test = np.hstack([X_test, np.ones((X_test.shape[0], 1))])
X_dev = np.hstack([X_dev, np.ones((X_dev.shape[0], 1))])

return X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev

```

```

# Invoke the above function to get our data.
X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev = get_CIFAR10_data()
print('Train data shape: ', X_train.shape)
print('Train labels shape: ', y_train.shape)
print('Validation data shape: ', X_val.shape)
print('Validation labels shape: ', y_val.shape)
print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
print('dev data shape: ', X_dev.shape)
print('dev labels shape: ', y_dev.shape)

```

```

Train data shape: (49000, 3073)
Train labels shape: (49000,)
Validation data shape: (1000, 3073)
Validation labels shape: (1000,)
Test data shape: (1000, 3073)
Test labels shape: (1000,)
dev data shape: (500, 3073)
dev labels shape: (500,)

```

1.1 Softmax Classifier

Your code for this section will all be written inside `cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py`.

```

In [3]: # First implement the naive softmax loss function with nested loops.
        # Open the file cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py and implement the
        # softmax_loss_naive function.

from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax_loss_naive
import time

# Generate a random softmax weight matrix and use it to compute the loss.
W = np.random.randn(3073, 10) * 0.0001
loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)

# As a rough sanity check, our loss should be something close to -log(0.1).
print('loss: %f' % loss)
print('sanity check: %f' % (-np.log(0.1)))

```

```

loss: 2.374962
sanity check: 2.302585

```

Inline Question 1

Why do we expect our loss to be close to $-\log(0.1)$? Explain briefly.**

Your Answer: Fill this in 초기에 weight에서는 모든 class가 같은 비율로 선택되어 질 수 있기 때문에 $-\log(0.1)$ 을 기대한다. 그리고 CIFAR-10는 10개의 class를 가지고 있기 때문에 correct class의 probability는 초기에는 0.1의 값으로 예상할 수 있기 때문이다. 여기서 softmax는 correct class의 negative log probability이다.

```

In [4]: # Complete the implementation of softmax_loss_naive and implement a (naive)
        # version of the gradient that uses nested loops.
        loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)

        # As we did for the SVM, use numeric gradient checking as a debugging tool.
        # The numeric gradient should be close to the analytic gradient.
        from cs231n.gradient_check import grad_check_sparse
        f = lambda w: softmax_loss_naive(w, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)[0]
        grad_numerical = grad_check_sparse(f, W, grad, 10)

        # similar to SVM case, do another gradient check with regularization
        loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 5e1)
        f = lambda w: softmax_loss_naive(w, X_dev, y_dev, 5e1)[0]
        grad_numerical = grad_check_sparse(f, W, grad, 10)

numerical: -4.923950 analytic: -4.923950, relative error: 1.052514e-08
numerical: 1.046927 analytic: 1.046927, relative error: 5.461082e-09
numerical: 2.108643 analytic: 2.108643, relative error: 2.563264e-08
numerical: 1.238681 analytic: 1.238681, relative error: 2.901188e-08
numerical: 0.821542 analytic: 0.821542, relative error: 1.566416e-08
numerical: 1.766678 analytic: 1.766678, relative error: 3.110967e-08
numerical: 1.544118 analytic: 1.544118, relative error: 4.672810e-08
numerical: 0.630696 analytic: 0.630696, relative error: 7.762893e-08
numerical: -0.575142 analytic: -0.575142, relative error: 1.330414e-08
numerical: 2.480511 analytic: 2.480511, relative error: 1.270990e-08
numerical: 0.586478 analytic: 0.586478, relative error: 1.332123e-07
numerical: -1.164067 analytic: -1.164067, relative error: 2.412108e-08
numerical: 0.325806 analytic: 0.325806, relative error: 2.252981e-07
numerical: 2.517754 analytic: 2.517753, relative error: 1.874770e-08
numerical: 0.550184 analytic: 0.550184, relative error: 1.925119e-07
numerical: 2.034174 analytic: 2.034174, relative error: 2.456839e-08
numerical: -3.080956 analytic: -3.080956, relative error: 8.182032e-09
numerical: -0.577468 analytic: -0.577469, relative error: 1.846150e-07
numerical: 0.730723 analytic: 0.730723, relative error: 3.750990e-08
numerical: 1.129648 analytic: 1.129648, relative error: 4.834641e-08

```

```

In [5]: # Now that we have a naive implementation of the softmax loss function and its gradient,
        # implement a vectorized version in softmax_loss_vectorized.
        # The two versions should compute the same results, but the vectorized version should
        # much faster.
        tic = time.time()
        loss_naive, grad_naive = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.000005)
        toc = time.time()
        print('naive loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss_naive, toc - tic))

        from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax_loss_vectorized
        tic = time.time()

```

```

loss_vectorized, grad_vectorized = softmax_loss_vectorized(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.000005)
toc = time.time()
print('vectorized loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss_vectorized, toc - tic))

# As we did for the SVM, we use the Frobenius norm to compare the two versions
# of the gradient.
grad_difference = np.linalg.norm(grad_naive - grad_vectorized, ord='fro')
print('Loss difference: %f' % np.abs(loss_naive - loss_vectorized))
print('Gradient difference: %f' % grad_difference)

```

```

naive loss: 2.374962e+00 computed in 0.091153s
vectorized loss: 2.374962e+00 computed in 0.011305s
Loss difference: 0.000000
Gradient difference: 0.000000

```

```

In [6]: # Use the validation set to tune hyperparameters (regularization strength and
# learning rate). You should experiment with different ranges for the learning
# rates and regularization strengths; if you are careful you should be able to
# get a classification accuracy of over 0.35 on the validation set.
from cs231n.classifiers import Softmax
results = {}
best_val = -1
best_softmax = None
learning_rates = [1e-7, 5e-7]
regularization_strengths = [2.5e4, 5e4]

#####
# TODO: #
# Use the validation set to set the learning rate and regularization strength. #
# This should be identical to the validation that you did for the SVM; save #
# the best trained softmax classifier in best_softmax. #
#####
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****

grid_search = [ (lr, rg) for lr in learning_rates for rg in regularization_strengths]

for lr, rg in grid_search:
    # Create a new Softmax instance
    softmax_model = Softmax()
    # Train the model with current parameters
    softmax_model.train(X_train, y_train, learning_rate=lr, reg=rg, num_iters=1000)
    # Predict values for training set
    y_train_pred = softmax_model.predict(X_train)
    # Calculate accuracy
    train_accuracy = np.mean(y_train_pred == y_train)
    # Predict values for validation set
    y_val_pred = softmax_model.predict(X_val)

```

```

    # Calculate accuracy
    val_accuracy = np.mean(y_val_pred == y_val)
    # Save results
    results[(lr,rg)] = (train_accuracy, val_accuracy)
    if best_val < val_accuracy:
        best_val = val_accuracy
        best_softmax = softmax_model

# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)*****

# Print out results.
for lr, reg in sorted(results):
    train_accuracy, val_accuracy = results[(lr, reg)]
    print('lr %e reg %e train accuracy: %f val accuracy: %f' % (
        lr, reg, train_accuracy, val_accuracy))

    print('best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: %f' % best_val)

lr 1.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+04 train accuracy: 0.332041 val accuracy: 0.360000
lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.307551 val accuracy: 0.328000
lr 5.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+04 train accuracy: 0.319061 val accuracy: 0.324000
lr 5.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.300347 val accuracy: 0.319000
best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: 0.360000

```

```

In [7]: # evaluate on test set
        # Evaluate the best softmax on test set
        y_test_pred = best_softmax.predict(X_test)
        test_accuracy = np.mean(y_test == y_test_pred)
        print('softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: %f' % (test_accuracy, ))

softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: 0.350000

```

Inline Question 2 - True or False

Suppose the overall training loss is defined as the sum of the per-datapoint loss over all training examples. It is possible to add a new datapoint to a training set that would leave the SVM loss unchanged, but this is not the case with the Softmax classifier loss.

Your Answer : True

Your Explanation : 예를 들어, scores [9, 8, 7]를 출력하는 새로운 데이터를 포함한다면, margin 을 1로 하고 correct class가 0이면 SVM의 loss값은 0이 되어 SVM의 loss는 변하지 않는다. 하지만 softmax는 상대적인 새로운 데이터가 넣어지만 항상 확률 값을 내놓아 softmax의 loss가 변한다.

```

In [8]: # Visualize the learned weights for each class
        w = best_softmax.W[:-1,:] # strip out the bias
        w = w.reshape(32, 32, 3, 10)

        w_min, w_max = np.min(w), np.max(w)

```

```

classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 'truck']
for i in range(10):
    plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)

    # Rescale the weights to be between 0 and 255
    wimg = 255.0 * (w[:, :, :, i].squeeze() - w_min) / (w_max - w_min)
    plt.imshow(wimg.astype('uint8'))
    plt.axis('off')
    plt.title(classes[i])

```

