

# Lecture 3: Model-Free Policy Evaluation: Policy Evaluation Without Knowing How the World Works<sup>1</sup>

Emma Brunskill

CS234 Reinforcement Learning

Winter 2022

---

<sup>1</sup>Material builds on structure from David Silver's Lecture 4: Model-Free Prediction.  
Other resources: Sutton and Barto Jan 1 2018 draft Chapter/Sections: 5.1; 5.5; 6.1-6.3

# Refresh Your Knowledge L3 [Polleverywhere Poll]

- What is the max number of iterations of policy iteration in a tabular MDP?
  - 1  $|A||S|$
  - 2  $|S|^{|A|}$
  - 3  $|A|^{|S|}$
  - 4 Unbounded
  - 5 Not sure
- In a tabular MDP asymptotically value iteration will always yield a policy with the same value as the policy returned by policy iteration
  - 1 True.
  - 2 False
  - 3 Not sure
- Can value iteration require more iterations than  $|A|^{|S|}$  to compute the optimal value function? (Assume  $|A|$  and  $|S|$  are small enough that each round of value iteration can be done exactly).
  - 1 True.
  - 2 False
  - 3 Not sure

# Refresh Your Knowledge L3

- What is the max number of iterations of policy iteration in a tabular MDP?
- In a tabular MDP asymptotically value iteration will always yield a policy with the same value as the policy returned by policy iteration
- Can value iteration require more iterations than  $|A|^{|S|}$  to compute the optimal value function? (Assume  $|A|$  and  $|S|$  are small enough that each round of value iteration can be done exactly).

# Today's Plan

- Last Time:
  - Markov reward / decision processes
  - Policy evaluation & control when have true model (of how the world works)
- **Today**
  - **Policy evaluation without known dynamics & reward models**
- Next Time:
  - Control when don't have a model of how the world works

# This Lecture: Policy Evaluation

- Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models
- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when don't have a model of how the world work
    - Given on-policy samples
- Temporal Difference (TD)
- Certainty Equivalence with dynamic programming
- Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms

- Definition of Return,  $G_t$  (for a MRP)

- Discounted sum of rewards from time step  $t$  to horizon

$$G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots$$

- Definition of State Value Function,  $V^\pi(s)$

- Expected return from starting in state  $s$  under policy  $\pi$

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s] = \mathbb{E}_\pi[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots | s_t = s]$$

- Definition of State-Action Value Function,  $Q^\pi(s, a)$

- Expected return from starting in state  $s$ , taking action  $a$  and then following policy  $\pi$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s, a_t = a] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots | s_t = s, a_t = a] \end{aligned}$$

# This Lecture: Policy Evaluation

- Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models
- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when don't have a model of how the world work
    - Given on-policy samples
- Temporal Difference (TD)
- Certainty Equivalence with dynamic programming
- Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms

# Monte Carlo (MC) Policy Evaluation

- $G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots$  in MDP  $M$  under policy  $\pi$
- $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_{T \sim \pi}[G_t | s_t = s]$ 
  - Expectation over trajectories  $T$  generated by following  $\pi$
- Simple idea: Value = mean return
- If trajectories are all finite, sample set of trajectories & average returns



# Monte Carlo (MC) Policy Evaluation

- If trajectories are all finite, sample set of trajectories & average returns
- Does not require MDP dynamics/rewards
- Does not assume state is Markov
- Can be applied to episodic MDPs
  - Averaging over returns from a complete episode
  - Requires each episode to terminate

# Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

- Aim: estimate  $V^\pi(s)$  given episodes generated under policy  $\pi$ 
  - $s_1, a_1, r_1, s_2, a_2, r_2, \dots$  where the actions are sampled from  $\pi$
- $G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots$  in MDP  $M$  under policy  $\pi$
- $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s]$
- MC computes empirical mean return
- Often do this in an incremental fashion
  - After each episode, update estimate of  $V^\pi$

# First-Visit Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- Define  $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$  as return from time step  $t$  onwards in  $i$ th episode
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - If this is the **first** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - Increment counter of total first visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
    - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$

# Evaluation of the Quality of a Policy Estimation Approach: Bias, Variance and MSE

- Consider a statistical model that is parameterized by  $\theta$  and that determines a probability distribution over observed data  $P(x|\theta)$
- Consider a statistic  $\hat{\theta}$  that provides an estimate of  $\theta$  and is a function of observed data  $x$ 
  - E.g. for a Gaussian distribution with known variance, the average of a set of i.i.d data points is an estimate of the mean of the Gaussian
- Definition: the bias of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is:

$$Bias_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{x|\theta}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta$$

- Definition: the variance of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is:

$$Var(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{x|\theta}[(\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}])^2]$$

- Definition: mean squared error (MSE) of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = Var(\hat{\theta}) + Bias_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})^2$$

# Evaluation of the Quality of a Policy Estimation Approach: Consistent Estimator

- Consider a statistical model that is parameterized by  $\theta$  and that determines a probability distribution over observed data  $P(x|\theta)$
- Consider a statistic  $\hat{\theta}$  that provides an estimate of  $\theta$  and is a function of observed data  $x$
- Definition: the bias of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is:

$$\text{Bias}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{x|\theta}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta$$

- Let  $n$  be the number of data points  $x$  used to estimate the parameter  $\theta$  and call the resulting estimate of  $\theta$  using that data  $\hat{\theta}_n$
- Then the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent if, for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pr}(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \epsilon) = 0$$

- Quick check: if an estimator is unbiased (bias = 0) is it consistent?

# First-Visit Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- Define  $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$  as return from time step  $t$  onwards in  $i$ th episode
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - If this is the **first** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - Increment counter of total first visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
    - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$

Properties:

- $V^\pi$  estimator is an unbiased estimator of true  $\mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s]$
- By law of large numbers, as  $N(s) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $V^\pi(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s]$

# Every-Visit Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- Define  $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$  as return from time step  $t$  onwards in  $i$ th episode
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - state  $s$  is the state visited at time step  $t$  in episodes  $i$
  - Increment counter of total visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
  - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
  - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$

# Every-Visit Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- Define  $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$  as return from time step  $t$  onwards in  $i$ th episode
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - state  $s$  is the state visited at time step  $t$  in episodes  $i$
  - Increment counter of total visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
  - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
  - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$

Properties:

- $V^\pi$  every-visit MC estimator is a **biased** estimator of  $V^\pi$
- But consistent estimator and often has better MSE



# Worked Example First Visit MC On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - If this is the **first** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - Increment counter of total first visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
    - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$
- Mars rover:  $R(s) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$
- $\pi(s) = a_1 \forall s$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ . any action from  $s_1$  and  $s_7$  terminates episode
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$

# Worked Example MC On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - If this is the **first** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - Increment counter of total first visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
    - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$
- Mars rover:  $R(s) = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$
- Let  $\gamma = 1$ . First visit MC estimate of  $V$  of each state?
- 
- Now let  $\gamma = 0.9$ . Compare the first visit & every visit MC estimates of  $s_2$ .
-

# Worked Example MC On Policy Evaluation

Initialize  $N(s) = 0$ ,  $G(s) = 0 \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$
- For each time step  $t$  till the end of the episode  $i$ 
  - If this is the **first** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - Increment counter of total first visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
    - Increment total return  $G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$
- Mars rover:  $R = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$  for any action
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$
- Let  $\gamma = 1$ . First visit MC estimate of  $V$  of each state?
- Now let  $\gamma = 0.9$ . Compare the first visit & every visit MC estimates of  $s_2$ .

# Incremental Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

After each episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots$

- Define  $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots$  as return from time step  $t$  onwards in  $i$ th episode
- For state  $s$  visited at time step  $t$  in episode  $i$ 
  - Increment counter of total visits:  $N(s) = N(s) + 1$
  - Update estimate

$$V^\pi(s) = V^\pi(s) \frac{N(s) - 1}{N(s)} + \frac{G_{i,t}}{N(s)} = V^\pi(s) + \frac{1}{N(s)}(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s))$$

# Incremental Monte Carlo (MC) On Policy Evaluation

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots \gamma^{T_i-1} r_{i,T_i}$
- for  $i = 1 : T_i$  where  $T_i$  is the length of the  $i$ -th episode
  - $V^\pi(s_{it}) = V^\pi(s_{it}) + \alpha(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s_{it}))$

# Check Your Understanding L3N1: Polleverywhere Poll

## Incremental MC (State if each is True or False)

### First or Every Visit MC

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$ 
  - For all  $s$ , for **first or every** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - $N(s) = N(s) + 1, G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$

### Incremental MC

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$
- for  $t = 1 : T_i$  where  $T_i$  is the length of the  $i$ -th episode
  - $V^\pi(s_{it}) = V^\pi(s_{it}) + \alpha(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s_{it}))$

- 1 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = 1$  is the same as first visit MC
- 2 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  is the same as first visit MC
- 3 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  is the same as every visit MC
- 4 Incremental MC with  $\alpha > \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  could be helpful in non-stationary domains

- When we come back, continue with Monte Carlo policy evaluation

# Check Your Understanding L3N1: Polleverywhere Poll

## Incremental MC Answers

### First or Every Visit MC

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- $G_{i,t} = r_{i,t} + \gamma r_{i,t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{i,t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{T_i-t} r_{i,T_i}$ 
  - For all  $s$ , for **first or every** time  $t$  that state  $s$  is visited in episode  $i$ 
    - $N(s) = N(s) + 1, G(s) = G(s) + G_{i,t}$
    - Update estimate  $V^\pi(s) = G(s)/N(s)$


### Incremental MC

- Sample episode  $i = s_{i,1}, a_{i,1}, r_{i,1}, s_{i,2}, a_{i,2}, r_{i,2}, \dots, s_{i,T_i}$
- for  $t = 1 : T_i$  where  $T_i$  is the length of the  $i$ -th episode
  - $V^\pi(s_{it}) = V^\pi(s_{it}) + \alpha(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s_{it}))$
- 1 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = 1$  is the same as first visit MC
- 2 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  is the same as first visit MC
- 3 Incremental MC with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  is the same as every visit MC


- 4 Incremental MC with  $\alpha > \frac{1}{N(s_{it})}$  could help in non-stationary domains




# Policy Evaluation Diagram




# Policy Evaluation Diagram



# Policy Evaluation Diagram



# Policy Evaluation Diagram



$\cup$  = Expectation


**T** = Terminal state



# MC Policy Evaluation

$$V^\pi(s) = V^\pi(s) + \alpha(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s))$$

MC updates the value estimate using a **sample** of the return to approximate an expectation



 = Expectation  
 = **Terminal state**

# Monte Carlo (MC) Policy Evaluation Key Limitations

- Generally high variance estimator
  - Reducing variance can require a lot of data
  - In cases where data is very hard or expensive to acquire, or the stakes are high, MC may be impractical
- Requires episodic settings
  - Episode must end before data from episode can be used to update  $V$

# Monte Carlo (MC) Policy Evaluation Summary

- Aim: estimate  $V^\pi(s)$  given episodes generated under policy  $\pi$ 
  - $s_1, a_1, r_1, s_2, a_2, r_2, \dots$  where the actions are sampled from  $\pi$
- $G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots$  under policy  $\pi$
- $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s]$
- Simple: Estimates expectation by empirical average (given episodes sampled from policy of interest)
- Updates  $V$  estimate using **sample** of return to approximate the expectation
- Does not assume Markov process
- Converges to true value under some (generally mild) assumptions



- (End of Monte Carlo policy evaluation)

# This Lecture: Policy Evaluation

- Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models
- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when don't have a model of how the world work
    - Given on-policy samples
- **Temporal Difference (TD)**
- Certainty Equivalence with dynamic programming
- Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms

# Temporal Difference Learning

- “If one had to identify one idea as central and novel to reinforcement learning, it would undoubtedly be temporal-difference (TD) learning.” – Sutton and Barto 2017
- Combination of Monte Carlo & dynamic programming methods
- Model-free
- Can be used in episodic or infinite-horizon non-episodic settings
- Immediately updates estimate of  $V$  after each  $(s, a, r, s')$  tuple

# Temporal Difference Learning for Estimating $V$

- Aim: estimate  $V^\pi(s)$  given episodes generated under policy  $\pi$
- $G_t = r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \gamma^3 r_{t+3} + \dots$  in MDP  $M$  under policy  $\pi$
- $V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s]$
- Recall Bellman operator (if know MDP models)

$$B^\pi V(s) = r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s' | s, \pi(s)) V(s')$$

- In incremental every-visit MC, update estimate using 1 sample of return (for the current  $i$ th episode)

$$V^\pi(s) = V^\pi(s) + \alpha(G_{i,t} - V^\pi(s))$$

- Insight: have an estimate of  $V^\pi$ , use to estimate expected return

$$V^\pi(s) = V^\pi(s) + \alpha([r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})] - V^\pi(s))$$

# Temporal Difference [ $TD(0)$ ] Learning

- Aim: estimate  $V^\pi(s)$  given episodes generated under policy  $\pi$ 
  - $s_1, a_1, r_1, s_2, a_2, r_2, \dots$  where the actions are sampled from  $\pi$
- Simplest TD learning: update value towards estimated value

$$V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}}) - V^\pi(s_t)$$

- TD error:

$$\delta_t = r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1}) - V^\pi(s_t)$$

- Can immediately update value estimate after  $(s, a, r, s')$  tuple
- Don't need episodic setting

# Temporal Difference [ $TD(0)$ ] Learning Algorithm

Input:  $\alpha$

Initialize  $V^\pi(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample **tuple**  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$

# Compute new $V^\pi$ at the end of 1 trajectory

Input:  $\alpha$

Initialize  $V^\pi(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample **tuple**  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$

Example Mars rover:  $R = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$  for any action

- $\pi(s) = a_1 \ \forall s, \gamma = 1$ . any action from  $s_1$  and  $s_7$  terminates episode
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$

# Worked Example TD Learning

Input:  $\alpha$

Initialize  $V^\pi(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample **tuple**  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$

Example:

- Mars rover:  $R = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$  for any action
- $\pi(s) = a_1 \ \forall s, \gamma = 1$ . any action from  $s_1$  and  $s_7$  terminates episode
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$
- TD estimate of all states (init at 0) with  $\alpha = 1$
- First visit MC estimate of  $V$  of each state?  $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$




# Temporal Difference (TD) Policy Evaluation

$$V^{\pi}(s_t) = r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) + \gamma \sum_{s_{t+1}} P(s_{t+1}|s_t, \pi(s_t)) V^{\pi}(s_{t+1})$$

$$V^{\pi}(s_t) = V^{\pi}(s_t) + \alpha([r_t + \gamma V^{\pi}(s_{t+1})] - V^{\pi}(s_t))$$

TD updates the value estimate using a **sample** of  $s_{t+1}$  to approximate an expectation

TD updates the value estimate by **bootstrapping**, uses estimate of  $V(s_{t+1})$



 = Expectation

 = **Terminal state**

# Check Your Understanding L3N2: Polleverywhere Poll

## Temporal Difference [ $TD(0)$ ] Learning Algorithm

Input:  $\alpha$

Initialize  $V^\pi(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample **tuple**  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$

Select all that are true

- 1 If  $\alpha = 0$  TD will weigh the TD target more than the past  $V$  estimate
- 2 If  $\alpha = 1$  TD will update the  $V$  estimate to the TD target
- 3 If  $\alpha = 1$  TD in MDPs where the policy goes through states with multiple possible next states,  $V$  may oscillate forever
- 4 There exist deterministic MDPs where  $\alpha = 1$  TD will converge

# Break



# Check Your Understanding L3N2: Polleverywhere Poll

## Temporal Difference [ $TD(0)$ ] Learning Algorithm

Input:  $\alpha$

Initialize  $V^\pi(s) = 0, \forall s \in S$

Loop

- Sample **tuple**  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$

# Summary: Temporal Difference Learning

- Combination of Monte Carlo & dynamic programming methods
- Model-free
- **Bootstraps and samples**
- Can be used in episodic or infinite-horizon non-episodic settings
- Immediately updates estimate of  $V$  after each  $(s, a, r, s')$  tuple

# This Lecture: Policy Evaluation

- Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models
- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when don't have a model of how the world work
    - Given on-policy samples
- Temporal Difference (TD)
- Certainty Equivalence with dynamic programming
- Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms

# Recall: Dynamic Programming for Policy Evaluation

- If we knew dynamics and reward model, we can do policy evaluation
- Initialize  $V_0^\pi(s) = 0$  for all  $s$
- For  $k = 1$  until convergence

- For all  $s$  in  $S$


$$V_k^\pi(s) = r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, \pi(s)) V_{k-1}^\pi(s')$$

- $V_k^\pi(s)$  is exactly the  $k$ -horizon value of state  $s$  under policy  $\pi$
- $V_k^\pi(s)$  is an **estimate of the infinite horizon** value of state  $s$  under policy  $\pi$

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s] \approx \mathbb{E}_\pi[r_t + \gamma V_{k-1} | s_t = s]$$

# Dynamic Programming Policy Evaluation

$$V^\pi(s) \leftarrow \mathbb{E}_\pi[r_t + \gamma V_{k-1} | s_t = s]$$




- Bootstrapping: Update for  $V$  uses an estimate



# Dynamic Programming Policy Evaluation

$$V^\pi(s) \leftarrow \mathbb{E}_\pi[r_t + \gamma V_{k-1} | s_t = s]$$

**Know model  $P(s' | s, a)$ :  
reward and expectation  
over next states  
computed exactly**




**= Expectation**

- Bootstrapping: Update for  $V$  uses an estimate

# What about when we don't know the models?

**Know model  $P(s' | s, a)$ :  
reward and expectation  
over next states  
computed exactly**



 = **Expectation**

# Alternative: Certainty Equivalence $V^\pi$ MLE MDP Model Estimates

- Model-based option for policy evaluation without true models
- After each  $(s_i, a_i, r_i, s_{i+1})$  tuple
  - Recompute maximum likelihood MDP model for  $(s, a)$


$$\hat{P}(s'|s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^i \mathbb{1}(s_k = s, a_k = a, s_{k+1} = s')$$

$$\hat{r}(s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^i \mathbb{1}(s_k = s, a_k = a) r_k$$

- Compute  $V^\pi$  using MLE MDP <sup>1</sup> (using any method from lecture 2))

---

<sup>1</sup>Requires initializing for all  $(s, a)$  pairs

$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	$s_5$	$s_6$	$s_7$
$R(s_1) = +1$ <i>Okay Field Site</i>	$R(s_2) = 0$	$R(s_3) = 0$	$R(s_4) = 0$ 	$R(s_5) = 0$	$R(s_6) = 0$	$R(s_7) = +10$ <i>Fantastic Field Site</i>

- Mars rover:  $R = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$  for any action
- $\pi(s) = a_1 \ \forall s, \gamma = 1$ . any action from  $s_1$  and  $s_7$  terminates episode
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$
- First visit MC estimate of  $V$  of each state?  $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$
- TD estimate of all states (init at 0) with  $\alpha = 1$  is  $[1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$
- What is the certainty equivalent estimate?
- $\hat{r} = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ ,  $\hat{p}(\text{terminate}|s_1, a_1) = \hat{p}(s_2|s_3, a_1) = 1$
- $\hat{p}(s_1|s_2, a_1) = .5, \hat{p}(s_2|s_2, a_1) = .5, V = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$

# Alternative: Certainty Equivalence $V^\pi$ MLE MDP Model Estimates

- Model-based option for policy evaluation without true models
- After each  $(s, a, r, s')$  tuple
  - Recompute maximum likelihood MDP model for  $(s, a)$

$$\hat{P}(s'|s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^{L_k-1} 1(s_{k,t} = s, a_{k,t} = a, s_{k,t+1} = s')$$

$$\hat{r}(s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^{L_k-1} 1(s_{k,t} = s, a_{k,t} = a) r_{t,k}$$

- Compute  $V^\pi$  using MLE MDP
- Cost: Updating MLE model and MDP planning at each update ( $O(|S|^3)$  for analytic matrix solution,  $O(|S|^2|A|)$  for iterative methods)
- Very data efficient and very computationally expensive
- Consistent (will converge to right estimate for Markov models)
- Can also easily be used for off-policy evaluation

# This Lecture: Policy Evaluation

- Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models
- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when don't have a model of how the world work
    - Given on-policy samples
- Temporal Difference (TD)
- Certainty Equivalence with dynamic programming
- **Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms**

# Check Your Understanding L3N3: Properties of Algorithms for Evaluation.

	DPCE	MC	TD
Can use w/out access to true MDP models			
Usable in continuing (non-episodic) setting			
Assumes Markov process			
Converges to true value in limit <sup>2</sup>			
Unbiased estimate of value			

- DPCE = Dynamic Programming w/certainty equivalence estimates, MC = Monte Carlo, TD = Temporal Difference

---

<sup>2</sup>For tabular representations of value function. More on this in later lectures

# Check Your Understanding L3N3: Properties of Algorithms for Evaluation.

	DPCE	MC	TD
Can use w/out access to true MDP models	X	X	X
Usable in continuing (non-episodic) setting	X		X
Assumes Markov process	X		X
Converges to true value in limit <sup>3</sup>	X	X	X
Unbiased estimate of value		X	

- DPCE = Dynamic Programming w/certainty equivalence estimates, MC = Monte Carlo, TD = Temporal Difference

---

<sup>3</sup>For tabular representations of value function. More on this in later lectures




# Some Important Properties to Evaluate Model-free Policy Evaluation Algorithms

- Bias/variance characteristics
- Data efficiency
- Computational efficiency
- Mostly focus on comparing MC and TD methods but we will connect back to dynamic programming with certainty equivalence methods later

# Bias/Variance of Model-free Policy Evaluation Algorithms

- Return  $G_t$  is an unbiased estimate of  $V^\pi(s_t)$
- TD target  $[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]$  is a biased estimate of  $V^\pi(s_t)$
- But often much lower variance than a single return  $G_t$
- Return function of multi-step sequence of random actions, states & rewards
- TD target only has one random action, reward and next state
- MC
  - Unbiased (for first visit)
  - High variance
  - Consistent (converges to true) even with function approximation
- TD
  - Some bias
  - Lower variance
  - TD(0) converges to true value with tabular representation
  - TD(0) does not always converge with function approximation


$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	$s_5$	$s_6$	$s_7$
$R(s_1) = +1$ <i>Okay Field Site</i>	$R(s_2) = 0$	$R(s_3) = 0$	$R(s_4) = 0$ 	$R(s_5) = 0$	$R(s_6) = 0$	$R(s_7) = +10$ <i>Fantastic Field Site</i>

- Mars rover:  $R = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ +10]$  for any action
- $\pi(s) = a_1 \ \forall s$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ . any action from  $s_1$  and  $s_7$  terminates episode
- Trajectory =  $(s_3, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_2, a_1, 0, s_1, a_1, 1, \text{terminal})$
- First visit MC estimate of  $V$  of each state?  $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$
- TD estimate of all states (init at 0) with  $\alpha = 1$  is  $[1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$
- TD(0) only uses a data point  $(s, a, r, s')$  once
- Monte Carlo takes entire return from  $s$  to end of episode

# Batch MC and TD

- Batch (Offline) solution for finite dataset
  - Given set of  $K$  episodes
  - Repeatedly sample an episode from  $K$
  - Apply MC or TD(0) to the sampled episode
- What do MC and TD(0) converge to?

# AB Example: (Ex. 6.4, Sutton & Barto, 2018)



- Two states  $A, B$  with  $\gamma = 1$
- Given 8 episodes of experience:
  - $A, 0, B, 0$
  - $B, 1$  (observed 6 times)
  - $B, 0$
- Imagine run TD updates over data infinite number of times
- $V(B) =$

# AB Example: (Ex. 6.4, Sutton & Barto, 2018)

- TD Update:  $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}} - V^\pi(s_t))$
- Two states  $A, B$  with  $\gamma = 1$
- Given 8 episodes of experience:
  - $A, 0, B, 0$
  - $B, 1$  (observed 6 times)
  - $B, 0$
- Imagine run TD updates over data infinite number of times
- $V(B) = 0.75$  by TD or MC
- What about  $V(A)$ ?

# AB Example: (Ex. 6.4, Sutton & Barto, 2018)

- TD Update:  $V^\pi(s_t) = V^\pi(s_t) + \alpha(\underbrace{[r_t + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})]}_{\text{TD target}}) - V^\pi(s_t)$
- Two states  $A, B$  with  $\gamma = 1$
- Given 8 episodes of experience:
  - $A, 0, B, 0$
  - $B, 1$  (observed 6 times)
  - $B, 0$
- Imagine run TD updates over data infinite number of times
- $V(B) = 0.75$  by TD or MC
- What about  $V(A)$ ?

# Batch MC and TD: Converges

- Monte Carlo in batch setting converges to min MSE (mean squared error)
  - Minimize loss with respect to observed returns
  - In AB example,  $V(A) = 0$
- TD(0) converges to DP policy  $V^\pi$  for the MDP with the maximum likelihood model estimates
- Aka same as dynamic programming with certainty equivalence!
  - Maximum likelihood Markov decision process model

$$\hat{P}(s'|s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^i \mathbb{1}(s_k = s, a_k = a, s_{k+1} = s')$$

$$\hat{r}(s, a) = \frac{1}{N(s, a)} \sum_{k=1}^i \mathbb{1}(s_k = s, a_k = a) r_k$$

- Compute  $V^\pi$  using this model
- In AB example,  $V(A) = 0.75$



# Some Important Properties to Evaluate Model-free Policy Evaluation Algorithms

- Data efficiency & Computational efficiency
- In simplest TD, use  $(s, a, r, s')$  once to update  $V(s)$ 
  - $O(1)$  operation per update
  - In an episode of length  $L$ ,  $O(L)$
- In MC have to wait till episode finishes, then also  $O(L)$
- MC can be more data efficient than simple TD
- But TD exploits Markov structure
  - If in Markov domain, leveraging this is helpful
- Dynamic programming with certainty equivalence also uses Markov structure

# Summary: Policy Evaluation

Estimating the expected return of a particular policy if don't have access to true MDP models. Ex. evaluating average purchases per session of new product recommendation system

- Monte Carlo policy evaluation
  - Policy evaluation when we don't have a model of how the world works
    - Given on policy samples
    - Given off policy samples
- Temporal Difference (TD)
- Dynamic Programming with certainty equivalence
- Metrics to evaluate and compare algorithms
  - Robustness to Markov assumption
  - Bias/variance characteristics
  - Data efficiency
  - Computational efficiency

# Today's Plan

- Last Time:
  - Markov reward / decision processes
  - Policy evaluation & control when have true model (of how the world works)
- Today
  - Policy evaluation without known dynamics & reward models
- Next Time:
  - Control when don't have a model of how the world works