## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

#### Present:

Mr. Justice Manzoor Ahmad Malik Mr. Justice Syed Mansoor Ali Shah Mr. Justice Amin-ud-Din Khan

## C.P.43-L/2021 to C.P.58-L/2021

(Against the order of Punjab Service Tribunal, Lahore dated 26.10.2020, passed in Appeal Nos.321 to 336 of 2020)

Deputy Director Food Faisalabad Division, Faisalabad, etc. (In all cases)

.....Petitioner(s)

#### Versus

Muhammad Tauqir Shah (In CP 43-L/2021)
Waqar Ahmad (In CP 44-L/2021)
Muhammad Shahzad Hashmi (In CP 45-L/2021)
Aqeel Abbas Khan (In CP 46-L/2021)
Muhammad Abu Bakkar Siddique (In CP 47-L/2021)
Asad Ahmad (In CP 48-L/2021)
Shakeel Ahmad Khan (In CP 49-L/2021)
Muhammad Imran (In CP 50-L/2021)
Muhammad Irfan (In CP 51-L/2021)
Tashif Javaid (In CP 52-L/2021)
Muhammad Usman Haider (In CP 53-L/2021)
Muhammad Bilal (In CP 54-L/2021)
Aftab Ahmad Saghar (In CP 55-L/2021)
Nakash Sabir (In CP 56-L/2021)
Zia Akbar (In CP 57-L/2021)

.....Respondent(s)

For the petitioner(s): Rana Shamshad Khan, Addl. A.G.

(In all cases)

For the respondent(s): Hafiz Tariq Nasim, ASC.

(In all cases)

Date of hearing: 10.03.2021

Saif Ullah (In CP 58-L/2021)

# <u>ORDER</u>

<u>Syed Mansoor Ali Shah, J.-</u> The services of the respondents were admittedly regularized under The Punjab Regularization of Service Act, 2018 ("Act"), therefore, the sole question before us is the determination of the date of regularization

i.e., whether it is from the date of regularization of the service of the employee or whether from the date of initial appointment of the employee on contract basis. The Punjab Service Tribunal through impugned consolidated order dated 26.10.2020 found that the regularization of the respondents shall be from the date of their initial appointment under the contract, but the impugned order has failed to discuss the provisions of the Act.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and 2. have gone through the provisions of the aforesaid Act. The Act provides for appointment on regular basis of employees serving on contract.1 The Act is applicable to persons employed in a department, who have completed three years continuous service before or after commencement of the Act.<sup>2</sup> "Regularization" under the Act means the appointment of an eligible contract employee on regular basis, with immediate effect, in accordance with the Act.3 The Act further provides that the contract employees who have continuously been serving as such for a period not less than three years shall be eligible to be considered for appointment on regular basis if; (a) a regular vacancy allocated for initial recruitment is available for regularization; (b) he is qualified for the post; (c) he has not been appointed on a special pay package; (d) his performance during the period of contract has remained satisfactory; and (e) he does not opt to continue as contract employee.4 The procedure for regularization provided under the Act is that the contract employee appointed on the recommendations of the Punjab Public Service Commission ("Commission") shall be submitted to the appointing authority for regularization without reference to the Commission or the Scrutiny Committee as constituted under Section 5 of the Act. However, if the post in question falls within the purview of the Commission and the contract employee is appointed otherwise recommendations of the Commission, the case shall be referred to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preamble to the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 1 (3) of the Act substituted by the Punjab Regularization of Service (Amendment) Act, 2019 (XXIII of 2019). It earlier applied to persons employed on contract in a department immediately before the commencement of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 2 (f) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 3 (2) of the Act.

the Commission for recommendations<sup>5</sup> and if the post is outside the purview of the Commission, the case of a contract employee shall be placed before the Scrutiny Committee constituted under the Act for recommendations. The appointments made under Section 4 of the Act shall be with immediate effect. 6 The service rendered by a contract employee shall not be counted for pensionary benefits or for any other purpose whatsoever. 7 Contract employee, who is not recommended for regularization by the Commission or, as the case may be, the Scrutiny Committee, shall be terminated forthwith.8 A contract employee, who is regularized, shall be placed at the bottom of the seniority list of the respective cadre and shall rank junior to the other civil servants. 9 A contract employee, regularized under the Act, shall cease to be governed by the Contract Appointment Policy 2004 and the terms and conditions of the contract and shall be regulated under the Punjab Civil Servants Act, 1974 and the rules framed thereunder. 10

3. The scheme of the Act clearly shows that the regularization of a contract is <u>with immediate effect</u> i.e., from the date of regularization. Learned counsel for the respondents has placed reliance on certain orders of this court in the matter of regularization. We have perused the said orders and noticed that they did not discuss the Act or its provisions and the regularization in the said orders was on the basis of the Policy announced by the Government, therefore, they are not relevant for our purposes. The provisions of the Act are unambiguous and clearly mention that regularization shall be <u>with immediate effect</u> i.e., from the date of regularization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 4 (2) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 6 (1) (a) and Section 6 (1) (b) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 6 (2) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 7 of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 8(1) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 11 of the Act.

Order dated 29.07.2019 passed in Civil Petitions No.1657-L to 1664-L of 2019. Order dated 08.06.2016 passed in Civil Petition No.2346-L of 2015 Order dated 31.10.2016 passed in CMA No.2243-L of 2016 in CRP No. Nil of 2016 in Civil Petition No.2346-L of 2015, as well as order dated 06.03.2019 passed in Appeal No.4364/2018.

4. In this view of the matter, the impugned consolidated order is set aside. The regularization of the respondents shall be considered from the date of their regularization. Resultantly, these petitions are converted into appeals and allowed in the above terms.

Judge

Judge

Lahore, 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2021. <u>Approved for reporting</u> *Iqbal* 

Judge