Differential Privacy for Machine Learning

Master IASD, Université PSL

February 2024



Recommended Readings

Rererences used for this lecture:

- Differentially Private Empirical Risk Minimization: Efficient Algorithms and Tight Error Bounds – R. Bassily, A. Smith & A. Thakurta. FOCS 2014.
- Differentially Private Empirical Risk Minimization R. Chaudhuri, C. Monteleoni
 & A. Sarwate. JMLR 2011
- Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms Shalev-Shwartz, Shai, and Shai Ben-David. Cambridge University Press, 2014. Chapters 12, 14.

Table of Contents

- 1 Non-Private Machine Learning Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions Algorithms - Gradient Descent
- 2 Differentially Private ERM

Non-Private Machine Learning
 Problem Formulation - ERM
 Properties of Loss Functions
 Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation Objective Perturbation Gradient Perturbation

Problem Formulation

- Dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$.
- Parameter set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ closed and convex. $\theta \in \mathcal{C}$.
- Loss function $\ell(\theta; d_i)$ loss incurred by θ on d_i .
- Empirical Risk $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\theta; d_i)$.
- Regularized Empirical Risk $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\theta; d_i) + r(\theta)$, where $r(\cdot)$ is a regularization function that is independent of data.
- (Regularized) Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM):

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}).$$

ERM Examples

Linear regression:

- $d_i = (x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$.
- Squared loss: $\ell(\theta; d_i) = (x_i^T \theta y_i)^2$.
- Ridge regression: $r(\theta) = \|\theta\|_2^2$, Lasso: $r(\theta) = \|\theta\|_1$.

Logistic regression:

- $d_i = (x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \{-1, +1\}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p.$
- Cross-entropy loss: $\ell(\theta;d_i)=-y_i\log(p_i)-(1-y_i)\log(1-p_i)$, $p_i=1/(1+e^{-x_i^T\theta})$.

ERM Examples

2-layered neural network

- $d_i = (x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is one-hot encoding of label in $\{1, \dots, k\}$
- $\bullet \ \theta = (W_1,b_1,W_2,b_2)\text{, } W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}\text{, } b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^h\text{, } W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times k}\text{, } b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k.$
- Cross-entropy loss: $\ell(\theta;d_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k -y_{ij} \log(p_{ij})$, $p_i = \sigma(W_2^T \sigma(W_1^T x_i + b_1) + b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, activation function σ .

ERM Examples

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

$$\theta_{MLE} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\theta} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(d_{i}|\theta) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\theta; d_{i})$$

• Negative log-likelihood loss function: $\ell(\theta; d_i) = -\log \mathbb{P}(d_i|\theta)$.

1 Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM

Properties of Loss Functions

Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation Objective Perturbation Gradient Perturbation

Lipschitz Continuity

 $\ell:\mathcal{C} imes\mathcal{D} o\mathbb{R}$ is L-Lipschitz if for all $heta_1, heta_2\in\mathcal{C}$ and $d\in\mathcal{D}$,

$$|\ell(\theta_1; d) - \ell(\theta_2; d)| \le L \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2.$$

If ℓ is differentiable, then we have the following equivalent condition.

$$\|\nabla \ell(\theta)\|_2 \leq L$$
, for all $\theta \in \mathcal{C}$.

Examples:

- Is the squared-loss function lipschitz?
- Is the logistic-loss function lipschitz?



Convexity

 $\ell: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$\ell(\alpha\theta_1 + (1-\alpha)\theta_2; d) \le \alpha\ell(\theta_1; d) + (1-\alpha)\ell(\theta_2; d).$$

If ℓ is differentiable, then we have the following equivalent condition.

$$\ell(\theta_2) \ge \ell(\theta_1) + \nabla \ell(\theta_1)^T (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Alternatively, $(\nabla \ell(\theta_2) - \nabla \ell(\theta_1))^T(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \ge 0$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Examples:

- Is the squared-loss function convex?
- Is the loss incurred by a 2-layer neural network convex?



Strong Convexity

 $\ell: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is λ -strongly convex if for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$\ell(\alpha\theta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta_2; d) \le \alpha\ell(\theta_1; d) + (1 - \alpha)\ell(\theta_2; d) - \lambda\alpha(1 - \alpha)\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2^2.$$

If ℓ is differentiable, then we have the following equivalent condition.

$$\ell(\theta_2) \ge \ell(\theta_1) + \nabla \ell(\theta_1)^T (\theta_2 - \theta_1) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|^2.$$

Alternatively, $(\nabla \ell(\theta_2) - \nabla \ell(\theta_1))^T (\theta_2 - \theta_1) \ge \lambda \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|^2$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Examples:

- Is the squared-loss function strongly convex?
- If ℓ is convex, then $\ell'(\theta;d) = \ell(\theta;d) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|_2^2$ is λ -strongly convex.

Margin-based Loss

For $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the loss function $\ell : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a margin-based loss function if $\ell(\theta; (x_i, y_i)) = \ell_m(y_i x_i^T \theta)$ for some function $\ell_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Examples:

• Hinge loss for Support Vector Machines (SVM) : $\ell_m(t) = \max\{0, 1 - t\}$.

SVM:
$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\{0, 1 - y_i x_i^T \theta\} + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$$
.

• Cross entropy loss with single layered neural network.

Margin-based Loss

For $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the loss function $\ell : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a margin-based loss function if $\ell(\theta; (x_i, y_i)) = \ell_m(y_i x_i^T \theta)$ for some function $\ell_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example: Generalized Linear Model (GLM)

$$\mathbb{P}(y|x) \propto \exp\left(\frac{yx^T\theta^* - \Phi(x^T\theta^*)}{c(\sigma)}\right).$$

- $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \mathbb{R}.$
- $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ link function, $c(\sigma)$ scale parameter.
- Negative log-likelihood loss: $\ell(\theta; (x,y)) = -yx^T\theta^* + \Phi(x^T\theta^*)$.

Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions

Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation Objective Perturbation Gradient Perturbation

Projected Gradient Descent

Projected Gradient Descent

Input: Dataset \mathcal{D} , loss function ℓ , convex set \mathcal{C} .

Input: learning rate η , iterations T, initialization $\theta_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

$$g_t \leftarrow \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta_t; \mathcal{D}),$$

$$u_t \leftarrow \theta_t - \eta g_t,$$

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(u_t).$$

$$\theta_{GD} \leftarrow \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \theta_t.$$

Output: θ_{GD} .

Projected Gradient Descent

PGD Convergence

Let \mathcal{L} be convex and L-Lipschitz. Set $\eta = \frac{\|\mathcal{C}\|}{L\sqrt{T}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\theta_{GD}; \mathcal{D}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + \frac{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|}{\sqrt{T}}$.

Proof: Since \mathcal{L} is convex, $\mathcal{L}(\theta^*) \geq \mathcal{L}(\theta_t) + g_t^T(\theta^* - \theta_t)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta_t) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \eta g_t^T (\theta_t - \theta^*) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\|\eta g_t\|^2 + \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_t - \theta^* - \eta g_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\eta \|g_t\|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|u_t - \theta^*\|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta L^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \right).$$

Projected Gradient Descent

Proof: (continued)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\theta_{GD}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) &= \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \theta_t\right) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) \leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{L}(\theta_t) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \left(\mathcal{L}(\theta_t) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\eta L^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta T}\sum_{t=1}^T \left(\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2\right) \\ &= \frac{\eta L^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta T} \left(\|\theta_1 - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{T+1} - \theta^*\|^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\eta L^2}{2} + \frac{\|\mathcal{C}\|^2}{\eta T}. \end{split}$$

Table of Contents

- Non-Private Machine Learning
- 2 Differentially Private ERM
 Exponential Mechanism
 Output Perturbation
 Objective Perturbation
 Gradient Perturbation

DP-ERM

- Neighboring datasets: Datasets \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' are said to be neighboring if they differ in exactly one data point d_i .
- ERM Mechanism: An ERM mechanism M takes a dataset $\mathcal D$ as input and outputs a random $\theta \in \mathcal C$.

(ε, δ) -Differentially Private ERM

An ERM mechanism M is (ε, δ) -DP if, for all neighboring $\mathcal D$ and $\mathcal D'$, and any $\mathcal S \subset \mathcal C$,

$$\mathbb{P}(M(\mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{S}) \le e^{\varepsilon} \, \mathbb{P}(M(\mathcal{D}') \in \mathcal{S}) + \delta$$

where \mathbb{P} refers to the randomization in M.

DP-ERM Performance

ullet Excess empirical risk of an ERM mechanism M is defined as,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(M(\mathcal{D}); \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D})],$$

where $\theta^* = \arg\min_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D})$, and the expectation is over randomness in M.

- We can measure the performance of a DP-ERM mechanism M by upper bounding its worst-case excess empirical risk over all possible datasets \mathcal{D} .
- Alternatively, we can use a high probability tail bound of the following form.

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(M(\mathcal{D}); \mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + t) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

1 Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation

DP-ERM with Exponential Mechanism

DP-ERM with Exponential Mechanism (M_{exp})

Input: Dataset \mathcal{D} , loss function ℓ , privacy parameter ε , convex set \mathcal{C} .

Input: Lipschitz constant L.

 $oldsymbol{0}$ Sample $heta^{priv}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(M_{exp}(\mathcal{D}) = \theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D})\right).$$

Output: θ^{priv}

Privacy Guarantee of DP-ERM with Exponential Mechanism

Theorem

If the loss function ℓ is L-Lipschitz, then M_{exp} is ε -DP.

Proof Sketch:

$$\begin{split} u(\mathcal{D}, \theta) &= \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}), \\ \Delta_u &= \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \max_{\substack{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}': \\ d(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}') = 1}} |u(\mathcal{D}, \theta) - u(\mathcal{D}', \theta)| \\ &= \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \max_{\substack{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}': \\ d(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}') = 1}} |(\ell(\theta; d_i) - \ell(\theta)^*; d_i) - (\ell(\theta; d_i') - \ell(\theta)^*; d_i')| \\ &= \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} 2L \|\theta - \theta^*\|_2 \\ &= 2L \|\mathcal{C}\|_2. \end{split}$$

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Exponential Mechanism

Theorem

If the loss function ℓ is L-Lipschitz then $\mathbb{E}[M_{exp}(\mathcal{D})] \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Proof Sketch: Define $S_t = \{\theta : \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + t\} \subset \mathcal{C}$.

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(S_{2t}^c)}{\mathbb{P}(S_t)} = \frac{\int_{\theta \in S_{2t}^c} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D})\right) d\theta}{\int_{\theta \in S_t} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D})\right) d\theta} \le \frac{\int_{\theta \in S_{2t}^c} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2} (\mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + 2t)\right) d\theta}{\int_{\theta \in S_t} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2} (\mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + t)\right) d\theta} \\
= \exp\left(-\frac{t\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(S_{2t}^c)}{\operatorname{Vol}(S_t)}.$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{P}(S_{2t}^c) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{C})}{\operatorname{Vol}(S_t)}.$$

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Exponential Mechanism

Proof Sketch: (continued) Choose $t_0 > 0$ big enough so that $\operatorname{Vol}(S_{t_0}) > c' \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{C})$ for some c' > 0. How big should t_0 be? User Lipschitz continuity.

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D})] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) \ge t) dt$$

$$= \int_0^{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) \ge t) dt$$

$$\le t_0 + \int_{t_0}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\right) \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{C})}{\text{Vol}(S_t)} dt$$

$$< t_0 + \frac{1}{c'} \int_{t_0}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\right) dt$$

$$= t_0 + \frac{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}{\varepsilon c'} \exp\left(-\frac{t_0\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\right).$$

Choose the best t_0 by differentiating.

How to sample for θ^{priv} ?

Simple example: Linear regression

- $d_i = (x_i, y_i), x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p.$
- Define $Y = [y_1 \dots y_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X = [x_1^T \dots x_n^T]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$.
- $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = ||Y X\theta||^2, \ \theta^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y.$

Remark

$$\mathbb{P}(M_{exp}(\mathcal{D}) = \theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}\|Y - X\theta\|^2\right).$$

The above probability distribution is identical to the following

$$M_{exp}(\mathcal{D}) \sim MVN\left(\theta^*, \frac{\varepsilon}{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|_2}X^TX\right).$$



How to sample for θ^{priv} ?

- For general ERM, it is much harder to sample θ^{priv} from the exact exponential distribution for ε -DP.
- Approximations exist in the form of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods.

1 Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism

Output Perturbation

Objective Perturbation Gradient Perturbation

DP-ERM with Output Perturbation

DP-ERM with Output Perturbation (M_{out})

Input: Dataset \mathcal{D} , loss function ℓ , privacy parameter ε , convex set \mathcal{C} .

Input: Lipschitz constant L, strong convexity parameter λ .

- ① Compute $\theta^* = \arg\min \ell(\theta; d)$.
- **2** Sample $\theta_n \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\theta_n) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{4L_0}\|\theta_n\|_2\right)$.
- $\theta^{priv} \leftarrow \theta^* + \theta_n$

Output: θ^{priv} .

Privacy Guarantee of DP-ERM with Output Perturbation

Theorem

If the loss function ℓ is λ -strongly convex and L-Lipschitz, then M_{out} is ε -DP.

Proof Sketch: Define $\theta^*(\mathcal{D}) = \arg\min_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(\theta; D)$. Take any two neighboring datasets \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' . By Lipschitzness, we have the following inequality.

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}'); \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); \mathcal{D}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}'); \mathcal{D}') - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); \mathcal{D}')}_{\leq 0} + \ell(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}'); d_i) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}'); d_i') - \ell(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); d_i) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); d_i') - \ell(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); d_i')$$

By strong convexity, $\mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}'); \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*(\mathcal{D}); \mathcal{D}) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta^*(\mathcal{D}') - \theta^*(\mathcal{D})\|_2^2$. Combining with the above, $\|\theta^*(\mathcal{D}') - \theta^*(\mathcal{D})\| \leq \frac{4L}{\lambda}$. The rest is similar to proof of ε -DP for Laplace Mechanism.

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Output Perturbation

Theorem

If the loss function ℓ is λ -strongly convex and L-Lipschitz, then

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{out}(\mathcal{D})] \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda p}{L\varepsilon}\right).$$

Proof Sketch:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{out}(\mathcal{D})] - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D})]$$

$$\leq L\mathbb{E}[\|\theta^{priv} - \theta^*\|_2]$$

$$= L\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_n\|_2]$$

$$= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda p}{L\varepsilon}\right).$$

1 Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation

Objective Perturbation

Gradient Perturbation

DP-ERM with Objective Perturbation

DP-ERM with Objective Perturbation (M_{obj})

Input: Dataset \mathcal{D} , loss function ℓ , privacy parameter ε , convex set \mathcal{C} .

Input: Strong convexity parameter λ , Bounds $c_x, c_y, c_{\ell'}, c_{\ell''}$.

- **1** Compute $\varepsilon' \leftarrow \varepsilon 2\log\left(1 + \frac{c_x^2 c_y^2 c_{\ell''}}{\lambda}\right)$.
- 2 If $\varepsilon' > 0$, then $\gamma \leftarrow 0$. Else, $\gamma \leftarrow \frac{c_x^2 c_y^2 c_{\ell''}}{e^{\varepsilon/4} 1}$ and $\varepsilon' \leftarrow \varepsilon/2$.
- **3** Sample $w \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\mathbb{P}(w) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon' \|w\|_2}{2c_x c_y c_{\ell'}}\right)$.
- $\bullet \theta^{priv} \leftarrow \arg\min_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) + w^T \theta + \gamma \|\theta\|_2^2.$

Output: θ^{priv} .

Theorem

Suppose the loss function $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i x_i^T \theta) + r(\theta)$.

Let ℓ be twice differentiable and convex and r be λ -strongly convex.

Let $||x_i|| \le c_x, |y_i| \le c_y, |\ell'(\cdot)| \le c_{\ell'}, |\ell''(\cdot)| \le c_{\ell''}.$

Then M_{obj} is ε -DP.

Proof Sketch: Since $\theta^{priv} := \arg\min_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) + w^T \theta + \gamma \|\theta\|_2^2$, we get the following first-order optimiality condition.

$$w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \ell'_m(y_i x_i^T \theta^{priv}) x_i - \nabla r(\theta^{priv}) - \gamma \theta^{priv}.$$

Let J_w denote the Jacobian of the function that maps θ to w according to the above equation. $J_w(\theta; \mathcal{D}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \ell_m''(y_i x_i^T \theta^{priv}) x_i x_i^T - \nabla^2 r(\theta^{priv}) - \gamma$.

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\theta^{priv}|\mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(\theta^{priv}|\mathcal{D}')} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(w|\mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(w'|\mathcal{D}')} \frac{|\det(J_w(\theta^{priv};\mathcal{D}))|}{|\det(J_w(\theta^{priv};\mathcal{D}'))|}.$$

Proof Sketch: (continued) Let

$$A = J_w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}'),$$

$$E = J_w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - J_w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}')$$

$$= y_i'^2 \ell_m''(y_i' x_i'^T \theta^{priv}) x_i' x_i'^T - y_i^2 \ell_m''(y_i x_i^T \theta^{priv}) x_i x_i^T.$$

Observe that E has rank at most 2. Moreover,

$$|\lambda_1(E)| + |\lambda_2(E)| \le |y_i'^2 \ell_m''(y_i' x_i'^T \theta^{priv})| ||x_i'||^2 + |y_i^2 \ell_m''(y_i x_i^T \theta^{priv})| ||x_i||^2$$

$$\le 2c_x^2 c_y^2 c_{\ell''}.$$

Hence, $|\lambda_1(E)| \cdot |\lambda_2(E)| \le c'^2$. Since r is λ -strongly convex, A is $(\lambda + \gamma)$ -strongly convex. Hence, $|\lambda_i(A^{-1}E)| < |\lambda_i(E)|/(\lambda + \gamma)$ for i = 1, 2.

Proof Sketch: (continued)

$$\left| \frac{\det(J_w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}))}{\det(J_w(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}'))} \right| = \frac{|\det(A+E)|}{|\det(A)|}
= |\det(I+A^{-1}E)|
= |(1+\lambda_1(A^{-1}E))(1+\lambda_2(A^{-1}E))|
= |1+\lambda_1(A^{-1}E) + \lambda_2(A^{-1}E) + \lambda_1(A^{-1}E)\lambda_2(A^{-1}E)|
\leq 1 + \frac{2c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda+\gamma} + \frac{c_x^4c_y^4c_{\ell''}^2}{(\lambda+\gamma)^2} = \left(1 + \frac{c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda+\gamma}\right)^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Case I: } \varepsilon' := \varepsilon - 2\log\left(1 + \frac{c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda}\right) > 0. \text{ It follows that } \left(1 + \frac{c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda + \gamma}\right)^2 \leq e^{\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}. \\ &\text{Case II: } \varepsilon' := \varepsilon - 2\log\left(1 + \frac{c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda}\right) > 0. \text{ Here, } \left(1 + \frac{c_x^2c_y^2c_{\ell''}}{\lambda + \gamma}\right)^2 = e^{\varepsilon/2} = e^{\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Sketch: (continued)

$$||w - w'|| = ||y_i'\ell_m'(y_i'x_i'^T\theta^{priv})x_i' - y_i\ell_m'(y_ix_i^T\theta^{priv})x_i|| \le 2c_x c_y c_{\ell'}.$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(w|\mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(w'|\mathcal{D}')} = \frac{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon'\|w\|_2}{2c_xc_yc_{\ell'}}}}{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon'\|w'\|_2}{2c_xc_yc_{\ell'}}}} = e^{\frac{\varepsilon'(\|w'\|_2 - \|w\|_2)}{2c_xc_yc_{\ell'}}} \le e^{\varepsilon'}.$$

$$\therefore \frac{\mathbb{P}(\theta^{priv}|\mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(\theta^{priv}|\mathcal{D}')} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(w|\mathcal{D})}{\mathbb{P}(w'|\mathcal{D}')} \frac{|\det(J_w(\theta^{priv};\mathcal{D}))|}{|\det(J_w(\theta^{priv};\mathcal{D}'))|} \\
\leq e^{\varepsilon - \varepsilon'} e^{\varepsilon'} \\
= e^{\varepsilon}.$$

Theorem

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, assume the setting with $\gamma=0$. Then $\mathbb{E}[M_{obj}(\mathcal{D})] \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*;\mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c_x c_y c_{\ell'} p}{\varepsilon \lambda}\right)$.

Proof Sketch:

Observe that $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) = 0$ and $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}) + w = 0$. From strong convexity,

$$\|\theta^* - \theta^{priv}\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\lambda} (\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}))^T (\theta^* - \theta^{priv}) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} w^T (\theta^* - \theta^{priv})$$

Therefore, $\|\theta^* - \theta^{priv}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|w\|$. From the definition of θ^{priv} , we have,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) + w^T \theta^{priv} \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + w^T \theta^* \implies \mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) \leq w^T (\theta^* - \theta^{priv}).$$

Proof Sketch: (continued)

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta^{priv}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) \leq \|w\| \|\theta^* - \theta^{priv}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|w\|^2.$$
 Since $\mathbb{P}(w) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon' \|w\|_2}{2c_x c_y c_{\ell'}}\right)$, $\mathbb{E}[\|w\|^2] = \mathcal{O}(2c_x c_y c_{\ell'} \sqrt{p}/\varepsilon')$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{obj}(\mathcal{D})] \le \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{c_x c_y c_{\ell'} p}{\varepsilon \lambda}\right)$$

1 Non-Private Machine Learning

Problem Formulation - ERM Properties of Loss Functions Algorithms - Gradient Descent

2 Differentially Private ERM

Exponential Mechanism Output Perturbation Objective Perturbation

DP-ERM with Gradient Perturbation

DP-GD

Input: Dataset \mathcal{D} , loss function ℓ , privacy parameters (ε, δ) , convex set \mathcal{C} .

Input: learning rate η , iterations T, initialization $\theta_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

2 For t = 1, ..., T do

$$\widetilde{g}_{t} \leftarrow \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta_{t}; \mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} \mathcal{I}_{p}\right),$$

$$u_{t} \leftarrow \theta_{t} - \eta \widetilde{g}_{t},$$

$$\theta_{t+1} \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(u_{t}).$$

3
$$\theta_{GD} \leftarrow \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \theta_t$$
.

Output: θ_{GD} .

Privacy Guarantee of DP-ERM with Gradient Perturbation

Theorem

Let \mathcal{L} be convex and L-Lipschitz. Then M_{GD} is (ε, δ) -DP.

Proof Sketch: Let Observe that ℓ_2 sensitivity of g_t is L. From Gaussian mechanism, each iteration is $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{T},\delta/T)$ -DP. Applying advanced composition gives the desired result.

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Gradient Perturbation

PGD Convergence

Let \mathcal{L} be convex and L-Lipschitz. Set $\eta = \frac{|\mathcal{C}|}{\sqrt{T(L^2 + p\sigma^2)}}$. Then $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\theta_{GD}; \mathcal{D})] \leq \mathcal{L}(\theta^*; \mathcal{D}) + \frac{2L\|\mathcal{C}\|\sqrt{L^2 + p\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{T}}.$

Proof Sketch:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})] &= \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:T}}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t}}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t-1}}[\mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t}}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})|\widetilde{g}_{1:t-1}]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t-1}}[\mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t}}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}|\widetilde{g}_{1:t-1}]^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{g}_{1:t-1}}[\eta g_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\eta g_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})]. \end{split}$$

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Gradient Perturbation

*Proof Sketch: (continued)*Similar to the proof of PGD convergence, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\theta_{t})] - \mathcal{L}(\theta^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{E}[\eta g_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{E}[\eta \widetilde{g}_{t}^{T}(\theta_{t} - \theta^{*})]$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta \mathbb{E}[\|g_{t}\|^{2}]}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{t} - \theta^{*}\|^{2}] - \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^{*}\|^{2}] \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta(L^{2} + p\sigma^{2})}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left(\|\theta_{t} - \theta^{*}\|^{2} - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^{*}\|^{2} \right) . \square$$

Utility Guarantee of DP-ERM with Gradient Perturbation

Proof Sketch: (continued)

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(\theta_{GD})] - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*) \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{L}(\theta_t) - \mathcal{L}(\theta^*)\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta(L^2 + p\sigma^2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\eta(L^2 + p\sigma^2)}{2} + \frac{1}{2\eta T} \left(\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_T - \theta^*\|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta(L^2 + p\sigma^2)}{2} + \frac{\|\mathcal{C}\|^2}{\eta T}.$$