

# Database System

## Homework #1 (Chapter 3)

**3.11.** Suppose that each of the following Update operations is applied directly to the database state shown in Figure 3.6. Discuss all integrity constraints violated by each operation, if any, and the different ways of enforcing these constraints.

**Figure 3.6**

One possible database state for the COMPANY relational database schema.

### EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

### DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

### DEPT\_LOCATIONS

Dnumber	Dlocation
1	Houston
4	Stafford
5	Bellaire
5	Sugarland
5	Houston

### WORKS\_ON

Essn	Pno	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

### PROJECT

Pname	Pnumber	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

### DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

- a.** Insert <'Robert', 'F', 'Scott', '943775543', '1972-06-21', '2365 Newcastle Rd, Bellaire, TX', M, 58000, '888665555', 1 > into EMPLOYEE.
- b.** Insert <'ProductA', 4, 'Bellaire', 2 > into PROJECT.
- c.** Insert <'Production', 4, '943775543', '2007-10-01' > into DEPARTMENT.
- d.** Insert <'677678989', NULL, '40.0' > into WORKS\_ON.
- e.** Insert <'453453453', 'John', 'M', '1990-12-12', 'spouse' > into DEPENDENT.
- f.** Delete the WORKS\_ON tuples with Essn = '333445555'.
- g.** Delete the EMPLOYEE tuple with Ssn = '987654321'.
- h.** Delete the PROJECT tuple with Pname = 'ProductX'.
- i.** Modify the Mgr\_ssn and Mgr\_start\_date of the DEPARTMENT tuple with Dnumber = 5 to '123456789' and '2007-10-01', respectively.
- j.** Modify the Super\_ssn attribute of the EMPLOYEE tuple with Ssn = '999887777' to '943775543'.
- k.** Modify the Hours attribute of the WORKS\_ON tuple with Essn = '999887777' and Pno = 10 to '5.0'.

**3.15.** Consider the following relations for a database that keeps track of business trips of salespersons in a sales office:

SALESPERSON(Ssn, Name, Start\_year, Dept\_no)

TRIP(Ssn, From\_city, To\_city, Departure\_date, Return\_date, Trip\_id)

EXPENSE(Trip\_id, Account#, Amount)

A trip can be charged to one or more accounts. Specify the foreign keys for this schema, stating any assumptions you make.

**3.17.** Consider the following relations for a database that keeps track of automobile sales in a car dealership (OPTION refers to some optional equipment installed on an automobile):

CAR(Serial\_no, Model, Manufacturer, Price)

OPTION(Serial\_no, Option\_name, Price)

SALE(Salesperson\_id, Serial\_no, Date, Sale\_price)

SALESPERSON(Salesperson\_id, Name, Phone)

First, specify the foreign keys for this schema, stating any assumptions you make.

Next, populate the relations with a few sample tuples, and then give an example of an insertion in the SALE and SALESPERSON relations that violates the referential integrity constraints and of another insertion that does not.

**3.19.** Consider a **STUDENT** relation in a **UNIVERSITY** database with the following attributes (Name, Ssn, Local\_phone, Address, Cell\_phone, Age, Gpa). Note that the cell phone may be from a different city and state (or province) from the local phone. A possible tuple of the relation is shown below:

Name	Ssn	Local_phone	Address	Cell_phone	Age	Gpa
George Shaw	123-45-6789	555-1234	123 Main St.,	555-4321	19	3.75
William Edwards			Anytown, CA 94539			

- Identify the critical missing information from the **Local\_phone** and **Cell\_phone** attributes. (*Hint:* How do you call someone who lives in a different state or province?)
- Would you store this additional information in the **Local\_phone** and **Cell\_phone** attributes or add new attributes to the schema for **STUDENT**?
- Consider the **Name** attribute. What are the advantages and disadvantages of splitting this field from one attribute into three attributes (first name, middle name, and last name)?
- What general guideline would you recommend for deciding when to store information in a single attribute and when to split the information?
- Suppose the student can have between 0 and 5 phones. Suggest two different designs that allow this type of information.