Table 11. Performance under different reviewer-validator model combinations and slicing algorithms. The results suggest that the validator plays a more critical role, as it is closer to the final decision output. Interestingly, a combination of a weaker reviewer and a stronger validator can achieve comparable or even superior performance, indicating potential room for improvement through heterogeneous model pairing.

	Slicing Algorithm	KBI↑	$\mathbf{FAR}_1 \downarrow$	CPI ₁ ↑	$\mathbf{FAR}_2 \downarrow$	CPI ₂ ↑
LLaMA3.1-405B as reviewer, LLaMA3.1-70B as validator						
	Original Diff	2.22	42.22	4.28	0.00	4.35
	Parent Function	6.67	20.00	12.31	0.00	12.50
	Left Flow	2.22	39.26	4.29	66.67	4.17
	Full Flow	0.00	35.56	_	_	_
LLaMA3.1-70B as reviewer, LLaMA3.1-405B as validator						
	Left Flow	17.78	55.74	25.37	51.04	26.08
	Full Flow	13.33	66.11	19.14	45.83	21.40
LLaMA3.1-405B as both reviewer and validator						
	Original Diff	11.11	90.11	10.46	71.00	16.07
	Parent Function	11.11	89.48	10.81	65.33	16.83
	Left Flow	20.00	75.37	22.07	43.52	29.54
	Full Flow	20.00	77.96	20.97	67.59	24.73