

## Licenses are not sufficient

So why is it a problem that the concepts of free software and open source are intrinsically tied to licenses? It's that the aims and goals of both of these movements are about *distribution* and therefore *consumption*, but what people care about most today is about the *production* of software. Software licences regulate *distribution*, but cannot regulate *production*. (technically they can, but practically, they can't. I get into this below.) This is also the main challenge of whatever comes after open source; they cannot rely on the legal tactics of the last generation. I don't have solutions here.

A relentless desire for efficiency.

What for?