

# Homework 5

## Problem 1

(a)

$$f(x) = -x^T Ax = -\sum_{i,j} x_i A_{ij} x_j$$

the first-order optimality conditions:

$$\nabla f(x) + w_1 \nabla g_1(x) + w_2 \nabla g_2(x) = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow -2Ax - 2w_1 x + w_2 v_1 = \mathbf{0}, w_i \geq 0$$

By the **Handout 7-2 Theorem 3**, we convert Problem (1) to  $\min f(x) = -x^T Ax$  and it's easy to verify that  $f(x)$  is continuously differentiable in  $R^n$ . Consider  $\nabla g_1(x) = -2x$ ,  $\nabla g_2(x) = v_1$  is independent because not  $\exists k, s. t. -2x \equiv kv_1$ .

(b)

Consider the result in (a), let it  $\cdot v_1^T$  at left and right, the we get:

$$-2Axv_1^T - 2w_1 xv_1^T + w_2 v_1 v_1^T = \mathbf{0} = w_2 \|v_1\|_2^2$$

which indicate that  $w_2 = 0$ , thus we have  $Ax = w_1 x$ , which means the optimal result must be eigenvector, so we have:

$$f(x) = -x^T Ax = \lambda \|x\|_2^2 = \lambda$$

which is equal to some eigenvalue.

However, due to  $v_1^T x = 0$ , thus  $x \neq v_1$ , so the optimal value can only be the second largest eigenvalue of A. Thus,  $\lambda_2$  is the second largest eigenvalue of A and  $v_2$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_2$

## Problem 2

1°  $\Rightarrow$

Because  $x^*$  is an optimal solution and  $f(x)$  is continuous differentiable convex function. Thus,  $\forall x \in C, f(x) \geq f(x^*)$ , and  $\nabla f(x^*)(x - x^*) \geq 0$

By **Handouts 2 Theorem3**, we have  $x^* = \Pi_C(x^* - \nabla f(x^*))$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^* \in C \text{ and } (x - x^*)(x^* - \nabla f(x^*) - x^*) = -(x - x^*)\nabla f(x^*) \leq 0, \forall x \in C$$

Thus,  $x^* = \Pi_C(x^* - \nabla f(x^*))$

2°  $\Leftarrow$

Because  $x^* = \Pi_C(x^* - \nabla f(x^*))$ , we have  $\nabla f(x^*)(x - x^*) \geq 0$ , and  $f(x)$  is continuous differentiable convex function. Thus,  $f(x) \geq f(x^*) + \nabla f(x^*)(x - x^*) \geq f(x^*)$ ,  $\forall x \in C$ .

Thus,  $x^*$  is an optimal solution.

## Problem 3

We can convert this problem to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \quad z \\
& \text{subject to} \quad g_1(x) - z \leq 0 \\
& \quad \quad \quad g_2(x) - z \leq 0 \\
& \quad \quad \quad \dots \\
& \quad \quad \quad g_m(x) - z \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

1° : $\Rightarrow$

Because  $x^*$  is an optimal solution, by **KKT condition** and Slater condition, we have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* \nabla g_j(x^*) = \mathbf{0}, u^* \geq \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$u_j^*(g_j(x^*) - z^*) = 0, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\text{Thus, for } g_j(x^*) < \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}, u_j^* = 0$$

Besides,  $g_j(x^*) = \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}$  must exists, so that  $u^* \neq \mathbf{0}$  exists and can scale it to satisfy  $\sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* = 1$

2° : $\Leftarrow$

For given  $x^*$ , there exist a vector  $u^* \in R^m$ , s. t.

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* \nabla g_j(x^*) = \mathbf{0}, u^* \geq \mathbf{0}, \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* = 1$$

$$u_j^* = 0, \text{ if } g_j(x^*) < \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}$$

$$z^* = \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}$$

$$\text{let } I = \{j | g_j(x^*) = \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}\},$$

$$1. \forall i \in I, \nabla g_i(x^*) = \mathbf{0}:$$

$$\forall x \in R^n, z = \max\{g_i(x)\}_{i=1\dots m} \geq \max\{g_i(x)\}_I$$

$$g_i \text{ is convex function, thus } \forall i \in I, g_i(x) \geq g_i(x^*)$$

$$\text{thus, } z^* \leq z, \forall x$$

$$2. \exists i, \nabla g_i(x^*) \neq \mathbf{0}:$$

because  $g_i$  is convex function, thus

$$\forall x \in R^n, \exists i \in I, \nabla g_i(x^*)(x - x^*) \geq 0 \Rightarrow g_i(x) \geq g_i(x^*)$$

$$z = \max\{g_i(x)\}_{i=1\dots m} \geq \max\{g_i(x)\}_I \geq z^*$$

In summary,  $x^*$  is an optimal solution if and only if the condition above it true.

## Problem 4

(a)

$x^T A x = A \cdot x x^T, x_i^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow x^T E_i x = 1 = E_i \cdot x x^T$ , where  $E_i$  is matrix  $e_{ii} = 1$  and other is 0.

let  $X = x x^T$ , the semidefinite relaxation of Problem (3) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf \quad A \cdot X \\
& \text{subject to} \quad E_i \cdot X = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (P) \\
& \quad \quad \quad X \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

dual of (P):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup \quad e^T y \\
& \text{subject to} \quad \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & 0 & 0, \dots, 0 \\ 0 & y_2 & 0, \dots, 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & y_n \end{bmatrix} + S = A \quad (D) \\
& \quad y \in R^n, S \in S_+^n
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to solve (D) that  $e^T y = \text{tr}(A)$  with  $y_i = A_{ii}$ , if (D) is solvable, which means  $A_{ij} \geq 0, i \neq j$

At this condition, (P) has solution  $A \cdot X = \text{tr}(A) = e^T y$  with  $X = E$ .

Besides, if exist  $A_{ij} < 0, i \neq j$ , then  $v_p^* = -\infty$  and (D) cannot be solved.

So, the duality gap is zero.

(c)

$$\theta(w) = \inf_{x \in R^n} \{x^T A x + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (1 - x_i^2)\} = e^T w + \inf_{x \in R^n} x^T (A - \text{Diag}(w)) x$$

consider, if exist  $A_{ij} < 0, i \neq j$ , then

$$\inf_{x \in R^n} x^T (A - \text{Diag}(w)) x = (A - \text{Diag}(w)) \cdot (xx^T) \text{ must be } -\infty \text{ and } \theta(w) = -\infty$$

So the maximum target holds  $A - \text{Diag}(w) \in S_+^n$  when  $\theta(w) > -\infty$

Besides, when  $A - \text{Diag}(w) \in S_+^n, \inf_{x \in R^n} x^T (A - \text{Diag}(w)) x = 0$ , so  $\theta(w) = e^T w$

So we can equivalent Problem(4) to:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup \quad e^T w \\
& \text{subject to} \quad \text{Diag}(w) + S = A \\
& \quad w \in R^n, S \in S_+^n
\end{aligned}$$

which is same as (D) in (b)

## Problem 5

(a)

$$\text{Let } f(x) = x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + 16x_3^2$$

It is easy to verify that  $f(x)$  is a continuous function on  $R^3$

let  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1, f(x) = 21$ , so  $\inf f(x) \leq 21, x_1 x_2 x_3 = 1$ , so we can let  $B = \{x | x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2 \leq 21\}$  as an add condition which will not effect the origin problem.

So that  $\{x | x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\} \cup B$  is a compact and  $f$  is continuous,  $\inf f$  must exists

(b)

By **KKT condition**:

$$\nabla f(x) + w \nabla g(x) = (2x_1, 8x_2, 32x_3) + w(x_2 x_3, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_2) = \mathbf{0}$$

there is only one constrain  $g(x)$  so it's must be independent, so the KKT condition is necessary

(c)

$$2x_1 + wx_2x_3 = 0$$

$$8x_2 + wx_1x_3 = 0$$

$$32x_3 + wx_1x_2 = 0$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 = 1$$

we can get  $x = (2, 1, 1/2)$  with  $w = -8$  as the optimal solution set

## Problem 6

The KKT condition of Problem (6):

$$\nabla \text{tr}(AX) + v \nabla \text{tr}((X - X_0)^2) = \mathbf{0}, v \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

with the complementary slackness:

$$v(\text{tr}((X - X_0)^2) - r^2) = 0 \quad (2)$$

we can solve equation (1)  $\Leftrightarrow X = X_0 + \frac{A}{2v}$  so the  $X_0 + \frac{A}{2v} \in S_+$  or the optimal may not exist

combine (1) and (2) :

$$\text{tr}((X_0 - \frac{A}{2v} - X_0)^2) = \frac{\text{tr}(A^2)}{4v^2} \leq r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow v \geq \frac{\sqrt{\text{tr}(A^2)}}{2r}$$

$$\text{tr}(AX) = \text{tr}(AX_0) + \frac{\text{tr}(A^2)}{2v}$$

we can find that there is a optimal solution when  $v = \frac{\sqrt{\text{tr}(A^2)}}{2r}$  with  $X^* = X_0 - \frac{rA}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(A^2)}}$