09/09/2021 12:33

Sep 23: Change to ELB L71

Recall:

(4) Quadratic Programming (QP)

$$f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} Q_{i,j} x_i x_j = x_{Qx}, \quad Q = [Q_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

homogeneous quadratic: $f(\alpha x) = \alpha^2 f(x)$

X: Same as LP

* Compare quadratic constrained QP (QCQP)

inf
$$x^TQx$$

st. $x^TA_ix \leq b_i$, $i=1,\dots,m$.

* Note: Q can be assumed WLOG to be symmetric

When defining f. Indeed, observe

$$\chi^{T}Q\chi = \chi^{T}(\underbrace{\frac{Q+Q^{T}}{2}})\chi$$
Symmetric

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}}{2} \times \\
&= & \frac{1}{2} \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}}{2} \times \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2} \times & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & \chi^{\mathsf{T}} & & \\
&= & \chi^{\mathsf{T}$$

15) Semidefinite Programming (SDP)

Definition: Let $Q \in S^n$. Then, the following are equivalent: Set of nxn real Sym. matrices

- (1) Q îs positive semidefinite (psd)
- (2) ∀x ∈ R^ : x dx 30
- (3) All eigenvalues of Q are non-negative.

Let C, Az, ..., Am & Sn; bi, ..., bm &IR be given.

(SDP) s.t.
$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i A_i > 0$$
 (*) (linear matrix

s.t.
$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i A_i > 0$$
 (*) (linear matrix inequality (LMI))

Kemarks

(1) (x) is equivalent to
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i A_i \quad \forall i = 0$$
 $M(y) \quad |R^m \ni y| \rightarrow M(y) \in S^m$

Note: M(.) is a linear map: Ya, BEIR, y, ZEIRM, $M(\alpha y + \beta z) = \alpha M(y) + \beta M(z)$ (exercise)

Suppose that C, Ai, ..., Am are diagonal. Then, (x) becomes (2)

$$\begin{bmatrix}
c_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
c_n & \cdots$$

How about more LMIs in the constraint? (3)

$$\frac{e.g.}{C_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i A_i} \frac{1}{k_i} 0 \qquad (exercise) \left[C_1 \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \left[A_i \right] \frac{1}{k_i}$$

$$C_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i B_i \frac{1}{k_i} 0 \qquad (c_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \left[A_i \right] \frac{1}{k_i}$$

(Hint: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \in S^n$. Then, $A \gtrsim 0$ iff $A_1, A_2 \gtrsim 0$ (why?))

Reformulation Examples

- (1) Air Traffic Control
 - . n planes arriving
 - . it plane arrives within [as, bi]
 - · assume that the planes land in order

· Let to be the assigned landing time of ith plane

From Solution deline the shortest meterine time as

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
& & & & & & \\
& & & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& &$$

· Goal: maximize shortest metering time

piecewise linear

St.
$$q_i \le t_i \le b_i$$
 $i=1,...,n$ } linear $t_i \le t_{i+1}$ $i=1,...,n-1$ } constraints

max
$$\overline{z}$$

St. $Q_i \le t_i \le b_i$, $t_i \le t_{i+1}$ At optimality,

equality is

can be
$$2 = \min_{1 \le i \le n-1} \{t_{i+1} - t_i\}$$
achieved (why?)

replaced by \overline{z}

Max
$$\overline{z}$$

St. $Q_i \le t_i \le b_i$, $t_i \le t_{i+1}$
in variables
$$\overline{z} \le t_{i+1} - t_i$$

$$\overline{z} \le t_{i+1} - t_i$$

(2) Data Fitting

data points $(a_i,b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $i=1,\dots,m$. $a_i \longrightarrow [?] \longrightarrow b_i$ input output

Need to restrict the class of functions for the black box

Typical choice: affine function $f(y) = x^{T}y + t \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ linear constant

Goal: Find (x,t) s.t. f(a;) = b; Vi

