



Bell's Palsy Severity Determination by Computer Vision and Machine Learning

Background & Motivation

- **Goal:** Develop computer vision and machine learning software to grade **Facial Nerve Paralysis** (FNP) and to assist diagnosis and recovery tracking.
- **Observer bias** commonly arises when FNP patients are seen and diagnosed by clinicians, as reported in [1], which showed that a machine learning (ML) based approach found less facial asymmetry in severe FNP patients and more asymmetry in healthy faces than clinicians.
- We hope to train an ML model that grades patient facial palsy severity on the House-Brackmann scale.




Approach



- Work in [2] demonstrated successful application of ML in determining whether a face is healthy or ill with FNP by using the ML-based software *Emotrics* [3] to automatically predict key facial landmarks from facial images and then computing facial features to determine health or illness.
- *Emotrics* [3] is used as a landmark detector after training on a dataset [4] consisting of 60 patients with a spectrum of types and severities of FNP.
- A landmark detector trained on the **patient population** minimizes potential **model bias** [5].

Validating Landmark Detection



- Emotrics [2] claims to have accurate results under various conditions; however, small image changes, i.e., rotations or adding noise, lead to less accurate results.
- Applying **test-time augmentation** leads to better results by intentionally introducing random small rotations and/or noise and using the resulting median of the predicted landmarks.



Feature Computation


- While feature computation can be used to train the ML algorithm to grade the severity of FNP, landmarks alone can be used in the training and may reduce structural bias.
- Features also serve informative purposes by highlighting certain characteristics (i.e., asymmetry in certain regions of the face) that are abnormal relative to healthy patients.

Feature Distribution: Healthy vs. Patient - Lip Puckering



Preliminary Results

- Difference between predicted landmarks and Ground Truth landmarks using the Toronto NeuroFace (TNF) Dataset [5].
- Calculation of **RMSE** to quantitatively compare landmark detection accuracy using various algorithm on one image.



Conclusions

- Assessed the performance of a landmark predictor and applied test-time augmentation to improve accuracy.
- Using the NeuroFace dataset, preliminarily showed that the median of augmented points is more accurate.
- After acquiring more patient data, will train the model to provide FNP grading with the landmark points as input.

References

- [1] Miller, Matthew Q., *et al.* "The Auto-eFACE: Machine Learning-Enhanced Program Yields Automated Facial Palsy Assessment Tool." *Plastic and reconstructive surgery* vol. 147, 2 (2021): 467-474. doi:10.1097/PRS.00000000000007572
- [2] Parra-Dominguez, *et al.* "Facial Paralysis Detection on Images Using Key Point Analysis." *Appl. Sci.* 2021, 11, 2435. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11052435
- [3] Guarin, Diego L., *et al.* "Toward an Automatic System for Computer-Aided Assessment in Facial Palsy." *Facial plastic surgery & aesthetic medicine* vol. 22, 1 (2020): 42-49. doi:10.1089/fpsam.2019.29000.gua
- [4] Greene, Jacqueline J., *et al.* "The spectrum of facial palsy: The MEEI facial palsy photo and video standard set." *The Laryngoscope* vol. 130, 1 (2020): 32-37. doi:10.1002/lary.27986
- [5] Bandini, Andrea, *et al.* "A New Dataset for Facial Motion Analysis in Individuals With Neurological Disorders." *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics* vol. 25, 4 (2021): 1111-1119. doi:10.1109/JBHI.2020.3019242