Optimal bound on the quantum Fisher information

Based on few initial expectation values

Iagoba Apellaniz [1], Matthias Kleinmann [1], Otfried Gühne [2], & Géza Tóth [1,3,4]

iagoba.apellaniz@gmail.com

[1]Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country, Spain
[2]Naturwissenschaftlich-Technische Fakultät, Universität Siegen, Germany
[3]IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Spain
[4]Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary

Recent Advances in Quantum Metrology; Warsaw - 2016

Outline

1. *Many* **inequalities** have been proposed to lower bound the quantum Fisher Information.

Bounds for qFI

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] \geq \frac{\langle J_x \rangle^2}{(\Delta J_y)^2}, \qquad F_Q[\varrho, J_y] \geq \beta^{-2} \frac{\langle J_x^2 + J_z^2 \rangle}{(\Delta J_z)^2 + \frac{1}{4}},$$

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] \geq \frac{4(\langle J_x^2 + J_y^2 \rangle)^2}{2\sqrt{(\Delta J_x^2)^2 (\Delta J_y^2)^2} + \langle J_x^2 \rangle - 2\langle J_y^2 \rangle (1 + \langle J_x^2 \rangle) + 6\langle J_y J_x^2 J_y \rangle}$$

[L. Pezzé & A. Smerzi, PRL **102**, 100401 (2009)]

[Z. Zhang & L.-M. Duan, NJP **16**, 103037 (2014)]

[**I.A.**, B. Lücke, J. Peise, C. Klempt & G. Toth, NJP **17**, 083027 (2015)]

1. *Many* **inequalities** have been proposed to lower bound the quantum Fisher Information.
2. For large systems, we only have *a couple of expectation values* to characterise the state.



1. *Many* **inequalities** have been proposed to lower bound the quantum Fisher Information.
2. For large systems, we only have *a couple of expectation values* to characterise the state.

1. *Many* **inequalities** have been proposed to lower bound the quantum Fisher Information.
2. For large systems, we only have *a couple of expectation values* to characterise the state.
3. The archetypical criteria that shows *metrologically useful entanglement*.

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] \geq \frac{\langle J_x \rangle}{(\Delta J_z)^2}$$

[L. Pezzé & A. Smerzi, PRL **102**, 100401 (2009)]

1. *Many* **inequalities** have been proposed to lower bound the quantum Fisher Information.
2. For large systems, we only have *a couple of expectation values* to characterise the state.
3. The archetypical criteria that shows *metrologically useful entanglement*.
4. It is essential either to *verify them or find new ones* for different set of expectation values.

The non-trivial exercise of computing the qFI

- Different forms of the qFI

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] = 2 \sum_{\lambda,\gamma} \frac{(p_\lambda - p_\gamma)^2}{p_\lambda + p_\gamma} |\langle\lambda|J_z|\gamma\rangle|^2$$

Alternatively, as convex roof

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] = \min_{\{p_k, |\Psi_k\rangle\}} 4 \sum_k p_k \left(\Delta J_z\right)^2_{|\Psi_k\rangle}$$

[M.G.A. Paris, Int. J. Quant. Inf. **7**, 125 (2009)]

[G. Tóth & D. Petz, PRA **87**, 032324 (2013)]

[S. Yu, arXiv:1302.5311]

The non-trivial exercise of computing the qFI

- Different forms of the qFI

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] = 2\sum_{\lambda,\gamma} \frac{(p_\lambda - p_\gamma)^2}{p_\lambda + p_\gamma} |\langle\lambda|J_z|\gamma\rangle|^2$$

Alternatively, as convex roof

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] = \min_{\{p_k, |\Psi_k\rangle\}} 4\sum_k p_k \left(\Delta J_z\right)^2_{|\Psi_k\rangle}$$

[M.G.A. Paris, Int. J. Quant. Inf. **7**, 125 (2009)]

[G. Tóth & D. Petz, PRA **87**, 032324 (2013)]

[S. Yu, arXiv:1302.5311]

- For pure states it's extremely simple

$$F_Q[\varrho, J_z] = 4\left(\Delta J_z\right)^2$$

Optimisation based on the Legendre Transform

- When $g(\varrho)$ is a *convex roof*

$$g(\varrho) \geq \mathcal{B}(w := \mathrm{Tr}\left[\varrho W\right]) = \sup_{r} \left(rw - \sup_{|\psi\rangle} [r\langle W\rangle - g(|\psi\rangle)] \right).$$

[O. Gühne, M. Reimpell & R.F. Werner, PRL **98**, 110502 (2007)]
[J. Eisert, F.G.S.L. Brandão & K.M.R. Audenaert, NJP **9**, 46 (2007)]

Optimisation for the qFI

The *simplicity* of qFI for pure states leads to

$$\mathcal{F}(w) = \sup_r \left(rw - \sup_\mu [\lambda_{\max}(rW - 4(J_z - \mu)^2)] \right).$$

For more parameters

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w}) = \sup_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sup_\mu [\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{W} - 4(J_z - \mu)^2)] \right).$$

[**I.A.**, M. Kleinmann, O. Gühne & G. Tóth, arXiv:1511.05203]

- Measuring F_{GHZ} and F_{Dicke}

- Measuring F_{GHZ} and F_{Dicke}





- Measuring F_{GHZ} and F_{Dicke}



- For fidelity of GHZ $\Longrightarrow$ *analytic soulution*

$$\mathcal{F} = \Theta(F_{\mathrm{GHZ}} - 0.5)(2F_{\mathrm{GHZ}} - 1)^2 N^2$$

Measuring $\langle J_z \rangle$ and $(\Delta J_x)^2$ for Spin Squeezed States

1. 3 operators $\{J_z, J_x, J_x^2\}$

Measuring $\langle J_z \rangle$ and $(\Delta J_x)^2$ for Spin Squeezed States

1. 3 operators $\{J_z, J_x, J_x^2\}$
2. Projecting $\mathcal{F}$ onto $\langle J_x \rangle$ direction

$$\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}(\langle J_x \rangle = 0)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\langle J_z \rangle, (\Delta J_x)^2) := \mathcal{F}(\langle J_z \rangle, \langle J_x^2 \rangle)$$

Measuring $\langle J_z \rangle$ and $(\Delta J_x)^2$ for Spin Squeezed States

1. 3 operators $\{J_z, J_x, J_x^2\}$
2. Projecting $\mathcal{F}$ onto $\langle J_x \rangle$ direction

$$\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}(\langle J_x \rangle = 0)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\langle J_z \rangle, (\Delta J_x)^2) := \mathcal{F}(\langle J_z \rangle, \langle J_x^2 \rangle)$$

3. Pezze-Smerzi bownd, $F_Q \geq \langle J_z \rangle^2 / (\Delta J_x)^2$, can be verified.

- 4-particle system





Extreme similarity with respect to P-S bownd $F_Q \geq \langle J_z \rangle^2 / (\Delta J_x)^2$.

[**I.A.**, M. Kleinmann, O. Güne & G. Tóth, arXiv:1511.05203]

Metrology with unpolarised Dicke states

1. 3 operators $\{J_x^2, J_y^2, J_z^2\}$; Constraint $\Rightarrow \langle J_x^2 \rangle = \langle J_y^2 \rangle$.

Metrology with unpolarised Dicke states

1. 3 operators $\{J_x^2, J_y^2, J_z^2\}$; Constraint $\Rightarrow \langle J_x^2\rangle = \langle J_y^2\rangle$.
2. For $\sum_l \langle J_l^2\rangle = \frac{N}{2}(\frac{N}{2}+1)$ and 6 particles:



Realistic characterisation of Dicke state

Experiment $\rightarrow$ [B. Lücke *et al.*, PRL **112**, 155304 (2014)]

Experimental details of unpolarized Dicke state with BEC

$$N = 7900 \qquad \langle J_z^2 \rangle = 112 \pm 31$$

$$\langle J_x^2 \rangle = \langle J_y^2 \rangle = 6 \times 10^6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6$$

- For that large system we use *extrapolation methods*.

Extrapolation:

1. Map proportionally expectation values and the unknown bound to *symmetric subspace*.

$$\langle J_z^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 145.69 \qquad \langle J_x^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 7.8 \times 10^6$$

Extrapolation:

1. Map proportionally expectation values and the unknown bound to *symmetric subspace*.

$$\langle J_z^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 145.69 \qquad \langle J_x^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 7.8 \times 10^6$$

2. Reduce the particle number while keeping $\langle J_z^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N}$ constant

$$2\langle J_x^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N'} = \frac{N'}{2}\left(\frac{N'}{2} + 1\right) - \langle J_z^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N}.$$

Extrapolation:

1. Map proportionally expectation values and the unknown bound to *symmetric subspace*.

$$\langle J_z^2\rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 145.69 \qquad \langle J_x^2\rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N} = 7.8\times 10^6$$

2. Reduce the particle number while keeping $\langle J_z^2\rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N}$ constant

$$2\langle J_x^2\rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N'} = \tfrac{N'}{2}(\tfrac{N'}{2}+1) - \langle J_z^2\rangle_{\mathrm{sym},N}.$$

3. The extrapolation is directly obtained by

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}}{\sum_l \langle J_l^2\rangle} \gtrsim \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{sym},N}}{N^2/4} \approx \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{sym},N'}}{N'^2/4}.$$

- Obtaining a numerical lower bound this *large system*.



The extrapolated lower bound *converges quickly*.

[**I.A.**, M. Kleinmann, O. Güne & G. Tóth, arXiv:1511.05203]

Conclusion and Outlook

1. We proof that for realistic cases *the optimisation is feasible*.

Conclusion and Outlook

1. We proof that for realistic cases *the optimisation is feasible*.
2. We used *our approach to verify* the tight bounding of P-S inequality.

Conclusion and Outlook

1. We proof that for realistic cases *the optimisation is feasible*.
2. We used *our approach to verify* the tight bounding of P-S inequality.
3. We have shown that the lower bounds can be *improved with few extra considerations*.

Conclusion and Outlook

1. We proof that for realistic cases *the optimisation is feasible*.
2. We used *our approach to verify* the tight bounding of P-S inequality.
3. We have shown that the lower bounds can be *improved with few extra considerations*.
4. For large systems the *optimisation method can be complemented* with scaling considerations.

Conclusion and Outlook

1. We proof that for realistic cases *the optimisation is feasible*.
2. We used *our approach to verify* the tight bounding of P-S inequality.
3. We have shown that the lower bounds can be *improved with few extra considerations*.
4. For large systems the *optimisation method can be complemented* with scaling considerations.
5. The *method is constructed to be universal* and it could be used in many other situations.

Thank you for your attention!

Preprint → arXiv:1511.05203

Groups' home pages

→ *https://sites.google.com/site/gedentqopt*

→ *http://www.physik.uni-siegen.de/tqo/*



iagoba

matthias

otfried

géza