

TSPL: Polymorphic Lambda Calculus and The Calculus of Constructions

Philip Wadler

Tuesday 29 March 2016

1 Polymorphic lambda calculus

The polymorphic lambda calculus, also called System F, was discovered independently by Girard (1972) and Reynolds (1974).

Let A, B, C range over types, and L, M, N range over terms. We write $\Gamma \vdash A : \text{type}$ if A is a well-formed type, and we write $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ if M is a term of type A , where Γ is an environment of pairs of the form $X : \text{type}$ and $x : A$.

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_F A : \text{type}}$$

$$\text{typ id} \frac{(X : \text{type}) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash X : \text{type}}$$

$$\text{fun} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{type} \quad \Gamma \vdash B : \text{type}}{\Gamma \vdash (A \rightarrow B) : \text{type}} \quad \text{all} \frac{\Gamma, X : \text{type} \vdash B : \text{type}}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall X. B) : \text{type}}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_F M : A}$$

$$\text{id} \frac{(x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}$$

$$\text{fun abs} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. N) : A \rightarrow B} \quad \text{fun app} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash (L M) : B}$$

$$\text{typ abs} \frac{\Gamma, X : \text{type} \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\Lambda X. N) : \forall X. B} \quad \text{typ app} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : \forall X. B \quad \Gamma \vdash A : \text{type}}{\Gamma \vdash (L A) : [X \mapsto A]B}$$

The reduction rules, not including congruences, are:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x:A. N) M &\longrightarrow [x \mapsto M]N \\ (\Lambda X. N) A &\longrightarrow [X \mapsto A]N \end{aligned}$$

Product, unit, sum, and empty types can be defined in terms of these, as can natural numbers.

$$\begin{aligned}
A \times B &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Z. (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow Z \\
(V, W) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda Z. \lambda k:A \rightarrow B \rightarrow Z. k \ V \ W \\
\text{fst } L &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \ A \ (\lambda x:A. \lambda y:B. x) \\
\text{snd } L &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \ B \ (\lambda x:A. \lambda y:B. y) \\
1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Z. Z \rightarrow Z \\
() &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda Z. \lambda z : Z. z \\
A + B &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Z. (A \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow Z \\
\text{inl } V &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda Z. \lambda h:A \rightarrow Z. \lambda k:B \rightarrow Z. h \ V \\
\text{inr } W &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda Z. \lambda h:A \rightarrow Z. \lambda k:B \rightarrow Z. k \ W \\
\text{case } L \text{ of } \{ \text{inl } x \Rightarrow M; \text{inr } y \Rightarrow N \} : C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \ C \ (\lambda x:A. M) \ (\lambda y:B. N) \\
0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Z. Z \\
\text{case } L \text{ of } \{ \} : C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \ C \\
\text{Nat} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Z. (Z \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \\
Z &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda Z. \lambda s:Z \rightarrow Z. \lambda z:Z. z \\
S &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda n:\text{Nat}. \Lambda Z. \lambda s:Z \rightarrow Z. \lambda z:Z. s \ (n \ Z \ s \ z) \\
m + n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \ \text{Nat} \ S \ n \\
m \times n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \ \text{Nat} \ (\lambda x:\text{Nat}. n + x) \ Z \\
m^n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \ \text{Nat} \ (\lambda x:\text{Nat}. n \times x) \ (S \ Z)
\end{aligned}$$

2 Calculus of Constructions

The calculus of constructions was proposed by Coquand and Huet (1988). It is the basis of the system used in Coq.

Let A, B, C, L, M, N range over constructions (which encompass both terms and types), and let s range over either **type** or **prop**, which are called *sorts*. If $\Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{type}$ then we say A is a type, while if $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ we say term M has type A , where Γ is an environment of pairs of the form $x : A$ (which includes $x : \mathbf{type}$).

Where we previously wrote $\forall X. B[X]$ we now write $\forall x:\mathbf{type}. B[x]$, and where we previously wrote $\Lambda X. B[X]$ we now write $\lambda x:\mathbf{type}. B[x]$.

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_F M : A}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{id} \frac{(x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad \text{type} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{prop} : \mathbf{type}} \quad \text{all} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash (\forall x : A. B) : s} \\
 \\
 \text{abs} \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x:A. N) : \forall x:A. B} \quad \text{app} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : \forall x:A. B \quad \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash (L M) : [x \mapsto M]B} \\
 \\
 \text{conv} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s \quad A =_\beta B}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}
 \end{array}$$

We have the following abbreviation.

$$A \rightarrow B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x:A. B \quad \text{if } x \notin B$$

Not including congruences, there is only one reduction rule.

$$(\lambda x:A. N) M \longrightarrow [x \mapsto M]N$$

System F is included in the Calculus of Constructions. We can also define many other things, such as equality of terms of type A .

$$(x =_A y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall P : A \rightarrow \mathbf{prop}. P x \rightarrow P y$$

References

- T. Coquand and G. Huet. The calculus of constructions. *Inf. Comput.*, 76(2-3):95–120, Feb. 1988. ISSN 0890-5401. doi: 10.1016/0890-5401(88)90005-3. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401\(88\)90005-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401(88)90005-3).
- J.-Y. Girard. *Interprétation Fonctionnelle et Élimination des Coupures de l'Arithmétique d'Ordre Supérieur*. Thèse de doctorat d'état, Université Paris VII, Paris, France, June 1972.
- J. C. Reynolds. Towards a theory of type structure. In *Programming Symposium*, volume 19 of *LNCS*, pages 408–425. Springer-Verlag, 1974.