The goal of this note is to derive the input-output formalism in both frequency. I time-domain and master equations for controlling the polarization of two-mode light signal using controllable atomic polarizability of an ensemble of atoms surrounding a waveguirde. Frequency domain: First, we start with a two-level atom interacting with a dispersive traveling light, and see how the output light is changed. with  $\{\hat{H}_F = \sum_{m,j} \{d\beta, t \omega_{\beta}, \hat{a}_{m}^{\dagger}(\beta), \hat{a}_{m}(\beta) + \sum_{m,j} \{d\beta, t \omega_{\beta}, \hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger}(\beta), \hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger}(\beta), \hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger}(\beta) = \sum_{m,j} \{d\omega, t \omega, \hat{a}_{m}(\omega), +\sum_{m,j} \{d\omega, t \omega, \hat{a}_{m}^{\dagger}(\omega), \hat{a}_{m}(\omega), +\sum_{m,j} \{d\omega, t \omega, \hat{a}_{m}^{\dagger}(\omega), \hat{a}_{m}(\omega), +\sum_{m,j} \{d\omega, t \omega, \hat{a}_{m}^{\dagger}(\omega), \hat{a$ | Fin = EEg go + ZELTa -> chose the ghantum transition between le & 13> states, and sum over all excited & ground states. we have defined  $\hat{a}_{n}(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \hat{a}_{n}(\beta) = \frac{1}{3} \hat{a}_{n}(\beta)$  and  $\hat{a}_{v}(\omega) = \frac{1}{3} \hat{a}_{v}(\beta)$ .  $[\hat{a}_{u}(\beta), \hat{\alpha}_{u}^{\dagger}(\beta)] = \delta_{uu}(\beta(\beta - \beta'), [\hat{a}_{u}(\omega), \hat{a}_{u}^{\dagger}(\omega')] = \delta_{uu}(\delta(\omega - \omega'), \hat{a}_{u}^{\dagger}(\omega'))$  $\widehat{H}_{int} = -\widehat{d} \cdot \widehat{\overline{E}} = -\widehat{d}_{eg} \cdot \widehat{\overline{E}}^{(r)}(\vec{r}) - \widehat{d}_{ge} \cdot \widehat{\overline{E}}^{(r)}(\vec{r}) \qquad \widehat{d}_{eg} = \widehat{P}_{e} \widehat{d} \widehat{P}_{g} , \widehat{d}_{eg} = \langle e | \widehat{d} | g \rangle.$ The interaction Hamiltonian is  $-\epsilon \hat{a}_{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\dagger}$ ,  $\epsilon\omega$ ) =  $\delta_{\nu\nu}$ ,  $\delta\epsilon\beta$ - $\beta$ ,  $\delta\epsilon\omega$ - $\omega$ ) [ guided field  $\vec{E}_{g}^{(r)}(\vec{v}) = \sum_{m,f} \int dw \sqrt{\frac{n}{v_g}} \hat{a}_{m}(w) \vec{u}^{(u)}(\vec{v}_1) e^{-f\beta 2}$ If we define the (ight-atom coupling strengths by  $\int_{kn_{z}}^{kn_{z}} d\beta \sqrt{\hbar w} \, \hat{a}_{y}(w) \, \vec{u}^{(v)}(\vec{r_{1}}) \, e^{i\beta z}$ to get (w) = \tau \text{Trw deg. U(w) (v'\_1') to get (w) = Now deg. U(V)(VI) where the atomic dipole moment rector can be obtained through deg =  $\text{deg}\,\widehat{\zeta_1}$  =  $\text{def}\,[g_2]\,\widehat{\zeta_2}$ . Now the interaction Hamiltonian can be rewritten as Hint = - If Idw. to [ ges (w) an Geg + ges (w) an Geg]  $- \sum_{m,p} \int dw \int_{-m_2}^{kn_2} d\beta \ \hbar \left[ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{S}}^{eg}(\omega) \ \widehat{a_{\mathcal{V}}} \ \widehat{\mathsf{G}}_{eg} \ + \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{V}}^{eg*}(\omega) \ \widehat{a_{\mathcal{V}}} \ \widehat{\mathsf{G}}_{\bar{g}} \right]$ To sum up, the total Hamiltonian in the fraguency domain is  $\widehat{H} = \underset{m, \uparrow}{\mathbb{Z}_{f}} \int \int dw \cdot \hbar w \, \widehat{a}_{u}^{\dagger} \, \widehat{a}_{u}(w) + \underset{m, \rho}{\mathbb{Z}_{f}} \int dw \int_{+m_{e}}^{m_{e}} d\beta \, \hbar w \, \widehat{a}_{v}^{\dagger} \, \widehat{a}_{v}(w) + \underset{\pi}{\mathbb{Z}_{f}} \widehat{c}_{g} + \underset{\pi}{\mathbb{Z}_{f}} \widehat{c}_{g}$ If all quantum operators are not explicitly time-dependent, the Heisenberg equations of motion can be given by  $\left(\frac{d\hat{a}_{u}}{dt} = \frac{\lambda}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{a}_{u}] = -i f \omega \hat{a}_{u}(u) + \lambda \sum_{e,s} g_{u}^{eg^{*}}(w) \hat{g}_{e}^{s}$ 0  $\frac{d \stackrel{\cdot}{av}}{dt} = \frac{\lambda}{h} \left[ \stackrel{\cdot}{h}, \stackrel{\cdot}{av} \right] = -i w \stackrel{\cdot}{a}_{v}(w) + i \stackrel{Z}{\rightleftharpoons} g_{s}^{g}(w) \stackrel{\cdot}{\mathfrak{f}_{ge}}$ (v) $\frac{d\widehat{G}_{ge}}{dt} = \frac{1}{h}[\widehat{H}, \widehat{G}_{ge}] = -i \underset{\text{ord}}{\text{wey}}\widehat{G}_{ge} - i \underset{\text{int}}{\text{fo}} dw \underset{\text{int}}{\text{Z}} \left[ (\widehat{g}_{u}^{ig} \widehat{G}_{ie} - \widehat{g}_{u}^{ie} \widehat{G}_{je}) \widehat{\Omega}_{u} + (\widehat{g}_{u}^{ig} \widehat{G}_{ie} - \widehat{g}_{ie}^{ie} \widehat{G}_{je}) \widehat{\Omega}_{u}^{+} \right]$ (3)  $=\hat{\tau}\int_{s}^{\infty}dw\int_{-kn_{x}}^{kn_{z}}d\beta\cdot\underset{\tilde{\tau},m_{p}}{\mathbb{Z}}\left(g_{\nu}^{3g}\widehat{\tau_{ie}}-g_{\nu}^{e\hat{\tau}}\widehat{\tau_{g_{i}}}\right)\widehat{a_{\nu}}+\left(g_{\nu}^{g\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}\widehat{\tau_{ee}}-g_{\nu}^{\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}}\widehat{\tau_{g_{i}}}\right)\widehat{a_{\nu}}\right)$ Used: [or, vois ] = Sir or, - Siror, all induces are summed over all excited & ground states Notice that, for the scenario of real-time control on the atonic state with a fast changing light signal, each equation above will have a 30 term added at the end. One can use the new set of the equations to correct the error due to the sportaneous emission, and evolve the atomic or photonic state to a target state. Some conclusions drawn in a tomography as a branch of optimization theory also works in control cheory as well.

> When we only conside one fine structure excited level as all the other excited fine structure levels are

(5)

relatively far-defuned, then the ât & ât vanish in the die equation. That is

 $\frac{d\widehat{Q}_{ge}}{dt} = -i \operatorname{weg} \widehat{Q}_{ge} - i \int_{0}^{\infty} dw \sum_{n,j} \left[ \sum_{n,j} (\widehat{Q}_{in}^{ij} \widehat{G}_{ie} - \widehat{Q}_{in}^{ei} \widehat{Q}_{i}) \widehat{Q}_{iu} + \int_{-kn_{2}}^{kn_{2}} d\beta \sum_{n,p} (\widehat{Q}_{ip}^{ij} \widehat{G}_{ie} - \widehat{Q}_{ei}^{ei} \widehat{Q}_{i}) \widehat{Q}_{iu} \right]$ 

Integrating the equations above will give the following solutions:

(A.1) - 1 1/2 - ifw(tt-ti) . T. qeg\* (t 1/2 - ifw(tt-t) a.11

Research Page

```
Integrating the equations above will give the following solutions:
 \left(\hat{Q}_{w}(t) = \hat{Q}_{w}(t) e^{-i \int_{W} (t-t)} + i \sum_{e=g}^{eg*} \int_{w}^{t} dt' e^{-i \int_{W} (t-t')} \hat{Q}_{ge}(t')\right)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  (5)
   \hat{a}_{\nu}(t) = \hat{a}_{\nu}(t) e^{-i\omega(t+t)} + i \sum_{e,g} g_{\nu}^{eg*} \int_{t_{e}}^{t} dt' e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \hat{c}_{ge}(t')
 one can substitute the solution above into type (), and use the standard Markov approximation that
                \int_{\infty}^{\infty} dw \, e^{-jwf(t-t')} |g_{\nu}^{eg}(w)|^2 \, \widehat{g}_{e}(t') \simeq \pi \delta(t-t') |g_{\nu}^{eg}(w_{eg})|^2 \, \widehat{g}_{e}(t) \quad \text{with } \nu = M, \nu,
 to obtain
     \frac{d\hat{G}_{ge}}{dt} = -i w_{eg} \hat{G}_{ge} - i \int_{0}^{\infty} dw \sum_{i} \left\{ \sum_{n,j} (\hat{g}_{i}^{ig} \hat{G}_{ie} - \hat{g}_{n}^{ei} \hat{G}_{g}^{i}) \hat{Q}_{u} ct.) e^{-if_{u}(t-t_{0})} + \int_{kn_{0}}^{kn_{0}} d\beta \sum_{n,j} (\hat{g}_{i}^{ig} \hat{G}_{ie} - \hat{g}_{ei}^{ei} \hat{G}_{i}^{i}) \hat{Q}_{i} ct.) e^{-iw_{0}t-t_{0}} \right\}
                    + T Z, (2) { Z, (3) { 20 ges to che Te - gei ges to ge ) + [kn. d ] Z, (3) ges to che Te - gei ges to ge ) }
               -\pi \sum_{g,e'} \left[ \sum_{m,f} g^{eg'} g^{e'g'*} + \int_{kn,}^{kn} d\beta \sum_{m,p} g^{eg'} g^{e'g'*} \right] \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{ge'}
                = -i Weg Oge - 5 Tee Gge
                        -i\int_{0}^{\infty}dw\sum_{i}\left\{\sum_{m,j}\left(g_{i}^{i,j}\widehat{C}_{i}e^{-g_{i}}\widehat{C}_{j};\right)\widehat{\Omega}_{n}(t,)e^{-ifw(t-t,)}+\int_{-kn,i}^{kn,j}d\beta\sum_{m,j}\left(g_{i}^{i,j}\widehat{C}_{i}e^{-g_{i}}\widehat{C}_{j};\right)\widehat{\Omega}_{\nu}(t,0)e^{-iw(t-t,0)}\right\}
         Tee' = 277 Z Z geg' geg'* + Shazalp Z geg' ge'g'*
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (8)
 The first term is the decay going into the guided modes and the second term is the decay going into the vadiative males.
 We can espand each term for the guided-mode part as below.
2\pi g_{u}^{eg'}g_{u}^{e'g'*} = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{u_{eg'}u_{e'g'}}}{\hbar v_{g}} \left( \langle e|\hat{d}|g'\rangle, \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{u}(\vec{v}_{1}') \right) \left( \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{u}^{*}(\vec{v}_{1}'), \langle g'|\hat{d}|e'\rangle \right)
                            \simeq \frac{2\eta W_0 g'}{\hbar v_g} < e |\widehat{d}| g' > (\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mu}(\widetilde{\Gamma_{k'}}) \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\mu}(\widetilde{\Gamma_{k'}})) \cdot < g' |\widehat{d}| e' >
                             =\frac{1}{\pi}\langle e|\hat{\mathcal{T}}|g'\rangle\cdot Im[\hat{\mathcal{T}}^{(0)}(\vec{k},\vec{k}';w=wg')]\cdot\langle g'|\hat{\mathcal{T}}|e'\rangle
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  9
 For the excited states |e\rangle = |n|_{5'}, |F',m'\rangle and ground states |g\rangle = |n|_{S_{3'}}, |F|_{5'}, |F|_{5'} we have |e|\hat{d}|g\rangle = \langle n|_{5'}||d||n|_{S_{3}} \rangle \sum_{g=\pm 1,0} O_{JF}^{J'F'} C_{F'm'}^{Fm;12} \hat{e}_{g}^{*} in the basis of |F|_{5'} |F|_{5'}
                                                                                                                                   3 e=e' gives e=e decay terms
 The allowed transitions for Eq. 9 are sketched below:
 We may use the properties of Clabsch- Gordon Coefficients below to simplify our result
     \sum_{F'=|F_1-F_2|}^{F_1+F_2} \sum_{M'=-F}^{F_1-F_2} \langle F_1, M_1; 1, 2 \mid F'M' \rangle \langle F'M' \mid F_1, M_2; 1, 2' \rangle = \langle F_1, M_1; 1, 2 \mid F_1, M_2; 1, 2' \rangle = \delta_{M,M,2} \delta_{Z} g'.
    \sum_{m,q} \langle F, m | F, m, | q \times F, m, | q | F, m' \rangle = \langle F, m | F, m' \rangle = \delta F \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \delta m m'
O, Q terms always cancel when Im[64+3] is isomophic—that is for the vacuum case. But in general,
those terms may not cancel there could be other relations I haven't thought of)
 Leave this for future works. The rest of derivation is partially in the paper draft
```

Research Page