

Smart Contract Audit Report

General Information

Project Name: Password StoreSmart Contract Address: None

Audit Date: 2024-01-25Audit Tools Used: None

• Auditors: Barba

Executive Summary

This Security Review is the first one of the Cyfrin Security and Auditing course. A simple codebase is explored to give a introduction to audit process.

Methodology

In this research were applied technics of manual code review and tests suits.

Audit Findings

Critical Vulnerabilities

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private.

Description:

All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The
 PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through
 the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the
 contract.

We show one such method of reading any data off chain below

Impact:

• Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept:

- The below test case show how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain.
- 1. Create a locally running chain

anvil

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
forge script script/DeployPasswordStore.s.sol:DeployPasswordStore --rpc-url
http://localhost:8545 --private-key <PRIVATE_KEY> --broadcast
```

3. Run the storage tool We use 1 as storageSlot because that's the storage slot of s_password in the contract.

```
cast storage <contractAddress> <storageSlot> --rpc-url http://localhost:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can parse that hex to a string with:

And get and output of:

```
myPassword
```

Recommendation:

• ue to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

Status:

None

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description:

• The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a new password.

▶ Code

Impact:

 Anyone can set / change the password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept:

• Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

► Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);

    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommendation:

Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function.

► Code

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

Status:

None

Minor Observations

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

► Code

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec say it should be getPassword(string).

Recommendation:

- Remove the incorrect natspec line.
- •

- * @param newPassword The new password to set.

Status:

• None

Conclusion

The vulnerabilities found in this review were basic and obvious. However, were a great introduction to practices of code auditing.

Appendices