# ADVANCED MATERIALS

## Whitesides' Group: Writing a Paper\*\*

### By George M. Whitesides\*

#### 1. What is a Scientific Paper?

A paper is an organized description of hypotheses, data and conclusions, intended to instruct the reader. Papers are a central part of research. If your research does not generate papers, it might just as well not have been done. "Interesting and unpublished" is equivalent to "non-existent".

Realize that your objective in research is to formulate and test hypotheses, to draw conclusions from these tests, and to teach these conclusions to others. Your objective is not to "collect data".

A paper is not just an archival device for storing a completed research program; it is also a structure for *planning* your research in progress. If you clearly understand the purpose and form of a paper, it can be immensely useful to you in *organizing* and conducting your research. A good outline for the paper is also a good plan for the research program. You should write and rewrite these plans/outlines throughout the course of the research. At the beginning, you will have mostly plan; at the end, mostly outline. The continuous effort to understand, analyze, summarize, and reformulate hypotheses on paper will be immensely more efficient for you than a process in which you collect data and only start to organize them when their collection is "complete".

#### 2. Outlines

#### 2.1. The Reason for Outlines

I emphasize the central place of an outline in writing papers, preparing seminars, and planning research. I especially believe that for you, and for me, it is most *efficient* to write papers from outlines. An *outline* is a written plan of the organization of a paper, *including* the data on which it rests. You should, in fact, think of an outline as a carefully organized and presented set of data, with attendant objectives, hypotheses, and conclusions, rather than an outline of text.

An outline itself contains little text. If you and I can agree on the details of the outline (that is, on the data and organization), the supporting text can be assembled fairly easily. If we do *not* agree on the outline, any text is useless. Much of the *time* in writing a paper goes into the text; most of the *thought* goes into the organization of the data and into the analysis. It can be relatively efficient in time to go through several (even many) cycles of an outline before beginning to write text; writing many versions of the full text of a paper is slow.

All writing that I do—papers, reports, proposals (and, of course, slides for seminars)—I do from outlines. I urge you to learn how to use them as well.

#### 2.2. How Should You Construct an Outline?

The classical approach is to start with a blank piece of paper, and write down, in any order, all important ideas that occur to you concerning the paper. Ask yourself the obvious questions: "Why did I do this work?"; "What does it mean?"; "What hypotheses did I mean to test?"; "What ones did I actually test?"; "What were the results? Did the work yield a new method of compound? What?"; "What measurements did I make?"; "What compounds? How were they characterized?". Sketch possible equations, figures, and schemes. It is essential to try to get the major ideas. If you start the research to test one hypothesis, and decide, when you see what you have, that the data really seem to test some other hypothesis better, don't worry. Write them both down, and pick the best combinations of hypotheses, objectives, and data. Often the objectives of a paper when it is finished are different from those used to justify starting the work. Much of good science is opportunistic and revisionist.

When you have written down what you can, start with another piece of paper and try to organize the jumble of the first one. Sort all of your ideas into three major heaps (1–3).

#### 1. Introduction

Why did I do the work? What were the central motivations and hypotheses?

#### 2. Results and Discussion

What were the results? How were compounds made and characterized? What was measured?

#### 3. Conclusions

What does it all mean? What hypotheses were proved or disproved? What did I learn? Why does it make a difference?

<sup>[\*]</sup> Prof. G. M. Whitesides Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138 (USA) E-mail: gmwhitesides@gmwgroup.harvard.edu

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> The text is based on a handout created on October 4, 1989.