## Vuvuzela: Scalable Private Messaging Resistant to Traffic Analysis

\*Jelle van den Hooff, \*David Lazar, Matei Zaharia, and Nickolai Zeldovich MIT CSAIL

## **Abstract**

Private messaging over the Internet has proven challenging to implement, because even if message data is encrypted, it is difficult to hide metadata about *who* is communicating in the face of traffic analysis. Systems that offer strong privacy guarantees, such as Dissent [36], scale to only several thousand clients, because they use techniques with superlinear cost in the number of clients (e.g., each client broadcasts their message to all other clients). On the other hand, scalable systems, such as Tor, do not protect against traffic analysis, making them ineffective in an era of pervasive network monitoring.

Vuvuzela is a new scalable messaging system that offers strong privacy guarantees, hiding both message data and metadata. Vuvuzela is secure against adversaries that observe and tamper with all network traffic, and that control all nodes except for one server. Vuvuzela's key insight is to minimize the number of variables observable by an attacker, and to use differential privacy techniques to add noise to all observable variables in a way that provably hides information about which users are communicating. Vuvuzela has a linear cost in the number of clients, and experiments show that it can achieve a throughput of 68,000 messages per second for 1 million users with a 37-second end-to-end latency on commodity servers.

## 1 Introduction

Many users would like their communications over the Internet to be private, and for some, such as reporters, lawyers, or whistleblowers, privacy is of paramount concern. Encryption software can hide the *content* of messages, but adversaries can still learn a lot from *metadata*—which users are communicating, at what times they communicate, and so on—by observing message headers or performing traffic analysis. For example, if Bob repeatedly emails a therapist, an adversary might reasonably infer that he is a patient, or if a reporter is communicating with a government employee, that employee

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author.

Copyright is held by the owner/author(s). *SOSP'15*, Oct. 4–7, 2015, Monterey, California, USA. ACM 978-1-4503-3834-9/15/10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2815400.2815417

might come under suspicion. Recently, officials at the NSA have even stated that "if you have enough metadata you don't really need content" [33: ¶7] and that "we kill people based on metadata" [23]. This suggests that protecting metadata in communication is critical to achieving privacy.

Unfortunately, state-of-the-art private messaging systems are unable to protect metadata for large numbers of users. Existing work falls into two broad categories. On the one hand are systems that provide strong, provable privacy guarantees, such as Dissent [36] and Riposte [12]. Although these systems can protect metadata, they either rely on broadcasting all messages to all users, or use computationally expensive cryptographic constructions such as Private Information Retrieval (PIR) to trade off computation for bandwidth [34]. As a result, these systems have scaled to just 5,000 users [36] or hundreds of messages per second [12].

On the other hand, scalable systems like Tor [16] and mixnets [9] provide little protection against powerful adversaries that can observe and tamper with network traffic. These systems require a large number of users to provide any degree of privacy, so as to increase the anonymity set for each user, but even then are susceptible to traffic analysis. Adding cover traffic to try to obscure which pairs of users are communicating has been shown to be expensive and to yield only limited protection against a passive adversary over time [14, 26], while adversaries that can actively disrupt traffic (e.g., inject delays) gain even more information [1].

This paper presents Vuvuzela, a system that provides scalable private point-to-point text messaging. Vuvuzela prevents an adversary from learning which pairs of users are communicating, as long as just one out of *N* servers is not compromised, even for users who continue to use Vuvuzela for years. Vuvuzela uses only simple, fast cryptographic primitives, and, using commodity servers, can scale to millions of users and tens of thousands of messages per second. At the same time, Vuvuzela can provide guarantees at a small scale, without the need for a large anonymity set: even if just two users are using the system, an adversary will not be able to tell whether the two users are talking to each other.

Vuvuzela works by routing user messages through a chain of servers, as shown in Figure 1, where each of the servers adds cover traffic to mask the communication patterns of users. Unlike prior systems, Vuvuzela's design enables cover traffic

<sup>\*</sup>David and Jelle contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Vuvuzela cannot hide the fact that a user is connected to Vuvuzela's network, but we expect that users will simply run the Vuvuzela client in the background at all times to avoid revealing the timing of their conversations.