

Analysis of the SSL 3.0 protocol

David Wagner
University of California, Berkeley
daw@cs.berkeley.edu

Bruce Schneier
Counterpane Systems
schneier@counterpane.com

Abstract

The SSL protocol is intended to provide a practical, application-layer, widely applicable connection-oriented mechanism for Internet client/server communications security. This note gives a detailed technical analysis of the cryptographic strength of the SSL 3.0 protocol. A number of minor flaws in the protocol and several new active attacks on SSL are presented; however, these can be easily corrected without overhauling the basic structure of the protocol. We conclude that, while there are still a few technical wrinkles to iron out, on the whole SSL 3.0 is a valuable contribution towards practical communications security.

1 Introduction

The recent explosive growth of the Internet and the World Wide Web has brought with it a need to securely protect sensitive communications sent over this open network. The SSL 2.0 protocol has become a de facto standard for cryptographic protection of Web `http` traffic. But SSL 2.0 has several limitations—both in cryptographic security and in functionality—so the protocol has been upgraded, with significant enhancements, to SSL 3.0. This new version of SSL will soon see widespread deployment. The IETF Transport Layer Security working group is also using SSL 3.0 as a base for their standards efforts. In short, SSL 3.0 aims to provide Internet client/server applications with a practical, widely-applicable connection-oriented communications security mechanism.

This note analyzes the SSL 3.0 specification [FKK96], with a strong focus on its cryptographic security. We assume familiarity with the SSL 3.0 specification. Explanations of some of the cryptographic concepts can be found in [Sch96].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly

gives some background on SSL 3.0 and its predecessor SSL 2.0. Sections 3 and 4 explore several possible attacks on the SSL protocol and offer some technical discussion on the cryptographic protection afforded by SSL 3.0; this material is divided into two parts, with the SSL record layer analyzed in Section 3 and the SSL key-exchange protocol considered in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes with a high-level view of the SSL protocol's strengths and weaknesses.

2 Background

SSL is divided into two layers, with each layer using services provided by a lower layer and providing functionality to higher layers. The SSL record layer provides confidentiality, authenticity, and replay protection over a connection-oriented reliable transport protocol such as TCP. Layered above the record layer is the SSL handshake protocol, a key-exchange protocol which initializes and synchronizes cryptographic state at the two endpoints. After the key-exchange protocol completes, sensitive application data can be sent via the SSL record layer.

SSL 2.0 had many security weaknesses which SSL 3.0 aims to fix. We briefly describe a short list of the flaws in SSL 2.0 which we have noticed. In export-weakened modes, SSL 2.0 unnecessarily weakens the authentication keys to 40 bits. SSL 2.0 uses a weak MAC construction, although post-encryption seems to stop attacks. SSL 2.0 feeds padding bytes into the MAC in block cipher modes, but leaves the padding-length field unauthenticated, which may potentially allow active attackers to delete bytes from the end of messages. There is a ciphersuite rollback attack, where an active attacker edits the list of ciphersuite preferences in the hello messages to invisibly force both endpoints to use a weaker form of encryption than they otherwise would choose; this serious flaw limits SSL 2.0's strength to "least common denominator" security when active attacks are a threat. Others have also discovered some of