BOUNDS FOR SORTING BY PREFIX REVERSAL

William H. GATES

Microsoft, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Christos H. PAPADIMITRIOU*†

Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

For a permutation σ of the integers from 1 to n, let $f(\sigma)$ be the smallest number of prefix reversals that will transform σ to the identity permutation, and let $f(n)$ be the largest such $f(\sigma)$ for all σ in (the symmetric group) S_n. We show that $f(n) \leq (5n+5)/3$, and that $f(n) \geq 17n/16$ for n a multiple of 16. If, furthermore, each integer is required to participate in an even number of reversed prefixes, the corresponding function $g(n)$ is shown to obey $3n/2 - 1 \leq g(n) \leq 2n + 3$.

1. Introduction

We introduce our problem by the following quotation from [1]

> The chef in our place is sloppy, and when he prepares a stack of pancakes they come out all different sizes. Therefore, when I deliver them to a customer, on the way to the table I rearrange them (so that the smallest winds up on top, and so on, down to the largest at the bottom) by grabbing several from the top and flipping them over, repeating this (varying the number I flip) as many times as necessary. If there are n pancakes, what is the maximum number of flips (as a function $f(n)$ of n) that I will ever have to use to rearrange them?

In this paper we derive upper and lower bounds for $f(n)$. Certain bounds were already known. For example, consider any stack of pancakes. An *adjacency* in this stack is a pair of pancakes that are adjacent in the stack, and such that no other pancake has size intermediate between the two. If the largest pancake is on the bottom, this also counts as one extra adjacency. Now, for $n \geq 4$ there are stacks of n pancakes that have no adjacencies whatsoever. On the other hand, a sorted stack must have all n adjacencies and each move (flip) can create at most one adjacency. Consequently, for $n \geq 4$, $f(n) \geq n$. By elaborating on this argument, M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson and S. Lin [2] showed that $f(n) \geq n + 1$ for $n \geq 6$.

For upper bounds—algorithms, that is—it was known that $f(n) \leq 2n$. This can be seen as follows. Given any stack we may start by bringing the largest pancake on top and then flip the whole stack: the largest pancake is now at the bottom,