# Comparison of Tools for Software Architecture Extraction of Asynchronous Microservice Systems

Jonas Frey

Institute of Information Security and Dependability (KASTEL) Advisor: M.Sc. Snigdha Singh

English abstract.

Keywords

# 1 Introduction

This chapter will provide a motivation of why a systematic literature review about tools for architecture extraction of asynchronous systems is necessary.

In chapter 2, we will provide some foundation knowledge. In chapter 3, we will talk about the design and goal of this literature review and the selection of the papers. In chapter 4, we will present the results and compare them using five different aspects. We will discuss these results in chapter 5 and talk about related work in chapter 6, before drawing conclusions in chapter 7.

# 2 Foundation

In the following sections, we will provide some foundation knowledge for the rest of the paper.

# 2.1 Microservice Architecture

In a microservice architecture, the software is partitioned into many small components ("microservices"), which operate independently of each other and communicate via messages [Dra+17]. This software architecture style allows the construction of highly reusable components which focus on a single task (e.g. applying a watermark to a video). This loose coupling allows for independent teams to work on different components or even the use of off-the-shelf components. Also, scaling the application can be achieved by

simply duplicating the bottlenecked microservices [Dra+17]. A microservice is defined by its provided- and required-interfaces, which allow for the message-exchange with other components [SKK21].

# 2.2 Asynchronous RESTful Communication

Microservices that communicate asynchronously are typically realized in one of two kinds. Either using a RESTful pattern or using message-based communication.

Some systems use microservices that communicate asynchronously via asynchronous HTTP REST interfaces. There are two interaction scenarios for this kind of asynchronous communication. One possibility is that the initial HTTP request returns an HTTP code 202 (Accepted) and provides a location where the microservice can query the status of the operation. Once the operation on the server has finished, the provided location will return the results of the query. [MW18]

Alternatively, the microservice may be required to provide a callback method (e.g. a web hook, [Lin]) where the server can send the results once the operation has finished [MW18].

We will refer to both of these communication methods as RESTful asynchronous communication in the following paper.

# 2.3 Message-based Communication

Contrary to RESTful asynchronous communication, other microservice systems use message-based asynchronous communication. These systems deploy a message broker, a component that other components dynamically bind to. This message broker is then used to send and receive messages and is responsible for the distribution of these messages. Using a message broker allows for better system performance. [SKK21]

# 2.4 Software Architecture Extraction (SAR)

Software Architecture Extraction (SAR) is the process of reverse engineering a software architecture from a given system. Vital for building this architecture is information about the communication between the different components. This information is extracted using either a static (using only static inputs, e.g. source code), dynamic (using runtime information, e.g. logs) or hybrid (using both) approach. In the context of asynchronous communication, a static extraction algorithm would in the case of a RESTful asynchronous communication, analyze the HTTP calls made in code to determine the relationships between the components. In the case of message-based communication, a static approach is unable to extract a useful architecture, since message-based systems exchange those messages only at runtime and thus the required information about which components communicate with each other can only be retrieved as part of a dynamic or hybrid analysis. [SKK21; MW18]

# 2.5 Palladio Component Model

The Palladio Component Model (PCM) is a meta-model for the description of component-based software [BKR09]. It is used to predict the performance properties of component-based software at design-time by specifying a model of the system, its components and how the system is going to be used. [TODO: extend]

# 3 Study Design

This chapter will explain the design of the systematic literature review and how it was executed.

# 3.1 Study Aim

The aim of this paper is to find and compare the tools available for the extraction of the architecture of asynchronous microservice systems. For this purpose, we define two research questions.

[TODO: combine 3.1 and 3.2 into one section?]

# 3.2 Research Questions

The two research questions we want to answer in this paper are

**RQ1.** What are the tools available for the extraction of asynchronous architectures of microservice systems?

**RQ2.** To what extend do the tools support software architecture extraction?

## 3.3 Selecting the Papers

The search for research papers was performed by performing several queries using Google Scholar. The following queries were used to search for papers:

- architecture (extraction OR reconstruction) (dynamic OR logs OR asynchronous)
   microservice
- ("architecture extraction" OR "architecture reconstruction") (dynamic OR logs OR asynchronous) microservice
- reverse engineering (dynamic OR logs OR asynchronous) (microservice OR mixedtechnology)

Additionally, the references of the found results were used to look for further papers. For a paper to be selected, it had to match our selection criteria depicted in Table 1

In total, we will look at five papers, which each present an approach for the extraction of asynchronous architecture of microservice systems.

The papers are

1. ARCHI4MOM [SWK22], [SKK21]

Inclusion	Papers that present an approach for extracting microservice architectures Papers that are able to extract asynchronous architectures
Exclusion	papers that only present a foundation or compare other approaches

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting the papers

```
2. MiSAR [AAE18]
```

- 3. [BHK11]
- 4. MICROLYZE [Kle+18]
- 5. [MW18]

#### 4 Results

Table 2 shows the results of the comparison in tabular format. In the following sections, we will talk about each paper individually.

Each approach will be presented using five aspects:

- 1. **Input** (e.g. source code or logs)
- 2. **Approach** (how the extraction process works)
- 3. **Output** (e.g. PCM or UML)
- 4. **End user** (who the result is intended for)
- 5. **Evaluation** (how were the results evaluated)

#### 4.1 ARCHI4MOM

**Input.** The ARCHI4MOM approach extends the Performance Model Extraction (PMX) approach [Wal+17; SWK22] and therefore takes the same inputs. The first step in the ARCHI4MOM approach is to instrument the source code with the Jaeger tracing tool <sup>1</sup> to collect tracing data. Using the OpenTracing API, ARCHI4MOM then instruments all microservices to generate trace data, which can be used later to reconstruct the asynchronous communication between the microservices. [SWK22]

**Approach.** ARCHI4MOM extends the Performance Model Extraction (PMX) approach [Wal+17; SWK22] to support asynchronous communication. This is achieved by adding a dependency to the OpenTracing API to each microservice to introduce a new set of information that was not present earlier [SWK22]. This tracing data is then collected in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.jaegertracing.io/

the form of JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) files, which become the input of the next phase [SWK22].

The JSON files will then be used to analyze the structure of the traces. For message-based asynchronous communication, this presents a challenge since the information is distributed over different *spans* whereas using synchronous communication, it would be in a single span [SWK22]. To match this inter-component communication using middleware, the called method needs to be matched using the OpenTracing API tags [SWK22]. In the next step, the ARCHI4MOM approach looks for send operations that do not have information about the topic *[TODO: explain]* they send to and fills in this information by retrieving it from other send operations in the tracing data [SWK22]. Then the approach iterates over all sending spans that have a FOLLOWS-FROM or *message-bus* relation *[TODO: explain]* tag and propagate their topics to the receiving spans [SWK22].

To reconstruct message-based communication using PMX, the authors extended PMX to support the required Palladio Component Model elements <sup>2</sup>. The authors then implement additional PMX logic to be able to reconstruct asynchronous architectures using these model elements. [SWK22].

**Output.** The output of the ARCHI4MOM approach is a Palladio Component Model (PCM) [SWK22]. This PCM contains the extracted components, as well as the interfaces for communication between each other [SWK22]. The communication channels are represented by *DataChannels* (representing the middleware) and *DataInterfaces* (representing the type of data the interface can send/receive), which are created in the PCM repository as part of the extraction [SWK22].

**End User.** The output of the ARCHI4MOM approach is a PCM. This model can then be used by software architects together with usage scenarios to create simulations, predicting the non-functional properties of the software.

**Evaluation.** To evaluate the approach, the authors created a manual PCM of the Flowing Retail sample application <sup>3</sup>. This manual model was then verified by three developers to be correct and compared to the automatically extracted model using a Goal Question Metric (GQM) plan [Van+02; SWK22]. Using this plan, both sets of model elements (manual and automatic) are then compared using Precision, Recall and F1 score [SWK22]. The automatic approach achieved a precision score of 100%, a recall score of 95.65% and an F1 score of 97.8% [SWK22].

#### 4.2 MiSAR

#### Input.

 $<sup>^2</sup> https://github.com/PalladioSimulator/Palladio-Addons-Indirections/tree/master/bundles/org.palladiosimulator.indirections/model$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://github.com/berndruecker/flowing-retail/tree/master/kafka/java

Name	Input	Output	Approach	<b>End User</b>	Evaluation	Year	Ty
ARCHI4MOM	source code   PCM	PCM	Extend PMX to support   software ar-	software ar-	Comparison with man-	2022	001
			asynchronous architec- chitect	chitect	ual architecture		
			tures				

Table 2: Results

# 5 Discussion

This chapter will discuss the results of the previous chapter.

## **6 Related Work**

This chapter presents other papers which are similar to my work. For example [DP09], which compares different SAR approaches to formulate a state-of-the-art approach or [GIM13], which compares different SAR tools. We will also talk about the fact that [Gra+17] and [Lan+16] could be extended to support asynchronous communication in the future.

# 7 Conclusion

In this chapter, we will recap the findings that we made and finish the paper with concluding remarks.

# References

- [AAE18] Nuha Alshuqayran, Nour Ali, and Roger Evans. "Towards Micro Service Architecture Recovery: An Empirical Study". In: 2018. DOI: 10.1109/ICSA.2018. 00014.
- [BHK11] Fabian Brosig, Nikolaus Huber, and Samuel Kounev. "Automated extraction of architecture-level performance models of distributed component-based systems". In: IEEE, Nov. 2011, pp. 183–192. ISBN: 978-1-4577-1639-3. DOI: 10. 1109/ASE.2011.6100052.
- [BKR09] Steffen Becker, Heiko Koziolek, and Ralf Reussner. "The Palladio Component Model for Model-driven Performance Prediction". In: *Journal of Systems and Software* 82 (2009), pp. 3–22. DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2008.03.066. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.03.066.
- [DP09] S. Ducasse and D. Pollet. "Software Architecture Reconstruction: A Process-Oriented Taxonomy". In: *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 35 (4 July 2009), pp. 573–591. ISSN: 0098-5589. DOI: 10.1109/TSE.2009.19.
- [Dra+17] Nicola Dragoni et al. *Microservices: Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow.* 2017. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-67425-4\_12.
- [GIM13] Joshua Garcia, Igor Ivkovic, and Nenad Medvidovic. "A comparative analysis of software architecture recovery techniques". In: 2013 28th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE). IEEE. 2013, pp. 486–496.
- [Gra+17] Giona Granchelli et al. "Towards recovering the software architecture of microservice-based systems". In: 2017. DOI: 10.1109/ICSAW.2017.48.

- [Kle+18] Martin Kleehaus et al. "MICROLYZE: A framework for recovering the software architecture in microservice-based environments". In: vol. 317. 2018. DOI: 10. 1007/978-3-319-92901-9\_14.
- [Lan+16] Michael Langhammer et al. "Automated extraction of rich software models from limited system information". In: 2016. DOI: 10.1109/WICSA.2016.35.
- [Lin] J Lindsay. Web hooks to revolutionize the web (2007). URL https://web.archive.org/web/20180828032936/hooks-to-revolutionize-the-web/. Tech. rep. Accessed 2014-02-20.
- [MW18] Benjamin Mayer and Rainer Weinreich. "An Approach to Extract the Architecture of Microservice-Based Software Systems". In: 2018. DOI: 10.1109/S0SE. 2018.00012.
- [SKK21] Snigdha Singh, Yves Richard Kirschner, and Anne Koziolek. "Towards extraction of message-based communication in mixed-technology architectures for performance model". In: 2021. DOI: 10.1145/3447545.3451201.
- [SWK22] Snigdha Singh, Dominik Werle, and Anne Koziolek. "ARCHI4MOM: Using Tracing Information to Extract the Architecture of Microservice-Based Systems from Message-Oriented Middleware". In: *European Conference on Software Architecture* (2022).
- [Van+02] Rini Van Solingen et al. "Goal question metric (gqm) approach". In: *Encyclopedia* of software engineering (2002).
- [Wal+17] Jürgen Walter et al. "An Expandable Extraction Framework for Architectural Performance Models". In: *Proceedings of the 8th ACM/SPEC on International Conference on Performance Engineering Companion*. ICPE '17 Companion. L'Aquila, Italy: Association for Computing Machinery, 2017, pp. 165–170. ISBN: 9781450348997. DOI: 10.1145/3053600.3053634. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/3053600.3053634.