



JAMA Pediatr. 2022 Jun; 176(6): e215563.

PMCID: PMC8728661

Published online 2022 Jan 4. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.5563: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.5563

PMID: [34982107](#)

Association of Birth During the COVID-19 Pandemic With Neurodevelopmental Status at 6 Months in Infants With and Without In Utero Exposure to Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Infection

Lauren C. Shuffrey, PhD,¹ Morgan R. Firestein, PhD,¹ Margaret H. Kyle, BA,² Andrea Fields, MA,³ Carmela Alcántara, PhD,⁴ Dima Amso, PhD,³ Judy Austin, PhD,⁵ Jennifer M. Bain, MD, PhD,⁶ Jennifer Barbosa, MA,¹ Mary Bence, BA,² Catherine Bianco, BA,³ Cristina R. Fernández, MD, MPH,² Sylvie Goldman, PhD,⁶ Cynthia Gyamfi-Bannerman, MD, MS,⁷ Violet Hott, BA,² Yunzhe Hu, BA,¹ Maha Hussain, MS,² Pam Factor-Litvak, PhD,⁸ Maristella Lucchini, PhD,¹ Arthur Mandel, MD, PhD,⁶ Rachel Marsh, PhD,¹ Danielle McBriar, MD,⁶ Mirella Mourad, MD,⁹ Rebecca Muhle, MD, PhD,¹ Kimberly G. Noble, MD, PhD,¹⁰ Anna A. Penn, MD, PhD,² Cynthia Rodriguez, BA,¹¹ Ayesha Sania, ScD,¹ Wendy G. Silver, MD, MA,⁶ Kally C. O'Reilly, PhD,^{1, 11} Melissa Stockwell, MD,² Nim Tottenham, PhD,³ Martha G. Welch, MD,^{1, 2, 12} Noelia Zork, MD,⁹ William P. Fifer, PhD,^{1, 2} Catherine Monk, PhD,^{1, 9} and Dani Dumitriu, MD, PhD^{1, 2}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

²Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

³Department of Psychology, Columbia University, New York, New York

⁴School of Social Work, Columbia University, New York, New York

⁵Heilbrunn Department of Population and Family Health, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

⁶Department of Neurology, Division of Child Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

⁷Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla

⁸Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

⁹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center New York, New York

¹⁰Department of Neuroscience and Education, Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, New York

¹¹New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York

¹²Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York

✉ Corresponding author.

Article Information

Accepted for Publication: October 4, 2021.

Published Online: January 4, 2022. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.5563

Correction: This article was corrected on March 7, 2022, to fix the reference number sequence and the running title.

Corresponding Author: Dani Dumitriu, MD, PhD, Departments of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, 1051 Riverside Dr, Ste 4807, New York, NY 10032 (dani.dumitriu@columbia.edu).

Author Contributions: Dr Dumitriu had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Drs Shuffrey and Firestein contributed equally as co-first authors.

Concept and design: Shuffrey, Firestein, Kyle, Fields, Amso, Goldman, Gyamfi-Bannerman, Hussain, Factor-Litvak, Lucchini, Mandel, Penn, Silver, Tottenham, Welch, Monk, Dumitriu.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Shuffrey, Firestein, Kyle, Alcántara, Austin, Bain, Barbosa, Bence, Bianco, Fernandez, Hott, Hu, Hussain, Factor-Litvak, Lucchini, Marsh, McBriar, Mourad, Muhle, Noble, Rodriguez, Sania, O'Reilly, Stockwell, Zork, Fifer, Monk, Dumitriu.

Drafting of the manuscript: Shuffrey, Firestein, Kyle, Fields, Barbosa, Hu, Marsh, Dumitriu.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Shuffrey, Firestein, Kyle, Alcántara, Amso, Austin, Bain, Bence, Bianco, Fernandez, Goldman, Gyamfi-Bannerman, Hott, Hussain, Factor-Litvak, Lucchini, Mandel, Marsh, McBriar, Mourad, Muhle, Noble, Penn, Rodriguez, Sania, Silver, O'Reilly, Stockwell, Tottenham, Welch, Zork, Fifer, Monk, Dumitriu.

Statistical analysis: Shuffrey, Firestein, Kyle, Austin, Factor-Litvak, Lucchini, Sania.

Obtained funding: Shuffrey, Firestein, Lucchini, Marsh, Penn, Fifer, Monk, Dumitriu.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Shuffrey, Kyle, Fields, Barbosa, Fernandez, Hussain, Penn, Rodriguez, Welch.

Supervision: Amso, Bain, Goldman, Hussain, Factor-Litvak, Lucchini, Penn, Fifer, Dumitriu.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Gyamfi-Bannerman reported receiving fees for lectures from Medela and Hologic outside the submitted work. Dr Stockwell reported receiving grants from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance-related projects outside the submitted work. Dr Welch reported receiving gift funds from Einhorn Collaborative, Mary Dexter Stephenson, and Fleur Fairman Family during the conduct of the study. Dr Fifer reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health during the conduct of the study. Dr Monk reported receiving grants from the National Institute of Mental Health during the conduct of the study. Dr Dumitriu reported receiving grants from the National Institute of Mental Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention during the conduct of the study and personal fees for lectures and round-table discussions from Medela outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: Data collection for the historical cohort was supported by the Rita G. Rudel Foundation and by grant K99HD103910 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development (Dr Shuffrey). Data collection for the COMBO study was supported by grant R01MH126531 from National Institute of Mental Health (Drs Marsh, Monk, and Dumitriu), grant P2CHD058486 from Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development awarded to the Columbia Population Research Center at Columbia University, and an award from the Society for Research in Child Development (Dr Firestein).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. National Institute for Mental Health, National Institute of Child Health and Development, and the Rita G. Rudel Foundation.

Additional Contributions: We are grateful for the institutional support provided by the Maternal-Child Research Oversight (MaCRO) Committee and the Departments of Pediatrics, Psychiatry, and Obstetrics and Gynecology at Columbia University Irving Medical Center, which made possible the collection of time-sensitive information at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic before the availability of external funding opportunities. We thank the entire COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes (COMBO) Initiative team for their collaborative and persevering contributions during uncertain times. In addition, we extend particular gratitude toward the families enrolled in COMBO, whose participation continues to inform our understanding of this unprecedented global event.

Received 2021 Aug 21; Accepted 2021 Oct 4.

[Copyright](#) 2022 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

Key Points

Question

Is maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy associated with infant neurobehavioral development at age 6 months?

Findings

In this cohort study of 255 infants born between March and December 2020, exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection was not associated with differences on any Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition, subdomain at age 6 months, regardless of infection timing or severity. However, both exposed and unexposed infants born during that period had significantly lower scores on gross motor, fine motor, and personal-social subdomains compared with a historical cohort of infants born before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Meaning

These findings suggest that birth during the COVID-19 pandemic, but not maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection, is associated with differences in neurodevelopment at age 6 months.

Abstract

Importance

Associations between in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection and neurodevelopment are speculated, but currently unknown.

Objective

To examine the associations between maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, being born during the COVID-19 pandemic regardless of maternal SARS-CoV-2 status, and neurodevelopment at age 6 months.

Design, Setting, and Participants

A cohort of infants exposed to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy and unexposed controls was enrolled in the COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes Initiative at Columbia University Irving Medical Center in New York City. All women who delivered at Columbia University Irving Medical Center with a SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy were approached. Women with unexposed infants were approached based on similar gestational age at birth, date of birth, sex, and mode of delivery. Neurodevelopment was assessed using the Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition (ASQ-3) at age 6 months. A historical cohort of infants born before the pandemic who had completed the 6-month ASQ-3 were included in secondary analyses.

Exposures

Maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy and birth during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Main Outcomes and Measures

Outcomes were scores on the 5 ASQ-3 subdomains, with the hypothesis that maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy would be associated with decrements in social and motor development at age 6 months.

Results

Of 1706 women approached, 596 enrolled; 385 women were invited to a 6-month assessment, of whom 272 (70.6%) completed the ASQ-3. Data were available for 255 infants enrolled in the COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes Initiative (114 in utero exposed, 141 unexposed to SARS-CoV-2; median maternal age at delivery, 32.0 [IQR, 19.0-45.0] years). Data were also available from a historical cohort of 62 infants born before the pandemic. In utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection was not associated with significant differences on any ASQ-3 subdomain, regardless of infection timing or severity. However, compared with the historical cohort, infants born during the pandemic had significantly lower scores on gross motor (mean difference, -5.63; 95% CI, -8.75 to -2.51; $F_{1,267} = 12.63$; $P < .005$), fine motor (mean difference, -6.61; 95% CI, -10.00 to -3.21; $F_{1,267} = 14.71$; $P < .005$), and personal-social (mean difference, -3.71; 95% CI, -6.61 to -0.82; $F_{1,267} = 6.37$; $P < .05$) subdomains in fully adjusted models.

Conclusions and Relevance

In this study, birth during the pandemic, but not in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection, was associated with differences in neurodevelopment at age 6 months. These early findings support the need for long-term monitoring of children born during the COVID-19 pandemic.

This cohort study examines neurodevelopmental status at age 6 months of infants born during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City, comparing those with vs without in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection, and the full pandemic cohort with a historical cohort.

Introduction

Globally, more than 200 million infants have been born since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.¹ During the first 2 weeks of universal testing at the height of the pandemic in New York City (spring of 2020), 14% of laboring women in the Columbia University Irving Medical Center hospital system tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by nasopharyngeal polymerase chain reaction.² To date, 2% of the world's population has been infected at some point during the pandemic. Although impossible to precisely quantify, even the most conservative estimates of the total number of infants worldwide with in utero exposures to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection range in the millions.¹

Fetal exposure to perturbations of the intrauterine environment is implicated in altered brain development and long-term offspring vulnerability for neurodevelopmental and psychiatric sequelae.^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16} Although vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from mother to fetus is rare,^{17,18,19,20,21} data from prior human coronavirus outbreaks (SARS and Middle East respiratory syndrome) suggest that severe infection during pregnancy may be associated with both maternal health²² and increased risk for several adverse infant outcomes through mechanisms related to maternal immune activation.²³ Other viral illnesses during pregnancy are associated with higher risk for neurodevelopmental deficits, including motor delays,²⁴ as in the case of in utero HIV-exposed uninfected infants.^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16} Additional epidemiological support for this association comes from naturalistic studies of viral epidemics that identified population-level associations. Cohort studies of the generation born during the 1918 influenza A virus subtype H1N1 pandemic found lower child educational level attainment and adult socioeconomic status.²⁵ The 1964 rubella pandemic led to a 10- to 15-fold increase in autism spectrum disorder or schizophrenia in offspring.^{26,27} There is a need to determine the associations between fetal exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection and child neurodevelopmental status,^{28,29,30,31,32} especially given the well-established benefits of early identification of at-risk children.^{33,34,35}

The COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes (COMBO) Initiative³⁶ is a prospective cohort study established at Columbia University Irving Medical Center in Spring 2020 to examine associations between in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection and the health and well-being of both mother and children living in New York City, the first US pandemic epicenter. Based on evidence from prior studies,^{24,37,38} we hypothesized that maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy would be associated with delays in social and motor development at age 6 months. In addition, we compared infants born during the COVID-19 pandemic with a historical cohort born at the same medical center using the same neurodevelopmental assessment.

Participants and Methods

Study Design and Participants

Analyses included infants enrolled in COMBO and born between March (oldest enrolled infants) and December 2020 (eFigure, eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#)). All mother-infant dyads participating in COMBO receive prenatal care and deliver at the Columbia University Irving Medical Center–affiliated NewYork-Presbyterian Morgan Stanley Children’s Hospital or NewYork-Presbyterian Allen Pavilion Hospital. All dyads with a documented SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy (exposed cohort) according to the electronic health record (EHR) were invited to participate. Dyads were enrolled during pregnancy or in the first few months postpartum. For each exposed dyad, 1 to 3 unexposed dyads, defined as the absence of EHR documentation of maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy and at delivery, were selected and invited to participate based on infant sex, gestational age (GA) at birth, mode of delivery, and date of birth within a 2-week window. Self-reported race and ethnicity were collected from the EHR and 6-month surveys. Race and ethnicity are reported given known COVID-19 racial and ethnic disparities; however, race and ethnicity were not used for inclusion criteria or as a selection strategy. Study procedures were approved by the Columbia University Irving Medical Center Institutional Review Board for the COMBO cohort and by the New York State Psychiatric Institute Institutional Review Board for the historical cohort and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Participants in both cohorts received financial compensation for their participation. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology ([STROBE](#)) reporting guideline.

Infants included in the historical cohort were recruited from the Well Baby Nursery at Morgan Stanley Children’s Hospital as part of a separate protocol to examine the association between gestational diabetes, newborn neurophysiologic status, and 6-month neurodevelopment, and were born between November 2017 and January 2020. There were no significant group differences between infants exposed and those not exposed to gestational diabetes on any Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition (ASQ-3) subdomain; therefore, all historical infants were combined into a single cohort. Given that this cohort was recruited from the same medical center and that the same 6-month neurodevelopmental measure was used, this situation presented a unique opportunity to examine the association between the COVID-19 pandemic and infant neurodevelopment.

A total of 596 of 1706 women who were approached to participate enrolled in the COMBO Study; 385 women were invited to participate in the 6-month assessment, of whom 272 (70.6%) completed the ASQ-3. Data at age 6 months were available for 272 infants in the pandemic cohort and 71 infants in the historical cohort. Data from 17 pandemic cohort and 9 historical cohort infants were excluded owing to completion of the neurodevelopmental assessment outside of the eligibility window. The final sample included data from 317 infants: 255 in the pandemic cohort (n = 114 exposed, n = 141 unexposed) and 62 in the historical cohort.

Determination of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Status

The hospital practices of NewYork-Presbyterian during the COVID-19 pandemic have been published³⁹ and a detailed account of the classification and potential for misclassification is included in eMethods 1 in the [Supplement](#). Mother-infant separation, which is known to affect neurodevelopmental outcomes,⁴⁰ was never implemented. The labor and delivery units of the NewYork-Presbyterian hospital system implemented universal SARS-CoV-2 testing of all delivering mothers by nasopharyngeal polymerase chain reaction on March 22, 2020, and by serological testing for antibodies on July 20, 2020. Additional symptom-based testing occurred throughout pregnancy and results obtained from external testing sites were recorded in the EHR when possible. Mothers in the

exposed group were identified by automated EHR extraction defined as a positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction and/or serology test during pregnancy (based on conception date calculated from automated extraction of delivery date and GA at birth). For each mother identified by automated extraction, a careful EHR review was conducted to determine the date of symptom onset, which was then referenced to the infant's GA to determine the trimester of in utero exposure. Exact exposure timing was determined for 85 of 114 mothers (75%) included in this analysis. For 29 of 39 (74%) asymptomatic mothers with positive serologic testing, an exact date of symptom onset could not be determined, so the date with the peak number of SARS-CoV-2 infections during the first wave of the pandemic in New York City (April 6, 2020) was used to impute the trimester of exposure. SARS-CoV-2 infection severity was categorized as previously described¹⁷ and is included in eMethods 1 in the [Supplement](#).

Based on EHR and/or maternal report, 5 infants in the pandemic cohort and no infants in the historical cohort were infected with SARS-CoV-2 between birth and the neurodevelopmental assessment.

Assessment of Neurodevelopment

Between 5 months, 0 days, and 6 months, 30 days (mean [SD], 185 [9.97] days, age-adjusted for preterm infants), neurodevelopment was assessed by maternal report using the ASQ-3 in English or Spanish via secure REDCap survey. The ASQ-3 was completed between October 7, 2020, and June 17, 2021, for the pandemic cohort and between May 24, 2018, and July 22, 2020, for the historical cohort. The ASQ-3 is a validated, widely used, standardized, level 1 screening tool based on parental report that reliably assesses 5 key developmental domains: communication, fine and gross motor, problem solving, and personal-social skills. The ASQ-3 has strong concurrent validity ($r = 0.85$), 2-week test-retest reliability ($r = 0.75\text{--}0.82$), interobserver reliability ($r = 0.43\text{--}0.69$), and internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.51\text{--}0.87$). The ASQ-3 provides cutoff scores to indicate possible delay as follows: communication, 29.65; gross motor, 22.25; fine motor, 25.14; problem solving, 27.72; and personal-social, 25.34.^{41,42}

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in R, version 4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). The experimental design (forced-choice online survey and EHR review) resulted in no missingness. Power analyses were based on the primary outcome investigating mean differences in ASQ-3 subdomain scores between infants with and without in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection using analyses of covariance, for which we had 90% power to detect a 0.5-SD difference in each subdomain using a 2-sided test with $\alpha < .05$ (eMethods 2 in the [Supplement](#)). Within the exposed subset, we also examined associations between SARS-CoV-2 timing and severity and ASQ-3 subdomains via analysis of covariance. For secondary analyses, preterm infants ($n = 17$) and term infants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit ($n = 11$) were excluded to match the sample characteristics of the historical cohort. Analyses of covariance were used to determine mean differences in ASQ-3 subdomains between the pandemic ($n = 227$) and historical ($n = 62$) cohorts.

To examine potential demographic differences between groups, comparisons were performed using the χ^2 test for binomial variables and Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. For both primary and secondary analyses, we implemented minimally adjusted (GA at birth, infant sex, and infant age at assessment) and fully adjusted (additionally controlled for maternal race, ethnicity, age at delivery, educational level, parity, and mode of delivery) models. Neonatal intensive care unit admission was additionally included in fully adjusted models only for pandemic cohort analyses. Percentages of infants screening below cutoff levels indicative of delays per ASQ-3 developers⁴¹ were computed for each subdomain and for overall ASQ-3 outcome. The Fisher exact test was used to examine group differences in screening positive for delays between the pandemic and historical cohorts. For ASQ-3 subdomains in which a significant main effect was identified, tertiary analyses examined scores in relation to trimester of pregnancy during the peak New York City SARS-CoV-2 cases (April 6, 2020) using fully adjusted analysis of covariance models with general linear hypothesis testing using Tukey pairwise contrasts. Mean differences with 95% CIs are reported for all significant main outcomes. Estimated marginal means are reported in eTable 7 and eTable 12 in the [Supplement](#). All sensitivity analyses used fully adjusted models. Significance was set at $P < .05$.

Results

Cohort Characteristics

Median age at delivery of the 255 women in the pandemic cohort was 32.0 (IQR, 19.0-45.0) years. Highlighting the disproportionate predilection of COVID-19 in already medically disadvantaged groups, mothers with SARS-CoV-2 infection ($n = 114$) significantly differed from noninfected mothers ($n = 141$) in their self-reported race ($\chi^2 = 16.82; P < .01$), ethnicity ($\chi^2 = 19.54; P < .01$), and educational level ($\chi^2 = 18.87; P < .01$), with a higher proportion of mothers with SARS-CoV-2 infection identifying as other or mixed race (40 [35.1%] vs 29 [20.6%]) and Hispanic or Latino/a/x or Spanish ethnicity (73 [64.0%] vs 55 [39.0%]) and a lower proportion reporting a graduate degree (21 [18.4%] vs 56 [39.7%]) (Table 1). Compared with the healthy, full-term pandemic cohort ($n = 227$), the historical cohort ($n = 62$) significantly differed in race ($\chi^2 = 49.04; P < .01$), ethnicity ($\chi^2 = 36.82; P < .01$), educational level ($\chi^2 = 32.72; P < .01$), and mode of delivery ($\chi^2 = 17.10; P < .01$). Group differences were accounted for in all fully adjusted models.

ASQ-3 Evaluation at Age 6 Months

There were no significant group differences between exposed and unexposed infants on any of the 5 ASQ-3 subdomain scores (communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving, or personal-social skills) at age 6 months ([Figure 1A](#)) in either minimally or fully adjusted models ([Table 2](#)). Most mothers experienced asymptomatic (39 [34%]) or mild (71 [62%]) disease and were infected in the second (54 [47%]) or third (35 [31%]) trimester (eTable 2 in the [Supplement](#)). A small proportion of mothers had severe disease (4 [4%]) and 25 (22%) were infected in the first trimester. Neither symptom severity nor timing of infection showed an association with any ASQ-3 subdomain score in either minimally or fully adjusted models (eTable 3 and eTable 4 in the [Supplement](#)). For example, personal-social ASQ-3 subdomain scores were not associated with maternal SARS-CoV-2 severity ($F_{2,106} = 1.09; P = .34$) or maternal SARS-CoV-2 trimester of infection ($F_{2,106} = 1.21; P = .30$). Results remained nonsignificant after sensitivity analyses were conducted removing asymptomatic women with positive serologic test results with imputed gestational timing ($n = 29$) (eTable 5 in the [Supplement](#)). For example, personal-social ASQ-3 subdomain scores were not associated with maternal SARS-CoV-2 severity ($F_{1,51} = 0.12; P = .74$), even after excluding the 29 infants exposed to asymptomatic maternal SARS-CoV-2 with imputed gestational timing. Sensitivity analyses removing preterm infants and those admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit ($n = 28$) also yielded nonsignificant results (eTable 6 in the [Supplement](#)). For example, personal-social ASQ-3 subdomain scores were not associated with maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection ($F_{1,205} = 0.47; P = .49$), even after excluding the 28 infants born preterm and/or admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit.

Our primary analysis revealed no associations between SARS-CoV-2 status, timing, or severity and ASQ-3 scores. Therefore, exposed and unexposed healthy, term infants with no neonatal intensive care unit admissions in the pandemic cohort were pooled ($n = 227$) and compared with infants in the historical cohort. Compared with those in the historical cohort, infants in the pandemic cohort had significantly lower mean scores on the gross motor (mean difference, -5.63 ; 95% CI, -8.74 to -2.52 ; $F_{1,284} = 12.68; P < .001$; $n^2 = 0.016$), fine motor (mean difference, -6.61 ; 95% CI, -10.04 to -3.18 ; $F_{1,284} = 14.38; P < .001$, $n^2 = 0.016$), and personal-social (mean difference, -3.71 ; 95% CI, -6.61 to -0.82 ; $F_{1,284} = 6.39; P = .01$; $n^2 = 0.005$) subdomains in the minimally adjusted models ([Table 3](#); eTable 7 in the [Supplement](#)). These group differences persisted in the fully adjusted models: gross motor (mean difference, -5.63 ; 95% CI, -8.75 to -2.51 ; $F_{1,267} = 12.63; P < .001$; $n^2 = 0.018$), fine motor (mean difference, -6.61 ; 95% CI, -10.00 to 3.22 ; $F_{1,267} = 14.71; P < .001$; $n^2 = 0.015$), and personal-social (mean difference, -3.71 ; 95% CI, -6.61 to -0.82 ; $F_{1,267} = 6.37; P = .01$; $n^2 = 0.004$) ([Figure 1B](#)). Sensitivity analyses excluding 1 exposed and 4 unexposed infants diagnosed with COVID-19 between birth and ASQ-3 assessments did not alter the findings (eTable 8 in the [Supplement](#)). Sensitivity analyses excluding 15 infants in the historical cohort who were assessed during the pandemic did not alter the findings on fine and gross motor scores, but personal-social scores no longer differed significantly between cohorts (eTable 9 in the [Supplement](#)). Although not specifically powered to detect differences in the proportion of infants who met screening cutoffs for delay, a greater proportion of infants in the pandemic cohort met the gross motor cutoff (1-sided Fisher exact test, $P = .01$) ([Table 3](#)).

As a post hoc analysis, we investigated whether the timing of pregnancy relative to the peak of the pandemic in New York City was associated with scores on the ASQ-3 subdomains that were identified as different between the pandemic and historical cohorts using fully adjusted models. There was a significant association between trimester of pregnancy on infant gross motor ($F_{3,265} = 5.56; P < .01$; $n^2 = 0.022$), fine motor ($F_{3,265} = 6.16; P < .005$; $n^2 = 0.021$), and personal-social scores ($F_{3,266} = 4.96; P < .01$; $n^2 = 0.036$). Compared with the historical control cohort, infants born to mothers who were in their first trimester of pregnancy during the peak of the pandemic had a significant reduction in gross motor (mean difference, -5.70 ; 95% CI, -11.89 to 0.49 ; $P < .05$), fine motor (mean difference, -5.60 ; 95% CI, -12.33 to 1.14 ; $P < .05$), and personal-social (mean difference, -6.32 ; 95% CI, -11.53 to -1.11 ; $P < .005$) scores, and these results were consistent across peak pandemic imputation dates ranging from March 7 to April 6, 2020 ([Figure 2](#); eTables 10-12 in the [Supplement](#)). Sensitivity analyses using fully adjusted models excluding 15 infants in the historical cohort who were assessed during the pandemic did not alter findings on personal-social scores. Pairwise comparisons for gross motor and fine motor skills also continued to show decrements in the pandemic cohort but were no longer significant (eTable 13 in the [Supplement](#)).

Discussion

The clinical and research communities have emphasized the need for information regarding the associations between fetal exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection and neurodevelopmental outcomes.^{28,29,30,31,32} Prior studies of the association between fetal exposure to maternal viral infections and atypical child neurodevelopment have led to the speculation that maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy may be associated with global developmental delays or specific neurodevelopmental disorders.^{28,29,30,31,32} To our knowledge, our analysis is the first to examine this association and, contrary to the proposed hypothesis, we did not find an association between maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection status, timing, or severity and infant neurodevelopment at age 6 months as measured using a standardized screener. However, infants born during the pandemic, regardless of maternal SARS-CoV-2 status, scored significantly lower on the gross motor, fine motor, and personal-social subdomains of the ASQ-3 compared with a historical cohort of infants born at the same institution. Fifteen infants in the historical cohort had postnatal exposure to the pandemic environment before being assessed. Sensitivity analyses excluding these infants did not alter the main findings, suggesting a relatively greater association between neurodevelopment and in utero exposure to the pandemic than postnatal pandemic exposure.

Furthermore, early gestation at the peak of the pandemic in New York City was associated with the lowest scores on these subdomains compared with the historic controls. Taken together, these findings suggest the potential for a significant public health crisis for the generation born during the COVID-19 pandemic, necessitating further investigation.

Despite little evidence that coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV, and now SARS-CoV-2, can cross the placenta,^{43,44} the speculation that in utero SARS-CoV-2 exposure may be associated with infant neurobehavior was based on the established role of maternal immune activation on fetal brain development and supported by recent findings of increased interleukin 6 levels in pregnant women with SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁴⁵ In animal models of maternal immune activation, both viral exposure and direct injection of interleukin-6 led to dose-dependent atypical behavior in offspring.^{46,47} Although the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy is correlated with interleukin 6 levels,⁴⁵ our analysis did not reveal an association between severity and ASQ-3 scores. Most of our participants experienced an asymptomatic or mild SARS-CoV-2 infection; therefore, infants exposed to greater levels of maternal immune activation may not be represented in our data. Similarly, early gestational exposure is most likely to be associated with adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes.^{48,49,50} Given that only 25 maternal SARS-CoV-2 infections (8 imputed) in our sample occurred in the first trimester, our results provide limited power for detecting an association between infection at this early time point and neurodevelopment.

Together, the lack of neurodevelopmental differences between infants with and without in utero SARS-CoV-2 exposure and the observed group differences between the historical and pandemic cohorts suggest COVID-19-related stress should be considered as a potential underlying mechanism. Reported stressors have included job loss, food insecurity, and loss of housing,⁵¹ and the pandemic has resulted in significant increases in symptoms of anxiety and depression.⁵² Consistent with our finding that infants born to women who were in the first trimester of pregnancy during the pandemic peak had the lowest scores in gross motor, fine motor, and personal-social subdomains, data from numerous cohort studies have demonstrated that prenatal perceived stress, loneliness, and objective stress, especially during early gestation, are associated with an increased risk for adverse neurodevelopment in children.^{4,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61}

Strengths and Limitations

The study has several limitations. The data included in this analysis represent a single site from the first hard-hit COVID-19 epicenter in the US, which may limit generalizability. It is also possible that different developmental patterns will emerge beyond age 6 months, as this is a relatively early time point. The ASQ-3 has modest agreement with objective measures of development^{62,63} and is often used by general pediatricians.⁶⁴ As a parent-report measure, it is possible that our results are a reflection of parental-perception of—rather than objective differences in—infant neurodevelopment. Nonetheless, parental perception of development also has implications for long-term child outcomes.⁶⁵ In addition, an analysis of 8507 mother-child dyads revealed minimal associations between maternal psychopathologic conditions and maternal-reported child emotional and behavioral problems.⁶⁶ Although we used fully adjusted models and conducted a number of sensitivity analyses to check the robustness of our results, additional unmeasured confounders and modifiers might have been present. Observed differences in the characteristics of approached vs enrolled participants are suggestive of such potential biases, pointing to the need for additional research. Notable strengths of our study include detailed medical records on maternal SARS-CoV-2 status, timing, and severity that minimize potential misclassification, and availability of a historical comparison group from the same hospital center using the same neurodevelopmental assessment.

Conclusions

The findings of this analysis of the neurodevelopmental status of infants born during the COVID-19 pandemic support the need for long-term monitoring of these children to mitigate substantial sequelae similar to those observed in generations born during previous pandemics. The observed association between birth during the pandemic and neurodevelopmental status, regardless of maternal SARS-CoV-2 status, suggests a potential pathway involving maternal pandemic-related distress that warrants future investigation.

Notes

Supplement.

eFigure. Flow Diagram of Approached and Enrolled COMBO Study Participants

eMethods 1. SARS-CoV-2 Classification and Potential for Misclassification

eMethods 2. Power Analyses

eTable 1. Comparison of Demographics in Participants Who Were Approached and Not Enrolled Into the Study, Enrolled and Did Not Complete the 6-Month Survey, and Enrolled and Completed the 6-Month Survey in the Pandemic Cohort

eTable 2. Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Timing and Severity

eTable 3. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores Across Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Severity

eTable 4. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores Across Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Trimester of Infection

eTable 5. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores Across Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Severity After Excluding 29 Infants Exposed to Asymptomatic Maternal SARS-CoV-2 Infection

eTable 6. Comparison of 6-Month ASQ-3 Scores Between SARS-CoV-2 Exposed and Unexposed Infants in the Pandemic Cohort After Excluding Infants Born Preterm and/or admitted to the NICU

eTable 7. Estimated Marginal Means of Significant Effects

eTable 8. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores Between Historical and Pandemic Cohorts After Excluding 5 Infants From the Pandemic Cohort Who Tested Positive for SARS-CoV-2 or Were Diagnosed With COVID-19

eTable 9. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores Between Historical and Pandemic Cohorts After Excluding 15 Infants From the Historical Cohort Assessed During the Pandemic

eTable 10. Raw ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores by Trimester of Pregnancy Relative to the Pandemic

eTable 11. Tukey Pairwise Contrasts: Trimester of Pregnancy Relative to Peak SARS-CoV-2 Cases in NYC

eTable 12. Estimated Marginal Means of Gross Motor, Fine Motor, and Personal-Social Scores by Trimester of Pregnancy Relative to Peak SARS-CoV-2 Cases in NYC

eTable 13. Comparison of ASQ-3 Subdomain Scores by Trimester of Pregnancy Relative to the Pandemic After Excluding 15 Infants From the Historical Cohort Assessed During the Pandemic

eReferences

References

1. Boelig RC, Manuck T, Oliver EA, et al.. Labor and delivery guidance for COVID-19. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Published online March 25, 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100110 [PMCID: PMC7270486] [PubMed: 32518901] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100110]
2. Sutton D, Fuchs K, D'Alton M, Goffman D. Universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 in women admitted for delivery. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(22):2163-2164. doi: 10.1056/NEJMco2009316 [PMCID: PMC7175422] [PubMed: 32283004] [CrossRef: 10.1056/NEJMco2009316]
3. Tau GZ, Peterson BS. Normal development of brain circuits. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35(1):147-168. doi: 10.1038/npp.2009.115 [PMCID: PMC3055433] [PubMed: 19794405] [CrossRef: 10.1038/npp.2009.115]
4. Monk C, Lugo-Candelas C, Trampuff C. Prenatal developmental origins of future psychopathology: mechanisms and pathways. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2019;15:317-344. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095539 [PMCID: PMC7027196] [PubMed: 30795695] [CrossRef: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095539]
5. Goldstein JA, Norris SA, Aronoff DM. DOHaD at the intersection of maternal immune activation and maternal metabolic stress: a scoping review. *J Dev Orig Health Dis*. 2017;8(3):273-283. doi: 10.1017/S2040174417000010 [PubMed: 28196555] [CrossRef: 10.1017/S2040174417000010]
6. Bailey RC, Kamenga MC, Nsuami MJ, Nieburg P, St Louis ME. Growth of children according to maternal and child HIV, immunological and disease characteristics: a prospective cohort study in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1999;28(3):532-540. doi: 10.1093/ije/28.3.532 [PubMed: 10405861] [CrossRef: 10.1093/ije/28.3.532]

7. Boivin MJ, Maliwichi-Senganimalunj L, Ogwang LW, et al.. Neurodevelopmental effects of ante-partum and post-partum antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed and uninfected children versus HIV-unexposed and uninfected children in Uganda and Malawi: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(8):e518-e530. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30083-9 [PMCID: PMC6677626] [PubMed: 31122797] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30083-9]
8. Cassidy AR, Williams PL, Leidner J, et al.. In utero efavirenz exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected children in Botswana. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(8):828-834. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002332 [PMCID: PMC6629483] [PubMed: 30985518] [CrossRef: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002332]
9. Evans C, Jones CE, Prendergast AJ. HIV-exposed, uninfected infants: new global challenges in the era of paediatric HIV elimination. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016;16(6):e92-e107. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00055-4 [PubMed: 27049574] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00055-4]
10. le Roux SM, Donald KA, Kroon M, et al.. HIV viremia during pregnancy and neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in the context of universal antiretroviral therapy and breastfeeding: a prospective study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(1):70-75. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002193 [PMCID: PMC7363962] [PubMed: 30234792] [CrossRef: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002193]
11. Omoni AO, Ntozini R, Evans C, et al.. Child growth according to maternal and child HIV status in Zimbabwe. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2017;36(9):869-876. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000001574 [PMCID: PMC5571879] [PubMed: 28198792] [CrossRef: 10.1097/INF.0000000000001574]
12. Powis KM, Slogrove AL, Okorafor I, et al.. Maternal perinatal HIV infection is associated with increased infectious morbidity in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(5):500-502. doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002253 [PMCID: PMC6465126] [PubMed: 30461574] [CrossRef: 10.1097/INF.0000000000002253]
13. Springer PE, Slogrove AL, Kidd M, et al.. Neurodevelopmental and behavioural outcomes of HIV-exposed uninfected and HIV-unexposed children at 2-3 years of age in Cape Town, South Africa. *AIDS Care*. 2020;32(4):411-419. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2019.1637506 [PubMed: 31280587] [CrossRef: 10.1080/09540121.2019.1637506]
14. Strehlau R, van Aswegen T, Burke M, Kuhn L, Potterton J. A description of early neurodevelopment in a cohort of HIV-exposed uninfected children. *AIDS Care*. 2020;32(11):1421-1428. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2020.1736257 [PubMed: 32122174] [CrossRef: 10.1080/09540121.2020.1736257]
15. Wedderburn CJ, Yeung S, Rehman AM, et al.. Neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in South Africa: outcomes from an observational birth cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2019;3(11):803-813. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30250-0 [PMCID: PMC6876655] [PubMed: 31515160] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30250-0]
16. Wedderburn CJ, Evans C, Yeung S, Gibb DM, Donald KA, Prendergast AJ. Growth and neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children: a conceptual framework. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2019;16(6):501-513. doi: 10.1007/s11904-019-00459-0 [PMCID: PMC6920255] [PubMed: 31732866] [CrossRef: 10.1007/s11904-019-00459-0]
17. Dumitriu D, Emeruwa UN, Hanft E, et al.. Outcomes of neonates born to mothers with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection at a large medical center in New York City. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2021;175(2):157-167. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4298 [PMCID: PMC7551222] [PubMed: 33044493] [CrossRef: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.4298]
18. Kyle MH, Glassman ME, Khan A, et al.. A review of newborn outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Semin Perinatol*. 2020;44(7):151286. doi: 10.1016/j.semperi.2020.151286 [PMCID: PMC7376345] [PubMed: 32826081] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.semperi.2020.151286]
19. Breslin N, Baptiste C, Gyamfi-Bannerman C, et al.. Coronavirus disease 2019 infection among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women: two weeks of confirmed presentations to an affiliated pair of New York City hospitals. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2020;2(2)(suppl):100118. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100118 [PMCID: PMC7144599] [PubMed: 32292903] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100118]
20. Di Mascio D, Khalil A, Saccone G, et al.. Outcome of coronavirus spectrum infections (SARS, MERS, COVID-19) during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2020;2(2):100107-100107. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100107 [PMCID: PMC7104131] [PubMed: 32292902] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100107]
21. Salvatore CM, Han J-Y, Acker KP, et al.. Neonatal management and outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic: an observation cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020;4(10):721-727. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30235-2 [PMCID: PMC7377726] [PubMed: 32711687] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30235-2]
22. Yin M, Zhang L, Deng G, et al.. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection during pregnancy in China: a retrospective cohort study. *medRxiv*. 2020;2020.2004.2007.20053744. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.07.20053744 [CrossRef: 10.1101/2020.04.07.20053744]
23. Boulanger-Bertolus J, Pancaro C, Mashour GA. Increasing role of maternal immune activation in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2018;12(230):230. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00230 [PMCID: PMC6182081] [PubMed: 30344483] [CrossRef: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00230]
24. Ferguson G, Jelsma J. The prevalence of motor delay among HIV infected children living in Cape Town, South Africa. *Int J Rehabil Res*. 2009;32(2):108-114. doi: 10.1097/MRR.0b013e3283013b34 [PubMed: 19384238] [CrossRef: 10.1097/MRR.0b013e3283013b34]
25. Almond D. Is the 1918 influenza pandemic over? long-term effects of in utero influenza exposure in the post-1940 US population. *J Political Econ*. 2006;114(4):672-712. doi: 10.1086/507154 [CrossRef: 10.1086/507154]
26. Sakurada K, Noda Y. Neurodevelopmental disorders induced by maternal immune activation: toward a prevention strategy in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Int*. 2020;1(1):24-26. doi: 10.3390/psychiatryint1010003 [CrossRef: 10.3390/psychiatryint1010003]

27. Patterson PH. Immune involvement in schizophrenia and autism: etiology, pathology and animal models. *Behav Brain Res.* 2009;204(2):313-321. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2008.12.016 [PubMed: 19136031] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.bbr.2008.12.016]
28. Martins-Filho PR, Tanajura DM, Santos HP Jr, Santos VS. COVID-19 during pregnancy: potential risk for neurodevelopmental disorders in neonates? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2020;250:255-256. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.05.015 [PMCID: PMC7211568] [PubMed: 32448635] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.05.015]
29. López-Díaz Á, Ayesa-Arriola R, Crespo-Facorro B, Ruiz-Veguilla M. COVID-19 infection during pregnancy and risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring: time for collaborative research. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2021;89(5):e29-e30. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.09.011 [PMCID: PMC7604160] [PubMed: 33131716] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.09.011]
30. Sadeghi MR. Does lack of vertical transmission of COVID-19 guarantee the health of the fetus or neonate in infected mothers? *J Reprod Infertil.* 2020;21(4):229-230. doi: 10.18502/jri.v21i4.4323 [PMCID: PMC7648870] [PubMed: 33209738] [CrossRef: 10.18502/jri.v21i4.4323]
31. Ahmed WN, Noushin AM, Shafjeer A, Ann R. COVID-19 and pregnancy: time to think beyond medications. *Pan Asian J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;3(2):82-92.
32. Okechukwu C. Inflammatory cytokines induced by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during pregnancy may alter fetal brain development predisposing the offspring to neurodevelopmental disorders. *Nigerian J Experimental Clin Biosci.* 2021;9:58. doi: 10.4103/njecp.njecp_45_20 [CrossRef: 10.4103/njecp.njecp_45_20]
33. Finlay-Jones A, Varcin K, Leonard H, Bosco A, Alvares G, Downs J.. Very early identification and intervention for infants at risk of neurodevelopmental disorders: a transdiagnostic approach. *Child Development Perspectives.* 2019;13(2):97-103. doi: 10.1111/cdep.12319 [CrossRef: 10.1111/cdep.12319]
34. Landa RJ. Efficacy of early interventions for infants and young children with, and at risk for, autism spectrum disorders. *Int Rev Psychiatry.* 2018;30(1):25-39. doi: 10.1080/09540261.2018.1432574 [PMCID: PMC6034700] [PubMed: 29537331] [CrossRef: 10.1080/09540261.2018.1432574]
35. Fernald E, Eriksson MA, Gillberg C. Early diagnosis of autism and impact on prognosis: a narrative review. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2013;5:33-43. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S41714 [PMCID: PMC3583438] [PubMed: 23459124] [CrossRef: 10.2147/CLEP.S41714]
36. Columbia University . COVID-19 Mother Baby Outcomes Study. Accessed November 13, 2021. <https://www.ps.columbia.edu/COMBO>
37. Bedford R, Pickles A, Lord C. Early gross motor skills predict the subsequent development of language in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* 2016;9(9):993-1001. doi: 10.1002/aur.1587 [PMCID: PMC5031219] [PubMed: 26692550] [CrossRef: 10.1002/aur.1587]
38. Iverson JM, Shic F, Wall CA, et al.. Early motor abilities in infants at heightened versus low risk for ASD: a Baby Siblings Research Consortium (BSRC) study. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2019;128(1):69-80. doi: 10.1037/abn0000390 [PMCID: PMC6338079] [PubMed: 30628809] [CrossRef: 10.1037/abn0000390]
39. Saiman L, Acker KP, Dumitru D, et al.. Infection prevention and control for labor and delivery, well baby nurseries, and neonatal intensive care units. *Semin Perinatol.* 2020;44(7):151320-151320. doi: 10.1016/j.semperi.2020.151320 [PMCID: PMC7550181] [PubMed: 33071033] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.semperi.2020.151320]
40. Wang Y, Chen L, Wu T, et al.. Impact of Covid-19 in pregnancy on mother's psychological status and infant's neurobehavioral development: a longitudinal cohort study in China. *BMC Med.* 2020;18(1):347. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01825-1 [PMCID: PMC7609382] [PubMed: 33143711] [CrossRef: 10.1186/s12916-020-01825-1]
41. Squires J, Twombly E, Bricker D, Potter L.. (*ASQ-3 Ages & Stages Questionnaires*, Third Edition. Brookes Publishing; 2009.
42. Blackwell CK, Wakschlag LS, Gershon RC, Celli D; with the ECHO PRO Core . Measurement framework for the environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes research program. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2018;30(2):276-284. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000606 [PMCID: PMC6029724] [PubMed: 29406440] [CrossRef: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000606]
43. Schwartz DA, Graham AL. Potential maternal and infant outcomes from (Wuhan) coronavirus 2019-nCoV infecting pregnant women: lessons from SARS, MERS, and other human coronavirus infections. *Viruses.* 2020;12(2):194. doi: 10.3390/v12020194 [PMCID: PMC7077337] [PubMed: 32050635] [CrossRef: 10.3390/v12020194]
44. Mahyuddin AP, Kanneganti A, Wong JJL, et al.. Mechanisms and evidence of vertical transmission of infections in pregnancy including SARS-CoV-2s. *Prenat Diagn.* 2020;40(13):1655-1670. doi: 10.1002/pd.5765 [PMCID: PMC7307070] [PubMed: 32529643] [CrossRef: 10.1002/pd.5765]
45. Tanacan A, Yazihan N, Erol SA, et al.. The impact of COVID-19 infection on the cytokine profile of pregnant women: a prospective case-control study. *Cytokine.* 2021;140:155431. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2021.155431 [PMCID: PMC7810028] [PubMed: 33503581] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.cyto.2021.155431]
46. Solek CM, Farooqi N, Verly M, Lim TK, Ruthazer ES. Maternal immune activation in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Dev Dyn.* 2018;247(4):588-619. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.24612 [PubMed: 29226543] [CrossRef: 10.1002/dvdy.24612]
47. Smith SE, Li J, Garbett K, Mirmics K, Patterson PH. Maternal immune activation alters fetal brain development through interleukin-6. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(40):10695-10702. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2178-07.2007 [PMCID: PMC2387067] [PubMed: 17913903] [CrossRef: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2178-07.2007]
48. Cordeiro CN, Tsimis M, Burd I. Infections and brain development. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2015;70(10):644-655. doi: 10.1097/OGX.0000000000000236 [PMCID: PMC4795171] [PubMed: 26490164] [CrossRef: 10.1097/OGX.0000000000000236]

49. Khandaker GM, Zimbron J, Lewis G, Jones PB. Prenatal maternal infection, neurodevelopment and adult schizophrenia: a systematic review of population-based studies. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(2):239-257. doi: 10.1017/S0033291712000736 [PMCID: PMC3479084] [PubMed: 22717193] [CrossRef: 10.1017/S0033291712000736]
50. Fitzgerald E, Hor K, Drake AJ. Maternal influences on fetal brain development: the role of nutrition, infection and stress, and the potential for intergenerational consequences. *Early Hum Dev*. 2020;150:105190-105190. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhummdev.2020.105190 [PMCID: PMC7481314] [PubMed: 32948364] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.earlhummdev.2020.105190]
51. Kaiser Family Foundation. Mental health impact of the COVID-19 pandemic: an update. April 14, 2021. Accessed May 30, 2021.<https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/poll-finding/mental-health-impact-of-the-covid-19-pandemic>
52. Vahrtian A, Blumberg SJ, Terlizzi EP, Schiller JS. Symptoms of anxiety or depressive disorder and use of mental health care among adults during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, August 2020–February 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(13):490-494. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7013e2 [PMCID: PMC8022876] [PubMed: 33793459] [CrossRef: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7013e2]
53. O'Donnell KJ, Glover V, Barker ED, O'Connor TG. The persisting effect of maternal mood in pregnancy on childhood psychopathology. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2014;26(2):393-403. doi: 10.1017/S0954579414000029 [PubMed: 24621564] [CrossRef: 10.1017/S0954579414000029]
54. Van den Bergh BRH, van den Heuvel MI, Lahti M, et al.. Prenatal developmental origins of behavior and mental health: the influence of maternal stress in pregnancy. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2020;117:26-64. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.07.003 [PubMed: 28757456] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.07.003]
55. Guo C, Chen G, He P, Zhang L, Zheng X. Risk of cognitive impairment in children after maternal exposure to the 1998 Yangtze River flood during pregnancy: analysis of data from China's second National Sample Survey on Disability. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2020;4(11):e522-e529. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30198-4 [PubMed: 33159879] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30198-4]
56. Luoma I, Korhonen M, Puura K, Salmelin RK. Maternal loneliness: concurrent and longitudinal associations with depressive symptoms and child adjustment. *Psychol Health Med*. 2019;24(6):667-679. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2018.1554251 [PubMed: 30514104] [CrossRef: 10.1080/13548506.2018.1554251]
57. Buitelaar JK, Huijink AC, Mulder EJ, de Medina PG, Visser GH. Prenatal stress and cognitive development and temperament in infants. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2003;24(suppl 1):S53-S60. doi: 10.1016/S0197-4580(03)00050-2 [PubMed: 12829109] [CrossRef: 10.1016/S0197-4580(03)00050-2]
58. Seelen LD, Zecevic N. Schizophrenia: a tale of two critical periods for prefrontal cortical development. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2015;5(8):e623-e623. doi: 10.1038/tp.2015.115 [PMCID: PMC4564568] [PubMed: 26285133] [CrossRef: 10.1038/tp.2015.115]
59. Khashan AS, Abel KM, McNamee R, et al.. Higher risk of offspring schizophrenia following antenatal maternal exposure to severe adverse life events. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2008;65(2):146-152. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2007.20 [PubMed: 18250252] [CrossRef: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2007.20]
60. Caparros-Gonzalez RA, Torre-Luque A, Romero-Gonzalez B, Quesada-Soto JM, Alderdice F, Peralta-Ramírez MI. Stress during pregnancy and the development of diseases in the offspring: a systematic-review and meta-analysis. *Midwifery*. 2021;97:102939. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2021.102939 [PubMed: 33647755] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.midw.2021.102939]
61. Walder DJ, Laplante DP, Sousa-Pires A, Veru F, Brunet A, King S. Prenatal maternal stress predicts autism traits in 6½ year-old children: Project Ice Storm. *Psychiatry Res*. 2014;219(2):353-360. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.04.034 [PubMed: 24907222] [CrossRef: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.04.034]
62. Schonhaut L, Armijo I, Schönstedt M, Alvarez J, Cordero M. Validity of the Ages and Stages Questionnaires in term and preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(5):e1468-e1474. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-3313 [PubMed: 23629619] [CrossRef: 10.1542/peds.2012-3313]
63. Sheldrick RC, Marakovitz S, Garfinkel D, Carter AS, Perrin EC. Comparative accuracy of developmental screening questionnaires. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2020;174(4):366-374. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.6000 [PMCID: PMC7042946] [PubMed: 32065615] [CrossRef: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.6000]
64. Lipkin PH, Macias MM; Council on Children With Disabilities, Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics . Promoting optimal development: identifying infants and young children with developmental disorders through developmental surveillance and screening. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(1):e20193449. doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-3449 [PubMed: 31843861] [CrossRef: 10.1542/peds.2019-3449]
65. Araújo LA, Veloso CF, Souza MC, Azevedo JMC, Tarro G. The potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on child growth and development: a systematic review. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2020. [PMCID: PMC7510529] [PubMed: 32980318]
66. Olino TM, Michelini G, Mennies RJ, Kotov R, Klein DN. Does maternal psychopathology bias reports of offspring symptoms? a study using moderated non-linear factor analysis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2021;62(10):1195-1201. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13394 [PubMed: 33638150] [CrossRef: 10.1111/jcpp.13394]

Figures and Tables

Table 1.

Cohort Characteristics

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

^a Comparisons performed using the χ^2 test for binomial variables and Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. All statistical tests were 2-sided.

^b Infants born preterm or who were hospitalized in the NICU were removed for comparisons of the pandemic and historical cohorts.

Figure 1.

Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition (ASQ-3) Scores at Age 6 Months

A, Total raw scores on each ASQ-3 domain for infants with in utero exposure to maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection vs unexposed infants. No significant group differences were identified on any of the ASQ-3 domains in either minimally or fully adjusted models. B, Total raw scores on each ASQ-3 domain for infants born during the pandemic vs a historical cohort born at the same hospital. Blue dashed horizontal lines represent the cutoff indicating possible delay on each subscale (communication, 29.65; gross motor, 22.25; fine motor, 25.14; problem solving, 27.72; personal-social, 25.34). Analyses performed on fully adjusted models.

^a $P < .001$.

^b $P = .01$.

Table 2.

Comparison of 6-Month ASQ-3 Scores Between SARS-CoV-2 Exposed and Unexposed Infants in the Pandemic Cohort

Abbreviations: ASQ-3, Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition; NA, not applicable.

^a Minimally adjusted models include gestational age at birth, infant sex, and infant age at assessment.

^b Fully adjusted models include gestational age at birth, infant sex, infant age at assessment, maternal race, maternal ethnicity, maternal age at delivery, maternal educational level, parity, mode of delivery, and neonatal intensive care unit admission status.

^c ASQ-3 scores in each subdomain can range from 0 to 60, with lower scores indicating greater delay and higher scores indicating greater competence.

Table 3.

Comparison of 6-Month ASQ-3 Scores Between the Pandemic and Historical Cohorts

Abbreviations: ASQ-3, Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition; NA, not applicable.

^a Subset excludes preterm infants (7 exposed, 10 unexposed) and full-term infants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (6 exposed, 5 unexposed).

^b Minimally adjusted models include gestational age at birth, infant sex, and infant age at assessment.

^c Fully adjusted models include gestational age at birth, infant sex, infant age at assessment, maternal race, maternal ethnicity, maternal age at delivery, maternal educational attainment, parity, and mode of delivery.

^d Fisher exact tests were 1-sided to test whether a greater proportion of infants in the pandemic cohort met the ASQ-3 cutoffs compared with infants in the historical cohort.

^e ASQ-3 scores in each subdomain can range from 0 to 60, with lower scores indicating greater delay and higher scores indicating greater competence.

Figure 2.

Association Between Gestational Trimester at Pandemic Peak and Ages & Stages Questionnaire, 3rd Edition (ASQ-3) Scores at Age 6 Months

A, Representation of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City during the time infants in the cohort were born and the gestational timing assignment for the trimester of pregnancy during which the mother experienced the peak of the pandemic. The histogram in black represents the number of recorded daily SARS-CoV-2-positive cases in New York City between March and December 2020. The histogram in purple represents the dates of birth in 2-day bins of infants enrolled in the pandemic cohort. For meaningful comparison with the historical cohort ($n = 62$), only full-term infants admitted to the Well Baby Nursery were included in the pandemic cohort ($n = 227$). Gestational timing at the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in New York City, defined as April 6, 2020 (the date with the highest number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases), was computed using date of birth and gestational age at birth. The diagram below depicts the trimester of pregnancy corresponding to the dates of birth of infants in the pandemic cohort. Infants with third trimester pandemic exposure were born between March and June 2020, infants with second trimester pandemic exposure were born between June and October 2020, and infants with first trimester pandemic exposure were born between October and December 2020. B, ASQ-3 gross motor, fine motor, and personal-social scores for infants in the historical cohort vs infants in the pandemic cohort by maternal trimester of pregnancy at the peak of the pandemic in New York City. Gray horizontal lines represent the cutoff indicating possible delay on each subscale (gross motor, 22.25; fine motor, 25.14; and personal-social, 25.34). Analyses performed on fully adjusted models.

^a $P = .02$.

^b $P = .002$.

^c $P = .03$.