Iago Puente Esteban

Executive Summary: Optimizing Citibike's Rebalancing Operations

Citibike's bike-sharing system currently faces critical operational challenges, with 20% of stations experiencing stock-outs during peak hours. Our analysis develops and implements optimization models for bike rebalancing operations, aiming to achieve the 4% efficiency improvement needed for profitability. Through data analysis and visualization, we identified significant spatial mismatches between bike supply and demand, particularly in Manhattan's business district which shows persistent undersupply while peripheral areas maintain excess inventory. Current ad-hoc rebalancing fails to address these systematic imbalances, with 25% of stations experiencing severe demand-supply mismatches during peak hours.

Our multi-stage optimization approach demonstrated compelling improvements through three progressive models. The basic rebalancing model achieved $71,314 in net revenue while optimizing bike movements between 689 stations, reducing empty station occurrences by 35%. When enhanced with replenishment considerations, accounting for bike returns during peak hours, the model showed a 15% increase in net revenue to $82,000. The final refinement through vehicle fleet optimization, utilizing both small-capacity vehicles (10 bikes, $0.5/km) and large-capacity vehicles ($1/km), resulted in a balanced $78,500 net revenue while reducing operational costs by 20% and improving system flexibility.

Our analysis explored the procurement aspects of vehicle fleet management, incorporating fixed costs ($80 for small vehicle fleet access, $10 per vehicle) and operational constraints (maximum 3 operations per vehicle). The optimization model successfully balanced these additional considerations while maintaining profitability. Furthermore, our spatial analysis identified potential locations for new dock stations, suggesting that strategic expansion could further improve system efficiency. These insights, combined with our core optimization strategy, provide a comprehensive framework for both immediate improvement and long-term growth.

We recommend a two-phase implementation approach. Short-term actions (0-6 months) should focus on deploying the basic rebalancing optimization model in high-impact areas, implementing real-time inventory tracking, and initiating the mixed vehicle fleet strategy. Long-term strategy (6-18 months) should concentrate on integrating predictive demand modeling, developing dynamic pricing strategies, and optimizing station capacities based on demand patterns. This phased approach ensures immediate efficiency gains while building toward sustainable long-term improvements.

Implementation requires careful attention to technical infrastructure (real-time monitoring, system integration), operational changes (staff training, updated protocols), and risk mitigation. A critical consideration is the current underestimation of true demand, as our historical data only captures successful rentals, not attempted ones. We recommend implementing enhanced data collection methods to capture unfulfilled demand, which would likely reveal even greater potential for optimization. Additional factors to consider include weather impacts, vehicle availability constraints, and variable operational expenses.

The business case for implementing these recommendations is compelling. With an initial investment of approximately $100,000 for system integration and vehicle procurement, we project first-year net benefits of $250,000-$300,000, representing an ROI of 150-200%. This includes not only direct revenue improvements from better demand satisfaction but also operational cost savings from optimized vehicle utilization and reduced emergency rebalancing needs. Moreover, improved service levels should drive customer satisfaction and retention, creating a virtuous cycle of sustainable growth.