

why is theocracy best?

on paper, democracy sounds good: for people, by people; though if thorough strict supervision is not practiced, such ruling without doubt will be perverted, for, as example: organizations associated with children, attract child-defilers and in times' duration, outwardly children organization becomes directorate of child-defilers; at day's end, during democracy rulers are not cast over good of people, rather over gathering up of votes; i will below spread out flaws of democracy.

now, i sovereign prince am, i am sovereign and i am lord; though, i chained am to god's will; therefore, i at day's end am extraordinary and plenipotentiary prince, made out visible by god. (yes-and, hierocracy is false face of theocracy; and monarchy is missed of father's will.)

now, without theocracy, best meritocracy is. if you will comprehend, all-important-is, that human having authority shall possess merit - to have proven to society with own actions ownself as trustworthy, capable, dutiful person.

now, i will spread out flaws of democracy. if during theocracy sovereign is subject to god and during meritocracy to council of elders; during democracy or/and in republic, best-as is valued actor-ing and so-called democracy can turn into mask of corrupted government; other than this, after that person is elected, possesses untouchability of few years and can destroy country and then not give answer.

above all, even if government would have best intention, human's understanding is limited and responsibility of ruling of country unimaginably jarringly difficult; and, generally, without god, everything is vain.