
## **Neural Networks: Representation**


## **TOTAL POINTS 5**

1. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply. 1 point

- Suppose you have a multi-class classification problem with three classes, trained with a 3 layer network. Let  $a_1^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_1$  be the activation of the first output unit, and similarly  $a_2^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_2$  and  $a_3^{(3)}=(h_\Theta(x))_3$ . Then for any input x, it must be the case that  $a_1^{(3)}+a_2^{(3)}+a_3^{(3)}=1$ .
- A two layer (one input layer, one output layer; no hidden layer) neural network can represent the XOR function.
- Any logical function over binary-valued (0 or 1) inputs  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  can be (approximately) represented using some neural network.
- The activation values of the hidden units in a neural network, with the sigmoid activation function applied at every layer, are always in the range (0, 1).
- 2. Consider the following neural network which takes two binary-valued inputs  $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 1\}$  and outputs  $h_{\Theta}(x)$ . Which  $h_{\Theta}(x)$  is  $h_{\Theta}(x)$ . of the following logical functions does it (approximately) compute?



- OR
- NAND (meaning "NOT AND")
- XOR (exclusive OR)
- 3. Consider the neural network given below. Which of the following equations correctly computes the activation  $a_1^{(3)}$ ? Note: 1 point g(z) is the sigmoid activation function.




$$\bigcirc \quad a_1^{(3)} = g(\Theta_{1,0}^{(1)}a_0^{(1)} + \Theta_{1,1}^{(1)}a_1^{(1)} + \Theta_{1,2}^{(1)}a_2^{(1)})$$

$$\bigcirc \quad a_1^{(3)} = g(\Theta_{1,0}^{(1)}a_0^{(2)} + \Theta_{1,1}^{(1)}a_1^{(2)} + \Theta_{1,2}^{(1)}a_2^{(2)})$$

- O The activation  $a_1^{(3)}$  is not present in this network.
- 4. You have the following neural network:

1 point



You'd like to compute the activations of the hidden layer  $a^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  . One way to do so is the following Octave code:

```
% Theta1 is Theta with superscript "(1)" from lecture
% ie, the matrix of parameters for the mapping from layer 1 (input) to layer 2
% Theta1 has size 3x3
% Assume 'sigmoid' is a built-in function to compute 1 / (1 + exp(-z))

a2 = zeros (3, 1);
for i = 1:3
    for j = 1:3
        a2(i) = a2(i) + x(j) * Theta1(i, j);
    end
    a2(i) = sigmoid (a2(i));
end
```

You want to have a vectorized implementation of this (i.e., one that does not use for loops). Which of the following implementations correctly compute  $a^{(2)}$ ? Check all that apply.


```
z = Theta1 * x; a2 = sigmoid (z);

a2 = sigmoid (x * Theta1);

a2 = sigmoid (Theta2 * x);
```

z = sigmoid(x); a2 = sigmoid (Theta1 \* z);

You are using the neural network pictured below and have learned the parameters  $\Theta^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1.5 & 3.7 \\ 1 & 5.1 & 2.3 \end{bmatrix}$  (used to compute  $a^{(2)}$ ) and  $\Theta^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & -0.8 \end{bmatrix}$  (used to compute  $a^{(3)}$ ) as a function of  $a^{(2)}$ ). Suppose you swap the parameters for the first hidden layer between its two units so  $\Theta^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5.1 & 2.3 \\ 1 & -1.5 & 3.7 \end{bmatrix}$  and also swap the output layer so  $\Theta^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.8 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$ . How will this change the value of the output  $h_{\Theta}(x)$ ?



- It will stay the same.
- It will increase.
- O It will decrease
- Insufficient information to tell: it may increase or decrease.