Why EVMs Are Not Trustworthy?

A Comprehensive Analysis of Security, Transparency, and Fairness Issues

Introduction

- EVMs have been praised for simplicity but criticized for security flaws.
- The lack of transparency in design and operation raises concerns.
- This presentation highlights key issues with EVMs based on research and evidence.



Security Concerns

- Malicious software can manipulate votes without detection.
- VVPAT systems are vulnerable to ballot stuffing and manipulation.
- Thermal printing fades over time; invisible ink risks altering votes.
- Hardware tampering allows attackers to extract and alter vote records.

Conclusion: EVMs are susceptible to serious attacks.

Lack of Transparency

- EVM design details remain undisclosed, hindering public scrutiny.
- Secret encryption algorithms are untested and prone to vulnerabilities.
- Open-source software is more secure as it allows community evaluation.

Conclusion: Secrecy does not guarantee security.

Manipulation Possibilities

- Votes can be altered by replacing machine components or using portable devices.
- Attackers can manipulate vote splitting to favor specific candidates.
- Timing-based vote switching can occur during elections.

Conclusion: Dishonest insiders can easily exploit EVMs.

Environmental and Cost Concerns

- Paper ballots are recyclable and environmentally friendly.
- EVM maintenance and manufacturing costs outweigh paper ballot expenses.
- Crowd-funding can cover paper ballot printing costs effectively.

Conclusion: Paper ballots are cost-effective and sustainable.

Booth Capturing and Election Integrity

- EVMs do not fully prevent booth capturing.
- Robotic paper ballot boxes can provide same booth capturing security as EVMs.
- Decentralized counting of paper ballots reduces surveillance needs, fraud risks and costs.

Conclusion: Technology can improve paper ballot systems.

Role of Courts and Public Trust

- Courts often dismiss PILs against EVMs, undermining public trust.
- Independent judiciary and election commission are crucial for fairness.
- Public protests are necessary when institutions fail.

Conclusion: Accountability is essential for democracy.

Recommendations

- Transition to paper ballots with automated open-source machine learning-based counting.
- Make all software and hardware transparent and open-source.
- Conduct randomized audits and recounts for accountability.

Conclusion: Transparency ensures free and fair elections.

Conclusion

EVMs = Opaque + Vulnerable

Paper Ballots = Transparent + Secure

Let's safeguard our democracy together!