

Vedansh Shrivastava – 1998870
Advanced Software Engineering – Assignment 2
Exercise 2.2 – Grammar-based Fuzzing

Platform tested on: Windows (Command Prompt)

1) Grammar (see grammar.txt)

(See attached grammar.txt for the context-free grammar used to generate arithmetic expressions, including a few malformed productions for fuzzing.)

2) Example inputs

A. Valid expression (one example)

 $(3+4)*2$

Explanation:

- Well-formed arithmetic expression using parentheses, binary + and *, and integer literals.
- This should be accepted by a correct parser and evaluate to 14.

B. Malformed expression 1 – Missing operand after operator

5 +

Explanation / what it reveals:

- The input ends with a binary operator '+' and no operand following it.
- This can expose parser issues in error recovery or incomplete-input handling.
A robust parser should report a clear syntax error (e.g., "expected expression after '+'") rather than crash or attempt to read uninitialized data.

C. Malformed expression 2 – Unmatched / missing closing parenthesis

 $(2 + 3 * (4 - 1$

Explanation / what it reveals:

- There is a missing closing parenthesis for the nested subexpression.
- This can reveal problems with recursive descent parsers (stack/recursion handling),
or edge cases in parenthesis matching logic and error messages. It may also uncover off-by-one or buffer handling bugs where the parser assumes balanced parentheses.

Notes

-
- The grammar supports integer literals (decimal), binary operators '+' and '*', parentheses, and an optional unary minus (e.g., -5).
 - The grammar also contains a few optional malformed productions (in grammar.txt) so a generator can intentionally produce slightly malformed inputs (missing operands, extra operators, or unmatched parentheses) to test parser robustness.
 - The provided examples are short, focused, and meant to illustrate likely failure modes in a parser.