

CSCE 463/612

Networks and Distributed Processing

Fall 2020

Data-link Layer I

Dmitri Loguinov
Texas A&M University

November 17, 2020

Original slides copyright © 1996-2004 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross

Homework #4


- ICMP header:

```
class ICMPHeader{
public:
    u_char type;           /* ICMP packet type */
    u_char code;           /* ICMP type subcode */
    u_short checksum;      /* checksum */
    u_short ID;            /* usually process ID */
    u_short seq;           /* sequence */
};
```

- Received ICMP pkts are delivered to **all** open ICMP sockets (since ICMP has no port numbers)
 - Routers will echo your entire IP packet in their TTL expired messages
 - Use the ID field to distinguish your pkts from junk

Homework #4

- TTL Expired pkt structure:



- Find out whether the ID field in the 4-th header matches your ID

Homework #4

- Other things to consider:
 - If your checksums are incorrect, the packet will likely be dropped and you won't get a reply
 - If your firewall is enabled to block all incoming traffic, the kernel will not deliver ICMP packets
- In some Windows configurations, you must be admin to open ICMP sockets
- Mores caveats – read the handout!
 - UAC needs to be disabled or VS run as administrator
 - Custom in-bound firewall rules
 - Batch mode requires pinging the target before tracing
 - Hard limits on trace delay in batch mode

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet


5.6 Hubs and switches

Summary

Link Layer: Introduction

Terminology:

- Hosts and routers are **nodes**
- Communication channels that connect adjacent nodes are **layer-3 links**
 - Wired or wireless
- Each link may contain multiple layer-2 devices (e.g., switches)



Data-link layer has responsibility of transferring IP datagram from one node to adjacent node over a single link

Link Layer Services

- **Framing:**
 - Add header, trailer to IP packet
 - Data-link addresses (completely independent of IP addresses) used in frame headers to identify source, dest
- **Link access:**
 - Channel access if shared medium
- **Flow control:**
 - Pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes
- **Error detection:**
 - Errors caused by signal attenuation, noise
 - Receiver detects presence of errors and signals data-link layer of adjacent node for retransmission or drops frame

Link Layer Services

- **Forward Error Correction (FEC):**
 - Receiver identifies *and corrects* bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission
- **Reliable delivery (rdt) between adjacent nodes**
 - Rdt 3.0 is a common technique (chapter 3)
 - Seldom used on low bit error links (fiber, twisted pair), but may be implemented in wireless networks
- **More terminology**
 - In *half-duplex* mode, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at the same time
 - In *full-duplex*, bidirectional transfer happens concurrently

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet


5.6 Hubs and switches

Summary

Multiple Access Links and Protocols

Two types of links:

- **Point-to-point, e.g.:**
 - PPP for dial-up and DSL access
 - Dedicated cable between Ethernet switch and host
- **Broadcast** (shared wire/medium)
 - Traditional Ethernet
 - Upstream HFC
 - 802.11 wireless LAN, satellite



Multiple Access Protocols

- Assume a single shared broadcast channel
- Two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes is called **interference** or **collision**
 - Receiver cannot discern packets when multiple signals are jammed together

Link access protocol

- Distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel
- Communication about channel sharing must use the channel itself!
 - No out-of-band channel for coordination
- MAC (**Media Access Control**) layer = data-link layer = layer 2

Ideal Multiple Access Protocol

Desired properties

1. Single node can achieve full channel rate R (high utilization without competition)
2. When M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M (fairness and high utilization during competition)
3. Fully decentralized:
 - No special node to coordinate transmissions
 - No synchronization of clocks
4. Simple

MAC Protocols: Taxonomy


Three broad classes:

- **Channel Partitioning**
 - Divide channel into smaller “pieces” (time slots, frequency, wavelengths)
 - Allocate piece to node for exclusive use
- **Random Access**
 - Channel not divided, allow collisions
 - Recover from collisions
- **“Taking turns”**
 - Nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: TDMA

TDMA: time division multiple access

- Access to channel in “rounds” (time frames)
 - Each station gets fixed length slot in each round ($1/N$ of frame time to each node), unused slots go idle
- Example: 6-station LAN




- Maximum throughput for a single user is R / N , which is far from ideal!

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA

FDMA: frequency division multiple access

- Channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
 - Each station assigned fixed frequency band
 - Unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle
- Example: 6-station LAN



Random Access Protocols

- When node has packet to send
 - Transmit at full channel data rate R
 - No *a-priori* coordination among nodes
- Two or more transmitting nodes cause collision
- **Random access MAC protocol** specifies:
 - How to detect collisions
 - How to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)
- Examples of random access MAC protocols:
 - ALOHA, Slotted ALOHA
 - CSMA, CSMA/CD

Slotted ALOHA (1975)


Assumptions

- All packets same size
 - Time is divided into equal size slots, time to transmit 1 packet
- Nodes start transmission only at beginning of slots
 - Clocks are synchronized
- If 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

Operation

- When node obtains fresh frame from IP, it transmits in the next time slot
- No collision, node can send new frame in next slot
- If collision, node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with probability p until success

Slotted ALOHA



Pros

- Single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel
- Reasonably decentralized: only slots need to be in sync
- Simple

Cons

- Collisions
- Idle/empty slots
- Full slot wasted on collision
- Accurate clock synchronization is still a headache

Slotted Aloha Efficiency

Efficiency is the long-term fraction of successful slots when there are many nodes, each with many frames to send

- Assume N nodes with infinite data to send, each transmits in every slot with probability p
- Probability that k nodes transmit in slot = $\binom{N}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{N-k}$
- Prob that exactly one node transmits in a given slot (i.e., success) is $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$
- For max efficiency with N nodes, find p that maximizes $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$
- Optimal $p_0 = 1/N$
- For many nodes, take limit of $Np_0(1-p_0)^{N-1}$ as N goes to infinity, which gives optimal efficiency $1/e = 0.37$

Slotted Aloha with many users:
channel utilization only 37%!

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

- Remove slots and allow transmission at any time
- CSMA: listen before transmit
- If channel sensed idle, transmit entire frame
- If channel sensed busy, defer transmission
 - Human analogy: don't interrupt others!
- If collision is detected at **the end of transfer**, wait a random period of time, then retransmit
 - Human analogy: talk until you're done, pause, then repeat if someone else happened to start at the same time


CSMA Collisions

Collisions *can* still occur:
propagation delay means
two nodes may not hear
each other's transmission

Collision:
entire packet transmission
time wasted

Note:
role of distance &
propagation delay in
determining collision
probability

spatial layout of nodes




CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- But now collisions are detected **immediately**
- Colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel waste
- Human analogy: the polite conversationalist
- Collision detection:
 - Easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
 - Difficult in wireless LANs: receiver shut off while transmitting

CSMA/CD Collision Detection



Features

TDMA/FDMA:

- Share channel efficiently and fairly at high load
- Inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, $1/N$ bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access:

- Efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- High load: potentially huge collision overhead

“Taking turns” protocols:

- Look for best of both worlds?

“Taking Turns” MAC Protocols

A) Polling:

- Coordinator “invites” other nodes to transmit in turn
- Concerns:
 - Polling overhead
 - Latency
 - Single point of failure (coordinator)

B) Token passing:

- Control **token** passed from one node to next sequentially
- Can send only if holding token
- Concerns:
 - Token overhead
 - Latency
 - Single point of failure (token)

