


o-Minimality and its Variations

Vagios Vlachos



Graduate Program on Logic and Theory of Algorithms and Computation

2014

o-Minimality

Assumptions

- $\mathcal{M} = (M, <, \dots)$
- $<$ is dense, linear, without endpoints
- definability with parameters

Definition

The structure \mathcal{M} is called *o-minimal* if every definable subset of M is a finite union of singletons and open intervals with endpoints in $M_\infty := M \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$.

A theory T is called *o-minimal* if every model \mathcal{M} of T is o-minimal.

o-Minimality

The class of o-minimal structures is,

- closed under reducts (if $<$ still remains in the language)
- closed under expansions by constants

Some o-minimal structures

- $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$
- $(\mathbb{Q}, <, +)$
- $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, <, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1)$

$(\mathbb{Q}, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is not o-minimal

The infinite discrete set of perfect squares is definable.

Monotonicity and Finiteness

Monotonicity Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be an o-minimal structure and $f : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a definable function with domain (a, b) (possibly $a = -\infty$ or $b = +\infty$). Then, there are points $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k+1}$ s.t. for each $j = 0, \dots, k$, $f|_{(a_j, a_{j+1})}$ is either,

- constant, or
- a strictly monotonic and continuous bijection to an interval.

Finiteness Lemma

Let $A \subseteq M^2$ be definable and suppose that for each $x \in M$ the fiber $A_x := \{y \in M \mid (x, y) \in A\}$ is finite. Then there is $N < \omega$ s.t. $|A_x| \leq N$ for all $x \in M$.

Corollary

Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be definable and continuous. Then f takes a maximum and minimum value on $[a, b]$.

Exchange Principle

Let \mathcal{M} be o-minimal. Let $b, c, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{M}$. If b is definable over c, a_1, \dots, a_n , and b is not definable over a_1, \dots, a_n , then c is definable over b, a_1, \dots, a_n .

Motivation

Question

What happens with the definable subsets of M^n ?

Notation

Given definable $X \subseteq M^n$

- $C(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow M \mid f \text{ is definable and continuous}\}$
- $C_\infty(X) := C(X) \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$
- for $f, g \in C_\infty(X)$ s.t. $(\forall \bar{x} \in X)(f(\bar{x}) < g(\bar{x}))$ then

$$(f, g)_X := \{(\bar{x}, y) \in X \times M \mid f(\bar{x}) < y < g(\bar{x})\}$$

Let (i_1, \dots, i_n) be a binary sequence. Then a (i_1, \dots, i_n) -*cell* is a definable subset of M^n defined as follows,

- a (0)-cell is a singleton
- a (1)-cell is an open interval


Suppose that we have defined (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cells, then

Let (i_1, \dots, i_n) be a binary sequence. Then a (i_1, \dots, i_n) -cell is a definable subset of M^n defined as follows,

- a (0)-cell is a singleton
- a (1)-cell is an open interval

Suppose that we have defined (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cells, then

- a $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0)$ -cell is the graph of some $f \in C(X)$, where X is a (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cell




Let (i_1, \dots, i_n) be a binary sequence. Then a (i_1, \dots, i_n) -cell is a definable subset of M^n defined as follows,

- a (0)-cell is a singleton
- a (1)-cell is an open interval

Suppose that we have defined (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cells, then

- a $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0)$ -cell is the graph of some $f \in C(X)$, where X is a (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cell
- a $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1)$ -cell is the set $(f, g)_X$ where X is a (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) -cell and $f, g \in C_\infty(X)$



Decompositions

A *decomposition* of M^n is a partition of M^n into finitely many cells. It is defined recursively.

- A decomposition of M is a collection,

$$\{(-\infty, a_1), (a_1, a_2), \dots, (a_k, +\infty), \{a_1\}, \dots, \{a_k\}\}$$

where $a_1 < \dots < a_k$ are points in M ;

- a decomposition of M^{n+1} is a finite partition of M^{n+1} into cells C s.t. the set of projections $\pi(C)$ is a decomposition of M^n .

A decomposition \mathcal{D} of M^n is said to *partition* a set $S \subseteq M^n$ if S is a union of cells in \mathcal{D} .

Cell Decomposition Theorem

Uniform Finiteness Property

Let $Y \subseteq M^{n+1}$ be definable and also for every $\bar{x} \in M^n$,

$$Y_{\bar{x}} := \{r \in M \mid (\bar{x}, r) \in Y\}$$

is finite. Then there exists $N < \omega$ s.t. $|Y_{\bar{x}}| \leq N$ for all $\bar{x} \in M^n$.

Cell Decomposition Theorem

- ① Given any definable sets $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq M^n$ there is a decomposition of M^n partitioning each of A_1, \dots, A_k .
- ② For each definable function $f : A \rightarrow M$, $A \subseteq M^n$, there is a decomposition \mathcal{D} of M^n partitioning A s.t. the restriction $f|_B : B \rightarrow M$ to each cell $B \in \mathcal{D}$ with $B \subseteq A$ is continuous.

Theorem

If \mathcal{M} is an o-minimal structure, the $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ is an o-minimal theory.

Proof...

Prime models

Definition

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. \mathcal{M} is said to be *prime over A* if for any $\mathcal{M}' \models T$ with $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$, there is an elementary mapping $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ that is identity on A .

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{M} \models T$, where T is an o-minimal theory. Then there is an a model $\mathcal{M}' \models T$, $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$ that is prime over A , and is unique up to isomorphism over A .

Ressayre's Theorem

Definition

Let \mathcal{M} be an L -structure and let $A \subseteq M$. Let δ be an ordinal and $(a_\alpha : \alpha < \delta)$ be a sequence of elements from M . Let $A_\alpha = A \cup \{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. We call $(a_\alpha : \alpha < \delta)$ a *construction* over A if $\text{tp}(a_\alpha/A_\alpha)$ is isolated for all $\alpha < \delta$.

Theorem [Ressayre]

Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}$, $\mathcal{M} \prec \mathbb{M}$, $\mathcal{N} \prec \mathbb{M}$, and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are constructible over A . Then the identity map on A extends to an isomorphism between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} .

Cuts and Noncuts

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$. Let $p(x) \in S_1(M)$. We say that $p(x)$ is a *cut* over \mathcal{M} iff there are non empty disjoint subsets C_0 and C_1 of M , s.t.
 $C_0 \cup C_1 = M$, C_0 has no greatest element, C_1 has no least element, for all $c \in C_0$, “ $c < x$ ” $\in p(x)$, and for all $c \in C_1$, “ $x < c$ ” $\in p(x)$.
 If $p(x)$ is not isolated and is not a cut, we call $p(x)$ a *noncut*.


Cuts and Noncuts

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$. Let $p(x) \in S_1(M)$. We say that $p(x)$ is a *cut* over \mathcal{M} iff there are non empty disjoint subsets C_0 and C_1 of M , s.t.
 $C_0 \cup C_1 = M$, C_0 has no greatest element, C_1 has no least element, for all $c \in C_0$, “ $c < x$ ” $\in p(x)$, and for all $c \in C_1$, “ $x < c$ ” $\in p(x)$.
 If $p(x)$ is not isolated and is not a cut, we call $p(x)$ a *noncut*.

Example

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the field of real algebraic numbers. We know that $\pi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, so $\text{tp}(\pi, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a cut.

If t is an infinite hyperreal or t is infinitesimally close to an element of $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\text{tp}(t, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a noncut.




Cuts and Noncuts

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$. Let $p(x) \in S_1(M)$. We say that $p(x)$ is a *cut* over \mathcal{M} iff there are non empty disjoint subsets C_0 and C_1 of M , s.t.
 $C_0 \cup C_1 = M$, C_0 has no greatest element, C_1 has no least element, for all $c \in C_0$, “ $c < x$ ” $\in p(x)$, and for all $c \in C_1$, “ $x < c$ ” $\in p(x)$.
 If $p(x)$ is not isolated and is not a cut, we call $p(x)$ a *noncut*.

Example

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the field of real algebraic numbers. We know that $\pi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, so $\text{tp}(\pi, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a cut.

If t is an infinite hyperreal or t is infinitesimally close to an element of $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\text{tp}(t, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a noncut.




Cuts and Noncuts

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$. Let $p(x) \in S_1(M)$. We say that $p(x)$ is a *cut* over \mathcal{M} iff there are non empty disjoint subsets C_0 and C_1 of M , s.t.
 $C_0 \cup C_1 = M$, C_0 has no greatest element, C_1 has no least element, for all $c \in C_0$, " $c < x$ " $\in p(x)$, and for all $c \in C_1$, " $x < c$ " $\in p(x)$.
 If $p(x)$ is not isolated and is not a cut, we call $p(x)$ a *noncut*.

Example

Let $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the field of real algebraic numbers. We know that $\pi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, so $\text{tp}(\pi, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a cut.

If t is an infinite hyperreal or t is infinitesimally close to an element of $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\text{tp}(t, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a noncut.



Cuts and Noncuts

Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$, where T is an o-minimal theory.

Theorem

Let $\sigma(x) \in S_1(M)$ be a cut. Let $\tau(x) \in S_1(M)$ be a noncut, and b be a realization of $\tau(x)$. Then $\sigma(x)$ is omitted in $P(\mathcal{M} \cup \{b\})$.

Theorem

Let $\tau(x) \in S_1(M)$ be a noncut. Let $\sigma(x) \in S_1(M)$ be a cut, and b be a realization of $\sigma(x)$. Then $\tau(x)$ is omitted in $P(\mathcal{M} \cup \{b\})$.

Ordered Groups and Fields

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{G} = (G, +, 0, <)$ be an o-minimal ordered group. Then \mathcal{G} is a divisible ordered abelian group.

Reminder

An abelian group G is divisible if, for every positive integer n and every $g \in G$, there exists $y \in G$ such that $ny = g$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R} = (R, +, \cdot, 1, <)$ be an o-minimal ordered ring. Then \mathcal{R} is a real closed field.

Reminder

A field F is real closed if it is elementarily equivalent to \mathbb{R} .

Trichotomy Theorem

Question

Is there a sense in which an o-minimal structure is either “trivial”, or group-like, or field-like?

Any answer to this has to be “local”.

Trichotomy Theorem


Question

Is there a sense in which an o-minimal structure is either “trivial”, or group-like, or field-like?

Any answer to this has to be “local”.

Example

- L is carrying the structure of a pure dense linear order
- M is carrying the structure of a divisible abelian ordered group
- R is carrying the structure of a real closed field



Trichotomy Theorem

Trichotomy Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a sufficiently saturated o-minimal structure. Given an $a \in M$ one and only one of the following holds,

- a is trivial,
- the structure that \mathcal{M} induces on some convex neighborhood of a is an ordered vector space over an ordered division ring.
- the structure that \mathcal{M} induces over some open interval around a is an o-minimal expansion of a real closed field.