

UIT2504

Artificial Intelligence

Introduction to
First-order Logic

Outline

- Why first-order logic?
- FOL: Syntax
- FOL: Semantics
- Using FOL
- Inferences in first-order logic

Propositional Logic is good ...

- Propositional logic is **declarative**
- PL allows **partial / disjunctive / negated** information
- PL is **compositional**
- Unlike natural languages, meaning in PL is **context-independent**

But . . .

- PL lacks expressive power – can not express property of a “class” and reason with “objects” of that class
- P: Birds fly
- Q: Tweety is a bird
- R: Tweety flies
- **Can we infer R from $P \wedge Q$???**

FOL: Syntax

- PL assumes that a proposition is "atomic", represented by a symbol
- FOL provides different mechanisms for building **objects** (referred to as **terms**) and uses **predicates** to make statements about relationship among objects

FOL: Building blocks

- **Constants:** Symbols that directly refer to an object: India, Tweety, Tom, Jerry
- **Variables:** Symbols that can bind to different objects from a domain: x , y , z , cat, mouse
- **Functions:** Symbols that indirectly refer to an object through some other objects: $f(x,y)$, father_of(Tom)

FOL: Terms

- **Terms** can be built using these symbols
 - Constant by itself is a term
 - Variable by itself is a term
 - If t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n are terms and f is a n -place function symbol, then $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is a term
 - Nothing else is a term
- Note that a term refers to an object and does not have any truth value!
- Examples: Zero, $s(\text{Zero})$, $s(s(\text{Zero}))$, $\text{sum}(s(\text{Zero}), s(s(s(\text{Zero}))))$

FOL: Predicates

- **Predicates** are statements that bring out relationship among objects

$ge(s(x), x); likes(y, Aravindan)$

- Note that propositions are predicates with zero arguments
- Predicates are referred to as **atoms** (and a **literal** is negation of an atom)
- A **ground atom** (or literal) is one with no variables appearing in it
- Ground atoms can assume truth values, either *True* or *False*

FOL: Connectives

- **Connectives:** $\neg, \Rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \Leftrightarrow$
- **Quantifiers:** \forall, \exists
- $(\forall x F)$ is True iff for every possible binding for x in F , F evaluates to True
- $(\exists x F)$ is True iff there exists some binding for x in F s.t. F evaluates to True

FOL: Quantifiers

- $\forall x(\text{country}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(\text{capital}(y, x)))$
- $\forall s(\text{student}(s) \wedge \text{pass}(s) \Rightarrow \text{work}(s))$
- $\forall x(\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{at}(x, \text{SSN}) \Rightarrow \text{smart}(x))$

FOL: Quantifiers

- $\forall x(\text{country}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(\text{capital}(y, x)))$
- $\forall s(\text{student}(s) \wedge \text{pass}(s) \Rightarrow \text{work}(s))$
- $\forall x(\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{at}(x, \text{SSN}) \Rightarrow \text{smart}(x))$
- Note that this is very different from
 $\forall x(\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{at}(x, \text{SSN}) \wedge \text{smart}(x))$

FOL: Quantifiers

- $\forall x(\text{country}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(\text{capital}(y, x)))$
- $\forall s(\text{student}(s) \wedge \text{pass}(s) \Rightarrow \text{work}(s))$
- $\forall x(\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{at}(x, \text{SSN}) \Rightarrow \text{smart}(x))$
- Note that this is very different from
 $\forall x(\text{student}(x) \wedge \text{at}(x, \text{SSN}) \wedge \text{smart}(x))$
- $\exists y(\text{student}(y) \wedge \text{at}(y, \text{SSN}) \wedge \text{genius}(y))$

FOL: Quantifiers

- Represent the following using the predicate $\text{loves}(x, y)$, which stands for “ x loves y ” and suitable quantifiers:
 - Everybody loves everybody
 - Everybody loves somebody
 - Someone is loved by everyone
 - Somebody loves everybody

FOL: Quantifiers

- $\exists x \exists y$ is same as $\exists y \exists x$
- $\forall x \forall y$ is same as $\forall y \forall x$
- $\exists x \forall y$ is **NOT** the same as $\forall y \exists x$
- $\exists x F$ can also be expressed as $\neg \forall x \neg F$
- $\forall x F$ can also be expressed as $\neg \exists x \neg F$

FOL: Well-formed formula

- An atom is a wff
- If F is a wff then $\neg F$ and (F) are wff
- If $F1$ and $F2$ are wff, then
 - $F1 \vee F2$, $F1 \wedge F2$, $F1 \Rightarrow F2$, $F1 \Leftrightarrow F2$ are wff
- If F is a wff with a free variable x in it, then $\forall x F$ and $\exists x F$ are wff
- Nothing else is a wff

FOL: Example

All employees earning Rs. 1,50,000 or more pay taxes. Some employees are sick today. No employee earns more than the President.

FOL: Example

All employees earning Rs. 150,000 or more pay taxes. Some employees are sick today. No employee earns more than the President.

$$\forall x(\text{emp}(x) \wedge \text{ge}(s(x), 150000) \Rightarrow \text{tax}(x))$$

FOL: Example

All employees earning Rs. 150,000 or more pay taxes. Some employees are sick today. No employee earns more than the President.

$\forall x(\text{emp}(x) \wedge \text{ge}(s(x), 150000) \Rightarrow \text{tax}(x))$

$\exists y(\text{emp}(y) \wedge \text{sick}(y))$

FOL: Example

All employees earning Rs. 150,000 or more pay taxes. Some employees are sick today. No employee earns more than the President.

$$\forall x(\text{emp}(x) \wedge \text{ge}(s(x), 150000) \Rightarrow \text{tax}(x))$$

$$\exists y(\text{emp}(y) \wedge \text{sick}(y))$$

$$\forall x \forall y(\text{emp}(x) \wedge \text{pre}(y) \Rightarrow \text{ge}(s(y), s(x)))$$

FOL: Example

$$\forall s (\text{Set}(s) \Leftrightarrow (s = \{\}) \vee \exists x, s_2 (\text{Set}(s_2) \wedge s = \{x | s_2\}))$$

$$\neg \exists x, s (\{x | s\} = \{\})$$

$$\forall x, s (x \in s \Leftrightarrow \exists s' (s = \{x | s'\}))$$

$$\forall x, s (x \in s \Leftrightarrow [\exists y, s_2 (s = \{y | s_2\} \wedge (x = y \vee x \in s_2))])$$

$$\forall s_1, s_2 (s_1 \subseteq s_2 \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \ x \in s_1 \Rightarrow x \in s_2))$$

$$\forall s_1, s_2 ((s_1 = s_2) \Leftrightarrow (s_1 \subseteq s_2 \wedge s_2 \subseteq s_1))$$

$$\forall x, s_1, s_2 (x \in (s_1 \cap s_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \in s_1 \wedge x \in s_2))$$

$$\forall x, s_1, s_2 (x \in (s_1 \cup s_2) \Leftrightarrow (x \in s_1 \vee x \in s_2))$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y,s(x))))$$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

- Assign values to constants from the domain

$$\text{Zero} \mapsto 0$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

- Assign values to constants from the domain

$$\text{Zero} \mapsto 0$$

- Assign values to function symbols

$$s(0) \mapsto 1, s(1) \mapsto 2, s(2) \mapsto 3, s(3) \mapsto 0$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

- Assign values to constants from the domain

$$\text{Zero} \mapsto 0$$

- Assign values to function symbols

$$s(0) \mapsto 1, s(1) \mapsto 2, s(2) \mapsto 3, s(3) \mapsto 0$$

- Assign truth values to ground atoms

$$\{n(0), n(1), n(2), n(3), p(3, 2), p(3, 1), p(3, 0)\}$$

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y,s(x))))$$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

- Assign values to constants from the domain

$$\text{Zero} \mapsto 0$$

- Assign values to function symbols

$$s(0) \mapsto 1, s(1) \mapsto 2, s(2) \mapsto 3, s(3) \mapsto 0$$

- Assign truth values to ground atoms

$$\{n(0), n(1), n(2), n(3), p(3,2), p(3,1), p(3,0)\}$$

- This completes one **interpretation**

FOL: Interpretations

$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$

- Fix a **domain**

$$D = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

- Assign values to constants from the domain

$$\text{Zero} \mapsto 0$$

- Assign values to function symbols

$$s(0) \mapsto 1, s(1) \mapsto 2, s(2) \mapsto 3, s(3) \mapsto 0$$

- Assign truth values to ground atoms

$$\{n(0), n(1), n(2), n(3), p(3, 2), p(3, 1), p(3, 0)\}$$

- This completes one **interpretation**
- Is this a **model** for the given sentence?

FOL: Interpretations

$$n(\text{Zero}) \wedge \forall x (n(x) \Rightarrow \exists y (p(y, s(x))))$$

- Herbrand Domain

$$\text{HD} = \{\text{Zero}, s(\text{Zero}), s(s(\text{Zero})), \dots\}$$

- Herbrand Base

$$\text{HB} = \{n(\text{Zero}), n(s(\text{Zero})), p(\text{Zero}, s(\text{Zero})), \dots\}$$

- Herbrand Interpretation

(Assign truth values to ground atoms)

$$\{n(\text{Zero}), p(s(s(\text{Zero})), s(\text{Zero}))\}$$

- Herbrand Model

FOL: Models

- Model of a sentence is an interpretation in which the sentence evaluates to true
- Recall definitions of satisfiable, valid, and equivalent sentences
- Recall definition of logical entailment
- Design algorithm for $KB \models^? \alpha$

Questions?