Instructions: (Please read carefully and follow them!)

Try to solve all problems on your own. If you have difficulties, ask the instructor or TAs.

In this session, we will apply the methods we have developed in the previous labs, to solve a practical problem. The scalability analysis performed in previous labs will be carried out in this lab as well.

The implementation of the optimization algorithms in this lab will involve extensive use of the numpy Python package. It would be useful for you to get to know some of the functionalities of numpy package. For details on numpy Python package, please consult https://numpy.org/doc/stable/index.html

For plotting purposes, please use matplotlib.pyplot package. You can find examples in the site https://matplotlib.org/examples/.

Please follow the instructions given below to prepare your solution notebooks:

- Please use different notebooks for solving different Exercise problems.
- The notebook name for Exercise 1 should be YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex1.ipynb.
- Similarly, the notebook name for Exercise 2 should be YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex2.ipynb, etc.
- Please post your doubts in MS Teams Discussion Forum channel so that TAs can clarify.

There are only 2 exercises in this lab. Try to solve all problems on your own. If you have difficulties, ask the Instructors or TAs.

Only the questions marked [R] need to be answered in the notebook. You can either print the answers using print command in your code or you can write the text in a separate text tab. To add text in your notebook, click +Text. Some questions require you to provide proper explanations; for such questions, write proper explanations in a text tab. Some questions require the answers to be written in LaTeX notation. Please see the demo video (posted in Lab 1) to know how to write LaTeX in Google notebooks. Some questions require plotting certain graphs. Please make sure that the plots are present in the submitted notebooks. Please include all answers in your .pynb files.

After completing this lab's Exercise 1, click File \rightarrow Download .ipynb and save your files to your local laptop/desktop. Create a folder with name YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex1 and copy your .ipynb files to the folder. Then zip the folder to create YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex1.zip. Then upload only the .zip file to Moodle.

Similarly, after completing this lab's Exercise 2, click File \rightarrow Download .ipynb and save your files to your local laptop/desktop. Create a folder with name YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex2 and copy your .ipynb files to the folder. Then zip the folder to create YOURROLLNUMBER_IE684_Lab6_Ex2.zip. Then upload only the .zip file to Moodle.

There will be extra marks for students who follow the proper naming conventions in their submissions.

Please check the submission deadline announced in moodle.

Please also note that Exercise 1 and Exercise 2 have different submission deadlines.

Exercise 0: Convergence of sequences: (ONLY FOR PRACTICE, NOT FOR SUBMISSION)

Let $\{x^k\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^n that converges to x^* . One possible classification of the convergence behavior is stated below:

• The convergence is Q-Linear if there is a constant $r \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{||x^{k+1} - x^*||}{||x^k - x^*||} \le r, \text{ for all } k \text{ sufficiently large},$$

where the norm $||\cdot||$ is generally assumed to be the Euclidean norm.

ullet The convergence is Q-superlinear if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{||x^{k+1} - x^*||}{||x^k - x^*||} = 0.$$

• The convergence is Q-quadratic if there exists some M > 0 such that we have

$$\frac{||x^{k+1}-x^*||}{||x^k-x^*||^2} \leq M, \text{ for all } k \text{ sufficiently large}.$$

1. For each of following three sequences check empirically how fast they converge:

(a)
$$(1+0.5)^{-(2^k)}$$
, $k=0,1,2,...$

(b)
$$1 + (0.5)^k$$
, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(c)
$$1 + (0.5)^{(-k)}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(d)
$$1 + k^{-k}$$
, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (assume $0^0 = 1$)

You can check the rates by plotting the iterates.

In this lab, we will consider the ordinary least squares regression (OLSR) problem. We will discuss a few optimization algorithms to solve it. Please use **only** Python as your programming language.

Preparation Exercise (PREP):

- 1. Import the required Python packages using the following commands import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt (Recall the functionality of these packages.)
- 2. For replication purposes, initialize the random number generator using np.random.seed(1000)
- 3. Use the np.random.randn function to create A as a random numpy array of 800 rows and 2 columns (recall the purpose of np.random.randn function). In data science parlance, we shall call A to be a data set of 800 data points, each of dimension 2.
- 4. Create \bar{x} as a random vector of size 2×1 such that \bar{x} is sampled uniformly from $[-4, -2] \times [6, 8] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (Use appropriate numpy function here).
- 5. Use np.random.randn to create ε as a random vector of size 800×1 .
- 6. Compute $y = A\bar{x} + \varepsilon$. Use an appropriate number function to do the matrix multiplication $A\bar{x}$ efficiently.

Exercise 1: Direct least squares loss minimization [Submission Deadline: 4.30 PM TODAY]

Note that y is a noisy version of $A\bar{x}$. We will now try to estimate \bar{x} assuming that we are given y and A. One possible approach is to solve the following problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_{2}^{2}. \tag{1}$$

The loss term $||Ax - y||_2^2$ is called the ordinary least squares (OLS) loss and the problem (1) is called the OLS Regression problem.

- 1. Write Python functions using appropriate numpy routines to compute the objective function value, the gradient value and the Hessian of f.
- 2. [R] With a starting point of $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, solve problem (1) using the Newton's method implemented with backtracking line search (use $\alpha^0 = 0.9, \rho = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$ for backtracking line search and $\tau = 10^{-5}$). Comment on difficulties (if any) you face when computing the inverse of Hessian (recall that you need to use an appropriate Python function to compute the inverse of the Hessian). If you face difficulty in computing inverse of Hessian, try to think of some remedy so that you can avoid the issue.
 - Let x^* be the final optimal solution provided by your algorithm. Report the values of x^* and \bar{x} , and discuss the observations.
 - Plot the values $\log(||x^k x^*||_2)$ against iterations $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 - Prepare a different plot for plotting $\log(|f(x^k) f(x^*)|)$ obtained from Newton's method against the iterations.
 - Comment on the convergence rates of the iterates and the objective function values, by recalling the definitions given above.
- 3. [R] With a starting point of $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, solve problem (1) using the BFGS method implemented in the previous lab with backtracking line search (use $\alpha^0 = 0.9, \rho = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$ for backtracking line search and $\tau = 10^{-5}$).
 - Let x^* be the final optimal solution provided by your algorithm. Report the values of x^* and \bar{x} , and discuss the observations.
 - Plot the values $\log(||x^k x^*||_2)$ against iterations $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 - Prepare a different plot for plotting $\log(|f(x^k)-f(x^*)|)$ obtained from BFGS method against the iterations.
 - Comment on the convergence rates of the iterates and the objective function values.
- 4. [R] Compare and contrast the results obtained by Newton's method and BFGS method.

Exercise 2: Regularized least squares loss minimization

1. Let us now introduce the following regularized problem (with $\lambda > 0$):

$$\min_{x} f_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2} x^{\top} x + \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - y||_{2}^{2}.$$
 (2)

- [R] Comment on the significance of the newly added regularizer term $\frac{\lambda}{2}x^{\top}x$, when compared to problem (1).
- 2. Write Python functions to compute the function value, gradient and Hessian of f_{λ} .
- 3. [R] Fixing $\lambda = 1$, solve the problem (2) using Newton and BFGS method with backtracking line search (use $\alpha^0 = 0.9, \rho = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5$ for backtracking line search and $\tau = 10^{-5}$). Try the following different starting point values for each method: $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 50 \\ 50 \end{pmatrix}$, and $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}$. Report the following for both Newton and BFGS methods:
 - Tabulate and report the final optimal solution x^* provided by your algorithm for each of the starting points. Are they same? If not, discuss the possible reasons. Compare x^* and \bar{x} and discuss the observations.
 - Prepare a single plot where you depict the values $\log(||x^k x^*||_2)$ against iterations k = 0, 1, 2, ..., for each starting point (use different colors for different starting points; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the iterates.
 - Prepare a different plot for plotting $\log(|f(x^k) f(x^*)|)$ against the iterations, for each starting point (use different colors for different starting points; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the objective function values.
 - Compare and contrast the results obtained by Newton's method and BFGS method.
- 4. [R] Repeat the above experiment with $\lambda \in \{10^{-3}, 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 100\}$ for the starting point $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Report the following for both Newton and BFGS methods:
 - Tabulate and report the computation times required and the final optimal solution x^* obtained for different λ values for each method. Are the optimal solutions for different λ values same? If not, discuss the possible reasons. Compare x^* and \bar{x} and discuss the observations.
 - Prepare a single plot where you depict the values $\log(||x^k x^*||_2)$ against iterations k = 0, 1, 2, ..., for different λ values (use different colors for different λ values; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the iterates.
 - Prepare a different plot for plotting $\log(|f(x^k) f(x^*)|)$ against the iterations, for different λ values (use different colors for different λ values; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the objective function values.
 - Compare and contrast the results obtained by Newton's method and BFGS method.
- 5. [R] Now consider A to be of size 2400×2 . Appropriately, change the size of ε vector. Compute $y = A\bar{x} + \varepsilon$. For a starting point $x^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, solve (2) with Newton and BFGS methods for different values of λ similar to that in the previous problem. Report the following for both Newton and BFGS methods:

- Tabulate and report the computation times required and the final optimal solution x^* obtained for different λ values for each method. Are the optimal solutions for different λ values same? If not, discuss the possible reasons. Compare x^* and \bar{x} and discuss the observations.
- Prepare a single plot where you depict the values $\log(||x^k x^*||_2)$ against iterations k = 0, 1, 2, ..., for different λ values (use different colors for different λ values; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the iterates.
- Prepare a different plot for plotting $\log(|f(x^k) f(x^*)|)$ against the iterations, for different λ values (use different colors for different λ values; if necessary, add zoomed versions of the plots to depict the behavior clearly, and use appropriate legend in your plots). Comment on the convergence rates of the objective function values.
- Compare and contrast the results obtained by Newton's method and BFGS method.
- 6. [R] Repeat the experiments mentioned in the previous problem, with A to be of size 9600×2 and report the results and plots and the associated discussions.
- 7. [R] Compare and contrast the computation times required to solve the problems when the number of data points in A increase.