
Status of Linux dynticks



Frederic Weisbecker <fweisbec@gmail.com>


A periodic interrupt



A periodic interrupt

Frequency depends on arch and hardware

x86: 100 Hz, 250 Hz, 1000 Hz



 Low frequency (100 Hz): throughput, less interrupts, CPU less stolen, less cache trashed, ...


• High frequency (1000 Hz): latency, timer and scheduler granularity, cputime precision

Hrtimer reduce low freq drawback (poll(), epoll(), ...)

• Timekeeping (walltime, xtime, gettimeofday())

• Jiffies: relative, internal clock

timer wheel: struct timer_list




Task Timer interrupt


 Posix CPU timers (itimer, timer_settime, RLIM_CPU, ...)


Cputime

 Scheduler (local and global fairness, bandwidth, load/time accounting...)


• RCU








• Dynticks idle merged in 2.6.21 (2007)





• Dynticks idle merged in 2.6.21 (2007)

Dynticks != Tick-free

Fix power issue side of the tick


CPU can enter deep C-states

So now it's free, right?

So now it's free, right? No!

• Tick steals CPU 100 to 1000 times per secs

Icache, dcache periodically trashed



Who complains?

HPC: extreme throughput

Real time: extreme latency

Need to stop tick even on busy CPUs

Full dynticks merged in 3.10


 But to stop the tick comes at various costs and requirements

Poll driven -> Event driven

Cputime accounting

Poll on task execution


- Account tick to interrupted ring:
 - Userspace (task->utime)
 - Kernelspace (task->stime)
 - Fine grained details (guest, hardirq, softirq, ...)
- CONFIG_TICK_CPU_ACCOUNTING



Full dynticks: Cputime accounting

Convert to event driven accounting

- Listen to ring boundaries, account delta:
 - Syscall entry/exit
 - Exception entry/exit (traps, faults, ...)
 - Irqs entry/exit



Full dynticks: Cputime accounting

Convert to event driven accounting

- Listen to ring boundaries, account delta:
 - Syscall entry/exit
 - Exception entry/exit (traps, faults, ...)
 - Irqs entry/exit

Hooks overhead

Kernel lockless synchronization

Read sides can run concurrently with the writer

Synchronize object lifecycles

READ SIDE

WRITE SIDE

```
p = rcu_object
rcu_assign_pointer(rcu_object, new_object)
synchronize_rcu()
kfree(p)
```

1) Writer updates the object pointer

```
=> rcu_assign_pointer()
```

2) Starts grace period (finish when no more reader is using or can access old value)

```
=> synchronize_rcu(), call_rcu(...)
```


3) Guarantee old value not visible anymore: remove old object

Quiescent state = CPU not using RCU

 All CPU report a quiescent state: grace period end.

 Grace period => global state machine, all CPU participate

Poll on quiescent states through tick



 Extended quiescent state = CPU not using RCU and no polling on quiescent states


 Passive part of global state machine, no quiescent state request (ie: no need for tick)

Useful for dynticks

 Idle = extended quiescent state, to enforce powersaving

CPU 2

In idle (RCU extended quiescent state)



Full dynticks: RCU


Userspace don't use RCU

 Userspace = extended quiescent (CONFIG_RCU_USER_QS)

Dynticks possible in userspace

CPU 2

In userland (RCU extended quiescent state)



Waiting for grace period



RCU read side critical section

Full dynticks: Timekeeping

Tickless busy CPU can use jiffies/walltime

 Unlike dyntick idle, need maintained timekeeping

Need a periodic timekeeper (boot CPU)

 Big powersaving issue right now (solution from Paul Mckenney in the way)

Full dynticks: single task

 Need local fairness if more than a task runs (preemption)

Only stop tick if single task on CPU

• Future: hrtick?

Full dynticks: 1 Hz hack

Still some work needed on scheduler

 Load balancing, various accounting stats, load average, etc...

Keep 1 Hz at most until it gets solved

References

- Documentation/timers/NO_HZ.txt
- (Nearly) full tickless operation in 3.10
 - http://lwn.net/Articles/549580/
- "The 2012 realtime minisummit" (LWN, CPU isolation discussion)
 - http://lwn.net/Articles/520704/
- "NoHZ tasks" (LWN)
 - http://lwn.net/Articles/420544/
- Linux kernel development, Robert Love
- Bare metal multicore performance in a general purpose Operating System, Paul McKenney

Thanks!

- Josh Triplett: First prototype (LPC 2009)
- Steven Rostedt: Lots of code review and comments, tracing upgrades
- Christoph Lameter: Early adopter feedback
- Li Zhong: Power port
- · Geoff Levand, Kevin Hilman: ARM port
- Peter Zijlstra: Scheduler-related review, comments, and work
- Paul E. McKenney: Read-copy update (RCU) work (fun with "Hotel California" interrupts!)
- Thomas Gleixner, Paul E. McKenney: "Godfathers"
- Ingo Molnar: Maintainer
- Other contributors:
 - Avi Kivity, Chris Metcalf, Geoff Levand, Gilad Ben Yossef, Hakan Akkan, Lai Jiangshan, Max Krasnyansky, Namhyung Kim, Paul Gortmaker, Paul Mackerras, Peter Zijlstra, Steven Rostedt, Zen Lin (and probably many more)

Questions?