TECHNICAL ANSWERS TO REAL WORLD PROBLEMS

AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER DETECTION USING COMPUTER VISION AND DEEP LEARNING

FALL SEMESTER 2023-24



Name	Registration Numbers
Aman Kumar	20MID0133
Sumail Alam	20MIC0027
Omkar Santaji Jadhav	20MIC0056
Vishal Maheshwari	20MIC0128
Saksham Jain	20MIC0003

DECLARATION

We, hereby declare that the work presented in this project is entirely our own, and

all sources used for research and development have been duly acknowledged. This

project is being conducted as a part of our 4th-year curriculum, and the results

presented reflect genuine efforts towards achieving the project objectives.

We further declare that all ethical considerations and guidelines provided by the

School of Computer Science and Engineering have been adhered to throughout the

duration of the project.

We acknowledge the guidance and support provided by Dr. Kathiravan S, without

which the successful completion of this project would not have been possible.

Dr. Kathiravan S

Associate Professor Sr. (SCOPE)

2

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the project report titled:

AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER DETECTION USING COMPUTER VISION

AND DEEP LEARNING

Submitted by the students of the 4th year of the Computer Science program at the

School of Computer Science and Engineering (SCOPE) during the fall semester of

the academic year 2023-24, is a record of their own work.

The guidance and supervision for this project were provided by Dr. Kathiravan S,

who holds the position of Associate Professor Sr. at the School of Computer Science

and Engineering (SCOPE). Dr. Kathiravan's expertise and mentorship significantly

contributed to the successful execution and completion of the project.

Dr. Kathiravan S

Associate Professor Sr. (SCOPE)

3

INDEX

Abstract6
ntroduction7
Related Work8
Review 1:8
Review 2:
Review 3:
Review 4:
Review 5:
Review 6:
Review 7:
Review 8:
Review 9:
Review 10:
Review 11:22
Review 12:23
Review 13:
Review 14:25
Review 15:26
Review 16:27
Review 17:
Review 18:
Review 19:30
Review 20:
Review 21:
Proposed Methodology33
Feature Selection: 33
Model Selection:35
Data Splitting:36
Model Development:

Evaluation Metrics:	40
Deployment and Integration:	41
Continuous Improvement:	43
Results	46
Conclusions	47
1. Efficacy of Computer Vision and Deep Learning:	47
2. Promise for Early Detection:	47
3. Comparative Advantages:	47
4. Challenges and Future Directions:	47
5. Interdisciplinary Potential:	48
References	49
Appendix (Program/Code)	51

Abstract

This project tackles the growing challenge of early Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) detection by introducing an innovative method that combines Computer Vision and Deep Learning techniques. The primary aim is to create a non-invasive system capable of identifying potential ASD cases through the nuanced analysis of facial expressions and features. By collecting diverse facial imagery data from both neurotypical and ASD-diagnosed individuals, the project employs advanced Computer Vision algorithms for detailed feature extraction. The subsequent Deep Learning model is trained on this dataset, learning to discern subtle patterns associated with ASD.

Preliminary results demonstrate a commendable accuracy in distinguishing between neurotypical and ASD individuals, showcasing the system's potential as an effective screening tool. This research marks a significant stride at the intersection of healthcare and technology, offering a promising avenue for the early diagnosis of ASD. The envisioned impact involves not only a more accessible and timely identification of ASD but also the potential for tailored therapeutic interventions, thereby enhancing the overall support system for individuals on the autism spectrum.

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition characterized by a wide range of symptoms that affect communication, social interaction, and behavior. Timely diagnosis of ASD is of paramount importance, as it paves the way for early intervention and significantly enhances treatment outcomes. However, the variability in the presentation of ASD symptoms poses a formidable challenge to accurate and efficient diagnosis. In response to this challenge, this paper introduces a novel approach that harnesses the power of deep learning to automatically detect ASD. Leveraging both audio and visual data, we employ a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) to extract essential features from these modalities. Subsequently, we train a Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier to diagnose ASD with a remarkable accuracy of 94.1%. The promising results obtained through our proposed methodology highlight its potential as a reliable tool for early ASD detection and intervention, ultimately contributing to improved support and outcomes for individuals affected by this complex disorder. In this introductory section, we provide an overview of the pressing issue of ASD diagnosis, the significance of early intervention, and a preview of our innovative deep learning approach designed to address this critical need.

Related Work

Review 1:

Simple Yet Effective Approach to Repetitive Behaviour Classification based on Siamese Network

The paper begins by highlighting the prevalence of repetitive behaviours in daily life and their significance in various fields, from computer vision to medical diagnosis. It emphasizes the importance of classifying repetitive behaviours, especially in the context of diagnosing ASD.mentioning existing methods that focus on counting or localizing repetitions in videos. It also highlights the lack of methods for directly classifying whether actions in videos are repetitive or non-repetitive, despite the need in fields like psychology and psychiatry.

The proposed SiRepNet is described as a simple yet effective approach for classifying repetitive behaviours in videos. It utilizes a Siamese network architecture, which takes fixed-size segments of an input video and classifies whether repetitive actions occur within those segments. The network aims to learn meaningful representations that differentiate between repetitive and non-repetitive patterns based on the high inter-frame and inter-segment similarities exhibited by repetitive behaviours. To train the SiRepNet, the authors construct a dataset by repurposing existing action recognition and repetition counting datasets, Kinetics and Countix. This dataset allows them to train and test their method effectively.

The paper mentions the use of label smoothing regularization to address over-confidence issues and handle label noise in the dataset. LSR is employed to improve the robustness and accuracy of the classification task. The authors conduct extensive experiments to validate the effectiveness of SiRepNet. They report that their method not only works well with CNN-based networks but is also compatible with recent

Transformer-based networks, enhancing the classification performance. Cross-dataset experiments on QUVA and PERTUBE datasets are performed to assess the generalization ability of their approach.

Various techniques, such as distance matrices, online repetition counting schemes, context-aware frameworks, and hybrid models combining CNN and Transformer, have been proposed for these tasks. However, these methods are designed to detect repetitions within video segments containing repetitive actions and do not directly classify whether an entire video contains repetitive behaviours or not. This paper addresses the binary classification task of identifying the presence of repetitive behaviours in videos, which is different from previous approaches that focus on counting and segmentation.

Video Classification: The problem of classifying repetitive behaviours in videos is related to video classification and action recognition. Video classification has been extensively studied in computer vision, with methods like C3D, I3D, P3D, S3D, and R(2+1)D using 3D CNNs to capture spatio-temporal features. These methods have proven effective but have limitations in representation ability due to the limited receptive fields of the convolution operator. Recently, Transformer-based methods have gained popularity in video classification, such as TimeSformer and Video Swin Transformer, which enable spatio-temporal feature learning through self-attention mechanisms. Transformer-based approaches offer promising performance and flexibility for video classification tasks.

he SiRepNet model consists of three primary parts: a weight-sharing encoder (Siamese network), a predictor, and a logit layer. The Siamese network is used to capture the inherent repetitive behaviour patterns by taking split video segments as inputs. The predictor layer prevents the Siamese network from collapsing and matches latent features of repetitive video segments. The back-propagation is

performed only in the direction of the predictor to prevent collapsing. The design of the predictor layer includes 1x1x1 3D convolution layers or fully-connected layers. Segment-level predictions are aggregated using an aggregation function (e.g., mean pooling) to yield video-level predictions for repetitive behaviours. The SiRepNet model is shown to improve RBC performance while keeping the parameter increase low.

Label Smoothing: To address potential label noise and over-confidence issues in the dataset, the paper employs a label smoothing regularization strategy. This strategy reformulates one-hot ground-truth vectors to make the model less confident about its predictions. It prevents the network from being too certain about its classifications.

Loss Functions: Two loss functions are used for training SiRepNet. The first is binary cross-entropy loss to learn class information of a video sample. The second is a symmetrized negative cosine similarity loss, used as an auxiliary loss function to learn feature representations of repetitive behaviours from video segments. In conclusion, the proposed SiRepNet is a simple yet effective approach for repetitive behaviour classification in videos. It leverages Siamese architecture, label smoothing, and various loss functions to improve classification performance, especially in recall. The method shows promise in applications like autism spectrum disorder (ASD) detection

Review 2:

A Hybrid Approach to Support the Detection of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) through Machine Learning and Deep Learning Technique.

They discuss the importance of early diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and the potential of computer-aided diagnosis systems to assist in this process. It emphasizes the delay in ASD diagnosis and the need for quick assessments to provide timely interventions.

various studies and approaches related to ASD diagnosis using artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) techniques. These studies include the development of mobile applications for ASD tests, feature engineering and classification using different classifiers, the use of personal characteristics data (PCD), and the application of eye tracking and video analysis.

Furthermore, it mentions the utilization of ML and DL methods for Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) solutions, discussing the use of eye-tracking technology for identifying autism, and the development of ML-focused, mobile video-based strategies for ASD diagnosis.

Also introduces various frameworks and techniques for improving data quality in electronic health records (EHR) data and proposes methods to enhance the accuracy of prenatal ultrasound image diagnosis outtlines a proposed methodology for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) detection, involving data pre-processing, analysis, training and testing of machine learning and deep learning models, performance evaluation, and feature selection. Discusses the datasets used, the features and instances in each dataset, and how the Q-CHAT-10 scores are used to classify individuals as having potential ASD traits.

Presents the results of the methodology's application to four different ASD datasets: Toddler, Child, Adolescent, and Adult. It lists the performance metrics used, such as accuracy, recall, precision, F1-score, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, and area under the ROC curve (AUC). It shows the recall and F1-score results for various machine learning and deep learning classifiers on each dataset before and after feature selection using a tree-based feature selection method.

The results indicate that the Logistic Regression model consistently achieved high performance in terms of accuracy, recall, and F1-score across all datasets. Feature selection improved the performance of several classifiers, and the selected features were mostly related to AQ-10 questions.

The study concluded that machine learning and deep learning techniques showed promising results in the ASD detection process. However, the research was limited by the availability of open-source and larger ASD datasets for all age groups. Collecting more data on ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders was recommended for improving detection. Future research directions include integrating the findings into an application for quick and accessible diagnosis, exploring more deep learning and ensemble models, and investigating alternative feature selection methods

Review 3:

ASD Diagnosis in Children, Adults, and Adolescents using Various Machine Learning Techniques.

The study's primary objective is to assess the potential of machine learning techniques for diagnosing autism spectrum disorder (ASD). It aims to determine if these techniques can improve the speed and accuracy of ASD diagnosis compared to conventional methods. Additionally, the study discusses the limitations and implications of using machine learning for ASD diagnosis and emphasizes the need for further research in this field. If machine learning algorithms prove to be more effective than traditional diagnostic methods, they could be widely adopted in clinical settings to enhance diagnostic efficiency and accuracy, potentially opening new avenues of research into the fundamental causes of the disorder.

Identifies ASD as a condition affecting individuals with various risk factors, including low birth weight, having a sibling with ASD, or having older parents. It

outlines some common characteristics of individuals with ASD, such as social and communication difficulties, inappropriate laughter, lack of pain sensitivity, and repetitive behaviours. Early identification and treatment are crucial for improving the quality of life for individuals with ASD.

Various studies have employed different machine learning algorithms, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forest, and deep learning methods, to analyse different types of data, including behavioural data, electroencephalogram (EEG) signals, and facial images. These studies have demonstrated promising results, achieving high accuracy in identifying ASD or assessing its severity. Machine learning algorithms have shown potential for enhancing ASD diagnosis and understanding the disorder.

The methodology section outlines the steps involved in the research process, including data collection, data cleaning, exploratory data analysis (EDA), feature selection, model selection, training, evaluation, interpretation, and deployment. The data is split into training and testing sets, typically using an 80-20 ratio. Machine learning models such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naive Bayes, and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are considered for ASD diagnosis, depending on the type of data available.

The study emphasizes that the selection of features and the choice of an appropriate machine learning model depend on the nature of the data and the research objectives. The model's performance is assessed using metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. The findings of the model interpretation are expected to provide insights into the factors associated with a higher risk of ASD and guide the development of screening and intervention plans.

It assesses the performance of various machine learning models, including Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naive Bayes, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Logistic Regression, k-Nearest Neighbours (KNN), and Artificial Neural Networks

(ANN), on three different datasets corresponding to adults, children, and adolescents. The study evaluates these models using metrics such as sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy.

The results of the study indicate that deep learning techniques, particularly the CNN model, outperformed traditional machine learning methods in terms of accuracy across all age groups. The CNN's ability to handle complex data relationships and extract important features through multiple processing layers is attributed to its superior performance.

also highlighted the importance of data preprocessing, especially addressing missing values, in enhancing the models' accuracy. Furthermore, the research found that the models' performance remained consistent across different age groups, demonstrating their potential for early identification and assistance for individuals with ASD.

In conclusion, the study demonstrates the promise of machine learning and deep learning approaches in the identification of ASD, with a particular emphasis on the superiority of CNN models. However, the authors stress the need for further research on larger and more diverse datasets to confirm these findings and advance the field of ASD diagnosis.

Review 4:

Early Detection of Autism Spectrum Disorder through AI-Powered Analysis of Social Media Texts.

ASD is a developmental disability that affects social and interactive skills, appearing before the age of three and lasting a lifetime. It encompasses a wide range of subtype conditions, and one of these subtypes is Asperger Syndrome. Early diagnosis of ASD is crucial for several reasons. It allows for early intervention, which significantly improves social, communicative, and cognitive skills in children with ASD. It also provides access to resources and support for both the child and their

family, improving their quality of life. Early diagnosis positively affects educational and occupational trajectories and benefits scientific research.

Traditional methods for diagnosing ASD often involve time-consuming interviews and questionnaires. However, recent research has explored the application of AI and machine learning techniques to detect ASD, mainly using textual data from social media platforms like Twitter. The researchers collected a dataset from Twitter, consisting of 404,627 tweets from 252 users, 221 of whom indicated having ASD in their biographies. Various machine learning and deep learning models were applied to the dataset to develop predictive models for diagnosing ASD based on textual patterns in tweets. The text analysis aimed to identify users with ASD based on the content of their tweets.

The authors manually identified Twitter users claiming to have ASD in their profiles and extracted tweets from these users using the Twitter API. The dataset was preprocessed to remove duplicates, retweets, and irrelevant tweets. Three ML models were employed: Decision Trees, XGB (a gradient boosting model), and KNN (knearest neighbors). Additionally, a deep learning model called BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) was used for natural language processing (NLP) tasks. The BERT model outperformed the ML models, achieving an accuracy of 84.3%, while the ML models achieved accuracies ranging from 60.8% to 71.6%. The BERT model exhibited a lower number of false positives and false negatives, indicating its effectiveness in distinguishing between individuals with and without ASD.

The study highlighted the potential of deep learning models, especially BERT, for accurately classifying individuals with ASD based on their textual data. The results suggested that deep learning models can excel at recognizing complex patterns in the data.

The research demonstrated the effectiveness of using AI and NLP techniques to identify individuals with ASD based on their Twitter data. BERT proved to be the most accurate model. The study acknowledged potential biases in the dataset and proposed further research to fine-tune the models and explore additional ML and DL models.

Review 5:

Exploring various aspects in diagnosing autism spectrum disorder (ASD)

This research paper discusses the use of machine learning and deep learning algorithms to detect and diagnose Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) based on different data types: behavioural patterns, speech processing, and image processing. The study explores various algorithms and models to predict and diagnose autism with a focus on improving accuracy and early detection.

Behaviour Analysis: Data on behavioural attributes of ASD-diagnosed patients were collected and analysed. Different machine learning algorithms were applied, including Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Naïve Bayes.

The CNN algorithm exhibited the highest accuracy (97.58%) in predicting autism based on behavioural patterns.

Speech Processing: The study focused on the analysis of speech patterns and their correlation with ASD.CNN and FC-DNN (Fully Connected Deep Neural Network) models were used to estimate Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) scores. CNN was found to provide the most accurate results among the algorithms tested.

Image Processing: Facial images of ASD-diagnosed individuals were used for image processing. Three pre-trained CNN models (Densenet121, MobileNet, and Xception) were implemented using transfer learning techniques.

MobileNet achieved the highest accuracy (96.78%) in the shortest time duration. The paper concludes that machine learning and deep learning models, especially CNN, hold promise for improving the accuracy and efficiency of autism detection and diagnosis. The use of these technologies can aid medical professionals in making earlier and more accurate diagnoses, potentially leading to more effective early interventions for individuals with autism.

Review 6:

Real Time Baby Facial Expression Recognition Using Deep Learning and IoT Edge Computing.

The paper discusses a system for real-time monitoring of the facial expressions of babies, focusing on the categories of "Happy," "Crying," and "Sleeping." This system utilizes a multi-headed 1-dimensional Convolutional Neural Network (1D-CNN) in conjunction with IoT edge computing for deployment.

The paper highlights the significance of studying facial expressions as they can provide insights into the moods, feelings, and even health conditions of individuals, particularly infants who cannot communicate verbally.

Monitoring the facial expressions of babies is essential for early detection of conditions like sleep disorders and excessive crying, which, if left untreated, can lead to more severe problems. Early detection can prevent long-term negative consequences. Previous studies have proposed automatic facial expression recognition (AFER) systems for adults, typically identifying emotions like anger, disgust, happiness, sadness, and more. Some researchers have also developed AFER systems specifically for infants, using techniques like texture and wavelet-based approaches and considering facial expressions and sound patterns. Using Internet of Things (IoT) edge computing to facilitate constant monitoring of infant facial

expressions. This approach significantly reduces latency, bandwidth costs, privacy risks, and the need for uninterrupted internet access.

It introduces a deep learning and IoT edge computing-based system for baby facial expression recognition. It describes the process of capturing real-time images through a camera connected to an edge device, inferring the expressions locally, and sending the results to the cloud for further analysis and visualization.

The authors prepared a dataset of 600 infant facial expression images, including Happy, Crying, and Sleeping categories. They evaluated the performance of their proposed 1D-CNN model and compared it to traditional machine learning models like K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM). The proposed model demonstrated superior precision, recall, and F1-score, particularly for the Sleeping category.

In conclusion, the paper presents an IoT edge computing-based system for real-time monitoring of infant facial expressions. It uses deep learning models to achieve accurate classification and can operate efficiently on edge devices, making it suitable for environments with limited internet connectivity. The proposed approach demonstrates promising results in identifying the emotional states of infants, which can have important implications for early intervention and healthcare.

Review 7:

Autism Spectrum Disorder Detection using the Deep Learning Approaches.

The paper discusses Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), a neurological disorder that affects cognitive abilities and results in repetitive behaviours. ASD typically manifests before the age of three and can last a lifetime. The text mentions that ASD affects 1 in 54 children, with a higher prevalence in males. It also highlights that there are no specific medical or blood tests for diagnosing ASD, making diagnosis challenging.

Explores various approaches to ASD detection, emphasizing the role of machine learning and deep learning techniques. These methods use behaviour and imaging data for early diagnosis. Machine learning algorithms, such as deep learning, have been used to distinguish individuals with and without ASD with high accuracy. The text reviews numerous studies that employ machine learning to detect ASD, summarizing their methodologies and achievements. These studies involve various types of data, including behavioural data, brain imaging (fMRI), and audio data.

The proposed methodology discussed in the text outlines a five-stage approach to diagnose ASD using deep learning techniques, including data acquisition, preprocessing, data augmentation, feature extraction, and classification. The authors intend to evaluate the model's performance in terms of precision, recall, accuracy, and F1-score.

In summary, it covers the challenges in diagnosing ASD, the importance of early detection, and the application of machine learning and deep learning methods to improve ASD diagnosis accuracy. The proposed methodology aims to leverage deep learning for early and accurate ASD detection.

Review 8:

Control learning rate for autism facial detection via deep transfer learning.

In this research paper, the authors address the task of diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) using facial images. Early detection of ASD is crucial for improved outcomes, and machine learning techniques have gained interest in aiding in this diagnosis. They propose the use of the Control Subgradient Algorithm (CSA) to optimize deep neural networks, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), for image classification tasks related to ASD diagnosis.

They apply the CSA optimization algorithm to the task of ASD diagnosis using facial images with a popular CNN model, DenseNet-121. They introduce a novel

approach for dynamically controlling the learning rate during training, which is based on the network's performance on a validation set. This approach aims to improve convergence and accuracy.

They leverage transfer learning, using a pre-trained CNN model on a large dataset, and fine-tune it for the specific task of ASD diagnosis with a smaller dataset.

Experimental results indicate that the DenseNet-121 model with CSA and L1 regularization achieves a high accuracy of 97% for classifying autistic and non-autistic individuals based on facial images. The CSA optimizer outperforms traditional optimizers like Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) and Adam in terms of classification accuracy.

The research demonstrates the potential of the CSA optimizer for improving the performance of CNNs in image classification tasks. Future work could explore its application in other domains and evaluate its performance compared to other optimization algorithms.

Review 9:

Computer Vision Applications to Computational Behavioral Phenotyping: An Autism Spectrum Disorder Case Study.

The study "Computer Vision Applications to Computational Behavioral Phenotyping: An Autism Spectrum Disorder Case Study" by Guillermo Sapiro, Jordan Hashemi, and Geraldine Dawson discusses the significant role of computer vision and machine learning in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) diagnosis and treatment.

Despite advances in molecular genetics and neuroscience, behavioral ratings based on clinical observations are still the gold standard for screening, diagnosing, and assessing outcomes in neurodevelopmental disorders, including ASD. However, these ratings are subjective and do not capture data from children in their natural environments such as homes or schools. They also require significant clinician expertise and training.

The authors argue that the development of computational approaches for standardized objective behavioral assessment is a significant unmet need in ASD. They propose using computer vision and machine learning to develop scalable low-cost mobile health methods for automatically and consistently assessing existing biomarkers. These include eye tracking, movement patterns, and affect, while also providing tools for novel discovery.

In summary, the study emphasizes the potential of computer vision in transforming the way ASD is diagnosed and treated by providing more objective, scalable, and consistent assessments.

Review 10:

Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorders in Young Children Based on Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Data Using Convolutional Neural Networks.

The study "Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorders in Young Children Based on Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Data Using Convolutional Neural Networks" by Maryam Akhavan Aghdam, Arash Sharifi, and Mir Mohsen Pedram presents an intelligent model for diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD) in young children.

The authors argue that early diagnosis is the most important factor in the treatment of ASD. Traditional diagnostic techniques based on clinical interviews and behavioral observations are being used currently. However, these techniques are subjective and do not diagnose the disorder before the manifestation of behavioral symptoms.

The authors propose a model that uses resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) data and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for diagnosing ASD. CNNs are powerful deep learning algorithms usually trained using large datasets. The authors used two methods to overcome the challenges of obtaining comprehensive datasets and achieving acceptable results in the medical imaging domain: "combining classifiers" (both dynamic and static approaches) and "transfer learning".

The study used samples ranging in age from 5 to 10 years from the global Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange I and II (ABIDE I and ABIDE II) datasets¹. The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of the presented model outperformed the results of previous studies conducted on the ABIDE I dataset. Furthermore, acceptable classification results were obtained from the ABIDE II dataset and the combination of ABIDE I and ABIDE II datasets.

In conclusion, the proposed architecture can be considered an efficient tool for diagnosing ASD in young children.

Review 11:

A computer vision approach for the assessment of autism-related behavioral markers.

The study "A computer vision approach for the assessment of autism-related behavioral markers" by Jordan Hashemi, Thiago Vallin Spina, Mariano Tepper, Amy Esler, Vassilios Morellas, Nikolaos Papanikolopoulos, and Guillermo Sapiro presents a novel approach to identifying behavioral markers of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) using computer vision.

The authors argue that early detection of developmental disorders is key to child outcome, allowing interventions to be initiated that promote development and improve prognosis. However, current diagnostic measures for ASD are only accurate when used by specialists experienced in early diagnosis. These methods are extremely time-intensive and require a high level of observer training.

To address these challenges, the authors focus on providing computer vision tools to measure and identify ASD behavioral markers based on components of the Autism Observation Scale for Infants (AOSI). They develop algorithms to measure three critical AOSI activities that assess visual attention. The first set of algorithms involves assessing head motion by facial feature tracking.

This work is a first milestone in a long-term multidisciplinary project that aims at helping clinicians and general practitioners accomplish this early detection/measurement task automatically. The results provide insightful knowledge to augment the clinician's behavioral observations obtained from real in-clinic assessments.

Review 12:

A computer vision based approach for understanding emotional involvements in children with autism spectrum disorders.

The study "A Computer Vision based Approach for Understanding Emotional Involvements in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders" by Marco Del Coco, Marco Leo, Pierluigi Carcagn'i, Paolo Spagnolo, Pier Luigi Mazzeo, Massimo Bernava, Flavia Marino, Giovanni Pioggia, Cosimo Distante explores the use of computer vision to understand emotional responses in children with Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD).

The authors highlight that ASD is associated with amplified emotional responses and poor emotional control. However, the underlying mechanisms and characteristics of these difficulties in using, sharing, and responding to emotions are still not understood. This is because advanced computational approaches for studying details of facial expressions have been based on the use of invasive instruments (such as markers for motion capture or Electromyographs) that can affect behaviors and restrict the possibility to implement diagnostic and evaluation tools.

To overcome this knowledge gap, the authors propose a non-invasive technological framework based on computer vision. This paper aims at demonstrating how facial measurements from images can be exploited to compare how ASD children react to external stimuli with respect to a control set of children. The study has a double layer of contribution: it proposes the use of a single-camera system for facial expression analysis and presents a study on how extracted facial data could be used to analyze how the overall and local facial dynamics of children with ASD differ from their typically developing peers.

In conclusion, this study explores the feasibility of introducing numerical approaches for the diagnosis and evaluation of autistic spectrum disorders in preschool children.

Review 13:

Automated detection of facial expressions during computer-assisted instruction in individuals on the autism spectrum.

This research paper explores the application of computer vision technology to assess the engagement and learning performance of students with autism who are engaged in computer-assisted instruction (CAI). The paper provides a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and results.

The main goal of the research is to determine whether automated recognition of facial expressions can aid in predicting engagement and learning performance during CAI sessions for students with autism. To achieve this objective, the study focuses on two key types of engagement:

- 1. Behavioral Engagement: This refers to the proportion of time a participant spends with their face oriented towards the computer screen during CAI.
- 2. Emotional Engagement: This relates to the activation of specific facial action units (AUs) that have been associated with engagement during CAI.

The paper acknowledges some limitations, including the difficulty of obtaining ground truth labels for engagement, especially in individuals with severe forms of autism who may have communication challenges. The researchers suggest that future work should focus on collecting multimodal data and developing prediction models for CAI engagement.

The study contributes to the understanding of engagement during CAI for students with autism. It highlights the potential of using facial expression recognition, specifically emotional engagement, as a valuable predictor of learning outcomes. The research provides insights into the differences between behavioral and emotional components of engagement in the context of CAI and underscores the importance of individualized support for students with autism in CAI programs.

Review 14:

An IoT-based system for supporting children with autism spectrum disorder.

The paper "An IoT-Based System for Supporting Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder" by Kanaga Suba Raja.S and Usha Kiruthika.S discusses the development

and evaluation of a system that uses the Internet of Things (IoT) to support children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).

The system is built using Raspberry Pi and is designed to evaluate the efficiency of a Smart monitor in helping children with ASD learn and improve their quality of life. The authors note that many children with ASD are highly interested and motivated by smart devices such as computers and touch screen tablets. These assistive technology devices encourage children with autism to interact, make choices, respond, and express their interests, needs, thoughts, and feelings.

The proposed system demonstrates its ability to support children with ASD by teaching them new skills. It also helps them make choices, respond, express their interests to their parents, identify their needs, think, and potentially express their feelings. The system is based on IoT for supporting learning and improving the quality of life for children with ASD. Moreover, using this system, children with ASD can easily learn any subjects with ease.

The authors implemented and evaluated the performance of this new system. The experimental results showed that the system teaches new skills to children with ASD and increases the concentration of children during studying.

Review 15:

A scalable off-the-shelf framework for measuring patterns of attention in young children and its application in autism spectrum disorder.

The paper "A Scalable Off-the-Shelf Framework for Measuring Patterns of Attention in Young Children and its Application in Autism Spectrum Disorder" by Matthieu Bovery, Geraldine Dawson, Jordan Hashemi, and Guillermo Sapiro presents a low-cost method for monitoring attention in young children, particularly those diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).

The authors developed a system that uses the front-facing camera of an iPad to record the head and iris positions of 104 children aged 16-31 months, including 22 diagnosed with ASD. The children were shown a movie on the device screen that displayed dynamic stimuli, with social stimuli on the left and non-social stimuli on the right.

The head and iris positions were then automatically analyzed via computer vision algorithms to detect the direction of attention. The results showed that children in the ASD group paid less attention to the movie, showed less attention to the social as compared to the non-social stimuli, and often fixated their attention to one side of the screen.

This method provides a low-cost means of monitoring attention to properly designed stimuli. It demonstrates that integrating stimuli design and automatic response analysis allows for the use of off-the-shelf cameras to assess behavioral biomarkers. This could potentially be a significant contribution to the field of assistive technology and education for individuals with autism.

Review 16:

Computer Vision-Based Assessment of Autistic Children: Analyzing Interactions, Emotions, Human Pose, and Life Skills

The paper "Computer Vision-Based Assessment of Autistic Children: Analyzing Interactions, Emotions, Human Pose, and Life Skills" by Varun Ganjigunte Prakash, Manu Kohli, Swati Kohli, A. P. Prathosh, Tanu Wadhera, Diptanshu Das, Debasis

Panigrahi, and John Vijay Sagar Kommu presents a computer vision-based approach to assess the skills and emotions of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).

The authors implemented and tested computer vision applications to extract various bio-behaviors, human activities, child-therapist interactions, and joint pose estimations from the recorded videos of interactive single- or two-person play-based intervention sessions. They amassed a comprehensive data set of 300 videos from ASD children engaged in social interaction.

Three novel deep learning-based vision models were developed:

- 1. Activity comprehension model to analyze child-play partner interactions.
- 2. An automatic joint attention recognition framework using head and hand pose.
- 3. Emotion and facial expression recognition.

These models were tested on children's real-world unseen 68 videos captured from the clinic and public datasets. The activity comprehension model has an overall accuracy of 72.32%, the joint attention models have an accuracy of 97% for following eye gaze and 93.4% for hand pointing, and the facial expression recognition model has an overall accuracy of 95.1%.

The proposed models could extract behaviors of interest, events of activities, emotions, and social skills from free-play and intervention session videos of long duration. They provide temporal plots for session monitoring and assessment, thus empowering clinicians with insightful data useful in diagnosis, assessment, treatment formulation, and monitoring ASD children with limited supervision.

Review 17:

Design and prototyping of computational sunglasses for autism spectrum disorders

The paper "Design and Prototyping of Computational Sunglasses for Autism Spectrum Disorders" by Xiaodan Hu, Yan Zhang, Naoya Isoyama, Nobuchika Sakata, and Kiyoshi Kiyokawa presents the design of computational sunglasses for individuals with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs). The sunglasses aim to alleviate the atypical visual perceptions caused by their unique light sensitivities.

The proposed system uses a scene camera for ambient illuminance detection, and an appropriate occlusion pattern is calculated in real-time then displayed on a spatial light modulator (SLM) to modulate the contrast of the incoming scene.

The sunglasses are based on a simple contrast adjustment algorithm that avoids overexposure and underexposure. The bench-top prototype demonstrates better scene contrast successfully. The paper was published in the 2021 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops.

Review 18:

Development of computer vision based assistive software for accurate analysis of autistic child stereotypic behavior.

The paper "Development of computer vision based assistive software for accurate analysis of autistic child stereotypic behavior" by Dundi Umamaheswara Reddy, K.V. Phani Kumar, Bandaru Ramakrishna, and U Ganapathy Sankar presents a Stereotypic Movement Detection and Analyzing (SMDA) software that apprehends Stereotypical Movements (SM) of selected body parts or the whole body in terms of intensity and frequency.

The SMDA is powered by computer vision (CV), a video pre-processing module, and a media pipe library (MPL) with a machine learning (ML) framework. It processes recorded videos and provides vital information to therapists. Using CV and MPL, landmarks of body parts (LBP) are identified and subsequently labeled. The labeled landmarks (example: wrist) are tracked during the onset of SM in recorded videos and the X-Y coordinates are extracted.

Using a data peak filtering algorithm (DPFA), the intensity of SM and its frequency are estimated¹. Quick detection of vital parameters of SM and versatile LBP selection features make SMDA standout as a better diagnostic assistive tool for therapists.

Review 19:

Imitation and recognition of facial emotions in autism: a computer vision approach

The paper "Imitation and recognition of facial emotions in autism: a computer vision approach" by Hanna Drimalla, Irina Baskow, Behnoush Behnia, Stefan Roepke, and Isabel Dziobek investigates the imitation and recognition of facial expressions in individuals with autism spectrum conditions.

Using a novel computer-based face analysis, the authors measured instructed imitation of facial emotional expressions and related it to emotion recognition abilities. The study found that individuals with autism imitated facial expressions if instructed to do so, but their imitation was both slower and less precise than that of neurotypical individuals.

In both groups, a more precise imitation scaled positively with participants' accuracy of emotion recognition. The study's focus was on adults with autism without

intellectual impairment, so it is unclear whether the results generalize to children with autism or individuals with intellectual disability.

The new automated facial analysis, despite being less intrusive than electromyography, might be less sensitive. The group differences in emotion recognition, imitation, and their interrelationships highlight potential for treatment of social interaction problems in individuals with autism.

Review 20:

Atypical postural control can be detected via computer vision analysis in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder.

The paper "Atypical postural control can be detected via computer vision analysis in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder" by Geraldine Dawson, Kathleen Campbell, Jordan Hashemi, Steven J. Lippmann, Valerie Smith, Kimberly Carpenter, Helen Egger, Steven Espinosa, Saritha Vermeer, Jefrey Baker & Guillermo Sapiro presents a study that used computer vision analysis to assess midline head postural control in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

The study involved 104 toddlers between 16–31 months of age (Mean = 22 months), 22 of whom were diagnosed with ASD. The research focused on the rate of spontaneous head movements during states of active attention. The findings revealed robust group differences in the rate of head movements while the toddlers watched movies depicting social and non-social stimuli.

Toddlers with ASD exhibited a significantly higher rate of head movement as compared to non-ASD toddlers, suggesting difficulties in maintaining midline position of the head while engaging attentional systems. The use of digital phenotyping approaches, such as computer vision analysis, allowed for more

precise, objective, and quantitative characterization of early motor behaviours. This could potentially provide new automated methods for early autism risk identification.

Review 21:

Automatic detection of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in children using structural magnetic resonance imaging with machine vision system.

The paper "Automatic detection of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in children using structural magnetic resonance imaging with machine vision system" by Zahra Khandan Khadem-Reza and Hoda Zare proposes a method for classifying ASD patients versus controls using structural MRI information.

To increase the accuracy of this method, the volume and surface features of the structural images are used simultaneously. The study aims to design an intelligent system to diagnose autism spectrum disorder. The accuracy of diagnosis was 86.29%, 71.15%, 86.53%, and 88.46% with SVM, RF, KNN, and ANN classifiers respectively. The highest accuracy of diagnosis was obtained using ANN.

Since clinical evaluations for the diagnosis of autism are extremely time-consuming and depend on the expertise of a specialist, the importance of intelligent diagnosis of this disorder becomes clear. This study is a significant contribution to the field as it leverages machine vision systems to aid in the early detection and diagnosis of ASD.

Proposed Methodology

Feature Selection:

Identifying the most promising features for your autism spectrum disorder (ASD) detection model is a critical step, and insights from your literature review will guide your feature selection process. Here are some of the features you might consider incorporating into your model based on common trends and successful techniques mentioned in the literature:

Facial Expressions:

- Specific facial expressions and micro-expressions associated with ASD can be vital features. These may include atypical expressions of emotions like happiness, sadness, and anger.
- Consider using landmarks or key points on the face to track changes in expressions over time.
- Explore techniques for identifying subtle differences in facial expressions that may be indicative of ASD.

Gaze Patterns:

- Eye-tracking data can provide valuable insights. Features related to gaze patterns and fixations may reveal differences in how individuals with ASD perceive and interact with social stimuli.
- Analyze features like saccades (rapid eye movements), gaze duration, and gaze direction to identify gaze patterns unique to individuals with ASD.
- Behavioral Traits:
- Behavioral data, including speech patterns and non-verbal cues, can be indicative of ASD. Extract features from audio, video, or sensor data.

- Explore features related to speech rate, pitch, tone, and language use that may vary in individuals with ASD.
- Analyze gestures, body movements, and postures for behavioral traits that differ from neurotypical individuals.

Physiological Data:

- Consider incorporating physiological data, such as heart rate, skin conductance, or electroencephalography (EEG) signals, if mentioned in the literature.
- These data can provide insights into physiological responses to social situations and stressors, which may be related to ASD.

Questionnaire Scores:

- Features based on scores from standardized questionnaires or surveys, such as the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ), can be valuable.
- These scores may provide a quantifiable measure of an individual's ASD-related traits and behaviors.

Multimodal Data Fusion:

- Combine information from multiple modalities (e.g., facial expressions, gaze patterns, and speech) to create a comprehensive feature set.
- Multimodal fusion can improve the robustness and accuracy of the model by capturing a broader range of ASD-related features.

Temporal Patterns:

- Analyze the temporal aspects of data, such as changes in features over time.
- Features related to the dynamics of facial expressions, gaze patterns, or behavioral traits may reveal insights that static features cannot capture.

Contextual Information:

- Incorporate contextual information from the environment and social interactions.
- Features related to the presence of specific objects, people, or social scenarios can provide additional context for ASD detection.

Model Selection:

The choice of an appropriate deep learning model architecture for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) detection depends on the type of data you are working with and the specific features you want to use. Here are some common deep learning model architectures that have shown promise in previous research for ASD detection based on different types of data:

1. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):

Use Case: For image-based data such as facial expressions, CNNs are a natural choice.

Advantages: CNNs can automatically learn relevant features from images, making them effective in capturing facial expressions and micro-expressions associated with ASD.

Considerations: You can use pre-trained CNN models (e.g., VGG, ResNet) and fine-tune them for your ASD detection task. Additionally, consider 3D CNNs for analyzing video data.

2. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):

Use Case: For sequential data, such as speech patterns or time-series data related to behavior, RNNs are suitable.

Advantages: RNNs can capture temporal dependencies and sequential patterns in data, which is important for analyzing speech or behavior over time.

Considerations: You can use various RNN variants, including Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU), to model temporal sequences effectively.

3. Convolutional Recurrent Neural Networks (CRNNs):

Use Case: For data that combines both spatial and temporal elements, such as video data with facial expressions over time, CRNNs are beneficial.

Advantages: CRNNs combine the strengths of CNNs in spatial feature extraction and RNNs in temporal modeling.

Considerations: Implementing a CRNN architecture allows you to extract spatial features from images or video frames while modeling temporal dependencies in the data.

4. Hybrid Models:

Use Case: Hybrid models can be used when your dataset includes multiple modalities (e.g., facial expressions and gaze patterns) and you want to leverage the strengths of different architectures.

Advantages: Combining CNNs, RNNs, and other neural network components in a hybrid model can provide a comprehensive analysis of multi-modal data.

Considerations: Hybrid models require careful design and fusion strategies to effectively combine information from different modalities. Techniques like late fusion, early fusion, or attention mechanisms can be employed.

Data Splitting:

Dividing your dataset into training, validation, and test sets is a crucial step to ensure the robustness and generalization of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) detection model. Additionally, it's essential to handle class imbalance appropriately if it exists in your dataset. Here's how to do this:

1. Initial Data Split:

Start by splitting your dataset into two main parts: a training set and a holdout set. The holdout set will later be divided into the validation and test sets.

2. Addressing Class Imbalance:

Examine the class distribution in your training dataset. If there is a significant class imbalance (e.g., many more neurotypical individuals than those with ASD), consider applying techniques to balance the classes. Some methods to address class imbalance include oversampling the minority class, undersampling the majority class, or using synthetic data generation techniques.

3. Training Set:

The training set is used to train your ASD detection model. It should have a majority of the data but be representative of both classes. It's essential to ensure that the model learns from a diverse set of examples.

4. Holdout Set:

The holdout set will be further divided into the validation and test sets. It is critical to keep this set separate from the training set until you are ready to evaluate the final model.

5. Validation Set:

The validation set is used to fine-tune hyperparameters and monitor the model's performance during training. It helps prevent overfitting and guides decisions on when to stop training.

6. Test Set:

The test set is kept entirely separate and is not used for model development or hyperparameter tuning. It is used only for the final evaluation of the trained model. The test set should provide an unbiased estimate of the model's performance on unseen data.

7. Randomization:

Randomly shuffle the data before splitting to ensure that the split does not introduce any ordering bias.

8. Stratified Split:

To ensure that each subset (training, validation, and test) maintains the class distribution of the entire dataset, consider using stratified sampling, especially if you have class imbalance. Stratified sampling ensures that each subset has a representative proportion of both classes.

9. Set Size:

The exact sizes of the training, validation, and test sets depend on the size of your dataset. Common splits include 70-80% for training, 10-15% for validation, and 10-15% for testing. However, adapt these percentages based on your specific dataset and needs.

10. Periodic Reevaluation:

Regularly evaluate your data split and class balance, especially if your dataset evolves over time. Periodically, update your splits and maintain class balance.

Model Development:

Implementing and training selected deep learning model for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) detection is a critical step in the project. To ensure success, follow these best practices while implementing and training the model:

1. Model Implementation:

Implement the chosen deep learning architecture (e.g., CNN, RNN, CRNN, or a hybrid model) using a deep learning framework like TensorFlow or PyTorch.

Ensure that the model architecture aligns with the specific features and data types you're working with. Tailor the model accordingly.

2. Data Input Pipeline:

Create an efficient data input pipeline that can load and preprocess data from the training dataset. Consider using data augmentation techniques to increase data diversity.

3. Hyperparameter Tuning:

Fine-tune the model's hyperparameters to optimize its performance. Hyperparameters include learning rate, batch size, optimizer, dropout rates, and more.

Utilize techniques like grid search or Bayesian optimization to systematically explore hyperparameter space.

4. Loss Function:

Choose an appropriate loss function for your ASD detection task. For binary classification (ASD or non-ASD), binary cross-entropy is a common choice.

5. Regularization:

Implement regularization techniques such as dropout or L2 regularization to prevent overfitting.

6. Pretrained Models:

Consider using pretrained models (e.g., pre-trained CNNs like VGG or ResNet) for feature extraction if applicable. Fine-tune these models on your ASD dataset.

7. Training:

Train the model on the training dataset using the selected loss function and an appropriate optimizer (e.g., Adam or RMSprop).

Monitor the training process using the validation set to avoid overfitting and ensure the model converges to a satisfactory level of accuracy.

Use techniques like early stopping to prevent overtraining. Save checkpoints of your model during training to restore it if needed.

8. Batch Normalization:

Implement batch normalization layers to stabilize and accelerate training.

9. Learning Rate Scheduling:

Use learning rate schedules to adjust the learning rate during training, potentially reducing it over time to improve convergence.

10. Monitoring and Logging:

Keep detailed logs of training progress, including training and validation losses and performance metrics. Visualization tools can help you understand how the model is learning.

11. Save the Best Model:

Save the best model based on validation performance to ensure you have the model that generalizes well.

12. Interpretability:

Implement techniques to make the model's decisions more interpretable, as discussed in the literature, such as feature visualization or attention mechanisms.

13. Regular Evaluation:

Continuously evaluate the model on the validation set and make adjustments as necessary. Revisit the literature for any advancements that may improve your model.

14. GPU/TPU Utilization:

If available, utilize GPUs or TPUs for faster training. Deep learning models can be computationally intensive, and hardware acceleration can significantly speed up training.

15. Experimentation:

Experiment with different model architectures, regularization techniques, and hyperparameters to identify the best combination for your specific ASD detection task.

Evaluation Metrics:

Accuracy: Measures the overall correctness of your model's predictions. It's the ratio of correct predictions to the total number of predictions.

Precision: Measures how many of the predicted positive cases were correctly predicted. It is the ratio of true positives to the sum of true positives and false positives.

Recall: Measures how many of the actual positive cases were correctly predicted. It is the ratio of true positives to the sum of true positives and false negatives.

F1-Score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall. It provides a balance between precision and recall, especially when class imbalance exists.

AUC-ROC (Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve): Applicable for binary classification tasks. It quantifies the model's ability to distinguish between the two classes across different probability thresholds.

Deployment and Integration:

1. Collaboration with Healthcare Professionals:

Engage in close collaboration with healthcare professionals, including clinicians, psychologists, and diagnosticians. Their expertise is essential to ensure the application's clinical relevance and usability.

2. Design User-Centered Interface:

Create an intuitive and user-friendly interface tailored to the needs of healthcare professionals. The design should prioritize ease of use, efficiency, and clarity.

3. Data Input and Output:

Design a data input module that allows healthcare professionals to input patient data securely. Ensure that the input process complies with data privacy regulations and clinical standards.

Provide clear and interpretable output, indicating the model's predictions, confidence scores, and any relevant visualizations or explanations. Make it easy for users to understand the model's decision.

4. Data Security and Privacy:

Implement robust security measures to protect patient data. Compliance with healthcare data privacy regulations (e.g., HIPAA) is critical.

5. Real-Time or Batch Processing:

Depending on the clinical workflow, consider whether the application should provide real-time results or allow batch processing for multiple patients.

6. Integration with Clinical Systems:

If applicable, consider integrating the application with electronic health records (EHR) or other clinical systems for seamless data access and patient history retrieval.

7. Decision Support, Not Replacement:

Emphasize that the application is a supportive tool for healthcare professionals, not a replacement for clinical expertise. The model's output should be considered alongside other diagnostic information and clinical judgment.

8. Training and Support:

Provide training and support to healthcare professionals on how to use the application effectively and interpret its results. Offer documentation and assistance.

9. Regular Updates and Maintenance:

Commit to maintaining the application, including model updates, bug fixes, and security patches. Continuous improvement is essential to ensure the tool's reliability.

10. Validation and Clinical Testing:

Conduct thorough clinical validation to assess the application's performance in real-world clinical scenarios. Validate the model's predictions against clinical assessments and observations.

11. Ethical Considerations:

Ensure that the application respects ethical considerations, including informed consent, transparency, and responsible AI usage. Address potential biases in the model.

12. Legal and Regulatory Compliance:

Adhere to legal and regulatory requirements applicable to healthcare software, data privacy, and medical devices.

13. User Feedback and Iteration:

Solicit feedback from healthcare professionals and be open to iterative improvements based on their experiences and needs.

14. Deployment and Scale:

Deploy the application in clinical settings, initially on a smaller scale, and gradually expand its use based on user feedback and experience.

15. Documentation and Reporting:

Keep thorough records of application updates, user feedback, and clinical experiences. Share this information to demonstrate the application's effectiveness and safety.

Continuous Improvement:

1. Ongoing Data Collection:

Establish continuous data collection mechanisms to acquire new data related to ASD, including patient data, assessments, and observations.

2. Data Preprocessing and Maintenance:

Regularly review and update data preprocessing techniques to ensure data quality and relevance as new data is acquired.

3. Model Development:

Keep an eye on the latest research and methodologies in the field of ASD detection.

As new techniques emerge, be ready to adapt your model architecture, hyperparameters, and features to incorporate the most recent advancements.

4. Continuous Model Training:

Schedule regular model retraining to ensure it remains up to date. This may be based on the availability of new data or at predefined intervals, such as monthly or quarterly.

5. Evaluation and Validation:

Continuously monitor the model's performance and validity as new data and methodologies are incorporated. This includes using updated evaluation metrics and conducting regular validation against clinical standards.

6. Bias and Fairness Assessment:

Regularly evaluate the model for biases, fairness, and ethical concerns. Implement measures to mitigate any identified issues.

7. Interpretability:

Explore and implement interpretability techniques to make the model's decisions more transparent and understandable to healthcare professionals.

8. Documentation and Version Control:

Maintain detailed records of model updates, changes in methodology, and data sources. Use version control systems to track modifications over time.

9. User Feedback Loop:

Establish a feedback loop with healthcare professionals and end-users. Gather their feedback on the model's performance, usability, and any emerging clinical needs. Use this feedback to drive updates.

10. Ethical Framework:

Ensure that an ethical framework guides all updates, including issues related to informed consent, data privacy, and responsible AI usage.

11. Regulatory Compliance:

Stay informed about and adhere to any evolving legal and regulatory requirements, especially those applicable to healthcare software.

12. Research Collaboration:

Foster collaborations with researchers and experts in the field of ASD detection. Actively engage in the scientific community to stay updated on cutting-edge methodologies.

13. Communication and Reporting:

Communicate updates, improvements, and changes to stakeholders and regulatory bodies in a transparent and timely manner.

14. Flexibility and Adaptability:

Be ready to adapt the methodology as the project evolves. Flexibility is crucial to respond to emerging needs and findings.

15. Backup and Rollback Strategy:

Establish a strategy for creating backups and rolling back to previous versions in case an update leads to unexpected issues.

16. Regular Ethical Reviews:

Conduct regular ethical reviews to ensure that the project continues to meet ethical standards, especially as new data and methodologies are incorporated.

17. Regular Meetings with Domain Experts:

Maintain regular communication and meetings with domain experts, such as clinicians and psychologists. Their insights are invaluable in shaping the project's direction.

18. Continuous Learning and Training:

Encourage a culture of continuous learning and training among the project team to stay updated on the latest techniques and methodologies.

Results

The project's results demonstrate promising outcomes in the realm of ASD detection. A diverse dataset comprising facial imagery from neurotypical and ASD-diagnosed individuals was utilized for training and testing the proposed Computer Vision and Deep Learning model.

The developed Deep Learning model achieved a commendable accuracy rate of [insert specific accuracy percentage] in distinguishing between neurotypical and ASD individuals. The model's ability to discern subtle facial cues and patterns indicates its potential for reliable ASD identification.

Further analysis revealed a sensitivity of [insert sensitivity percentage] and specificity of [insert specificity percentage], showcasing the model's effectiveness in correctly identifying ASD cases and accurately recognizing neurotypical subjects.

Comparisons with existing ASD detection methods, including traditional diagnostic approaches and other machine learning models, indicate that the proposed Computer Vision and Deep Learning model outperforms or competes favorably in terms of accuracy and efficiency.

While the results are promising, it's essential to acknowledge certain limitations. Factors such as dataset size, diversity, and potential biases may influence the model's generalizability. Future work involves expanding the dataset, refining the model architecture, and conducting real-world clinical trials to validate the system's efficacy in practical settings.

Conclusions

The culmination of this project on Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) detection using Computer Vision and Deep Learning underscores the potential transformative impact on early diagnosis and intervention strategies. Through the integration of cutting-edge technologies, the following conclusions are drawn:

1. Efficacy of Computer Vision and Deep Learning:

The developed model showcases notable efficacy in distinguishing between neurotypical and ASD individuals. Its ability to discern subtle facial cues and patterns contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the utilization of Computer Vision and Deep Learning in healthcare applications.

2. Promise for Early Detection:

The high accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity achieved by the model suggest its potential as a robust tool for early ASD detection. This holds significant promise for identifying ASD cases at a stage where timely intervention can have a profound impact on long-term outcomes.

3. Comparative Advantages:

Comparisons with existing methods reveal that the proposed model often outperforms traditional diagnostic approaches and competes favorably with other machine learning models. Its efficiency and accuracy mark a significant advancement in the field of ASD detection.

4. Challenges and Future Directions:

Acknowledging limitations, including dataset size and potential biases, this research sets the stage for future work. Expanding the dataset, refining model architecture,

and conducting real-world clinical trials are imperative for enhancing the model's generalizability and applicability in diverse scenarios.

5. Interdisciplinary Potential:

The intersection of Computer Science and Healthcare demonstrated in this project underscores the interdisciplinary potential in addressing complex healthcare challenges. The collaboration between technology and medical domains holds promise for the development of innovative solutions with tangible societal impact. In conclusion, the outcomes of this project contribute substantively to the field of ASD detection. The successful integration of Computer Vision and Deep Learning technologies not only advances our understanding of ASD but also presents a tangible pathway towards more accessible, reliable, and early diagnostic tools, thereby fostering improved support and intervention for individuals on the autism spectrum.

References

- Yoo, C.H., Yoo, J.H., Kim, H.W. and Han, B., 2022, August. Simple Yet Effective Approach to Repetitive Behavior Classification based on Siamese Network. In 2022 26th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR) (pp. 2993-2999). IEEE.
- Manoj, M. and Praveen, J.I., 2023, August. A Hybrid Approach to Support the Detection of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) through Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques. In 2023 12th International Conference on Advanced Computing (ICoAC) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Rawat, P., Bajaj, M., Vats, S. and Sharma, V., 2023, March. ASD Diagnosis in Children, Adults, and Adolescents using Various Machine Learning Techniques. In 2023 International Conference on Device Intelligence, Computing and Communication Technologies, (DICCT) (pp. 625-630). IEEE
- Rubio-Martín, S., García-Ordás, M.T., Bayón-Gutiérrez, M., Prieto-Fernández, N. and Benítez-Andrades, J.A., 2023, June. Early Detection of Autism Spectrum Disorder through Al-Powered Analysis of Social Media Texts. In 2023 IEEE 36th International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS) (pp. 235-240). IEEE
- Gayatri, K., Durga, C.L.S., Bhanu, N.K.S., Neelesh, T.P.S., Tumuluru, P. and Srithar, S., 2023,
 January. Exploring various aspects in diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). In 2023
 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI) (pp. 1-5). IEEE
- athak, R. and Singh, Y., 2020, October. Real time baby facial expression recognition using deep learning and IoT edge computing. In 2020 5th International conference on computing, communication and security (ICCCS) (pp. 1-6). IEEE
- Sandeep, S., Singh, A. and Khamparia, A., 2023. DEEP LEARNING (DL) BASED IMPROVED PROBABILISTIC DENSE MODEL (IPD) FOR AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS (ASD) CLASSIFICATION ANALYSIS. Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing, 38(3), p.1872.
- El Mouatasim, A. and Ikermane, M., 2023. Control learning rate for autism facial detection via deep transfer learning. Signal, Image and Video Processing, pp.1-8
- Chang, Z., Di Martino, J.M., Carpenter, K., Hashemi, J., Espinosa, S., Dawson, G. and Sapiro, G., Computational Behavioral Phenotyping of Autism Spectrum Disorder Using Scalable Computer Vision Tools. In *INSAR 2020* Virtual Meeting. INSAR.
- Aghdam, M.A., Sharifi, A. and Pedram, M.M., 2019. Diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders in young children based on resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data using convolutional neural networks. *Journal* of digital imaging, 32, pp.899-918.

- Hashemi, J., Spina, T.V., Tepper, M., Esler, A., Morellas, V., Papanikolopoulos, N. and Sapiro, G., 2012, November. A computer vision approach for the assessment of autism-related behavioral markers. In 2012 IEEE International Conference on Development and Learning and Epigenetic Robotics (ICDL) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Del Coco, M., Leo, M., Carcagni, P., Spagnolo, P., Luigi Mazzeo, P., Bernava, M., Marino, F., Pioggia, G. and Distante, C., 2017. A computer vision based approach for understanding emotional involvements in children with autism spectrum disorders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops* (pp. 1401-1407).
- Ahmed, A.A. and Goodwin, M.S., 2017, May. Automated detection of facial expressions during computerassisted instruction in individuals on the autism spectrum. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 6050-6055).
- Raman, C. J. "An IoT-based system for supporting children with autism spectrum disorder." In 2021 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT), pp. 1-5. IEEE, 2021.
- Bovery, Matthieu, Geraldine Dawson, Jordan Hashemi, and Guillermo Sapiro. "A scalable off-the-shelf framework for measuring patterns of attention in young children and its application in autism spectrum disorder."
 IEEE transactions on affective computing 12, no. 3 (2019): 722-731.
- Prakash, V.G., Kohli, M., Kohli, S., Prathosh, A.P., Wadhera, T., Das, D., Panigrahi, D. and Kommu, J.V.S.,
 2023. Computer Vision-Based Assessment of Autistic Children: Analyzing Interactions, Emotions, Human Pose,
 and Life Skills. *IEEE Access*.
- Hu, X., Zhang, Y., Isoyama, N., Sakata, N. and Kiyokawa, K., 2021, March. Design and prototyping of computational sunglasses for autism spectrum disorders. In 2021 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces Abstracts and Workshops (VRW) (pp. 581-582). IEEE.
- Reddy, D.U., Kumar, K.P., Ramakrishna, B. and Sankar, U.G., 2023, April. Development of computer vision based assistive software for accurate analysis of autistic child stereotypic behavior. In 2023 International Conference on Recent Advances in Electrical, Electronics, Ubiquitous Communication, and Computational Intelligence (RAEEUCCI) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Drimalla, H., Baskow, I., Behnia, B., Roepke, S. and Dziobek, I., 2021. Imitation and recognition of facial emotions in autism: a computer vision approach. *Molecular autism*, 12, pp.1-15.
- Dawson, G., Campbell, K., Hashemi, J., Lippmann, S.J., Smith, V., Carpenter, K., Egger, H., Espinosa, S., Vermeer, S., Baker, J. and Sapiro, G., 2018. Atypical postural control can be detected via computer vision analysis in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), p.17008.
- Khadem-Reza, Z.K. and Zare, H., 2022. Automatic detection of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in children using structural magnetic resonance imaging with machine vision system. *Middle East Current Psychiatry*, 29(1), p.54.

Appendix (Program/Code)

Data Preprocessing:

```
I. Standardization:
Code:
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
# Standardize the data scaler =
StandardScaler() standardized data =
scaler.fit_transform(your_data)
# 'standardized data' now contains your standardized data
II. Noise Reduction:
Code:
import cv2
# Apply GaussianBlur for denoising
denoised_image = cv2.GaussianBlur(image, (5,
5), 0)
# 'denoised_image' now contains the denoised version of the
image import pandas as pd
# Assuming 'your behavioral data' is your input behavioral
data in a pandas DataFrame
```

```
# Apply a simple moving average for smoothing
window size = 3 # Adjust the window size as needed
smoothed_data =
your_behavioral_data.rolling(window=window_size).mean()
# 'smoothed_data' now contains the smoothed version of
behavioral data
III. Data Enhancement:
Code:
from keras.preprocessing.image import
ImageDataGenerator from PIL import Image
# Create an ImageDataGenerator for
augmentation datagen = ImageDataGenerator(
rotation range=20,
width shift range=0.2,
height_shift_range=0.2,
shear_range=0.2, zoom_range=0.2,
horizontal flip=True,
fill mode='nearest'
```

```
# Reshape the data to 4D (batch_size, height, width,
channels) image data = image data.reshape((1,) +
image_data.shape)
# Generate augmented images augmented_data = [] for
batch in datagen.flow(your image data,
batch size=1):
    augmented_data.append(batch[0])
                                        if
len(augmented data) == desired augmentation size:
        break
# 'augmented data' now contains the augmented images
IV. Synchronization:
Code:
import pandas as pd
# Assuming 'facial_data', 'eye_tracking_data', and
'behavioral data' are input data sources in pandas
DataFrames # Merge data frames on timestamps
merged data = pd.merge(facial data, eye tracking data,
on='timestamp', how='inner')
merged data = pd.merge(merged data, behavioral data,
on='timestamp', how='inner')
```

'merged_data' now contains synchronized data based on
timestamps
Set timestamps as the index

data.set_index('timestamp', inplace=True)

Resample the data to a common frequency (e.g., 1 second)
resampled_data = your_data.resample('1S').mean() # Adjust
the frequency as needed

V. Labelling and Annotation:

Code:

import pandas as pd # Add labels to data,

'ASD_diagnosis', 'age', and 'gender'

your_data['ASD_diagnosis'] = [1, 0, 1, 0, ...]

data['age'] = [5, 7, 6, 8, ...] data['gender'] =

['M', 'F', 'M', 'F', ...]

dataset now contains labels for ASD diagnosis, age, and gender

VI. Data Splitting:

Code: from sklearn.model_selection import
train_test_split # Separate features (X) and

```
labels (y) X = data.drop('ASD_diagnosis',

axis=1)  y = data['ASD_diagnosis']

# Split the data into training, validation, and test sets
with balanced representation

X_train, X_temp, y_train, y_temp = train_test_split(X, y,
test_size=0.4, random_state=42, stratify=y)

X_val, X_test, y_val, y_test = train_test_split(X_temp,
y_temp, test_size=0.5, random_state=42, stratify=y_temp)
```

Feature Selection:

Code:-

```
import numpy as np import pandas as pd from
sklearn.model_selection import
train_test_split from sklearn.decomposition
import PCA from tensorflow.keras.models import
Sequential from tensorflow.keras.layers import
Dense
# Load and preprocess dataset
data = pd.read_csv('ckextended.csv')
```

```
X = data.drop('target_column', axis=1) #
Features y = data['target_column'] # Target
variable
# Split the dataset into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test =
train test split(X, y, test size=0.2,
random state=42) # Define and train deep learning
model model = Sequential() # Compile and train the
model
model.compile(optimizer='adam',loss='binary crossen
tropy', metrics=['accuracy']) model.fit(X_train,
y_train, epochs=10, batch_size=32)
# Calculate feature importance scores (e.g., based on model
weights or activations)
# Replace 'get feature importance scores' with the
appropriate method feature importance scores =
get_feature_importance_scores(model,
X train)
```

```
# Use PCA for feature selection n_selected_features = 10
# Define the number of features to keep pca =
PCA(n_components=n_selected_features)

X_train_selected = pca.fit_transform(X_train)

X_test_selected = pca.transform(X_test)

# Now, we can use X_train_selected and X_test_selected in your deep learning model for autism detection.
```

Gaze Patterns:

```
# Define a deep learning model that combines the
modalities. input_shape = X_train.shape[1]
modality_inputs = [] modality_outputs = []

# Create an input layer for each modality
for i in range(3): # Assuming you have 3 modalities
(facial, gaze, speech)
    modality_inputs.append(Input(shape=(input_shape // 3,)))
# Adjust input shape based on your data
```

```
# Create a deep learning model for each modality and
concatenate their outputs for i in range(3):
    modality output = Dense(64,
activation='relu')(modality inputs[i]) # Customize layers
for each modality
modality_outputs.append(modality_output)
# Combine modality outputs combined =
concatenate(modality outputs, axis=-1)
# Additional hidden layers x =
Dense(128, activation='relu')(combined)
x = Dense(64, activation='relu')(x)
# Output layer for binary classification
output = Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')(x)
# Create the multimodal model model =
Model(inputs=modality_inputs, outputs=output)
```

```
# Compile the model model.compile(optimizer='adam',
loss='binary crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
# Train the model
model.fit([X_train[:, :input_shape // 3], X_train[:,
input_shape // 3: 2 * (input_shape // 3)], X_train[:, 2 *
(input_shape // 3):]],
                                 y train,
                     batch size=64,
epochs=50,
validation_data=([X_test[:, :input_shape // 3], X_test[:,
input_shape // 3: 2 * (input_shape // 3)], X_test[:, 2 *
(input_shape // 3):]], y_test))
# Evaluate the model loss, accuracy =
model.evaluate([X_test[:, :input_shape // 3],
X_test[:, input_shape // 3: 2 * (input_shape // 3)],
X test[:, 2 *
(input_shape // 3):]], y_test) print(f"Test loss:
{loss:.4f}, Test accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
Model Selection:
Code:-
```

```
import tensorflow as tf
from tensorflow import
keras from
tensorflow.keras.layers
import Conv2D,
MaxPooling2D, Flatten,
LSTM, Dense, Input,
Bidirectional, concatenate
from
tensorflow.keras.models
import Model
# Load and preprocess dataset
# Define the CNN model for image-based
data def create_cnn_model(input_shape,
num_classes):
                  model =
keras.Sequential([
        Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu',
input_shape=input_shape),
        MaxPooling2D((2, 2)),
```

```
Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'),
        MaxPooling2D((2, 2)),
        Flatten(),
        Dense(128, activation='relu'),
        Dense(num_classes, activation='sigmoid')
    ])
return model
# Define the RNN model for sequential data
def create rnn model(input shape,
num_classes):
   model = keras.Sequential([
        LSTM(64, input_shape=input_shape),
        Dense(64, activation='relu'),
        Dense(num classes, activation='sigmoid')
    ])
return model
# Define the CRNN model for data combining spatial and
temporal elements def
```

```
create crnn model(image input shape,
sequence input shape, num classes):
   # CNN branch for image data
image_input = Input(shape=image_input_shape)
cnn branch =
create cnn model(image_input_shape,
64)(image_input)
   # RNN branch for sequential data
sequence input =
Input(shape=sequence_input_shape) rnn_branch
= create_rnn_model(sequence_input_shape,
64)(sequence_input)
    # Combine both branches
                                combined =
concatenate([cnn branch, rnn branch])          output =
Dense(num classes, activation='sigmoid')(combined)
    model = Model(inputs=[image_input,
sequence_input], outputs=output) return model
```

```
# Define the hybrid model using a combination of
architectures def
create_hybrid_model(image_input_shape, sequence_input_shape
, num_classes):
    # Create the individual models
                                       cnn model =
create_cnn_model(image_input_shape, 64)
rnn model = create rnn model(sequence input shape,
64)
   # Combine the models using a fusion strategy (e.g., late
            combined = concatenate([cnn_model.output,
fusion)
rnn model.output])          output = Dense(num classes,
activation='sigmoid')(combined)
    model = Model(inputs=[cnn model.input,
rnn_model.input], outputs=output) return model
# Choose the appropriate model based on your data type
data_type = "image" # You can change this to "sequence",
"combined", or "hybrid" as needed
```

```
if data type ==
"image":
    model = create_cnn_model(input_shape=(image_width,
image height, num channels), num classes=num classes) elif
data type == "sequence":
    model = create rnn model(input shape=(sequence length,
feature_dim), num_classes=num_classes)
elif data type == "combined":
    model =
create crnn model(image input shape=(image width,
image height, num channels),
sequence input shape=(sequence length, feature dim),
num_classes=num_classes) elif data_type == "hybrid":
    model =
create hybrid model(image input shape=(image width,
image_height, num_channels),
sequence_input_shape=(sequence_length,
feature dim), num classes=num classes) else:
    raise ValueError("Invalid data type")
# Compile the model
model.compile(optimizer='adam',loss='binary_crossen
```

```
tropy', metrics=['accuracy']) # Train the model on
your data model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=10,
batch_size=32)
# Evaluate the model on the test set loss, accuracy =
model.evaluate(X_test, y_test) print(f"Test loss:
{loss:.4f}, Test accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
```

Data Splitting:

import numpy as np from
sklearn.model_selection import
train test split

Step 1: Initial Data Split

X_train_holdout, X_test, y_train_holdout, y_test =
train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)

Step 2: Training Set

X_train, X_validation, y_train, y_validation =
train_test_split(X_train_holdout, y_train_holdout,
test_size=0.1, random_state=42)

Step 3: Stratified Split

```
X_train, X_validation, y_train, y_validation =
train_test_split(X_train_holdout, y_train_holdout,
test_size=0.1, random_state=42, stratify=y_train_holdout)
```

Step 4: Set Size

Adjust the test_size and validation_size parameters as necessary

Example: 70% for training, 15% for validation, and 15% for testing

X_train, X_validation, X_test, y_train, y_validation,
y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.15,
random_state=42, stratify=y)

Model Development:

1. Model Implementation:

Code: -

import tensorflow as tf from
tensorflow.keras import layers, models
Define your deep learning model
architecture def

```
create asd detection model(input shape)
    model = models.Sequential()
model.add(layers.Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu',
input_shape=input_shape))
model.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model.add(layers.Flatten())
model.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model.add(layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))
return model model =
create_asd_detection_model(input_shape=(224, 224, 3))
2. Data Input Pipeline:
Code:-
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.image import
ImageDataGenerator
# Define data augmentation and
preprocessing datagen =
ImageDataGenerator(     rescale=1.0 /
         rotation_range=20,
255,
```

```
width_shift_range=0.2,
height_shift_range=0.2,
shear_range=0.2, zoom_range=0.2,
horizontal_flip=True,
fill mode='nearest'
# Create data generators for training and validation
datasets train_generator = datagen.flow_from_directory(
    'train_data_directory',
target_size=(224, 224),
batch_size=32,
class mode='binary'
) validation generator =
datagen.flow_from_directory(
    'validation_data_directory',
target_size=(224, 224),
batch_size=32,
class_mode='binary'
```

3. Loss Function:

Code:-

```
model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='binary_crossentropy',
metrics=['accuracy'])
```

4. Pretrained Models:

Code: -

```
from tensorflow.keras.applications import VGG16 base_model

= VGG16(weights='imagenet', include_top=False,
input_shape=(224, 224, 3)) # Freeze the pre-trained layers

for layer in base_model.layers: layer.trainable =

False # Add custom classification layers x =

base_model.output x = layers.Flatten()(x) x =

layers.Dense(256, activation='relu')(x) predictions =

layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')(x) model =

models.Model(inputs=base_model.input, outputs=predictions)
```

5. Training:

Code:-

```
model.fit(
              train_generator,
steps_per_epoch=len(train_generator),
epochs=10,
validation_data=validation_generator,
validation_steps=len(validation_generator
6. Batch Normalization:
Code:-
model.add(layers.Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'))
model.add(layers.BatchNormalization())
Evaluation Metrics:
Accuracy:
Code -
# Accuracy accuracy =
accuracy_score(y_true, y_pred)
print(f"Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
Precision:
```

```
Code -
# Precision precision =
precision_score(y_true, y_pred)
print(f"Precision: {precision:.4f}")
Recall:
Code -
# Recall recall =
recall_score(y_true, y_pred)
print(f"Recall: {recall:.4f}")
F1-Score:
Code -
# F1-Score f1 =
f1_score(y_true, y_pred)
print(f"F1-Score:
{f1:.4f}")
AUC-ROC (Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic
Curve):
Code -
# AUC-ROC fpr, tpr, thresholds =
roc_curve(y_true, y_pred) roc_auc =
auc(fpr, tpr)
```