Lecture 2

Real and Complex Analysis

MTL122/ MTL503/ MTL506

Lecturer: A. Dasgupta

aparajita.dasgupta@gmail.com

1. Real Numbers

1.1. **Field.** These following first six axioms are called the *field axioms* because any object satisfying them is called a *field*.

A *field* is a nonempty set \mathbb{F} along with two binary operations, multiplication \times : $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ and addition $+: \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}$ satisfying the following axioms.

AXIOM 1(Associative Laws). If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, then (a + b) + c = a + (b + c) and $(a \times b) \times c = a \times (b \times c)$.

AXIOM 2 (Commutative Laws). If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, then a+b=b+a and $a \times b=b \times a$.

AXIOM 3 (Distributive Laws). $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$, then $a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c)$.

AXIOM 4 (Existence of identities). There are $0, 1 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $0 \neq 1$ such that a + 0 = a and a = a, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}$.

AXIOM 5(Existence of an additive inverse). For each $a \in \mathbb{F}$ there is $-a \in \mathbb{F}$ such that a + (-a) = 0.

AXIOM 6(Existence of a multiplicative inverse). For each $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ there is $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a \times a^{-1} = 1$.

Definition 1.1. (Ordered Fields)

An ordered field is a field \mathbb{F} with a relation, denoted <, obeying the

- (a) For each pair $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ precisely one of x < y, x = y, y < x is true.
- (b) $x < y, y < z \implies x < z$
- (c) $y < z \implies x + y < x + z$
- (d) x > 0, $y > 0 \implies xy > 0$

Example 1.2. \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} are ordered fields.

Definition 1.3. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The **absolute value** of x is defined by

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \ge 0. \\ -x, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

If we think of the real numbers as points on the real line, then d(x,y) = |x - y| is just the distance between the real numbers x and y and it satisfies

i)
$$d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$
,

- ii) $d(x, y) \ge 0$,
- iii) $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$, and
- iv) $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$. (Triangle Inequality)

This distance function is also called a **metric** of the space \mathbb{R} and (\mathbb{R}, d) is a **metric** space.

2. The supremum and infimum

Next, we use the ordering properties of \mathbb{R} to define the supremum and infimum of a set of real numbers. These concepts are of central importance in analysis. In particular, in the next section we use them to state the completeness property of \mathbb{R} . First, we define upper and lower bounds.

Definition 2.1. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ of real numbers is bounded from above if there exists a real number $M \in \mathbb{R}$, called an upper bound of A, such that $x \leq M$ for every $x \in A$. Similarly, A is bounded from below if there exists $m \in \mathbb{R}$, called a lower bound of A, such that $x \geq m$ for every $x \in A$. A set is bounded if it is bounded both from above and below. Equivalently, a set A is bounded if $A \subset I$ for some bounded interval I = [m, M]

• Equivalently, a set is $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if and only if there exists a real number $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|x| \leq M$$
 for every $x \in A$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. If $M \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound of A such that $M \leq M'$ for every bound M' of A, then M is called the least upper bound or supremum of A, denoted by

$$M = \sup A$$
.

If $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is a lower bound or infimum of A, such that $m \geq m'$ for every lower bound m' of A, then m is called the greatest lower bound or infimum of A, denoted by

$$m = \inf A$$
.

• Supremum or Infimum of a set is unique. (Exercise)

If $\sup A \in A$ then we denote it by $\max A$ and refer to it as the maximum of A; and if $\inf A \in A$, then we also denote it by $\min A$ and refer to it as the minimum of A. As the following examples illustrate, $\sup A$ and $\inf A$ may or may not belong to A, so the concepts of supremum and infimum must be clearly distinguished from those of maximum and minimum.

Example 2.3. Every finite set of real numbers

$$A = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n\}$$

is bounded. Its supremum is the greatest element, $\sup A = \max\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ and its infimum is the smallest element, $\inf A = \min\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$. Both the supremum and infimum of a finite set belong to the set. **Example 2.4.** If A = (0,1), then every $M \ge 1$ is an upper bound of A. The lub is M = 1, so

$$\sup(0,1) = 1.$$

Similarly, every m < 0 is a lower bound of A, so

$$\inf(0,1) = 0.$$

In this case neither $\sup A$ nor $\inf A$ belong to A.

Example 2.5. Let

$$A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

be the set of reciprocals of the natural numbers. Then $\sup A = 1$, which belongs to A and $\inf A = 0$, which does not belong to A.

If a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not bounded from above then $\sup A = \infty$, and if $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not bounded from below then $\inf A = \infty$.

3. Completeness

The following axiomatic property of the real numbers is called Dedekind completeness. Dedekind (1872) showed that the real numbers are characterized by the condition that they are a complete ordered field.

Axiom . Every nonempty set of real numbers that is bounded from above has a supremum.

As a first application of this axiom, we prove that \mathbb{R} has the Archimedean property, meaning that no real number is greater than every natural number.

Theorem 3.1. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x < n.

Proof Suppose, for contradiction, there exists a $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that x > n for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then x is an upper bound of \mathbb{N} , so \mathbb{N} has a supremum $M = \sup \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $n \leq M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $n-1 \leq M-1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $n \leq M-1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then M-1 is an upperbound of \mathbb{N} . A contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. Let S be a non empty subset of \mathbb{R} , and $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $M = \sup S$ if and only if

- i) M is an upper bound for S, and
- ii) for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an element $s \in S$ such that $M \epsilon < s$.

Proof Assume that M is the supremum for S, i.e., $M = \sup S$. Then, by definition M is an upper bound for S. If there is an $\epsilon' > 0$ for which $M - \epsilon' \ge s$ for all $s \in S$, then $M - \epsilon'$ is an upper bound for S, which is smaller than M, a contradiction.

Assume now that i), ii) hold. Since S is bounded above then by S has a least upper bound, say A. Since M is an upper bound for S so $A \leq M$. If A < M, then with $\epsilon = M - A$, there is an element $s \in S$ such that

$$M - (M - A) < s \le A$$
, i.e., $A < A$,

which is absurd. Therefore A = M, i.e., M is the supremum of S.

4. Sequences

Definition 4.1. A sequence (x_n) of real numbers is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $x_n = f(n)$.

We write the sequence as $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Definition 4.2. A sequence (x_n) of real numbers converge to a limit $x \in \mathbb{R}$, written

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n, \text{ or } x_n \to x \text{ } asn \to \infty,$$

if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > N.$$

A sequence converges if it converges to some limit $x \in \mathbb{R}$, otherwise it diverges. Note that $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ means the same thing as $|x_n - x| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 4.3. (Exercise) If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

Definition 4.4. If (x_n) is a sequence then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty,$$

or $x_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, if for every $M \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x_n > M$$
 for all $n > N$.

Also $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = -\infty$, or $x_n \to -\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, if for every $M\in\mathbb{R}$ there exists $N\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $x_n < M$ for all n > N.

Definition 4.5. A sequence (x_n) of real numbers is bounded from above if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_n \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and bounded from below if there exists $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_n \geq m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence is bounded if it is bounded from above and below, otherwise it is unbounded.

An equivalent condition for a sequence (x_n) to be bounded is that there exists $M \ge 0$ such that $|x_n| \le M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 4.6. The sequence (n^3) is bounded from below but not from above, while the sequences (1/n) and $((-1)^{n+1})$ are bounded. The sequence (x_n) where $x_n = (-1)^{n+1}n$ is not bounded from below or above.

Proposition 4.7. A convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Let $(x)_n$ be a convergent sequence with limits x. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x| < 1$$
 for all $n > N$.

The triangle inequality implies that

$$|x_n| \le |x_n - x| + |x| < 1 + |x|$$
, for all $n > N$.

Defining $M = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_N|, 1 + |x|\}$, we see that $|x_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so (x_n) is bounded.

Thus, boundedness is a necessary condition for convergence. But boundedness is not a sufficient condition for convergence.

Example 4.8. $x_n = (-1)^{n+1}$. (Check)

Definition 4.9. A sequence (x_n) of real numbers is a Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_m - x_n| < \epsilon$$
, for all $m, n > N$.

Exercise 4.10. Cauchy sequence is bounded.

A subsequence of a sequence (x_n) ,

$$x_1, x_2, ..., x_3, ..., x_n, ...$$

is the sequence (x_{n_k}) of the form

$$x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, ..., x_{n_3}, ..., x_{n_k}, ...$$

where $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 ... < n_k < ...$

Example 4.11. A subsequence of the sequence (1/n),

$$1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{5},\dots$$

is the sequence $(1/k^2)$

$$1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{9}, \dots$$

Here $n_k = k^2$. On the other hand, the sequence

$$1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}$$

aren't subsequences of 1/n since n_k is not a strictly increasing function of k.

Theorem 4.12. Nested Interval Theorem

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$, where $-\infty < a_n < b_n < \infty$. If $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$ then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ consists of exactly one point.

The Nested Intervals Theorem may fail for a decreasing sequence of open or halfopen intervals. For example, if $I_n = (0, \frac{1}{n+1}]$ or $I_n = [n, \infty)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \phi$.

Theorem 4.13. Every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Suppose that (x_n) is a bounded infinite sequence of real numbers. Let

$$M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n, \ m = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n,$$

and define the closed interval $I_0 = [m, M]$.

Divide $I_0 = L_0 \cup R_0$ in half into two closed intervals, where

$$L_0 = [m, (m+M)/2], R_0 = [(m+M)/2, M].$$

At least one of the interval L_0 , R_0 contains infinitely many terms of the sequence, meaning that $x_n \in L_0$ or $x_n \in R_0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose I_1 to be one of the intervals L_0 , R_0 that contains infinitely many terms and choose $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n_1} \in I_1$. Divide $I_1 = L_1 \cup R_1$ in half into two closed intervals. One or both of the intervals L_1 , R_1 contains infinitely many terms of the sequence. Choose I_2 to be one of these intervals and choose $n_2 > n_1$ such that $x_{n_2} \in I_2$. This is always possible because I_2 contains infinitely many terms of the sequence. Divide I_2 in half, pick a closed half-interval I_3 that contains infinitely many terms, and choose $n_3 > n_2$ such that $x_{n_3} \in I_3$. Continuing in this way, we get a nested sequence of intervals $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3$... of length $|I_k| = 2^{-k}(M-m)$, together with the subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that $x_{n_k} \in I_k$.

So $|I_k| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. So by Nested Interval Theorem we have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ consists of exactly one point, say l. Then,

$$|x_{n_k} - l| < 2^{-k}(M - m) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. That is, $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = l$.

Theorem 4.14. A sequence of real numbers converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}$ is a convergent sequence. Since $\{a_n\}$ converges to L, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is an N > 0 so that when j > N, we have

$$|a_j - L| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now the for j, k > N we have

$$|a_j - a_k| = |a_j - L + L - a_k| \le |a_j - L| + |a_k - L| < \epsilon,$$

so that the sequence $\{a_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence as desired.

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Then by a previous exercise we know it is bounded. By Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem (a_n) has a convergent subsequence $(a_{n_k}) \to l$, (say). Then

$$\exists N_1 \text{ such that } r \geq N_1 \implies |a_{n_r} - l| < \epsilon/2$$

 $\exists N_2 \text{ such that } m, n \geq N_2 \implies |a_m - a_n| < \epsilon/2.$

We choose a $k > N_1$ such that $n_k > N_2$. Then for all $n \ge N_2$ we have

$$|a_n - l| = |a_n - a_{n_k} + a_{n_k} - l| < \epsilon.$$

Hence $\{a_n\}$ is convergent.