# MTL122 - Real and complex analysis Assignment-1



Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

$$\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$$

$$\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$$

### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

**Solution:** a) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

• Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

**Solution:** a) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

Now we prove the other side inclusion

• Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

**Solution:** a) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  and  $x \in (A \setminus C)$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

**Solution:** a) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  and  $x \in (A \setminus C)$ .
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .

#### Question 1

Let A, B and C be sets.

- $\bullet \ A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$
- $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$

**Solution:** a) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cup C).$
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .
- Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  and  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C)$ .
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  and  $x \in (A \setminus C)$ .
- This implies  $x \in A, x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$ .

• Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$
  - This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$
  - This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$ .
  - Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  or  $x \in A \setminus C$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$
  - This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$ .
  - Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  or  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
  - $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$
  - This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$ .
  - Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  or  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
  - $\bullet :: x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C).$
  - Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cap C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cup C)$ .
- Thus we have proved the needful.
- 2) To prove  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .
  - Let  $x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$ .
  - $\therefore x \in A \text{ but } x \notin (B \cap C).$
  - This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$ .
  - Thus we have  $x \in A \setminus B$  or  $x \in A \setminus C$ .
  - $\bullet :: x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C).$
  - Thus we finally have  $A \setminus (B \cap C) \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ .

Now we prove the other side inclusion

• Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ 

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$
- This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$
- This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$
- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cap C$ .

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$
- This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$
- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cap C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$
- This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$
- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cap C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cap C)$

- Let  $x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$
- $\therefore x \in (A \setminus B)$  or  $x \in (A \setminus C)$
- This implies  $x \in A$  and either  $x \notin B$  or  $x \notin C$
- Thus we have  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cap C$ .
- $\therefore x \in A \setminus (B \cap C)$
- Thus we finally have  $(A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C) \subseteq A \setminus (B \cap C)$
- Thus we have proved the needful.

#### Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

#### Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

#### Solution: a)

• Given: *h* is not injective.

#### Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

#### Solution: a)

- Given: h is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.

## Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

- Given: *h* is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.
- $\therefore \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y \text{ such that } h(x) = h(y).$

## Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

- Given: *h* is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.
- $\therefore \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y \text{ such that } h(x) = h(y).$
- Thus g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) and since g is injective we have f(x) = f(y)

## Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If *h* is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

- Given: h is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.
- $\therefore \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y \text{ such that } h(x) = h(y).$
- Thus g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) and since g is injective we have f(x) = f(y)
- Now as f is injective we have, x = y which is a contradiction.



## Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If h is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

- Given: *h* is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.
- $\therefore \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y \text{ such that } h(x) = h(y).$
- Thus g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) and since g is injective we have f(x) = f(y)
- Now as f is injective we have, x = y which is a contradiction.
- Thus this statement is True



## Question 2

Let A, B, C be sets,  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  be functions, and let  $h: A \to C$  be defined by h(x) = g(f(x)) for  $x \in A$ . State (give reasons/counterexamples) whether the following statements are true or false:

- If h is not injective, then at least one of the functions f and g is not injective.
- If h is not injective then both the function f and g is not injective.

- Given: *h* is not injective.
- Let us assume both f and g are injective.
- $\therefore \exists x, y \in A, x \neq y \text{ such that } h(x) = h(y).$
- Thus g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) and since g is injective we have f(x) = f(y)
- Now as f is injective we have, x = y which is a contradiction.
- Thus this statement is True



b)

• Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

### Solution:

a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

- a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 
  - Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

- a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 
  - Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - $\therefore \exists y \in A \text{ such that } x = f(y) \text{ and } y \in f^{-1}(W)$



b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

- a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 
  - Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - $\therefore \exists y \in A \text{ such that } x = f(y) \text{ and } y \in f^{-1}(W)$
  - Now since  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$ , we have  $f(y) \in W$  and thus  $x \in W$ .

b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

- a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 
  - Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - $\therefore \exists y \in A \text{ such that } x = f(y) \text{ and } y \in f^{-1}(W)$
  - Now since  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$ , we have  $f(y) \in W$  and thus  $x \in W$ .
  - Hence Proved



b)

- Take  $A = B = C = \mathbb{R}$ . Let f(x) = 2x and g(x) = |x|.
- We have h(x) = |2x| and this is a sufficient counterexample.
- Thus this statement is False.

## Question 3

Let  $f: A \to B$  be a function. Let  $W \subseteq B$ .

- Prove that  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$ .
- Prove that if f is surjective then  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$ .

- a)To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) \subseteq W$  for a function  $f: A \to B$  and  $W \subseteq B$ 
  - Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - $\therefore \exists y \in A \text{ such that } x = f(y) \text{ and } y \in f^{-1}(W)$
  - Now since  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$ , we have  $f(y) \in W$  and thus  $x \in W$ .
  - Hence Proved



b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$
  - Since f is surjective we have  $\exists y \in A$  such that f(y) = x

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$
  - Since f is surjective we have  $\exists y \in A$  such that f(y) = x
  - Now since  $x \in W$ , we have  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$  and thus  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$
  - Since f is surjective we have  $\exists y \in A$  such that f(y) = x
  - Now since  $x \in W$ , we have  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$  and thus  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - Hence Proved

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$
  - Since f is surjective we have  $\exists y \in A$  such that f(y) = x
  - Now since  $x \in W$ , we have  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$  and thus  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - Hence Proved

## Question 4

Consider the formula  $f(x) = 2 - \sqrt{x+4}$ .

- What is the largest subset of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  so that  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = 2 \sqrt{x+4}$  is a function?
- Compute the image of  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Compute f([5, 12]).
- Compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$ .

- b) To Prove:  $f(f^{-1}(W)) = W$  if f is surjective.
  - We have already proved one side. We now prove the other side.
  - Let  $x \in W$  and thus  $x \in B$
  - Since f is surjective we have  $\exists y \in A$  such that f(y) = x
  - Now since  $x \in W$ , we have  $y \in f^{-1}(W)$  and thus  $x \in f(f^{-1}(W))$
  - Hence Proved

## Question 4

Consider the formula  $f(x) = 2 - \sqrt{x+4}$ .

- What is the largest subset of  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  so that  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = 2 \sqrt{x+4}$  is a function?
- Compute the image of  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Compute f([5, 12]).
- Compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$ .

### Solution:

(a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .

8/39

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb R$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$
$$0 < 2 - \sqrt{x+4} < 2$$

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$
  

$$0 \le 2 - \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$
  

$$0 \le \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$

$$0 \le 2 - \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le x+4 \le 4$$

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$

$$0 \le 2 - \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le x+4 \le 4$$

$$-4 \le x \le 0$$

#### **Solution:**

- (a) For the codomain to be  $\mathbb{R}$  we require  $x+4\geq 0$ , which gives us  $x\geq -4$ . Now since  $\sqrt{x}$  is a function for all  $x\geq 0$  we have that  $A=[-4,\infty)$ .
- (b) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , the image is  $(-\infty, 2]$ .
- (c) Since  $\sqrt{x}$  is an increasing function for all  $x \ge 0$ , f([5,12]) is [-2,-1].
- (d) We have to compute  $f^{-1}([0,2])$  i.e.

$$0 \le f(x) \le 2$$

$$0 \le 2 - \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le \sqrt{x+4} \le 2$$

$$0 \le x+4 \le 4$$

$$-4 \le x \le 0$$

Hence  $f^{-1}([0,2]) = [-4,0]$ .



## Question 5

Let  ${\mathcal S}$  be a collection of sets. The relation on  ${\mathcal S}$  defined by

$$A \sim B \iff$$
 there is a bijection  $f: A \rightarrow B$ 

is an equivalence relation.

### Question 5

Let  ${\mathcal S}$  be a collection of sets. The relation on  ${\mathcal S}$  defined by

$$A \sim B \iff$$
 there is a bijection  $f: A \rightarrow B$ 

is an equivalence relation.

### Solution:

• Reflexive:  $A \sim A$  as  $f(x) = x \ \forall x \in A$  is a bijection.

### Question 5

Let  ${\mathcal S}$  be a collection of sets. The relation on  ${\mathcal S}$  defined by

$$A \sim B \iff$$
 there is a bijection  $f: A \rightarrow B$ 

is an equivalence relation.

- Reflexive:  $A \sim A$  as  $f(x) = x \ \forall x \in A$  is a bijection.
- Symmetric: Suppose  $A \sim B$ . Then  $\exists$  a bijection  $f: A \to B$ . It can be easily proven that  $f^{-1}: B \to A$  is also a bijection.

### Question 5

Let  ${\mathcal S}$  be a collection of sets. The relation on  ${\mathcal S}$  defined by

$$A \sim B \iff$$
 there is a bijection  $f: A \rightarrow B$ 

is an equivalence relation.

- Reflexive:  $A \sim A$  as  $f(x) = x \ \forall x \in A$  is a bijection.
- Symmetric: Suppose  $A \sim B$ . Then  $\exists$  a bijection  $f: A \to B$ . It can be easily proven that  $f^{-1}: B \to A$  is also a bijection.
- Transitive: Suppose  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$  then  $\exists f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  which are bijections. Use the fact that  $f \circ g : A \rightarrow C$  is also a bijection.

### Question 5

Let  ${\mathcal S}$  be a collection of sets. The relation on  ${\mathcal S}$  defined by

$$A \sim B \iff$$
 there is a bijection  $f: A \rightarrow B$ 

is an equivalence relation.

- Reflexive:  $A \sim A$  as  $f(x) = x \ \forall x \in A$  is a bijection.
- Symmetric: Suppose  $A \sim B$ . Then  $\exists$  a bijection  $f: A \to B$ . It can be easily proven that  $f^{-1}: B \to A$  is also a bijection.
- Transitive: Suppose  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$  then  $\exists f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  which are bijections. Use the fact that  $f \circ g : A \rightarrow C$  is also a bijection.

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $((x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1 \}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

**Solution:** a)  $\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$ 

• This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .
- Then  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .
- Then  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)
- Hence S is **countable**.

### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .
- Then  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)
- Hence S is **countable**.
- b) S = (1/4, 3/4)
  - Define  $f: [0,1] \to S$  as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{x}{2}$ .



### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .
- Then  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)
- Hence S is **countable**.
- b) S = (1/4, 3/4)
  - Define  $f:[0,1] \to S$  as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{x}{2}$ .
  - Then  $f:[0,1] \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)



### Question 6

Are the following sets finite, countable or uncountable? Explain or prove your answer in each case.

- $\bullet \ \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} : xy = 1\}.$
- $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$ .

- This set can alternatively represented as  $S = \{(n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Now define the bijection  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  as  $\phi(n) = (n, \frac{1}{n})$ .
- Then  $\phi: \mathbb{N} \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)
- Hence S is **countable**.
- b) S = (1/4, 3/4)
  - Define  $f: [0,1] \to S$  as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{x}{2}$ .
  - Then  $f:[0,1] \to S$  is a bijection. (Excercise)
  - Hence S is **uncountable**.



### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N\times\mathbb N$  is countable.

### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Solution:

• Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^n$ .

### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f : \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

- Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^n$ .
- Now we will prove that g is injective.

### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

- Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^n$ .
- Now we will prove that g is injective.
- Assume that  $(n, m), (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that f(n, m) = f(k, l) i.e.  $2^n 3^m = 2^k 3^l$ .

#### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

- Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^n$ .
- Now we will prove that g is injective.
- Assume that  $(n, m), (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that f(n, m) = f(k, l) i.e.  $2^n 3^m = 2^k 3^l$ .
- Suppose that n < k, then  $3^m = 2^{k-n}3^l$ .

#### Question 7

Let  $\mathbb N$  be the set of natural numbers. Prove that  $\mathbb N \times \mathbb N$  is countable.

### Recall

Let A be a non-empty set. Then the following are equivalent.

- A is countable.
- There exists a surjection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ .
- There exists an injection  $g: A \to \mathbb{N}$ .

- Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^n$ .
- Now we will prove that g is injective.
- Assume that  $(n, m), (k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that f(n, m) = f(k, l) i.e.  $2^n 3^m = 2^k 3^l$ .
- Suppose that n < k, then  $3^m = 2^{k-n}3^l$ .

• This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k.

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k. Similarly we can prove that k = l.

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k. Similarly we can prove that k = l.
- Therefore g is injective and  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable

### Question 8

Prove that supremum and infimum of a set is unique.

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k. Similarly we can prove that k = l.
- Therefore g is injective and  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable

### Question 8

Prove that supremum and infimum of a set is unique.

#### **Proof**

• Suppose S is not bounded below, then inf  $S=-\infty$ , we are done. Now consider S is bounded below(Bounded).

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k. Similarly we can prove that k = l.
- Therefore g is injective and  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable

### Question 8

Prove that supremum and infimum of a set is unique.

#### **Proof**

- Suppose S is not bounded below, then inf  $S=-\infty$ , we are done. Now consider S is bounded below(Bounded).
- To prove the uniqueness of infimum: Suppose there exists two such infimum a, b ∈ ℝ such that a ≤ x and b ≤ x for all x ∈ S. So a and b are both lower bounds for the set S and in particular, since a and b are both infimum, a ≤ b and b ≤ a by the definition of infimum. Hence by the Trichotomy Principle, we conclude a = b.

- This gives contradiction, since LHS is odd and RHS is even.
- Hence n = k. Similarly we can prove that k = l.
- Therefore g is injective and  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable

### Question 8

Prove that supremum and infimum of a set is unique.

#### Proof

- Suppose S is not bounded below, then inf  $S=-\infty$ , we are done. Now consider S is bounded below(Bounded).
- To prove the uniqueness of infimum: Suppose there exists two such infimum  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$  such that  $a\leq x$  and  $b\leq x$  for all  $x\in S$ . So a and b are both lower bounds for the set S and in particular, since a and b are both infimum,  $a\leq b$  and  $b\leq a$  by the definition of infimum. Hence by the Trichotomy Principle, we conclude a=b.
- Similarly supremum case is obvious.

### Question 9

Prove that for any two number  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < y, there are positive integers m, n such that  $x < \frac{m^2}{n^2} < y$ .

### Question 9

Prove that for any two number  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < y, there are positive integers m, n such that  $x < \frac{m^2}{n^2} < y$ .

### Question 9

Prove that for any two number  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < y, there are positive integers m, n such that  $x < \frac{m^2}{n^2} < y$ .

### **Definition**

Archimedean properties:

- (i) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < x$ .
- (ii) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n 1 \le x < n$ .

### Question 9

Prove that for any two number  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < y, there are positive integers m, n such that  $x < \frac{m^2}{n^2} < y$ .

#### **Definition**

Archimedean properties:

- (i) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < x$ .
- (ii) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n 1 \le x < n$ .

#### **Proof**

• Clearly y - x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < y - x.$$

Then nx + 1 < ny.



### Question 9

Prove that for any two number  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that 0 < x < y, there are positive integers m, n such that  $x < \frac{m^2}{n^2} < y$ .

#### **Definition**

Archimedean properties:

- (i) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < x$ .
- (ii) If x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n 1 \le x < n$ .

#### **Proof**

• Clearly y - x > 0, then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < y - x.$$

Then nx + 1 < ny.



• Since x > 0, then nx > 0. Now there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$

Then  $m \le nx + 1 < m + 1$ .

• Since x > 0, then nx > 0. Now there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$

Then  $m \le nx + 1 < m + 1$ .

Combining two conditions, we have

$$nx < m \le nx + 1 < ny$$

Hence  $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$ , when 0 < x < y.

• Since x > 0, then nx > 0. Now there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$

Then  $m \le nx + 1 < m + 1$ .

Combining two conditions, we have

$$nx < m \le nx + 1 < ny$$

Hence  $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$ , when 0 < x < y.

• Now replace x and y by  $\sqrt{x}$  and  $\sqrt{y}$  respectively. And take square both side we have given result.

• Since x > 0, then nx > 0. Now there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$

Then  $m \le nx + 1 < m + 1$ .

Combining two conditions, we have

$$nx < m \le nx + 1 < ny$$

Hence  $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$ , when 0 < x < y.

• Now replace x and y by  $\sqrt{x}$  and  $\sqrt{y}$  respectively. And take square both side we have given result.

### Question 10

Suppose that A, B are nonempty sets of real numbers such that  $x \le y$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then sup  $A \le \inf B$ .

### Question 10

Suppose that A, B are nonempty sets of real numbers such that  $x \le y$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then sup  $A \le \inf B$ .

#### **Proof**

• If A is empty, then  $\sup A = -\infty$  (we are done ) and B is empty, then  $\inf B = \infty$  (we are done).

#### Question 10

Suppose that A, B are nonempty sets of real numbers such that  $x \le y$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then sup  $A \le \inf B$ .

#### **Proof**

- If A is empty, then  $\sup A = -\infty$  (we are done ) and B is empty, then  $\inf B = \infty$  (we are done).
- Suppose that A and B are non-empty. Here  $x \leq y$  for all  $x \in A$ .

### Question 10

Suppose that A, B are nonempty sets of real numbers such that  $x \le y$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then sup  $A \le \inf B$ .

#### **Proof**

- If A is empty, then  $\sup A = -\infty$  (we are done ) and B is empty, then  $\inf B = \infty$  (we are done).
- Suppose that A and B are non-empty. Here  $x \leq y$  for all  $x \in A$ .
- Then y is an upper bound for A and hence  $\sup A \leq y$ .

### Question 10

Suppose that A, B are nonempty sets of real numbers such that  $x \le y$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then sup  $A \le \inf B$ .

#### **Proof**

- If A is empty, then  $\sup A = -\infty$  (we are done ) and B is empty, then  $\inf B = \infty$  (we are done).
- Suppose that A and B are non-empty. Here  $x \leq y$  for all  $x \in A$ .
- Then y is an upper bound for A and hence  $\sup A \leq y$ .
- Again for all  $y \in B$ , sup A is lower bound of B. Then

 $\sup A \leq \inf B$ .

### Question 11

For each of the following sets S, find the sup S and inf S if they exist. You need to justify your answer.

- (a)  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 5\}.$
- (b) Let  $A = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \text{ is prime}\}.$

### Question 11

For each of the following sets S, find the sup S and inf S if they exist. You need to justify your answer.

- (a)  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 < 5\}.$
- (b) Let  $A = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \text{ is prime}\}.$

#### **Proof**

- (a)  $S = (-\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5})$ , then  $\sup S = \sqrt{5}$ ,  $\inf S = -\sqrt{5}$ .
- (b)  $A = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5} \cdots \}$ , then  $\sup A = \frac{1}{2}, \inf A = 0$ .

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Proof

• Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$ 

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

#### Proof

- Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$
- So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|a_{n_k}-a|\geq \epsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Proof

- Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$
- So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|a_{n_k}-a|\geq \epsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

Here we have a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Proof

- Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$
- So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|a_{n_k}-a|\geq \epsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

Here we have a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

• Since  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded, then  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Proof

- Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$
- So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|a_{n_k}-a|\geq \epsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

Here we have a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

- Since  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded, then  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- By Bolzano-Wierstrass to  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  has a convergent subsequence which is again a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

### Question 12

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit a. Show that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$ .

### Proof

- Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  does not converge.  $(a_n \nrightarrow a)$
- So there is  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|a_{n_k}-a|\geq \epsilon_0. \tag{1}$$

Here we have a subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

- Since  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded, then  $\{a_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- By Bolzano-Wierstrass to  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  has a convergent subsequence which is again a subsequence of  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

 By (1), we obtain that the subsequence can never converge to a, but according to question the subsequence must converge to a, both contradict to each other.

- By (1), we obtain that the subsequence can never converge to a, but according to question the subsequence must converge to a, both contradict to each other.
- Hence  $a_n \rightarrow a$ .

- By (1), we obtain that the subsequence can never converge to a, but according to question the subsequence must converge to a, both contradict to each other.
- Hence  $a_n \rightarrow a$ .

### Question 13

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n|\leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n-a_{n-1}|.$$

Show that the sequence converges.

### Question 13

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n|\leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n-a_{n-1}|.$$

Show that the sequence converges.

### **Proof**

We can get the inequality,

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n-a_{n-1}| \leq (1/2)^n|a_1-a_0|.$$

### Question 13

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n|\leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n-a_{n-1}|.$$

Show that the sequence converges.

### **Proof**

We can get the inequality,

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}|a_n-a_{n-1}| \leq (1/2)^n|a_1-a_0|.$$

$$|a_n - a_m| = |a_n - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \cdots + a_{m-1} - a_m|$$

$$|a_n - a_m| = |a_n - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \dots + a_{m-1} - a_m|$$
  

$$\leq |a_n - a_{n+1}| + |a_{n+1} - a_{n+2}| + \dots + |a_{m-1} - a_m|$$

$$|a_{n} - a_{m}| = |a_{n} - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \dots + a_{m-1} - a_{m}|$$

$$\leq |a_{n} - a_{n+1}| + |a_{n+1} - a_{n+2}| + \dots + |a_{m-1} - a_{m}|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}|$$

$$|a_{n} - a_{m}| = |a_{n} - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \dots + a_{m-1} - a_{m}|$$

$$\leq |a_{n} - a_{n+1}| + |a_{n+1} - a_{n+2}| + \dots + |a_{m-1} - a_{m}|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left(1 + 1/2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1-n}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n} - a_{m}| &= |a_{n} - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \dots + a_{m-1} - a_{m}| \\ &\leq |a_{n} - a_{n+1}| + |a_{n+1} - a_{n+2}| + \dots + |a_{m-1} - a_{m}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left(1 + 1/2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1-n}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \times 2 \times |a_{1} - a_{0}|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n} - a_{m}| &= |a_{n} - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_{n+2} + a_{n+2} - \dots + a_{m-1} - a_{m}| \\ &\leq |a_{n} - a_{n+1}| + |a_{n+1} - a_{n+2}| + \dots + |a_{m-1} - a_{m}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \left(1 + 1/2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1-n}}\right) |a_{1} - a_{0}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \times 2 \times |a_{1} - a_{0}|. \end{aligned}$$

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1 - a_0| < \epsilon$ 

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0|<\epsilon$  i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}<\frac{\epsilon}{|a_1-a_0|}$ 

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1 - a_0| < \epsilon$ i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|a_1 - a_0|}$ i.e.  $2^{n-1} > \frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon}$ 

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1 - a_0| < \epsilon$ i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|a_1 - a_0|}$ i.e.  $2^{n-1} > \frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon}$ i.e.  $(n-1)\log 2 > \log\left(\frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0|<\epsilon$ i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}<\frac{\epsilon}{|a_1-a_0|}$ i.e.  $2^{n-1}>\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}$ i.e.  $(n-1)\log 2>\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ i.e.  $n-1>\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0| < \epsilon$  i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|a_1-a_0|}$  i.e.  $2^{n-1} > \frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}$  i.e.  $(n-1)\log 2 > \log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$  i.e.  $n-1 > \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$  i.e.  $n > 1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

$$\begin{split} \bullet & \text{ Now let } \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0|<\epsilon\\ & \text{ i.e. } \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}<\frac{\epsilon}{|a_1-a_0|}\\ & \text{ i.e. } 2^{n-1}>\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\\ & \text{ i.e. } (n-1)\log 2>\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\\ & \text{ i.e. } (n-1)>\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\\ & \text{ i.e. } n-1>\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\\ & \text{ i.e. } n>1+\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\\ & \text{ Let } \mathcal{N}=\left[1+\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0|<\epsilon$ i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|a_1 - a_0|}$ i.e.  $2^{n-1} > \frac{|a_1-a_0|}{|a_1-a_0|}$ i.e.  $(n-1)\log 2 > \log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ i.e.  $n-1>\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ i.e.  $n > 1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log \left( \frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon} \right)$ Let  $N = \left[1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log\left(\frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\right]$ . So  $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$  when n > N and m > N, (: m > n).

• Now let  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}|a_1-a_0|<\epsilon$ i.e.  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|a_1 - a_0|}$ i.e.  $2^{n-1} > \frac{|a_1-a_0|}{|a_1-a_0|}$ i.e.  $(n-1)\log 2 > \log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ i.e.  $n-1>\frac{1}{\log 2}\times\log\left(\frac{|a_1-a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)$ i.e.  $n > 1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log \left( \frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon} \right)$ Let  $N = \left[1 + \frac{1}{\log 2} \times \log\left(\frac{|a_1 - a_0|}{\epsilon}\right)\right]$ . So  $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$  when n > N and m > N, (: m > n). Hence  $\{a_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence, then its limit is unique.

### Question 14

If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

### Question 14

If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

#### **Proof**

• Let us assume  $a_n$  converges to a and b. i.e.,  $a_n \to a$  and  $a_n \to b$ . We need to show a = b.

### Question 14

If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

#### **Proof**

- Let us assume  $a_n$  converges to a and b. i.e.,  $a_n \to a$  and  $a_n \to b$ . We need to show a = b.
- By definition of convergence of sequence For each  $\epsilon>0$  there exist a natural number  $N_1\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n-a|<rac{\epsilon}{2} \quad ext{ when } n\geq N_1.$$

### Question 14

If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

#### **Proof**

- Let us assume  $a_n$  converges to a and b. i.e.,  $a_n \to a$  and  $a_n \to b$ . We need to show a = b.
- By definition of convergence of sequence For each  $\epsilon>0$  there exist a natural number  $N_1\in\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n-a|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}\quad \text{ when } n\geq N_1.$$

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a natural number  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n-b|<rac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 when  $n\geq N_2$ .

#### Question 14

If a sequence converges, then its limit is unique.

#### **Proof**

- Let us assume  $a_n$  converges to a and b. i.e.,  $a_n \to a$  and  $a_n \to b$ . We need to show a = b.
- By definition of convergence of sequence For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a natural number  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n-a|<rac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 when  $n\geq N_1$ .

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a natural number  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n-b|<rac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 when  $n\geq N_2$ .

• Now, for  $N > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ ,

$$|a-b|=|a-a_N+a_N-b|\leq |a_N-a|+|a_N-b|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon.$$

• Since  $|a-b|<\epsilon$ , and  $\epsilon>0$  is arbitrary.

- Since  $|a-b| < \epsilon$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.
- Hence a = b.

#### Question 15

Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence which satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $|x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^n, n=1,2,3,\ldots$
- $|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \leq \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n|, n=1,2,3,\ldots$

Then prove that  $(x_n)$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Note: Whenever you use this result, you have to show that the number  $\alpha$  that you get, satisfies  $0<\alpha<1$ . The condition

 $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ . Give examples.

#### Question 15

Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence which satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $|x_{n+2} x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1} x_n|, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Then prove that  $(x_n)$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Note: Whenever you use this result, you have to show that the number  $\alpha$  that you get, satisfies  $0<\alpha<1$ . The condition

 $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ . Give examples.

#### Solution:

#### Question 15

Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence which satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $|x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^n, n=1,2,3,\ldots$
- $|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \leq \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n|, n=1,2,3,\ldots$

Then prove that  $(x_n)$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Note: Whenever you use this result, you have to show that the number  $\alpha$  that you get, satisfies  $0<\alpha<1$ . The condition

 $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ . Give examples.

#### Solution:

$$|x_n - x_m| = |x_n - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_m|$$



#### Question 15

Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence which satisfies one of the following conditions:

$$|x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^n, n=1,2,3,\ldots$$

$$|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n|, n=1,2,3,\ldots$$

Then prove that  $(x_n)$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Note: Whenever you use this result, you have to show that the number  $\alpha$  that you get, satisfies  $0<\alpha<1$ . The condition

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$$
 does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ . Give examples.

#### Solution:

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

#### Question 15

Suppose that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence which satisfies one of the following conditions:

$$|x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^n, n=1,2,3,\ldots$$

$$|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \leq \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n|, n=1,2,3,\ldots$$

Then prove that  $(x_n)$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Note: Whenever you use this result, you have to show that the number  $\alpha$  that you get, satisfies  $0<\alpha<1$ . The condition

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$$
 does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ . Give examples.

#### Solution:

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^m$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$
  
=  $\alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$ 

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

• Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.
- 2) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then consider

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1} - x_n|$$



$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.
- 2) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then consider

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1} - x_n| \le \alpha^2 |x_n - x_{n-1}|$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.
- 2) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then consider

$$|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^2 |x_n-x_{n-1}| \le \alpha^n |x_2-x_1|.$$

$$\leq \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1})$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha}$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = (1 \alpha)\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .
- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.
- 2) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then consider

$$|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \le \alpha |x_{n+1}-x_n| \le \alpha^2 |x_n-x_{n-1}| \le \alpha^n |x_2-x_1|.$$

$$|x_n - x_m| = |x_n - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_m|$$

$$|x_n - x_m| = |x_n - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_m|$$
  

$$\leq |x_n - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_m|$$

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|.$$

Now consider

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|.$$

• Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{|x_2-x_1|}\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .

$$|x_{n} - x_{m}| = |x_{n} - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + \dots + |x_{m+1} - x_{m}|$$

$$\leq (\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha^{m}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^{2} + \dots + \alpha^{n-m-1}) |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$= \alpha^{m} \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|$$

$$< \frac{\alpha^{m}}{1 - \alpha} |x_{2} - x_{1}|.$$

- Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \alpha^m = 0$ . (why?)
- This implies, for  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{|x_2-x_1|}\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n_0$  such that  $|\alpha^m| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $m > n_0$ .

• Therefore  $|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 - x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .

- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

Now we will check that whether the condition  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ .

- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

Now we will check that whether the condition  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ .

• Take  $x_n = n$ .

- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

Now we will check that whether the condition  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ .

- Take  $x_n = n$ .
- Then clearly  $|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1}-x_n|$  is satisfied but  $x_n$  is not cauchy.

- Therefore  $|x_n x_m| < \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} |x_2 x_1| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ .
- Hence it is cauchy.

Now we will check that whether the condition  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ .

- Take  $x_n = n$ .
- Then clearly  $|x_{n+2}-x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1}-x_n|$  is satisfied but  $x_n$  is not cauchy.
- Hence the condition  $|x_{n+2} x_{n+1}| \le |x_{n+1} x_n|$  does not guarantee the convergence of  $(x_n)$ .

#### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **1**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- $\circ$   $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **1**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- 3  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

## Question 16(a)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **①**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- 3  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

## Question 16(a)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

#### Solution: True.

• Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **1**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- $\circ$   $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

## Question 16(a)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

#### Solution: True.

- Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- Since  $S_1+S_2=\bigcup_{q\in S_{S_1}}\{q+S_2\}$ , and arbitrary union of open sets is open.

### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **1**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- $\circ$   $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

## Question 16(a)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

#### Solution: True.

- Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- Since  $S_1+S_2=\bigcup_{q\in S_{S_1}}\{q+S_2\}$ , and arbitrary union of open sets is open.
- Therefore it is sufficient to show that  $q+S_2$  is open for all  $g\in S_1$ .

### Question 16

For two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , prove or disprove:

- **1**  $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- ②  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.
- $\circ$   $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded. Are the converses of these statements true? Prove or disprove their converses.

## Question 16(a)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is open if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.

#### Solution: True.

- Assume that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open.
- Since  $S_1+S_2=\bigcup_{q\in S_{S_1}}\{q+S_2\}$ , and arbitrary union of open sets is open.
- Therefore it is sufficient to show that  $q+S_2$  is open for all  $g\in S_1$ .

• Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse?

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

ullet Take A=1,-1 and  $B=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ ,

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

• Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

### What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

### Solution: False.

• Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Then  $A + B = \{-m + n + \frac{1}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Then  $A + B = \{-m + n + \frac{1}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Clearly  $\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A + B$ .

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

### Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Then  $A + B = \{-m + n + \frac{1}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Clearly  $\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A + B$ .
- This implies 0 is a limit point of A + B. But  $0 \notin A + B$ .

- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

### What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Then  $A + B = \{-m + n + \frac{1}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Clearly  $\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A + B$ .
- This implies 0 is a limit point of A + B. But  $0 \notin A + B$ .
- Hence A + B is not closed.



- Let  $p \in S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(p) \subseteq S_2$ .
- Let  $q \in S_1$ . Then  $N_r(q+p) = q + N_r(p) \subseteq q + S_2$ . (why?)
- Therefore  $q + S_2$  is open.
- Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is open.

### What about converse? False

- Take A = 1, -1 and  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $A + B = \mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary A + B is open but A is not open.

## Question 16(b)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is closed if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed.

- Take  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- Then  $A + B = \{-m + n + \frac{1}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Clearly  $\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq A + B$ .
- This implies 0 is a limit point of A + B. But  $0 \notin A + B$ .
- Hence A + B is not closed.



What about converse?

What about converse? False

What about converse? False

ullet Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}ackslash\{0\}$ ,

What about converse? False

ullet Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}.$ 

What about converse? False

- ullet Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

## Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

What about converse? False

- ullet Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

### Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

### Solution:

• Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bounded.

What about converse? False

- Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

### Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

- Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bounded.
- There exists  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that  $|a| < M_1$  and  $|b| < M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1, b \in S_2$ .

What about converse? False

- Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

### Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

- Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bounded.
- There exists  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that  $|a| < M_1$  and  $|b| < M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1, b \in S_2$ .
- This gives  $|a+b| < M_1 + M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1$ ,  $b \in S_2$ .

What about converse? False

- Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

### Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

- Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bounded.
- There exists  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that  $|a| < M_1$  and  $|b| < M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1, b \in S_2$ .
- This gives  $|a+b| < M_1 + M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1$ ,  $b \in S_2$ .
- $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.



What about converse? False

- Take  $S_1=1,-1$  and  $S_2=\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ , then  $S_1+S_2=\mathbb{R}$ .
- Cleary  $S_1 + S_2$  is closed but  $S_2$  is not closed.

### Question 16(c)

 $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded if both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are bounded.

- Since  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bounded.
- There exists  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  such that  $|a| < M_1$  and  $|b| < M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1, b \in S_2$ .
- This gives  $|a+b| < M_1 + M_2$  for all  $a \in S_1$ ,  $b \in S_2$ .
- $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.



What about converse?

What about converse? True

What about converse? True

• Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.

### What about converse? True

- Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Fix  $a_0 \in S_1$ . Clearly  $a_0 + S_2 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$ .

#### What about converse? True

- Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Fix  $a_0 \in S_1$ . Clearly  $a_0 + S_2 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$ .
- Since  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded, this implies  $a_0 + S_2$  is bounded.

#### What about converse? True

- Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Fix  $a_0 \in S_1$ . Clearly  $a_0 + S_2 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$ .
- Since  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded, this implies  $a_0 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Hence  $S_2$  is bounded. (why?)

#### What about converse? True

- Assume that  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Fix  $a_0 \in S_1$ . Clearly  $a_0 + S_2 \subseteq S_1 + S_2$ .
- Since  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded, this implies  $a_0 + S_2$  is bounded.
- Hence  $S_2$  is bounded. (why?)
- Similarly we can show that  $S_1$  is also bounded.

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

1) 
$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}.$$

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

- 1)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}.$ 
  - Clearly  $x^3 x = x(x-1)(x+1) > 0$  for  $x \in (-1,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ .

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

- 1)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}.$ 
  - Clearly  $x^3 x = x(x-1)(x+1) > 0$  for  $x \in (-1,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ .
  - Therefore  $A = (-1, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ .

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

- 1)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}.$ 
  - Clearly  $x^3 x = x(x-1)(x+1) > 0$  for  $x \in (-1,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ .
  - Therefore  $A = (-1, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ .
  - Since, union of open sets is open. Hence A is open.

### Question 17

Show that the following sets are open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **1**  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}$
- $B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z} \}.$

- 1)  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 > x\}.$ 
  - Clearly  $x^3 x = x(x-1)(x+1) > 0$  for  $x \in (-1,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ .
  - Therefore  $A = (-1, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ .
  - Since, union of open sets is open. Hence A is open.

Next, we have 
$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Next, we have 
$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

• For  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ , we have  $\frac{1}{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore B can be written as

$$B = (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Next, we have  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z}\}.$ 

• For  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ , we have  $\frac{1}{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore B can be written as

$$B = (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Next, we have  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1, \frac{1}{x} \notin \mathbb{Z}\}.$ 

• For  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ , we have  $\frac{1}{x} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore B can be written as

$$B = (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

• Since, arbitrary union of open sets is open. Hence *B* is open.

### Question 18

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If they're true, prove them. If they are false, provide counter examples

- **①** An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 2 Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

### Question 18

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If they're true, prove them. If they are false, provide counter examples

- **1** An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 2 Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

# 18(a)

An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Solution: False

### Question 18

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If they're true, prove them. If they are false, provide counter examples

- **1** An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 2 Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

# 18(a)

An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Solution: False

• Let  $\alpha$  be any irrational number.

### Question 18

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If they're true, prove them. If they are false, provide counter examples

- **1** An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 2 Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

# 18(a)

An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Solution: False

- Let  $\alpha$  be any irrational number.
- Then  $A = (-\infty, \alpha) \cup (\alpha, \infty)$  is a open set containing all the rational numbers.



### Question 18

Decide whether the following statements are true or false. If they're true, prove them. If they are false, provide counter examples

- An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- 2 Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

# 18(a)

An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily contain all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Solution: False

- Let  $\alpha$  be any irrational number.
- Then  $A = (-\infty, \alpha) \cup (\alpha, \infty)$  is a open set containing all the rational numbers.
- But A doesn't contain all of ℝ

34 / 39

18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

# 18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

#### Solution:

• Let A be a nonempty open set.

# 18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

- Let A be a nonempty open set.
- Let  $x \in A$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .

## 18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

- Let A be a nonempty open set.
- Let  $x \in A$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- By Density property of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exist  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q \in N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .

## 18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

- Let A be a nonempty open set.
- Let  $x \in A$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- By Density property of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exist  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q \in N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- Hence A contains a rational number.

## 18(b)

Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.

- Let A be a nonempty open set.
- Let  $x \in A$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that  $N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- By Density property of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exist  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $q \in N_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- Hence A contains a rational number.

### Question 19

### Question 19

If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a closed set bounded from above (below), show that A has a maximum(minimum).

• Let  $M = \sup A$ .

### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.

### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.

### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.
- By definition of supremum, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $M \epsilon < a < M$ .

### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.
- By definition of supremum, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $M \epsilon < a < M$ .
- This gives  $N_{\epsilon}^*(M) \cap A \neq \phi$ .

### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.
- By definition of supremum, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $M \epsilon < a < M$ .
- This gives  $N_{\epsilon}^*(M) \cap A \neq \phi$ .
- Hence *M* is a limit point of *A*.



### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.
- By definition of supremum, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $M \epsilon < a < M$ .
- This gives  $N_{\epsilon}^*(M) \cap A \neq \phi$ .
- Hence M is a limit point of A.
- Similarly we can prove that  $m = \inf A \in A$ .



### Question 19

- Let  $M = \sup A$ .
- Since A is closed. In order to show that  $M \in A$ , it is sufficient to show that M is a limit point of A.
- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $M = \sup A$ , therefore  $M \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of A.
- By definition of supremum, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $M \epsilon < a < M$ .
- This gives  $N_{\epsilon}^*(M) \cap A \neq \phi$ .
- Hence M is a limit point of A.
- Similarly we can prove that  $m = \inf A \in A$ .



## Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

- $(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

## Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

- $\mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

•  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed.

## Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

•  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. i.e.  $\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}$  is open.

#### Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

- $\mathbf{0} \ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

- $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. i.e.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is open.
- Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By archimedean property, there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that k < a < k+1.

#### Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

- $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. i.e.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is open.
- Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By archimedean property, there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that k < a < k + 1.
- Consider

$$r = \min\left(\frac{k+1-a}{2}, \frac{a-k}{2}\right).$$

#### Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

- $(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

- $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. i.e.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is open.
- Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By archimedean property, there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that k < a < k + 1.
- Consider

$$r = \min\left(\frac{k+1-a}{2}, \frac{a-k}{2}\right).$$

• Then  $(a-r,a+r)\subset (k,k+1)\subset \mathbb{R}\backslash \mathbb{Z}$ .



#### Question 20

Decide whether the following sets are open or closed. Determine the interior

**Solution:** 1)  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We will show that

- $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. i.e.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is open.
- Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By archimedean property, there exist  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that k < a < k + 1.
- Consider

$$r = \min\left(\frac{k+1-a}{2}, \frac{a-k}{2}\right).$$

- Then  $(a-r,a+r)\subset (k,k+1)\subset \mathbb{R}\backslash \mathbb{Z}$ .
- So Z is closed.



Now we will show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not open. i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}^o \neq \mathbb{Z}$ .

ullet We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^o$  is empty.

- We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{o}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .

- ullet We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{o}}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .
- Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

- We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{o}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .
- Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.

- We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{o}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .
- Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.
- Therefore  $Z^{o} = \phi$ .

- We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{o}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .
- Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.
- Therefore  $Z^{o} = \phi$ .
- Hence  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not open.

- We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}^{o}$  is empty.
- Let if possible there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{o}$ .
- Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.
- Therefore  $Z^{o} = \phi$ .
- Hence  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not open.

2) 
$$A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

2) 
$$A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

• Every closed set contains all of its limit points.

2) 
$$A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

- Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
- Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.
  - Let if possible there exist  $a \in A^{o}$ .

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - ullet Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.
  - Let if possible there exist  $a \in A^{o}$ .
  - Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset A$ .

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.
  - Let if possible there exist  $a \in A^{o}$ .
  - Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset A$ .
  - Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - ullet Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.
  - Let if possible there exist  $a \in A^{o}$ .
  - Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset A$ .
  - Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.
  - Therefore  $A^{o} = \phi$ .

- 2)  $A = \{(-1)^n + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 
  - Every closed set contains all of its limit points.
  - Clearly -1 and 1 are limit points of the set which does not belong to the set.
  - Hence A is not closed.
  - Now we will show that A is not open
  - we will claim that A<sup>o</sup> is empty.
  - Let if possible there exist  $a \in A^{\circ}$ .
  - Then there exist r > 0 such that  $(a r, a + r) \subset A$ .
  - Since every interval contains some irrationals, this is a contradiction.
  - Therefore  $A^{o} = \phi$ .
  - Hence A is not open.

