

Facebook and Fake News

Consider each of the below proposals, and fill in each of the below TODOs.

Proposals

Proposal 1

Facebook relies on an algorithm as well as individual users' reports to identify content that is potentially "fake news." Once the content has been identified, it is sent to third party fact-checkers for verification. If the content is verified as fake news, it is publicly flagged with a warning that the content is disputed by fact-checkers.



Proposal 2

However, a designer at Facebook believes that there needs to be a different approach to content regulation. Their proposal is that content deemed problematic by third-party fact-checkers should be prevented from being shared on the platform altogether.

Questions

1. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you feel is better? Why?
 - a. Proposal 1 gives more freedom and gives us the option to regulate ourselves. If proposal 2 is followed it would lead to more filtration. As technology develops so does the new generation which can easily identify this and stop sharing etc. I believe right now the problem is because not everyone understands technology, consequences and feels its impact. But if people become more aware as the years pass by . It will definitely work unlike passing power to select few which never works just like old days where they are kingdoms and now democracy(though not full democracy)
2. Which form of content regulation, Proposal 1 or Proposal 2, do you think best preserves or promotes the [five rights and opportunities necessary for a democratic public sphere](#)?
 - a. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Rights? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 express our rights to express and not get filtered by a devleoper
 - b. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Opportunity for Expression? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 because it gives us the right to participate in discussion even though select few mark it as flag as a means to achieve their own self objective
 - c. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Access? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 because it is atleast present on social platform somewhere unlike proposal 2 which we cant even find no matter how much we search
 - d. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Diversity? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 again because it will also have wide range of diversity in opinions though we need to control fake. Ultimately we shouldnt blindly believe everything but must confirm
 - e. Which proposal best preserves or promotes Communicative Power? Why?
 - i. Proposal 1 provided they have comments section etc to discuss the realness and maybe even highlights comments too based on likes and realism algorithm. To see how others view this. Ofcourse there is still chance of misuse by bots but then again even friends circle if comments there will be a sort of discussion to resolve this instead of blindly following sharing etc