

Model Validation

Sonal Ghanshani

R-Sqd & MSE

$$R^2 \equiv 1 - \frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{SS_{\text{tot}}}.$$

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - Y_i)^2$$

Adj R-sqd

$$\text{Adjusted } R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}/(n - d - 1)}{\text{TSS}/(n - 1)}$$

- The adjusted R² statistic is another popular approach for selecting among a set of models that contain different numbers of variables.
- The usual R² is defined as $1 - \text{RSS}/\text{TSS}$, where $\text{TSS} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ is the total sum of squares for the response. Since, RSS always decreases as more variables are added to the model, the R² always increases as more variables are added.
- Maximizing the adjusted R² is equivalent to minimizing $\text{RSS}/(n-d-1)$. While RSS always decreases as the number of variables in the model increases, $\text{RSS}/(n-d-1)$ may increase or decrease, due to the presence of d in the denominator.
- Unlike Cp, AIC, and BIC, for which a small value indicates a model with a low test error, a large value of adjusted R² indicates a model with a small test error. Maximizing the adjusted

Mallow's Cp

$$C_p = \frac{1}{n} (\text{RSS} + 2d\hat{\sigma}^2).$$

- where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an estimate of the variance of the error associated with each response measurement. Essentially, the Cp statistic adds a penalty of $2d\hat{\sigma}^2$ to the training RSS in order to adjust for the fact that the training error tends to underestimate the test error.
- Clearly, the penalty increases as the number of predictors, d , in the model increases; this is intended to adjust for the corresponding decrease in training RSS.
- The Cp statistic tends to take on a small value for models with a low test error, so when determining which of a set of models is best, **we choose the model with the lowest Cp value.**

AIC (Akaike information criterion) & BIC (Bayesian information criterion)

$$\text{AIC} = \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} (\text{RSS} + 2d\hat{\sigma}^2)$$

$$\text{BIC} = \frac{1}{n} (\text{RSS} + \log(n)d\hat{\sigma}^2)$$

- Like Cp, the BIC will tend to take on a small value for a model with a low test error, and so generally **we select the model that has the lowest BIC value**.
- BIC replaces the $2d\hat{\sigma}^2$ used by Cp with a $\log(n)d\hat{\sigma}^2$ term, where n is the number of observations. Since $\log n > 2$ for any $n > 7$, the BIC statistic generally places a heavier penalty on models with many variables, and hence results in the selection of smaller models than Cp.

Confusion Matrix

		Predicted class	
		P	N
Actual Class	P	True Positives (TP)	False Negatives (FN)
	N	False Positives (FP)	True Negatives (TN)

Sensitivity, recall, hit rate, or true positive rate (TPR)

$$\text{TPR} = \frac{\text{TP}}{P} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$$

Specificity or true negative rate (TNR)

$$\text{TNR} = \frac{\text{TN}}{N} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}}$$

ROC

