# A Collection of Dice Problems

with solutions and useful appendices
(a work continually in progress)
current version January 22, 2013

## Matthew M. Conroy

doctormatt "at" madandmoonly dot com

www.matthewconroy.com

# Thanks

A number of people have sent corrections, comments and suggestions, including Ryan Allen, Paul Elvidge, Nick Hobson, Marc Holtz, Manuel Klein, David Korsnack, Paul Micelli, Albert Natian and Elie Wolfe. Thanks, everyone.

# Chapter 1

# Introduction and Notes

This is a (slowly) growing collection of dice-related mathematical problems, with accompanying solutions. Some are simple exercises suitable for beginners, while others require more sophisticated techniques.

Many dice problems have an advantage over some other problems of probability in that they can be investigated experimentally. This gives these types of problems a certain helpful down-to-earth feel.

Please feel free to comment, criticize, or contribute additional problems.

### 1.0.1  What are dice?

In the real world, dice (the plural of *die*) are polyhedra made of plastic, wood, ivory, or other hard material. Each face of the die is numbered, or marked in some way, so that when the die is cast onto a smooth, flat surface and allowed to come to rest, a particular number is specified.

Mathematically, we can consider a die to be a random variable that takes on only finitely many distinct values. Usually, these values will constitute a set of positive integers $1, 2, ..., n$; in such cases, we will refer to the die as $n$-sided.

### 1.0.2  Terminology

A *fair* die is one for which each face appears with equal likelihood. A non-fair die is called *fixed*. The phrase *standard die* will refer to a fair, six-sided die, whose faces are numbered one through six. If not otherwise specified, the term *die* will refer to a standard die.

# Chapter 2

# Problems

## 2.1 Standard Dice

1. On average, how many times must a 6-sided die be rolled until a 6 turns up?

2. On average, how many times must a 6-sided die be rolled until all sides appear at least once? What about for an $n$-sided die?

3. On average, how many times must a pair of 6-sided dice be rolled until all sides appear at least once?

4. Suppose we roll $n$ dice and keep the highest one. What is the distribution of values?

5. Suppose we can roll a 6-sided die up to $n$ times. At any point we can stop, and that roll becomes our "score". Our goal is to get the highest possible score, on average. How should we decide when to stop?

6. How many dice must be rolled to have at least a 95% chance of rolling a six?

7. How many dice must be rolled to have at least a 95% chance of rolling a one and a two? What about a one, a two, and a three? What about a one, a two, a three, a four, a five and a six?

8. How many dice should be rolled to maximize the probability of rolling exactly one six? two sixes? $n$ sixes?

9. Suppose we roll a fair die 100 times. What is the probability of a run of at least 10 sixes?

10. Suppose we roll a fair die until some face has appeared twice. For instance, we might have a run of rolls 12545 or 636. How many rolls on average would we make? What if we roll until a face has appeared *three* times?

11. Suppose we roll a fair die 10 times. What is the probability that the sequence of rolls is non-decreasing (i.e., the next roll is never less than the current roll)?

12. Suppose a pair of dice are thrown, and then thrown again. What is the probability that the faces appearing on the second throw are the same as the first?

    What if three dice are used? Or six?

13. A single die is rolled until a run of six different faces appears. For example, one might roll the sequence 535463261536435344151612534 with only the last six rolls all distinct. What is the expected number of rolls?

14. What is the most probable: rolling at least one six with six dice, at least two sixes with twelve dice, or at least three sixes with eighteen dice? (This is an old problem, frequently connected with Isaac Newton.)

15. Suppose we roll $n$ dice, remove all the dice that come up 1, and roll the rest again. If we repeat this process, eventually all the dice will be eliminated. How many rolls, on average, will we make? Show, for instance, that on average fewer than $O(\log n)$ throws occur.

## 2.2  Dice Sums

16. Show that the probability of rolling 14 is the same whether we throw 3 dice or 5 dice. Are there other examples of this phenomenon?

17. Suppose we roll $n$ dice and sum the highest 3. What is the probability that the sum is 18?

18. Four fair, 6-sided dice are rolled. The highest three are summed. What is the distribution of the sum?

19. A fair, $n$-sided die is rolled until a roll of $k$ or greater appears. All rolls are summed. What is the expected value of the sum?

20. A die is rolled repeatedly and summed. Show that the expected number of rolls until the sum is a multiple of $n$ is $n$.

21. A die is rolled once; call the result $N$. Then $N$ dice are rolled once and summed. What is the distribution of the sum? What is the expected value of the sum? What is the most likely value?

22. A die is rolled and summed repeatedly. What is the probability that the sum will ever be a given value $x$?

23. A die is rolled once. Call the result $N$. Then, the die is rolled $N$ times, and those rolls which are equal to or greater than $N$ are summed (other rolls are not summed). What is the distribution of the resulting sum? What is the expected value of the sum?

## 2.3  Non-Standard Dice

24. Show that the probability of rolling doubles with a non-fair ("fixed") die is greater than with a fair die.

25. Find a pair of 6-sided dice, labelled with positive integers differently from the standaed dice, so that the sum probabilites are the same as for a pair of standard dice.

26. Is it possible to have two non-fair $n$-sided dice, with sides numbered 1 through $n$, with the property that their sum probabilities are the same as for two fair $n$-sided dice?

27. Is it possible to have two non-fair 6-sided dice, with sides numbered 1 through 6, with a uniform sum probability? What about $n$-sided dice?

28. Suppose that we renumber three fair 6-sided dice $(A, B, C)$ as follows: $A = \{2, 2, 4, 4, 9, 9\}$, $B = \{1, 1, 6, 6, 8, 8\}$, and $C = \{3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 7\}$.

    (a) Find the probability that die $A$ beats die $B$; die $B$ beats die $C$; die $C$ beats die $A$.

    (b) Discuss.

29. Find every six-sided die with sides numbered from the set {1,2,3,4,5,6} such that rolling the die twice and summing the values yields all values between 2 and 12 (inclusive). For instance, the die numbered 1,2,4,5,6,6 is one such die. Consider the sum probabilities of these dice. Do any of them give sum probabilities that are "more uniform" than the sum probabilities for a standard die? What if we renumber two dice differently - can we get a uniform (or more uniform than standard) sum probability?

## 2.4  Games with Dice

30. **Craps**    The game of craps is perhaps the most famous of all dice games. The player begin by throwing two standard dice. If the sum of these dice is 7 or 11, the player wins. If the sum is 2,3 or 12, the player loses. Otherwise, the sum becomes the player's *point*. The player continues to roll until either the point comes up again, in which case the player wins, or the player throws 7, in which case they lose. The natural question is: what is a player's probability of winning?

31. **Non-Standard Craps**    We can generalize the games of craps to allow dice with other than six sides. Suppose we use two (fair) $n$-sided dice. Then we can define a game analagous to craps in the following way. The player rolls two $n$-sided dice. If the sum of these dice is $n + 1$ or $2n - 1$, the player wins. If the sum of these dice is $2, 3$ or $2n$, then the player loses. Otherwise the sum becomes the player's point, and they win if they roll that sum again before rolling $n + 1$. We may again ask: what is the player's probability of winning?

32. **Yahtzee**    There are many probability questions we may ask with regard to the game of Yahtzee. For starters, what is the probability of rolling, in a single roll,

    (a) Yahtzee
    (b) Four of a kind (but not Yahtzee)
    (c) Three of a kind (but not four of a kind or Yahtzee)
    (d) A full house
    (e) A long straight
    (f) A small straight

33. **More Yahtzee** What is the probability of getting Yahtzee, assuming that we are trying just to get Yahtzee, we make reasonable choices about which dice to re-roll, and we have three rolls? That is, assume we're in the situation where all we have left to get in a game of Yahtzee *is* Yahtzee, so that all other outcomes are irrelevant.

34. **Drop Dead**    In the game of Drop Dead, the player starts by rolling five standard dice. If there are no 2's or 5's among the five dice, then the dice are summed and this is the player's score. If there are

2's or 5's, these dice become "dead" and the player gets no score. In either case, the player continues by rolling all non-dead dice, adding points onto the score, until all dice are dead.

For example, the player might roll $\{1, 3, 3, 4, 6\}$ and score 17. Then they roll all the dice again and get $\{1, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ which results in no points and two of the dice dying. Rolling the three remaining dice, they might get $\{2, 3, 6\}$ for again no score, and one more dead die. Rolling the remaing two they might get $\{4, 6\}$ which gives them 10 points, bringing the score to 27. They roll the two dice again, and get $\{2, 3\}$ which gives no points and another dead die. Rolling the remaining die, they might get $\{3\}$ which brings the score to 30. Rolling again, they get $\{5\}$ which brings this player's round to an end with 30 points.

Some natural questions to ask are:

(a) What is the expected value of a player's score?

(b) What is the probability of getting a score of 0? 1? 20? etc.

35. **Threes**    In the game of Threes, the player starts by rolling five standard dice. In the game, the threes count as zero, while the other faces count normally. The goal is to get as low a sum as possible. On each roll, at least one die must be kept, and any dice that are kept are added to the player's sum. The game lasts at most five rolls, and the score can be anywhere from 0 to 30.

For example a game might go like this. On the first roll the player rolls

$$2 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 6$$

The player decides to keep the 3s, and so has a score of zero. The other three dice are rolled, and the result is

$$1 - 5 - 5$$

Here the player keeps the 1, so their score is 1, and rerolls the other two dice. The result is

$$1 - 2$$

Here, the player decides to keep both dice, and their final score is 4.

If a player plays optimally (i.e., using a strategy which minimizes the expected value of their score), what is the expected value of their score?

36. Suppose we play a game with a die where we roll and sum our rolls as long as we keep rolling larger values. For instance, we might roll a sequence like 1-3-4 and then roll a 2, so our sum would be 8. If we roll a 6 first, then we're through and our sum is 6. Three questions about this game:

(a) What is the expected value of the sum?

(b) What is the expected value of the number of rolls?

(c) If the game is played with an $n$-sided die, what happens to the expected number of rolls as $n$ approaches infinity?

37. Suppose we play a game with a die in which we use two rolls of the die to create a two digit number. The player rolls the die once and decides which of the two digits they want that roll to represent. Then, the player rolls a second time and this determines the other digit. For instance, the player might roll a 5, and decide this should be the "tens" digit, and then roll a 6, so their resulting number is 56.

What strategy should be used to create the largest number on average? What about the three digit version of the game?

# Chapter 3

# Discussion, Hints, and Solutions

## 3.1 Single Die Problems

1. *On average, how many times must a 6-sided die be rolled until a 6 turns up?*

   This problem is asking for the *expected* number of rolls until a 6 appears. Let $X$ be the random variable representing the number of rolls until a 6 appears. Then the probability that $X = 1$ is $1/6$; the probability that $X = 2$ is $(5/6)(1/6) = 5/36$. In general, the probability that $X = k$ is

   $$\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{6} \tag{3.1}$$

   since, in order for $X$ to be $k$, there must be $k - 1$ rolls which can be any of the numbers 1 through 5, and then a 6, which appears with probabilty $1/6$.

   We seek the expectation of $X$. This is defined to be

   $$E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nP(X = n) \tag{3.2}$$

   where $P(X = n)$ is the probability that $X$ takes on the value $n$. Thus,

   $$E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{6} = \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{n} \tag{3.3}$$

   Using Equation B.3 from Appendix B, we can conclude that

   $$E = \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \frac{5/6}{(1 - (5/6))^2} = 6. \tag{3.4}$$

   Thus, on average, it takes 6 throws of a die before a 6 appears.

   Here's another, quite different way to solve this problem. When rolling a die, there is a $1/6$ chance that a 6 will appear. If a 6 doesn't appear, then we're in essence starting over. That is to say, the number of times we expect to throw the dice before a 6 shows up is the same as the number of additional times we expect to throw the die after throwing a non-6. So we have a $1/6$ chance of rolling a 6 (and

stopping), and a $5/6$ chance of not rolling a six, after which the number of rolls we expect to throw is the same as when we started. We can formulate this as

$$E = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{5}{6}(E+1). \tag{3.5}$$

Solving for $E$, we find $E = 6$. Note that Equation 3.5 implicitly assumes that $E$ is a finite number, which is something that, *a priori*, we do not necessarily know.

2. *On average, how many times must a 6-sided die be rolled until all sides appear at least once? What about for an $n$-sided die?*

To roll until every side of the die appears, we begin by rolling once. We then roll until a different side appears. Since there are 5 sides, this takes, on average, $\frac{1}{5/6} = 6/5$ rolls. Then we roll until a side different from the two already rolled appears. This requires, on average, $\frac{1}{4/6} = 6/4 = 3/2$ rolls. Continuing this process, and using the additive nature of expectation, we see that, on average,

$$1 + \frac{6}{5} + \frac{6}{4} + \frac{6}{3} + \frac{6}{2} + \frac{6}{1} = \frac{147}{10} = 14.7$$

rolls are needed until all 6 sides appear at least once. For an $n$-sided die, the number of rolls needed, on average, is

$$1 + \frac{n}{n-1} + \frac{n}{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{n}{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{i} = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i}.$$

For large $n$, this is approximately $n \log n$.

3. *On average, how many times must a pair of 6-sided dice be rolled until all sides appear at least once?*

We can solve this by treating the rolling of the dice as a *Markov process*. This means that we view our game as being always in one of a number of *states*, with a fixed probability of moving from one state to each other state in one roll of the dice.

We can define our states by the number of sides we have seen appear so far. Thus, we starts in State 0, and we wish to end up in State 6, reaching some, or all, of States 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 along the way.

On the very first roll, we will move from State 0 to either State 1 or State 2. We move to State 1 with probability $\frac{6}{36}$, since this happens exactly if we roll "doubles". Otherwise, we move to State 2, so we move to State 2 from State 0 with probability $\frac{30}{36}$.

Thus, our question can be stated thus: starting in State 0, what is the expected number of rolls until we reach State 6?

We determine the *transition probabilities*, the probability of transitioning from one state to another in one roll. We can create a diagram like this that shows the probability of moving from one state to each other state in one roll:

To solve the problem, we create a *transition matrix* for this process as follows. We let row 1 represent State 6, and then rows 2 through 7 represent States 0 through 5. We renumber like this so that we can use the method described in Appendix D.

For this process, we have

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{36} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{5}{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{5}{9} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{7}{12} & \frac{1}{6} \\
\frac{1}{18} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{9} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{11}{36} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25}{36}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

The matrix $N$ as described in Appendix D is then

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \frac{6}{35} & \frac{57}{56} & \frac{37}{42} & \frac{43}{28} & \frac{461}{154} \\
0 & \frac{36}{35} & \frac{27}{56} & \frac{47}{42} & \frac{41}{28} & \frac{463}{154} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{9}{8} & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{31}{20} & \frac{329}{110} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{7}{5} & \frac{166}{55} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{5} & \frac{162}{55} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{36}{11}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Summing the first row we find the expected number of rolls until all six sides have appeared

$$
= 1 + \frac{6}{35} + \frac{57}{56} + \frac{37}{42} + \frac{43}{28} + \frac{461}{154} = \frac{70219}{9240} = 7.59945887445....
$$

By looking at the first entry of the second row of powers of the matrix $P$, we can find the probability of reaching state 6 in a given number of rolls:

| rolls | probability of reaching this state in exactly this number of rolls | probability of reaching this state on or before this number of rolls |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | $5/324 \approx 0.015432099$ | $5/324 \approx 0.015432099$ |
| 4 | $575/5832 \approx 0.098593964$ | $665/5832 \approx 0.11402606$ |
| 5 | $22085/139968 \approx 0.15778607$ | $38045/139968 \approx 0.27181213$ |
| 6 | $313675/1889568 \approx 0.16600355$ | $1654565/3779136 \approx 0.43781568$ |
| 7 | $78924505/544195584 \approx 0.14502967$ | $317181865/544195584 \approx 0.58284535$ |
| 8 | $376014275/3265173504 \approx 0.11515905$ | $2279105465/3265173504 \approx 0.69800440$ |
| 9 | $61149474755/705277476864 \approx 0.086702719$ | $553436255195/705277476864 \approx 0.78470712$ |
| 10 | $401672322475/6347497291776 \approx 0.063280424$ | $2691299309615/3173748645888 \approx 0.84798754$ |
| 11 | 0.045328994 | 0.89331653 |
| 12 | 0.032098630 | 0.92541516 |
| 13 | 0.022567579 | 0.94798274 |
| 14 | 0.015795289 | 0.96377803 |
| 15 | 0.011023854 | 0.97480189 |
| 16 | 0.0076798753 | 0.98248176 |
| 17 | 0.0053441053 | 0.98782587 |
| 18 | 0.0037160115 | 0.99154188 |
| 19 | 0.0025827093 | 0.99412459 |
| 20 | 0.0017945018 | 0.99591909 |
| 21 | 0.0012466057 | 0.99716570 |
| 22 | 0.00086588683 | 0.99803158 |
| 23 | 0.00060139404 | 0.99863298 |
| 24 | 0.00041767196 | 0.99905065 |

So we see that there is a less than one in a thousand chance that more than 24 rolls would be needed, for instance.

Additional question: What if we roll 3 (or greater number) dice at a time? We can answer that with another six-state markov process; only the transition probabilities would change.

*(Special thanks to Steve Hanes and Gabe for sending me this nice problem.)*

4. *Suppose we roll $n$ dice and keep the highest one. What is the distribution of values?*

Let's find the probability that the highest number rolled is $k$. Among the $n$ dice rolled, they must all show $k$ or less. The probability of this occuring is

$$\frac{k^n}{6^n}.$$

However, some of these rolls don't actually have any $k$'s. That is, they are made up of only the numbers 1 through $k-1$. The probability of this occuring is

$$\frac{(k-1)^n}{6^n}$$

so the probability that the highest number rolled is $k$ is

$$\frac{k^n - (k-1)^n}{6^n}.$$

So, for instance, the probability that, if 7 dice are rolled, the highest number to turn up will be 3 is

$$\frac{3^7 - 2^7}{6^7} = \frac{2059}{6^7} \approx 0.007355.$$

11

5. *Suppose we can roll a 6-sided die up to $n$ times. At any point we can stop, and that roll becomes our "score". Our goal is to get the highest possible score, on average. How should we decide when to stop?*

If $n = 1$, there is no decision to make; on average our score is 7/2.

If $n = 2$, we want to stick if the first roll is greater than 7/2; that is, if it is 4 or greater. Otherwise, we roll again. Thus, with $n = 2$, our average score is

$$\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) 4 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) 5 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) 6 + \left(\frac{3}{6}\right) \frac{7}{2} = \frac{17}{4} = 4.25.$$

If $n = 3$, we want to stick on the first roll if it is greater than 4.25; that is, if it is 5 or 6. Otherwise, we are in the $n = 2$ case. Thus, with $n = 3$, our average score is

$$\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) 5 + \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) 6 + \left(\frac{4}{6}\right) \frac{17}{4} = 4.666....$$

In general, if we let $f(n)$ be the expected value of our score with $n$ rolls left, using $s$-sided dice, we have the recursion

$$f(n) = \frac{\lfloor f(n-1) \rfloor}{s} f(n-1) + \sum_{j=\lfloor f(n-1) \rfloor + 1}^{s} \frac{j}{s}$$

with $f(1) = (s+1)/2$.

We may then calculate, for $s = 6$, the following table:

| $n$ | $f(n)$ |
|---|---|
| 1 | 7/2 = 3.5 |
| 2 | 17/4 = 4.25 |
| 3 | 14/3 = 4.666.. |
| 4 | 89/18 = 4.944... |
| 5 | 277/54 = 5.1296... |
| 10 | 5.6502... |
| 21 | 5.95292... |
| 30 | 5.9908762... |
| 43 | 5.9991472... |

Thus, for a 6-sided die, we can summarize the strategy as follows:

- If there are at least 5 rolls left, stick only on 6.
- If there are 4, 3, or 2 rolls left, stick on 5 or 6.
- If there is only 1 roll left, stick on 4, 5 or 6.

6. *How many dice must be rolled to have at least a 95% chance of rolling a six? 99%? 99.9%?*

Suppose we roll $n$ dice. The probability that none of them turn up six is

$$\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^n$$

and so the probability that at least one is a six is

$$1 - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^n.$$

To have a 95% chance of rolling a six, we need

$$1 - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^n \geq 0.95$$

which yields

$$n \geq \frac{\log 0.05}{\log(5/6)} = 16.43\ldots > 16.$$

Hence, $n \geq 17$ will give at least a 95% chance of rolling at least one six. Since $\log(0.01)/\log(5/6) = 25.2585\ldots$, 26 dice are needed to have a 99% chance of rolling at least one six. Similarly, since $\log(0.001)/\log(5/6) = 37.8877\ldots$, 38 dice are needed for a 99.9% chance.

7. *How many dice must be rolled to have at least a 95% chance of rolling a one and a two? What about a one, a two, and a three? What about a one, a two, a three, a four, a five and a six?*

Solving this problem requires the use of the inclusion-exclusion principal. Of the $6^n$ possible rolls of $n$ dice, $5^n$ have no one's, and $5^n$ have no two's. The number that have neither one's nor two's is *not* $5^n + 5^n$ since this would count some rolls more than once: of those $5^n$ rolls with no one's, some have no two's either. The number that have neither one's nor two's is $4^n$, so the number of rolls that don't have at least one one, and at least one two is

$$5^n + 5^n - 4^n = 2 \cdot 5^n - 4^n$$

and so the probability of rolling a one and a two with $n$ dice is

$$1 - \frac{2 \cdot 5^n - 4^n}{6^n}.$$

This is an increasing function of $n$, and by direct calculation we can show that it's greater than 0.95 for $n \geq 21$. That is, if we roll at least 21 dice, there is at least a 95% chance that there will be a one and a two among the faces that turn up.

To include three's, we need to extend the method. Of the $6^n$ possible rolls, there are $5^n$ rolls that have no one's, $5^n$ that have no two's, and $5^n$ that have no three's. There are $4^n$ that have neither one's nor two's, $4^n$ that have neither one's nor three's, and $4^n$ that have neither two's nor three's. In addition, there are $3^n$ that have no one's, two's, or three's. So, the number of rolls that don't have a one, a two, and a three is

$$5^n + 5^n + 5^n - 4^n - 4^n - 4^n + 3^n = 3 \cdot 5^n - 3 \cdot 4^n + 3^n.$$

Hence, the probability of rolling at least one one, one two, and one three is

$$1 - \frac{3 \cdot 5^n - 3 \cdot 4^n + 3^n}{6^n}.$$

This is again an increasing function of $n$, and it is greater than 0.95 when $n \geq 23$.

Finally, to determine the probability of rolling at least one one, two, three, four, five and six, we extend the method even further. The result is that the probability $p(n)$ of rolling at least one of every possible face is

$$p(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{5} (-1)^{(j+1)} \binom{6}{j} \left(\frac{6-j}{6}\right)^n = 1 - 6\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^n + 15\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n - 20\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + 15\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n - 6\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^n.$$

This exceeds 0.95 when $n \geq 27$. Below is a table showing some of the probabilities for various $n$.

| $n$ | $p(n)$ |
|---|---|
| 6 | 0.0154... |
| 7 | 0.0540... |
| 8 | 0.1140... |
| 9 | 0.1890... |
| 10 | 0.2718... |
| 11 | 0.3562... |
| 12 | 0.4378... |
| 13 | 0.5138... |
| 14 | 0.5828... |
| 15 | 0.6442... |
| 16 | 0.6980... |
| 17 | 0.7446... |
| 18 | 0.7847... |
| 19 | 0.8189... |
| 20 | 0.8479... |
| 21 | 0.8725... |
| 22 | 0.8933... |
| 23 | 0.9107... |
| 24 | 0.9254... |
| 25 | 0.9376... |
| 26 | 0.9479... |
| 27 | 0.9565... |
| 30 | 0.9748... |
| 35 | 0.9898... |
| 40 | 0.9959... |

8. *How many dice should be rolled to maximize the probability of rolling exactly one six? two sixes? $n$ sixes?*

   Suppose we roll $n$ dice. The probability that exactly one is a six is

   $$\frac{\binom{n}{1}5^{n-1}}{6^n} = \frac{n5^{n-1}}{6^n}.$$

   The question is: for what value of $n$ is this maximal? If $n > 6$ then $\frac{(n+1)5^n}{6^{n+1}} < \frac{n5^{n-1}}{6^n}$, so the maximum must occur for some $n \le 6$. Here's a table that gives the probabilities:

   | n | $\dfrac{n5^{n-1}}{6^n}$ |
   |---|---|
   | 1 | 1/6 = 0.1666... |
   | 2 | 5/18 = 0.2777... |
   | 3 | 25/72 = 0.3472... |
   | 4 | 125/324 = 0.3858... |
   | 5 | 3125/7776 = 0.4018... |
   | 6 | 3125/7776 = 0.4018... |

   This shows that the maximum probability is $\dfrac{3125}{7776}$, and it occurs for both $n = 5$ and $n = 6$.

   For two sixes, the calculation is similar. The probability of exactly two sixes when rolling $n$ dice is

   $$\frac{\binom{n}{2}5^{n-2}}{6^n} = \frac{n(n-1)5^{n-2}}{2 \cdot 6^n}.$$

   A quick calculation shows that this is maximal for $n = 12$ or $n = 11$.

   It seems that for $n$ sixes, the maximal probability occurs with $6n$ and $6n - 1$ dice. I'll let you prove that.

9. *Suppose we roll a fair die 100 times. What is the probability of a run of at least 10 sixes?*

   We will consider this problem generally.

   Let $p_n$ be the probability of a run of at least $r$ successes in $n$ throws. Let $\alpha$ be the probability of success on any one throw (so when throwing a single fair die, $\alpha = 1/6$.)

Clearly $p_n = 0$ if $n < r$.

We can determine $p_{n+1}$ in terms of $p_n$ and $p_{n-r}$. There are two ways that a run of $r$ can happen in $n+1$ throws. Either (a) there is a run of $r$ in the first $n$ throws, or (b) there is not, and the final $r$ throws of the $n+1$ are all successes.

The probability of (a) occurring is $p_n$.

To calculate the probability of (b), first note that for (b) to occur, three things have to happen:

(a) There is no run of length $r$ in the first $n - r$ throws; this happens with probability $1 - p_{n-r}$.

(b) On throw number $n - r + 1$, we do not get a success. If we did, then we would have a run of $r$ successes in the first $n$ throws (since the final $r$ throws are all successes). The probability here is $1 - \alpha$.

(c) The final $r$ throws are all successes. The probability of this is $\alpha^r$.

Since these three events are independent, we find that

$$p_{n+1} = p_n + (1 - p_{n-r})(1 - \alpha)\alpha^r.$$

Since $r$ and $\alpha$ are fixed, this is a linear recurrence equation, and we have initial conditions

$$p_0 = p_1 = ... = p_{r-1} = 0, \text{ and } p_r = \alpha^r.$$

If we take $n = r$, we find

$$p_{r+1} = p_r + (1 - p_0)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^r = \alpha^r + (1 - \alpha)\alpha^r = \alpha^r(2 - \alpha).$$

and then

$$p_{r+2} = p_{r+1} + (1 - p_1)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^r = \alpha^r(3 - 2\alpha).$$

Similarly, if $r > 2$ then

$$p_{r+3} = p_{r+2} + (1 - p_2)(1 - \alpha)\alpha^r = \alpha^r(3 - 2\alpha) + (1 - \alpha)\alpha^r = \alpha^r(4 - 3\alpha).$$

So, for instance, the probability of a run of at least 3 sixes when a die is thrown 5 times is (with $r = 3$ and $\alpha = 1/6$)

$$p_5 = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 \left(3 - \frac{2}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{81}$$

and if the die is thrown 6 times the probability is

$$p_6 = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3 \left(4 - \frac{3}{6}\right) = \frac{7}{432} = \frac{1}{61.714...}.$$

With this recurrence equation, we can calculate an expression for $p_{r+3}, p_{r+4}$, etc.

To answer the question "what is the probability of a run of 10 sixes in 100 throws of a fair die?" we wish to calculate $p_{100}$ with $\alpha = 1/6$ and $r = 10$. Using a free computer algebra system (like

PARI/GP), we can determine that, with $r = 10$ and $\alpha = 1/6$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{100} = & -10\alpha^{99} + 135\alpha^{98} - 720\alpha^{97} + 2100\alpha^{96} - 3780\alpha^{95} + 4410\alpha^{94} - 3360\alpha^{93} + 1620\alpha^{92} - 450\alpha^{91} \\
& + 55\alpha^{90} - 125970\alpha^{88} + 1085280\alpha^{87} - 4069800\alpha^{86} + 8682240\alpha^{85} - 11531100\alpha^{84} + 9767520\alpha^{83} \\
& - 5155080\alpha^{82} + 1550400\alpha^{81} - 203490\alpha^{80} - 2035800\alpha^{77} + 14844375\alpha^{76} - 46314450\alpha^{75} \\
& + 80159625\alpha^{74} - 83128500\alpha^{73} + 51658425\alpha^{72} - 17813250\alpha^{71} + 2629575\alpha^{70} - 3838380\alpha^{66} \\
& + 23688288\alpha^{65} - 60865740\alpha^{64} + 83347680\alpha^{63} - 64155780\alpha^{62} + 26320320\alpha^{61} - 4496388\alpha^{60} \\
& - 2118760\alpha^{55} + 10824100\alpha^{54} - 22108800\alpha^{53} + 22569400\alpha^{52} - 11515000\alpha^{51} + 2349060\alpha^{50} \\
& - 487635\alpha^{44} + 1984760\alpha^{43} - 3028470\alpha^{42} + 2053200\alpha^{41} - 521855\alpha^{40} - 54740\alpha^{33} + 166635\alpha^{32} \\
& - 169050\alpha^{31} + 57155\alpha^{30} - 3160\alpha^{22} + 6400\alpha^{21} - 3240\alpha^{20} - 90\alpha^{11} + 91\alpha^{10} \\
= & \frac{2138428277869029245997109282919411017852189744280011307296262359092389}{170135058203143465129346423739077557431547841268998664464341657908723213 9264} \\
= & 0.00000125690042984... = \frac{1}{795607.97...}.
\end{aligned}
$$

10. *Suppose we roll a fair die until some face has appeared twice. For instance, we might have a run of rolls 12545 or 636. How many rolls on average would we make? What if we roll until a face has appeared three times?*

   For the first part of the question, we can enumerate easily the possibilities. Let $X$ be the number of rolls made until a face has appeared twice. We would like to know $P(X = x)$ for $2 \le x \le 7$.

   In the $X = 2$ case, our run of rolls must have the form $AA$, where $1 \le A \le 6$. So there are 6 such runs, out of $6^2$ possible. Hence,
   $$P(X = 2) = \frac{6}{6^2} = \frac{1}{6}.$$
   In the $X = 3$ case, our run of rolls must have the form $ABA$ or $BAA$, and so
   $$P(X = 3) = 2\frac{6 \cdot 5}{6^3} = \frac{5}{18}.$$
   In the $X = 4$ case, our run of rolls must have the form $ABCA$, $BACA$, or $BCAA$, and so
   $$P(X = 4) = 3\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4}{6^4} = \frac{5}{18}.$$
   Similarly, we have
   $$
   \begin{aligned}
   P(X = 5) &= 4\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3}{6^5} = \frac{5}{27} \\
   P(X = 6) &= 5\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{6^6} = \frac{25}{324} \\
   P(X = 7) &= 6\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{6^7} = \frac{5}{324}
   \end{aligned}
   $$

   Thus we see that $X = 3$ and $X = 4$ are tied as the most likely, and the expected number of rolls is
   $$\sum_{i=2}^{7} iP(X = i) = \frac{1223}{324} = 3.7746913580246....$$

When rolling until a face appears three times, things are a little more complex. For fun, I thought of treating this as a Markov chain. The number of states is quite large: as we roll, we keep track of the number of 1's, 2's, etc. that have been rolled. Hence there will be $3^6 = 729$ states to consider, plus the absorbing state, for a total of 730 states. We can map the number of appearances of each face to a state by a function as follows. Suppose the number of appearances of face $i$ is $a_i$. Then we can number the current state as

$$S = 1 + a_1 + 3a_2 + 3^2 a_3 + 3^3 a_4 + 3^4 a_5 + 3^5 a_6$$

Then, we create a transition matrix to express the probability of going from state $S$ to state $T$, for all possible states. Here is some GP/PARI code which does this:

```
\\ define a function to map the vector of face counts to a state number
state(a,b,c,d,e,f)=1+a+3*b+9*c+27*d+81*e+243*f;\
\\ initialize a matrix for the transition probabilities
A=matrix(730,730);\
\\ generate the probabilities and put them in the matrix
for(a1=0,2,for(a2=0,2,for(a3=0,2,for(a4=0,2,for(a5=0,2,for(a6=0,2,\
print(a1);\
\\ v is the vector of counts
v=vector(6);v[1]=a1;v[2]=a2;v[3]=a3;v[4]=a4;v[5]=a5;v[6]=a6;\
\\ s is the state
s=state(a1,a2,a3,a4,a5,a6);\
\\ look at how many face counts are equal to 2,
\\ since there is a 1/6 chance for each
\\ such face that we'll go to the absorbing state from here
c=0;for(i=1,6,if(v[i]==2,c=c+1));\
print(c);\
\\ create a new vector w of the counts,
\\ then increase each face (with count<2) by one,
\\ and see where we go, give 1/6 probability of going to that state
w=vector(6);for(i=1,6,w[i]=v[i]);\
A[s+1,0+1]=c/6;\
for(i=1,6,for(j=1,6,w[j]=v[j]);\
if(w[i]<2,w[i]=w[i]+1;ss=state(w[1],w[2],w[3],w[4],w[5],w[6]);\
A[s+1,ss+1]=1/6;\
))\
))))))
```

Once we have the transition matrix $A$, we can calculate $A^n$ for $n = 1, ..., 13$ and determine the probabilities of ending in exactly $n$ rolls:

| $n$ | $P(X = n)$ | $P(X \leq n)$ |
|-----|------------|---------------|
| 1 | $0$ | $0$ |
| 2 | $0$ | $0$ |
| 3 | $\frac{1}{36} = 0.02\bar{7}$ | $\frac{1}{36} = 0.02\bar{7}$ |
| 4 | $\frac{5}{72} = 0.069\bar{4}$ | $\frac{7}{72} = 0.97\bar{2}$ |
| 5 | $\frac{25}{216} = 0.115740\bar{7}$ | $\frac{23}{108} = 0.212962...$ |
| 6 | $\frac{25}{162} = 0.154320...$ | $\frac{119}{324} = 0.367283...$ |
| 7 | $\frac{25}{144} = 0.1736\bar{1}$ | $\frac{701}{1296} = 0.540895...$ |
| 8 | $\frac{1295}{7776} = 0.166538...$ | $\frac{5501}{7776} = 0.707433...$ |
| 9 | $\frac{175}{1296} = 0.135030...$ | $\frac{6551}{7776} = 0.842463...$ |
| 10 | $\frac{175}{1944} = 0.0900205...$ | $\frac{2417}{2592} = 0.932484...$ |
| 11 | $\frac{4375}{93312} = 0.0468857...$ | $\frac{91387}{93312} = 0.979370...$ |
| 12 | $\frac{9625}{559872} = 0.0171914...$ | $\frac{557947}{559872} = 0.996561...$ |
| 13 | $\frac{1925}{559872} = 0.00343828...$ | $1$ |

We find the expected number of rolls to be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{13} iP(X = i) = \frac{4084571}{559872} = 7.2955443387059899....$$

Additional questions: what if we roll until a face appears 4 times, or 5 times, etc?

11. *Suppose we roll a fair die 10 times. What is the probability that the sequence of rolls is non-decreasing (i.e., the next roll is never less than the current roll)?*

For example, the sequence $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence.

The total number of possible roll sequences is $6^{1}0$. How many of these are non-decreasing?

An excellent observation is that every non-decreasing sequence is equivalent to a "histogram" or vector which gives the number of times each face appears.

For example, the sequence $\{1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6\}$ is equivalent to the vector $\langle 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1 \rangle$. By equivalent, I mean that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sequences and vectors. So, counting one is equivalent to counting the other.

Thus, we wish to count how many ways can 10 indistinguishable things be placed into 6 bins, where we allow for zero items to be placed in some bins.

To count *that*, we observe that this is equivalent to the number of ways to place 16 indistinguishable things into 6 bins, where each bin must contain at least one item. Subtracting one from each bin will give us a vector of the previous sort.

To count this, we can use the stars-and-bars method. Putting 16 things into 6 bins is equivalent to putting 5 bars among 16 stars, such that there is at most one bar between any two stars. For instance, this choice of bars:

$$* * *\big| * * * * * *\big| * *\big| *\big| * * * *\big|*$$

18

represents the vector $\langle 3, 5, 2, 1, 4, 1 \rangle$ which, if we subtract one from each component yields the vector $\langle 2, 4, 1, 0, 3, 0 \rangle$ which corresponds to the rolled sequence $1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 5, 5, 5$.

Since there are 16 stars, there are 15 places for bars, and hence the number of such sequences is

$$\binom{15}{5} = 3003$$

Thus, the probability of rolling such a sequence is a very low

$$\frac{3003}{6^{10}} = \frac{1001}{20155392} = 0.0000496641295788... = \frac{1}{20135.25674...}$$

Generally, for a sequence of $n$ rolls, the probability is

$$p_n = \frac{\binom{n+6-1}{5}}{6^n}$$

Here is a table of some values

| n | $p_n$ |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $\frac{7}{12} = 0.58\bar{3}$ |
| 3 | $\frac{7}{27} = 0.\overline{259}$ |
| 4 | $\frac{7}{72} = 0.097\bar{2}$ |
| 5 | $\frac{7}{216} = 0.032\overline{407}$ |
| 6 | $\frac{77}{7776} = 0.00990226...$ |
| 7 | $\frac{11}{3888} = 0.00282921...$ |
| 8 | $\frac{143}{186624} = 0.000766246...$ |
| 9 | $\frac{1001}{5038848} = 0.000198656...$ |
| 10 | $\frac{1001}{20155293} = 0.0000496641...$ |

The value of $p_{12}$ is greater than one-in-a-million, but $p_{13}$ is less.

12. *Suppose a pair of dice are thrown, and then thrown again. What is the probability that the faces appearing on the second throw are the same as the first?*

    *What if three dice are used? Or six?*

    We may consider two cases. If two dice are thrown, the result will either be two different faces, or the same face twice. We may notate these two cases as "AB" and "AA" (this will be useful later). The probability that two different faces will appear is

$$\frac{6 \cdot 5}{6^2} = \frac{5}{6}$$

and the probability that the second throw will be the same as the first in this case is

$$\frac{2}{6^2}.$$

Thus, the probability that the second roll will repeat the first in this way is

$$\frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 2}{6^4} = \frac{5}{108}.$$

The other possibility of of rolling doubles. This case gives a probability of

$$\left(\frac{6}{6^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6^2}\right) = \frac{6}{6^4} = \frac{1}{216}$$

of occurring. Adding together, we find the probability of the second throw being identical to the first is

$$\frac{5}{108} + \frac{1}{216} = \frac{11}{216} = 0.0509259....$$

If we throw three dice, there are more cases to consider. These cases may be expressed as AAA, AAB, and ABC. (For example, throwing $\{1, 3, 3\}$ would be an example of the AAB case, while $\{2, 4, 5\}$ would be an example of the ABC case.) The probability of repeating via each case is as follows:

AAA $\quad \left(\frac{\binom{6}{1}}{6^3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6^3}\right) = \frac{6}{6^6}$

AAB $\quad \left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{3}{1}}{6^3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{6^3}\right) = \frac{135}{6^6}$

ABC $\quad \left(\frac{\binom{6}{3}\cdot 3!}{6^3}\right)\left(\frac{3!}{6^3}\right) = \frac{720}{6^6}$

The first factor in each case is the probability of rolling that case, and the second is the probability of rolling the same set of faces a second time.

Adding these, we see that the probability of repeating with three dice is

$$\frac{861}{6^6} = \frac{287}{15552} = 0.0184542....$$

For six dice, the problem is similar, just with more cases. Here is the calculation:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| AAAAAA | $\left(\frac{6}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{6}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAAAAB | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot\binom{6}{1}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{6}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{1080}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAAABB | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot\binom{6}{2}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{6750}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAAABC | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot(6\cdot5)}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{54000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAABBB | $\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{6}{3}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{3}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{6000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAABBC | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot\binom{6}{2}\cdot\binom{4}{1}}{6^6}\right)\left(\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{1}\right)$ | $= \frac{432000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AAABCD | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot3\cdot\binom{6}{3}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{864000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AABBCC | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}}{3!}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{162000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AABBCD | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot3\cdot\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{1}}{2\cdot2}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{1}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{2916000}{6^{12}}$ |
| AABCDE | $\left(\frac{6\cdot5\cdot4\cdot3\cdot2\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{1}\binom{3}{1}\binom{2}{1}}{4!}}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{1}\binom{3}{1}\binom{2}{1}}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{3888000}{6^{12}}$ |
| ABCDEF | $\left(\frac{6!}{6^6}\right)\left(\frac{6!}{6^6}\right)$ | $= \frac{518400}{6^{12}}$ |

(For example, rolling $\{1, 2, 3, 3, 5, 5\}$ would be an example of the AABBCD case.)

The first factor in each case is the probability of rolling that case, and the second is the probability of rolling the same set of faces a second time.

Adding the probabilities for all cases gives a total probability of

$$\frac{8848236}{6^{1}2} = \frac{737353}{181398528} = 0.004064823502...$$

13. *A single die is rolled until a run of six different faces appears. For example, one might roll the sequence 535463261536435344151612534 with only the last six rolls all distinct. What is the expected number of rolls?*

We may solve this by creating a set of linear recurrence equations. Let $E_i$ be the expected number of rolls from a point where the last $i$ rolls were distinct. We seek $E_0$. We have then

$$E_0 = 1 + E_1 \tag{3.6}$$

$$E_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{5}{6}E_2 \tag{3.7}$$

$$E_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{4}{6}E_3 \tag{3.8}$$

$$E_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{3}{6}E_4 \tag{3.9}$$

$$E_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4 + \frac{2}{6}E_5 \tag{3.10}$$

$$E_5 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4 + \frac{1}{6}E_5 + \frac{1}{6}E_6 \tag{3.11}$$

$$E_6 = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

The last zero rolls are distinct only before the rolls have started, so $E_0 = 1 + E_1$ since there must be a roll, and that takes us to the state where the last 1 roll is distinct. Then another roll occurs; at this point, with probability 1/6 the roll is the same as the last roll, and so we remain in the same state, or, with probability 5/6, a different face appears, and then the last two rolls are distinct. The pattern continues this way.

Thus we have a system of seven linear equations in seven unknowns, which is solvable via many methods. The result is

$$E_0 = \frac{416}{5} = 83.2$$
$$E_1 = \frac{411}{5} = 82.2$$
$$E_2 = 81$$
$$E_3 = \frac{396}{5} = 79.2$$
$$E_4 = \frac{378}{5} = 75.6$$
$$E_5 = \frac{324}{5} = 64.8$$

Thus, on average, it will take 83.2 rolls before getting a run of six distinct faces.

14. *What is the most probable: rolling at least one six with six dice, at least two sixes with twelve dice, or at least three sixes with eighteen dice? (This is an old problem, frequently connected with Isaac Newton.)*

One way to solve this is to simply calculate the probability of each. The probability of rolling exactly $m$ sixes when rolling $r$ six-sided dice is

$$\binom{r}{m} \frac{5^{r-m}}{6^r}$$

so the probability of rolling at least $m$ sixes when rolling $r$ six-sided dice is

$$p(m,r) = \sum_{i=m}^{r} \binom{r}{i} \frac{5^{r-i}}{6^r}.$$

Grinding through the calculations yields

$$p(1,6) = \frac{31031}{46656} \approx 0.66510202331961591221$$

$$p(2,12) = \frac{1346704211}{2176782336} \approx 0.61866737373230871348$$

$$p(3,18) = \frac{15166600495229}{25389989167104} \approx 0.59734568594772319497$$

so that we see that the six dice case is the clear winner.

15. *Suppose we roll $n$ dice, remove all the dice that come up 1, and roll the rest again. If we repeat this process, eventually all the dice will be eliminated. How many rolls, on average, will we make? Show, for instance, that on average fewer than $O(\log n)$ throws occur.*

We expect that, on average, 5/6 of the dice will be left after each throw. So, after $k$ throws, we expect to have $n\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^k$ dice left. When this is less than 2, we have, on average less than 6 throws left, so the number of throws should be, on average, something less than a constant time $\log n$.

Let $M_n$ be the expected number of throws until all dice are eliminated. Then, thinking in terms of a Markov chain, we have the recurrence formula

$$M_n = \frac{1}{6^n} + \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^n (1 + M_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(1 + M_j)\binom{n}{n-j}\frac{5^j}{6^n}$$

which allows us to solve for $M_n$:

$$M_n = \frac{1 + 5^n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(1 + M_j)\binom{n}{n-j}5^j}{6^n - 5^n}$$

Here are a few values of $M_n$.

| n | $M_n$ |
|---|---|
| 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 8.72727272727273 |
| 3 | 10.5554445554446 |
| 4 | 11.9266962545651 |
| 5 | 13.0236615075553 |
| 6 | 13.9377966973204 |
| 7 | 14.7213415962620 |
| 8 | 15.4069434778816 |
| 9 | 16.0163673664838 |
| 10 | 16.5648488612594 |
| 15 | 18.6998719821123 |
| 20 | 20.2329362496041 |
| 30 | 22.4117651317294 |
| 40 | 23.9670168145374 |
| 50 | 25.1773086926527 |

We see that $M_n$ increases quite slowly, another suggestion that $M_n = O(\log n)$. To show this, suppose $M_j < C \log j$ for all $2 \le j < n$. Then we have

$$M_n < \frac{1 + 5^n + \max\{1 + 6, 1 + C\log(n-1)\}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{n-j}5^j}{6^n - 5^n}$$

$$= \frac{1 + 5^n + C\log(n-1)(6^n - 5^n - 1)}{6^n - 5^n} = C\left(1 - \frac{1}{6^n - 5^n}\right)\log(n-1) + \frac{1 + 5^n}{6^n - 5^n} < C\log n$$

if and only if

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{6^n - 5^n}\right)\frac{\log(n-1)}{\log n} + \frac{1 + 5^n}{C\log n(6^n - 5^n)} < 1$$

Since $M_2/\log 2 < 13$, we may suppose $C = 13$. It is not hard to show the above inequality holds for all $n$, and hence $M_n < 13\log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

## 3.2 Dice Sums

16. *Show that the probability of rolling 14 is the same whether we throw 3 dice or 5 dice.*

    This seems like a tedious calculation, and it is. To save some trouble, we can use a computer algebra system to determine the coefficient of $x^{14}$ in the polynomials $(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6)^3$ and $(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6)^5$ (see Appendix C for an explanation of this method). They are 15 and 540, respectively, and so the probability in question is $\frac{15}{6^3} = \frac{540}{6^5} = \frac{5}{72}$.

    *Are there other examples of this phenomenon?*

    Yes. Let $p_d(t, n)$ be the probability of rolling a sum of $t$ with $n$ $d-$sided dice. Then:

    - $p_3(5, 2) = p_3(5, 3) = \frac{2}{9}$

    - $p_3(10, 4) = p_3(10, 6) = \frac{10}{81}$

    - $p_4(9, 3) = p_4(9, 4) = \frac{5}{32}$

    - $p_6(14, 3) = p_6(14, 5) = \frac{5}{72}$

    - $p_9(15, 2) = p_9(15, 4) = \frac{4}{81}$

    - $p_{20}(27, 2) = p_{20}(27, 3) = \frac{7}{200}$

    **Questions:** Are there others? Can we find *all* of them?

17. *Four fair, 6-sided dice are rolled. The highest three are summed. What is the distribution of the sum?*

    This is a quick calculation with a tiny bit of coding. In PARI/GP, the computation looks like this:

```
gp > A=vector(20);
gp > for(i=1,6,for(j=1,6,for(k=1,6,for(m=1,6,
            s=i+j+k+m-min(min(i,j),min(k,m));A[s]=A[s]+1))))
gp > A
[0, 0, 1, 4, 10, 21, 38, 62, 91, 122, 148, 167, 172, 160, 131, 94, 54, 21, 0, 0]
```

    (The funny min(min(i,j),min(k,m)) bit is there because the default min function only work with two values, and we want the minimum of $i, j, k$ and $m$.)

    If we define $A(n)$ to be the number of rolls out of $6^4$ which yield a sum of $n$, we have the following table:

| $n$ | A(n) | probability |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 1 | $1/1296 \approx 0.00077$ |
| 4 | 4 | $1/324 \approx 0.00308$ |
| 5 | 10 | $5/648 \approx 0.00771$ |
| 6 | 21 | $7/432 \approx 0.01620$ |
| 7 | 38 | $19/648 \approx 0.02932$ |
| 8 | 62 | $31/648 \approx 0.04783$ |
| 9 | 91 | $91/1296 \approx 0.07021$ |
| 10 | 122 | $61/648 \approx 0.09413$ |
| 11 | 148 | $37/324 \approx 0.11419$ |
| 12 | 167 | $167/1296 \approx 0.12885$ |
| 13 | 172 | $43/324 \approx 0.13271$ |
| 14 | 160 | $10/81 \approx 0.12345$ |
| 15 | 131 | $131/1296 \approx 0.10108$ |
| 16 | 94 | $47/648 \approx 0.07253$ |
| 17 | 54 | $1/24 \approx 0.04166$ |
| 18 | 21 | $7/432 \approx 0.01620$ |

How does this compare to the distribution of the sums of three dice?

We see the most likely roll is 13, compared to a tie for 10 and 11 with a simple roll of three dice.

The mean roll here is $\dfrac{1}{6^4} \sum\limits_{i=3}^{18} i A(i) = \dfrac{15869}{1296} \approx 12.2445987654...$ compared to a mean of 10.5 for a simple roll of three dice.

Here is a histogram comparing the distribution of sums for the "roll four, drop one" and the simple roll three methods.

18. *Suppose we roll $n$ dice and sum the highest 3. What is the probability that the sum is 18?*

In order for the sum to be 18, there must be at least three 6's among the $n$ dice. So, we could calculate probability that there are 3,4,5,...,$n$ 6's among the $n$ dice. The sum of these probabilities would be the probability of rolling 18. Since $n$ could be much greater than 3, an easier way to solve this problem is to calculate the probability that the sum is *not* 18, and then subtract this probability from 1. To get a sum that is not 18, there must be 0, 1 or 2 6's among the $n$ dice. We calculate the probability of each occurence:

zero 6's: the probability is $\dfrac{5^n}{6^n}$

one 6:    the probability is $\dfrac{n5^{n-1}}{6^n}$

two 6's:  the probability is $\dfrac{\binom{n}{2}5^{n-2}}{6^n}$

Hence, the probability of rolling a sum of 18 is

$$1 - \left( \frac{5^n}{6^n} + \frac{n5^{n-1}}{6^n} + \frac{\binom{n}{2}5^{n-2}}{6^n} \right) = 1 - \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^n \left( 1 + \frac{9}{50}n + \frac{1}{50}n^2 \right) = p(n)$$

say. Then, for example, $p(1) = p(2) = 0$, $p(3) = 1/216$, $p(4) = 7/432$, and $p(5) = 23/648$.

19. *A fair, $n$-sided die is rolled until a roll of $k$ or greater appears. All rolls are summed. What is the expected value of the sum?*

The probability that any roll is greater than or equal to $k$ is

$$\frac{n+1-k}{n}$$

26

so the expected number of rolls until a roll of $k$ or greater is

$$\frac{n}{n+1-k}.$$

All but the last one of these rolls is less than $k$, so the expected value of the sum of these rolls is

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1-k}-1\right)\frac{1+(k-1)}{2}.$$

We add to this the expected value of the final roll

$$\frac{k+n}{2}$$

and so the expectation of the entire sum is

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1-k}-1\right)\frac{1+(k-1)}{2}+\frac{k+n}{2}=\frac{n^2+n}{2n-2k+2}$$

We can also argue as follows. Let $E$ be the expected value of the sum. One the first roll, the sum is either less than $k$ or it is $k$ or greater. If it is less, then we can express $E$ like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E &= \frac{k-1}{n}(\ \{\text{expected value of roll} < k\}\ +E)+\frac{n+1-k}{n}(\ \text{expected value of roll} \geq k) \\
&= \frac{k-1}{n}\left(\frac{k}{2}+E\right)+\frac{n+1-k}{n}\left(\frac{k+n}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we have

$$2n(1-\frac{k-1}{n})E = k(k-1)+(n+1-k)(k+n)=n^2+n$$

from which we find

$$E=\frac{n^2+n}{2n-2k+2}.$$

More explicitly, and without the assumption of the uniform distribution of the dice values, we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}i+E\right)+\sum_{i=k}^{n}\frac{1}{n}i \\
&= \frac{k-1}{n}\left(\frac{k}{2}+E\right)+\frac{n+1-k}{n}\left(\frac{k+n}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the rest follows as above.

20. *A die is rolled repeatedly and summed. Show that the expected number of rolls until the sum is a multiple of $n$ is $n$.*

We can treat this with a few cases. We will use the fact that the expected number of additional rolls until the sum is a multiple of $n$ depends only on the residue class of the sum modulo $n$ (e.g., the expected number of additional rolls until the sum is a multiple of 4 is the same whether the sum is 3, 7, 11, or any other value congruent to 3 modulo 4).

Let $n = 2$. Then the expected number of rolls, $E$ until the sum is a multiple of $n$ is

$$E = 1 + \frac{1}{2}E_1$$

and

$$E_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}E_1$$

where $E_1$ is the expected number of additional rolls from an odd sum (i.e., a sum congruent to 1 mod 2). These equations arise from the fact that half of the values $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ are even and half are odd, so there is a one-half chance of the first roll ending in an odd sum, and from there, a one-half chance of staying with an odd sum. These two equations easily lead to $E = 2$.

Let $n = 3$, and let $E$ be the expected number of rolls until the sum is a multiple of three, and $E_1$ and $E_2$ be the expected number of additional rolls from a sum congruent to 1 or 2 mod 3. Then we have

$$E = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2$$
$$E_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2$$
$$E_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2$$

As in the $n = 2$ case, the fact that $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ are uniformly distributed mod 3 results in three identical expressions, and so we find $E = E_1 = E_2 = 3$.

The $n = 4$ case is a little different, since $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ is not uniformly distributed modulo 4. As a result, our equations, following the scheme above, are

$$E = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3$$
$$E_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2 + \frac{1}{3}E_3$$
$$E_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{3}E_3$$
$$E_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3$$

Solving this system, we find $E_1 = \frac{98}{25}$, $E_2 = \frac{84}{25}$, $E_3 = \frac{86}{25}$, and $E = 4$.

The $n = 5$ case is similar. We have

$$E = 1 + \frac{1}{3}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4$$
$$E_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{3}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4$$
$$E_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{3}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4$$
$$E_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{3}E_4$$
$$E_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{6}E_1 + \frac{1}{6}E_2 + \frac{1}{6}E_3 + \frac{1}{6}E_4$$

which yields $E_1 = \frac{1554}{311}$, $E_2 = \frac{1548}{311}$, $E_3 = \frac{1512}{311}$, $E_4 = \frac{1296}{311}$ and $E = 5$.

For $n = 6$, we can conclude more easily. Since $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ is uniformly distibuted modulo 6, we have $E = E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = E_4 = E_5$ and so

$$E = 1 + \frac{5}{6}E$$

and thus $E = 6$.

For larger $n$, I will conclude more coarsely, as I have not yet written a solid proof. Due to the uniform distribution of the die's values, with $n > 6$, we have, approximately,

- a 2/7 chance of hitting any particular multiple of $n$, and

- an expected $\frac{n}{7/2}$ rolls are needed between each multiple of $n$, since the sum increases on average by 7/2 each roll.

Thus, we expect to need, on average, to pass 7/2 multiples of $n$, and in between each, an expected $\frac{n}{7/2}$ rolls, and so the expected number of rolls is

$$\frac{n}{7/2} \cdot 7/2 = n$$

rolls.

A curious feature of this is that a uniform distribution of dice values is actually not necessary to have $n$ rolls be the expected value. A variety of other kinds of die distributions (appear to) yield $n$ also. So a question is: what are necessary conditions on the values of a die so that $n$ is the expected number of rolls until the sum is a multiple of $n$?

21. *A die is rolled once; call the result $N$. Then $N$ dice are rolled once and summed. What is the distribution of the sum? What is the expected value of the sum? What is the most likely value?*

Since each of the possible values $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ of $N$ are equally likely, we can calculate the distribution by summing the individual distributions of the sum of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 dice, each weighted by $\frac{1}{6}$. We can do this using polynomials. Let

$$p = \frac{1}{6}(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6).$$

Then the distribution of the sum is given by the coefficients of the polynomial

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{1}{6}p^i$$

$$= \frac{1}{279936}x^{36} + \frac{1}{46656}x^{35} + \frac{7}{93312}x^{34} + \frac{7}{34992}x^{33} + \frac{7}{15552}x^{32} + \frac{7}{7776}x^{31} + \frac{77}{46656}x^{30} +$$

$$\frac{131}{46656}x^{29} + \frac{139}{31104}x^{28} + \frac{469}{69984}x^{27} + \frac{889}{93312}x^{26} + \frac{301}{23328}x^{25} + \frac{4697}{279936}x^{24} + \frac{245}{11664}x^{23} +$$

$$\frac{263}{10368}x^{22} + \frac{691}{23328}x^{21} + \frac{1043}{31104}x^{20} + \frac{287}{7776}x^{19} + \frac{11207}{279936}x^{18} + \frac{497}{11664}x^{17} + \frac{4151}{93312}x^{16} +$$

$$\frac{3193}{69984}x^{15} + \frac{1433}{31104}x^{14} + \frac{119}{2592}x^{13} + \frac{749}{15552}x^{12} + \frac{2275}{46656}x^{11} + \frac{749}{15552}x^{10} + \frac{3269}{69984}x^{9} +$$

$$\frac{4169}{93312}x^{8} + \frac{493}{11664}x^{7} + \frac{16807}{279936}x^{6} + \frac{2401}{46656}x^{5} + \frac{343}{7776}x^{4} + \frac{49}{1296}x^{3} + \frac{7}{216}x^{2} + \frac{1}{36}x.$$

To get the expected value $E$, we must calculate

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{36} i d_i$$

where $D = \sum_{i=1}^{36} d_i x^i$. This works out to $E = \dfrac{49}{4} = 12.25$.

More simply, one can calculate the expected value of the sum as follows, using the fact that the expected value of a single roll is 3.5:

$$E = \frac{1}{6}\left(3.5 + 2 \times 3.5 + 3 \times 3.5 + \cdots + 6 \times 3.5\right) = 12.25.$$



You can see from the plot of the coefficients of $D$ that 6 is the most likely value. It is perhaps a bit surprising that there are three "local maxima" in the plot, at $i = 6, 11$, and 14.

22. *A die is rolled and summed repeatedly. What is the probability that the sum will ever be a given value x?*

Let's start by considering 2-sided dice, with sides numbered 1 and 2. Let $p(x)$ be the probability that the sum will ever be $x$. Then $p(1) = 1/2$ since the only way to ever have a sum of 1 is to roll 1 on the first roll. We then have $p(2) = 1/2 + 1/2 p(1) = 3/4$, since there are two mutually exclusive ways to get a sum of 2: roll 2 on the first roll, or roll a 1 followed by a 1 on the second roll. Now, extending this idea, we have, for $x > 2$,

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2}p(x-1) + \frac{1}{2}p(x-2). \tag{3.13}$$

This equation could be used to calculate $p(x)$ for any given value of $x$. However, this would require calculating $p$ for all lower values. Can we get an *explicit* expression for $p(x)$?

Equation 3.13 is an example of a *linear recurrence relation*. One way to get a solution, or explicit formula, for such a relation is by examining the *auxiliary* equation for equation 3.13:

$$x^2 = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$$

or
$$x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

The roots of this equation are
$$\alpha = 1 \text{ and } \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$$

A powerful theorem (see Appendix E) says that
$$p(n) = A\alpha^n + B\beta^n = A + B\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

for constants $A$ and $B$. Since $p(1) = 1/2$ and $p(2) = 3/4$ we can solve for $A$ and $B$ to find that
$$p(n) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n.$$

For 3-sided dice, we have
$$p(1) = \frac{1}{3}, p(2) = \frac{4}{9}, \text{ and } p(3) = \frac{16}{27}$$

with, for $n > 3$,
$$p(n) = \frac{1}{3}\left(p(n-1) + p(n-2) + p(n-3)\right) = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} p(n-i).$$

The characteristic equation for this recurrence equation can be written
$$3x^3 - x^2 - x - 1 = 0$$

which has roots
$$\alpha = 1, \beta = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}i, \text{ and } \gamma = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}i.$$

Using these, and the fact that
$$p(1) = \frac{1}{3}, p(2) = \frac{4}{9}, \text{ and } p(3) = \frac{16}{27},$$

we find
$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\beta^n + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^n.$$

Since $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are complex conjugates, and, in any case, $p(n)$ is always real, we might prefer to write $p(n)$ like this:
$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^n \cos\left(n\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \tan^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)$$

Using this formula to generate a table, we see that while $p(n)$ is asymptotic to the value 1/2, it wobbles quite a bit:

| $x$ | $p(x)$ | $p(x) - p(x-1)$ |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.333333333333333333333333333333 | |
| 2 | 0.444444444444444444444444444444 | 0.111111111111111111111111111111 |
| 3 | 0.592592592592592592592592592925 | 0.148148148148148148148148148148 |
| 4 | 0.456790123456790123456790123456 | -0.135802469135802469135802469135 |
| 5 | 0.497942386831275720164609053457 | 0.041152263374485596707818930021 |
| 6 | 0.515775034293552812071330589820 | 0.017832647462277091906721536363 |
| 7 | 0.490169181527206218564243255656 | -0.025605852766346593507087334244 |
| 8 | 0.501295534217344916933394299601 | 0.011126352690138698369151044040 |
| 9 | 0.502413250012701315856322715000 | 0.001117715795356398922928415384 |
| 10 | 0.497959321919084150451320090110 | -0.004453928093617165405002624938 |
| 11 | 0.500556035383043461080345701500 | 0.002596713463959310629025611496 |
| 12 | 0.500309535771609642462662835500 | -0.000246499611433818617682866018 |
| 13 | 0.499608297691245751331442875700 | -0.000701238080363891131219959820 |
| 14 | 0.500157956281966284958150470900 | 0.000549658590720533626707595219 |
| 15 | 0.500025263248273892917418727400 | -0.000132693033692392040731743539 |
| 16 | 0.499930505740495309735670691300 | -0.000094757507778583181748036046 |
| 17 | 0.500037908423578495870413296600 | 0.000107402683083186134742605210 |
| 18 | 0.499997892470782566174500905100 | -0.000040015952795929695912391458 |
| 19 | 0.499988768878285457260194964300 | -0.000009123592497108914305940764 |
| 20 | 0.500008189924215506435036388700 | 0.000019421045930049174841424329 |

Let's skip over 4- and 5-sided dice to deal with 6-sided dice. Let $p(x)$ be the probability that the sum will ever be $x$. We know that:

$$p(1) = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$p(2) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}p(1) = \frac{7}{36}$$

$$p(3) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}p(2) + \frac{1}{6}p(1) = \frac{49}{216}$$

$$p(4) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}p(3) + \frac{1}{6}p(2) + \frac{1}{6}p(1) = \frac{343}{1296}$$

$$p(5) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}p(4) + \frac{1}{6}p(3) + \frac{1}{6}p(2) + \frac{1}{6}p(1) = \frac{2401}{7776}$$

$$p(6) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}p(5) + \frac{1}{6}p(4) + \frac{1}{6}p(3) + \frac{1}{6}p(2) + \frac{1}{6}p(1) = \frac{16807}{46656}$$

and for $x > 6$,

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{6} p(x-i).$$

Here's a table of the values of $p(x)$ and $p(x) - p(x-1)$ for $x \leq 20$:

| $x$ | $p(x)$ | $p(x) - p(x-1)$ |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.16666666666666666666666666666 | |
| 2 | 0.19444444444444444444444444444 | 0.02777777777777777777777777777 |
| 3 | 0.22685185185185185185185185185 | 0.03240740740740740740740740740 |
| 4 | 0.26466049382716049382716049382 | 0.03780864197530864197530864197 |
| 5 | 0.30877057613168724279835390094 | 0.04411008230452674897119341563 |
| 6 | 0.36023233882030178326474622777 | 0.05146176268861454046639231824 |
| 7 | 0.25360439529035208047553726556 | -0.10662794352994970278920896206 |
| 8 | 0.26809401672763298277701569887 | 0.01448962143728090230147843316 |
| 9 | 0.28036894544149773916577757455 | 0.01227492871386475638876187573 |
| 10 | 0.28928846103977205371809852831 | 0.00891951559827431455232095378 |
| 11 | 0.29339312224187398036658820071 | 0.00410466120210192664848967241 |
| 12 | 0.29083021326023843662796058261 | -0.00256290898163554373862761811 |
| 13 | 0.27926319233356121218849630841 | -0.01156702092667722443946427417 |
| 14 | 0.28353965850742940080732281558 | 0.00427646617386818861882650713 |
| 15 | 0.28611393213739547047904066837 | 0.00257427362996606967171785279 |
| 16 | 0.28707142992004509236458451733 | 0.00095749778264962188554384897 |
| 17 | 0.28670192473342393213899884889 | -0.00036950518662116022558566849 |
| 18 | 0.28558672514868225743440062354 | -0.00111519958474167470459822531 |
| 19 | 0.28471281046342289423547396373 | -0.00087391468525936319892665984 |
| 20 | 0.28562108015173317457663690620 | 0.00090826968831028034116294254 |

Notice that $p(x)$ seems to be settling down on a value of about $\frac{2}{7}$. Let's prove the following (proof idea from Marc Holtz):

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} p(x) = \frac{2}{7}$$

First, let's define a sequence of vectors $v(i)$:

$$v(i) = \langle p(i), p(i-1), p(i-2), p(i-3), p(i-4), p(i-5) \rangle.$$

If we then define the matrix M:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then it's not hard to show that

$$Mv(i) = v(i+1)$$

33

What we are interested in, then is $M^\infty v(j) = \lim\limits_{i \to \infty} v(i)$, where $j$ is any finite value (but we may as well take it to be six, since we've calculated $p(1),...,p(6)$ already

Note that each entry of M is between 0 and 1, each row of $M$ sums to one, and $M^6$ has no zero entries:

$$M^6 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{16807}{46656} & \frac{9031}{46656} & \frac{7735}{46656} & \frac{6223}{46656} & \frac{4459}{46656} & \frac{2401}{46656} \\[2mm] \frac{2401}{7776} & \frac{2401}{7776} & \frac{1105}{7776} & \frac{889}{7776} & \frac{637}{7776} & \frac{343}{7776} \\[2mm] \frac{343}{1296} & \frac{343}{1296} & \frac{343}{1296} & \frac{127}{1296} & \frac{91}{1296} & \frac{49}{1296} \\[2mm] \frac{49}{216} & \frac{49}{216} & \frac{49}{216} & \frac{49}{216} & \frac{13}{216} & \frac{7}{216} \\[2mm] \frac{7}{36} & \frac{7}{36} & \frac{7}{36} & \frac{7}{36} & \frac{7}{36} & \frac{1}{36} \\[2mm] \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

So, we can consider $M$ to be a transition matrix of a regular Markov system. Hence $M^\infty$ is a matrix with all identical rows given by the vector $w$ where the sum of the entries of $w$ equals 1, and

$$wM = w.$$

A little simple algebra shows that

$$w = \left\langle \frac{2}{7}, \frac{5}{21}, \frac{4}{21}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{21}, \frac{1}{21} \right\rangle$$

Hence, $v(\infty)$ is a vector of six identical probabilities equal to

$$w \cdot v(6) = \frac{2}{7}$$

Thus, $\lim\limits_{i \to \infty} = \frac{2}{7}$.

More questions:

(a) Notice that while $p(x)$ is settling down on $\frac{2}{7}$, it does so quite non-monotonically: $p(x)$ increases to its maximum at $x = 6$, and then wobbles around quite a bit. Is the sequence $p(i)$ eventually monotonic, or does it always wobble?

23. *A die is rolled once. Call the result $N$. Then, the die is rolled $N$ times, and those rolls which are equal to or greater than $N$ are summed (other rolls are not summed). What is the distribution of the resulting sum? What is the expected value of the sum?*

This is a perfect problem for the application of the polynomial representation of the distribution of sums.

The probability of a sum of $k$ is the coefficient on $x^k$ in the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6\right)\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6\right)\right)^2 +$$

$$\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(2+x^3+x^4+x^5+x^6\right)\right)^3 + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(3+x^4+x^5+x^6\right)\right)^4 +$$

$$\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(4+x^5+x^6\right)\right)^5 + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(5+x^6\right)\right)^6$$

$$= \frac{1}{279936}x^{36} + \frac{1}{7776}x^{30} + \frac{5}{46656}x^{29} + \frac{5}{23328}x^{28} + \frac{5}{23328}x^{27} + \frac{5}{46656}x^{26} + \frac{1}{46656}x^{25} +$$

$$\frac{59}{31104}x^{24} + \frac{13}{5832}x^{23} + \frac{5}{1296}x^{22} + \frac{11}{2916}x^{21} + \frac{67}{23328}x^{20} + \frac{1}{486}x^{19} +$$

$$\frac{1117}{69984}x^{18} + \frac{23}{1296}x^{17} + \frac{7}{288}x^{16} + \frac{16}{729}x^{15} + \frac{1}{54}x^{14} + \frac{1}{72}x^{13} +$$

$$\frac{6781}{93312}x^{12} + \frac{47}{729}x^{11} + \frac{377}{5832}x^{10} + \frac{67}{1296}x^9 + \frac{19}{432}x^8 + \frac{1}{36}x^7 +$$

$$\frac{8077}{46656}x^6 + \frac{565}{5832}x^5 + \frac{7}{108}x^4 + \frac{5}{108}x^3 + \frac{1}{27}x^2 + \frac{1}{36}x + \frac{27709}{279936}$$

So, that's the distribution.

The expected value is simply the sum of $i$ times the coefficient on $x^i$ in the distribution polynomial. The result is $\frac{133}{18} = 7.38888....$

## 3.3   Non-Standard Dice

24. *Show that the probability of rolling doubles with a non-fair ("fixed") die is greater than with a fair die.*

    For a fair, $n$-sided die, the probability of rolling doubles with it is $n \times \dfrac{1}{n^2} = \dfrac{1}{n}$. Suppose we have a "fixed" $n$-sided die, with probabilities $p_1, ..., p_n$ of rolling sides 1 through $n$ respectively. The probability of rolling doubles with this die is

    $$p_1^2 + \cdots + p_n^2.$$

    We want to show that this is greater than $\dfrac{1}{n}$. A nice trick is to let

    $$\epsilon_i = p_i - \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n.$$

    Then

    $$p_1^2 + \cdots + p_n^2 = (\epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{n})^2 + \cdots + (\epsilon_n + \frac{1}{n})^2 = \epsilon_1^2 + \cdots + \epsilon_n^2 + \frac{2}{n}(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

    Now, since $p_1 + \cdots + p_n = 1$, we can conclude that $\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n = 0$. Hence,

    $$p_1^2 + \cdots + p_n^2 = \epsilon_1^2 + \cdots + \epsilon_n^2 + \frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{n}$$

    precisely when not all the $\epsilon_i$'s are zero, i.e. when the die is "fixed".

35

25. *Find a pair of 6-sided dice, labelled with positive integers differently from the standaed dice, so that the sum probabilites are the same as for a pair of standard dice.*

    Number one die with sides 1,2,2,3,3,4 and one with 1,3,4,5,6,8. Rolling these two dice gives the same sum probabilities as two normal six-sided dice.

    A natural question is: how can we find such dice? One way is to consider the polynomial

    $$(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6)^2.$$

    This factors as

    $$x^2(1 + x)^2(1 + x + x^2)^2(1 - x + x^2)^2.$$

    We can group this factorization as

    $$\left(x(1 + x)(1 + x + x^2)\right)\left(x(1 + x)(1 + x + x^2)(1 - x + x^2)^2\right)$$

    $$= (x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4)(x + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + x^8).$$

    This yields the "weird" dice (1,2,2,3,3,4) and (1,3,4,5,6,8). See Appendix C for more about this method.

    See [1] for more on renumbering dice.

26. *Is it possible to have two non-fair $n$-sided dice, with sides numbered 1 through $n$, with the property that their sum probabilities are the same as for two fair $n$-sided dice?*

    Another way of asking the question is: suppose you are given two $n$-sided dice that exhibit the property that when rolled, the resulting sum, as a random variable, has the same probability distribution as for two fair $n$-sided dice; can you then conclude that the two given dice are fair? This question was asked by Lewis Robertson, Rae Michael Shortt and Stephen Landry in [2]. Their answer is surprising: you *can* sometimes, depending on the value of $n$. Specifically, if $n$ is 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11 or 13, then two $n$-sided dice whose sum "acts fair" are, in fact, fair. If $n$ is any other value, then there exist pairs of $n$-sided dice which are not fair, yet have "fair" sums.

    The smallest example, with $n = 10$, gives dice with the approximate probabilities (see [Rob 2] for the exact values)

    $$(0.07236, 0.14472, 0.1, 0.055279, 0.127639, 0.127639, 0.055279, 0.1, 0.14472, 0.07236)$$

    and

    $$(0.13847, 0, 0.2241, 0, 0.13847, 0.13847, 0, 0.2241, 0, 0.13847).$$

    It's clear that these dice are not fair, yet the sum probabilities for them are the same as for two fair 10-sided dice.

27. *Is it possible to have two non-fair 6-sided dice, with sides numbered 1 through 6, with a uniform sum probability? What about $n$-sided dice?*

    No. Let $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5$ and $p_6$ be the probabilities for one 6-sided die, and $q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5$ and $q_6$ be the probabilities for another. Suppose that these dice together yield sums with uniform probabilities. That is, suppose $P(\text{sum} = k) = \frac{1}{11}$ for $k = 2, ..., 12$. Then

    $$p_1 q_1 = \frac{1}{11} \quad \text{and} \quad p_6 q_6 = \frac{1}{11}.$$

Also,
$$\frac{1}{11} = P(\text{sum} = 7) \geq p_1 q_6 + p_6 q_1$$

so
$$p_1 \frac{1}{11p_6} + p_6 \frac{1}{11p_1} \leq \frac{1}{11}$$

i.e.,
$$\frac{p_1}{p_6} + \frac{p_6}{p_1} \leq 1.$$

Now, if we let $x = \frac{p_1}{p_6}$, then we have
$$x + \frac{1}{x} \leq 1$$

which is impossible, since for positive real $x$, $x + \frac{1}{x} \geq 2$. Thus, no such dice are possible.

An identical proof shows that this is an impossibility regardless of the number of sides of the dice.

28. *Suppose that we renumber three fair 6-sided dice $(A, B, C)$ as follows: $A = \{2, 2, 4, 4, 9, 9\}$, $B = \{1, 1, 6, 6, 8, 8\}$, and $C = \{3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 7\}$.*

    (a) *Find the probability that die $A$ beats die $B$; die $B$ beats die $C$; die $C$ beats die $A$.*

    (b) *Discuss.*

The probability that $A$ beats $B$ can be expressed as
$$\left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)(1) = \frac{5}{9}.$$

The thinking behind this goes like this: the probability of rolling a 2 with $A$ is 2/6, and if a 2 is rolled, it will beat $B$ with probability 2/6. The probability of rolling a 4 with $A$ is 2/6, and it will beat $B$ with probability 2/6. The probability of rolling a 9 with $A$ is 2/6, if it will beat $B$ with probability 1.

Similarly, the probability that $B$ beats $C$ is
$$\left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)(1) = \frac{5}{9}$$

and the probability that $C$ beats $A$ is
$$\left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{2}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{6}\right)\left(\frac{4}{6}\right) = \frac{5}{9}.$$

Thus, each die beats another with probability greater than 50%. This is certainly a counterintuitive notion; this shows that "beats", as in "die 1 beats die 2" is not transitive.

Lots of questions arise. What other sets of "non-transitive" dice are possible? What is the fewest number of sides necessary? For a given number of sides, what is the minimum possible maximum face value (e.g., in the set given above, the maximum face value is 9)? For a given number of sides, and a bound on the face values, how many sets of transitive dice are there? What about sets with more than three dice?

29. *Find every six-sided die with sides numbered from the set {1,2,3,4,5,6} such that rolling the die twice and summing the values yields all values between 2 and 12 (inclusive). For instance, the die numbered 1,2,4,5,6,6 is one such die. Consider the sum probabilities of these dice. Do any of them give sum probabilities that are "more uniform" than the sum probabilities for a standard die? What if we renumber two dice differently - can we get a uniform (or more uniform than standard) sum probability?*

    The numbers 1, 2, 5 and 6 must always be among the numbers on the die, else sums of 2, 3, 11 and 12 would not be possible. In order to get a sum of 5, either 3 or 4 must be on the die also. The last place on the die can be any value in {1,2,3,4,5,6}. Hence there are 11 dice with the required property. Listed with their corresponding error, they are:

    1,2,4,5,6,6    0.0232884399551066

    1,2,4,5,5,6    0.0325476992143659

    1,2,4,4,5,6    0.0294612794612795

    1,2,3,5,6,6    0.0232884399551066

    1,2,3,5,5,6    0.026374859708193

    1,2,3,4,5,6    0.0217452300785634

    1,2,3,3,5,6    0.0294612794612795

    1,2,2,4,5,6    0.026374859708193

    1,2,2,3,5,6    0.0325476992143659

    1,1,2,4,5,6    0.0232884399551066

    1,1,2,3,5,6    0.0232884399551066

    The error here is the sum of the square of the difference between the actual probability of rolling each of the sums 2 through 12 and 1/11 (the probability we would have for each sum if we had a uniform distribution). That is, if $p_i$ is the probability of rolling a sum of $i$ with this die, then the error is

    $$\sum_{i=2}^{12} (p_i - \frac{1}{11})^2.$$

    Note that the standard die gives the smallest error (i.e., the closest to uniform sum).

    If we renumber two dice differently, many more cases are possible. One pair of dice are 1,3,4,5,6,6 and 1,2,2,5,6,6. These two dice give all sum values between 2 and 12, with an error (as above) of 0.018658810325477, more uniform than the standard dice. The best dice for near-uniformity are 1,2,3,4,5,6 and 1,1,1,6,6,6 which yield all the sums from 2 to 12 with near equal probability: the probability of rolling 7 is 1/6 and all other sums are 1/12. The error is 5/792, or about 0.00631.

## 3.4   Games with Dice

30. **Craps** *What is the probability of winning a round of the game Craps?*

    The probability of winning a round of craps can be expressed as

    $$P(\text{rolling 7 or 11}) + \sum_{b \in \{4,5,6,8,9,10\}} P(\text{rolling } b) P(\text{rolling } b \text{ again before rolling 7}).$$

We now evaluate each probability. The probability of rolling 7 is $\frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$, and the probability of rolling 11 is $\frac{2}{36} = \frac{1}{18}$. Hence,

$$P(\text{rolling 7 or 11}) = \frac{6}{36} + \frac{2}{36} = \frac{2}{9}.$$

The following table gives the probability of rolling $b$, for $b \in \{4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$. (This is the probability of $b$ becoming the "point".)

| | |
|---|---|
| 4 | 3/36 = 1/12 |
| 5 | 4/36 = 1/9 |
| 6 | 5/36 |
| 8 | 5/36 |
| 9 | 4/36 =1/9 |
| 10 | 3/36 =1/12 |

Finally, we need to determine the probability of rolling $b$ before rolling 7. Let $p$ be the probability of rolling $b$ on any single roll. Rolling $b$ before roling 7 involves rolling some number of rolls, perhaps zero, which are not $b$ or 7, followed by a roll of $b$. The probability of rolling $k$ rolls which are not $b$ or 7, followed by a roll of $b$ is

$$\left(1 - p - \frac{1}{6}\right)^k p = \left(\frac{5}{6} - p\right)^k p.$$

Since $k$ may be any non-negative integer value, we have

$$P(\text{rolling } b \text{ before rolling 7}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{5}{6} - p\right)^k p = \frac{p}{\frac{1}{6} + p}.$$

See Appendix B for some formulas for simplifying series such as the one above. Another way of looking at this is that the probability of rolling $b$ before rolling a 7 is the conditional probability of rolling $b$, given that either $b$ or 7 was rolled.

We can calculate the following table:

| $b$ | $P(\text{rolling } b)$ | $P(\text{rolling } b \text{ again before rolling 7})$ | $P(\text{rolling } b)P(\text{rolling } b \text{ again before rolling 7})$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 1/12 | 1/3 | 1/36 |
| 5 | 1/9 | 2/5 | 2/45 |
| 6 | 5/36 | 5/11 | 25/396 |
| 8 | 5/36 | 5/11 | 25/396 |
| 9 | 1/9 | 2/5 | 2/45 |
| 10 | 1/12 | 1/3 | 1/36 |

Thus, the probability of winning a round of craps is

$$\frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{2}{45} + \frac{25}{396} + \frac{25}{396} + \frac{2}{45} + \frac{1}{36} = \frac{244}{495} = 0.49\overline{29}.$$

Since $\frac{244}{495} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{990}$, the odds are just slightly against the player.

31. **Non-Standard Craps**    *We can generalize the games of craps to allow dice with other than six sides. Suppose we use two (fair) $n$-sided dice. Then we can define a game analagous to craps in the following way. The player rolls two $n$-sided dice. If the sum of these dice is $n + 1$ or $2n - 1$, the player wins. If the sum of these dice is $2, 3$ or $2n$ the player loses. Otherwise the sum becomes the player's point, and they win if they roll that sum again before rolling $n + 1$. We may again ask: what is the player's probability of winning?*

For two $n$-sided dice, the probability of rolling a sum of $n + 1$ is

$$P(n + 1) = \frac{n}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n}$$

and the probability of rolling a sum of $2n - 1$ is

$$P(2n - 1) = \frac{2}{n^2}.$$

In general, the probability of a sum of $k$ is

$$P(k) = \frac{n - |k - n - 1|}{n^2}.$$

Hence, the probability of winning a round of Craps with $n$-sided dice is

$$p_n = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} + \sum_{\substack{4 \leq k \leq 2n-2 \\ k \neq n+1}} \frac{P(k)^2}{P(k) + P(n + 1)}$$

We have the following table:

| $n$ | $p_n$ |
|-----|-------|
| 3 | 5/9 = 0.55555... |
| 4 | 15/28 = 0.535714... |
| 5 | 461/900 =0.512222... |
| 6 | 244/495 = 0.492929... |
| 7 | 100447/210210 = 0.477841... |
| 8 | 37319/80080 = 0.4660214... |
| 9 | 2288779/5012280 = 0.456634... |
| 10 | 23758489/52907400 = 0.449057... |
| 20 | 0.415459... |
| 30 | 0.404973... |
| 50 | 0.397067... |
| 100 | 0.391497... |
| 1000 | 0.386796... |
| 10000 | 0.386344... |
| 100000 | 0.386299... |

It certainly appears that $p_n$ approaches a limit as $n$ approaches infinity.

32. **Yahtzee** *There are many probability questions we may ask with regard to the game of Yahtzee. For starters, what is the probability of rolling, in a single roll,*

> *a) Yahtzee*
>
> *b) Four of a kind (but not Yahtzee)*
>
> *c) A full house*
>
> *d) Three of a kind (but not Yahtzee, four of a kind or full house)*
>
> *e) A long straight*
>
> *f) A small straight*

These questions aren't *too* tricky, so I'll just give the probabilities here:

(a) Yahtzee: $\dfrac{6}{6^5} = \dfrac{1}{1296} \approx 0.07716\%$

(b) Four of a kind (but not Yahtzee): $\dfrac{\binom{5}{4} \cdot 6 \cdot 5}{6^5} = \dfrac{25}{1296} \approx 1.929\%$

(c) A full house: $\dfrac{53 \cdot 6 \cdot 5}{6^5} = \dfrac{25}{648} \approx 3.858\%$

(d) Three of a kind (but not Yahtzee, four of a kind or full house) :

$$\frac{\binom{5}{3} \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4}{6^5} = \frac{25}{162} \approx 15.432\%$$

(e) A long straight: $\dfrac{2 \cdot 5!}{6^5} = \dfrac{5}{162} \approx 3.086\%$

(f) A small straight (but not a long straight):

$$\frac{2(4! \cdot (6-1) \cdot 5) + 4! \cdot (6-2) \cdot 5}{6^5} = \frac{1680}{6^5} = \frac{35}{162} \approx 21.60\%.$$

33. **More Yahtzee** *What is the probability of getting Yahtzee, assuming that we are trying just to get Yahtzee, we make reasonable choices about which dice to re-roll, and we have three rolls? That is, if we're in the situation where all we have left to get in a game of Yahtzee is Yahtzee, so all other outcomes are irrelevant.*

This is quite a bit trickier than the previous questions on Yahtzee. The difficulty here lies in the large number of ways that one can reach Yahtzee: roll it on the first roll; roll four of a kind on the first roll and then roll the correct face on the remaining die, etc. One way to calculate the probability is to treat the game as a *Markov chain* (see Appendix D for general information on Markov chains).

We consider ourselves in one of five *states* after each of the three rolls. We will say that we are in state $b$ if we have $b$ common dice among the five. For example, if a roll yields $12456$, we'll be in state $1$; if a roll yields $11125$, we'll be in state 3. Now, the goal in Yahtzee is to try to get to state $5$ in three rolls (or fewer). Each roll gives us a chance to change from our initial state to a better, or equal, state. We can determine the probabilities of changing from state $i$ to state $j$. Denote this probability by $P_{i,j}$.

Let the $0$ state refer to the initial state before rolling. Then we have the following probability matrix:

$$P = (P_{i,j}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{120}{1296} & \frac{900}{1296} & \frac{250}{1296} & \frac{25}{1296} & \frac{1}{1296} \\ 0 & \frac{120}{1296} & \frac{900}{1296} & \frac{250}{1296} & \frac{25}{1296} & \frac{1}{1296} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{120}{216} & \frac{80}{216} & \frac{15}{216} & \frac{1}{216} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{25}{36} & \frac{10}{36} & \frac{1}{36} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.14}$$

The one representing $P_{5,5}$ indicates that if we reach yahtzee, state $5$, before the third roll, we simply stay in that state. Now, the probability of being in state $5$ after $3$ rolls is given by

$$\sum P_{0,i_1} P_{i_1,i_2} P_{i_2,5} = (M^3)_{1,5}$$

where the sum is over all triples $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ with $0 \le i_j \le 5$. Calculating $M^3$ gives us the probability

$$\frac{2783176}{6^{10}} = \frac{347897}{7558272} \approx 0.04603.$$

Since $\dfrac{347897}{7558272} = \dfrac{1}{21.7256026...}$, a player will get Yahtzee about once out of every twenty two attempts.

34. **Drop Dead**

    *(a) What is the expected value of a player's score?*

    *(b) What is the probability of getting a score of $0$? $1$? $10$? $20$? etc.*

(a) The player begins with five dice, and throws them repeatedly, until no dice are left. The key factor in calculating the expected score is the fact that the number of dice being thrown changes. When throwing $n$ dice, a certain number may "die" (i.e. come up 2 or 5), and leave $j$ non-dead dice. The probability of this occuring is

$$P_{n,j} = \binom{n}{n-j} \frac{2^{n-j} 4^j}{6^n}.$$

The following table gives $P_{n,j}$ for $n$ and $j$ between 0 and 5.

| n\j | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1/9 | 4/9 | 4/9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1/27 | 6/27 | 12/27 | 8/27 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1/81 | 8/81 | 24/81 | 32/81 | 16/81 | 0 |
| 5 | 1/243 | 10/243 | 40/243 | 80/243 | 80/243 | 32/243 |

When throwing $n$ dice, the expected sum is $3.5n$, if none of the dice come up 2 or 5. Let $E(n)$ represent the expected score starting with $n$ dice (so we're ultimately concerned with $E(5)$). Consider $E(1)$. Rolling a single die, the expected score is

$$E(1) = 3.5P_{1,1} + E(1)P_{1,1}.$$

That is, in one roll, we pick up 3.5 points, on average, if we don't "drop dead" (so we get $3.5P_{1,1}$ expected points), and then we're in the same position as when we started (so we pick up $E(1)P_{1,1}$ expected points). We can solve this equation to get

$$E(1) = 3 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) = 7.$$

Now, suppose we start with 2 dice. The expected score is

$$E(2) = (2 \cdot 3.5 + E(2))\, P_{2,2} + E(1)P_{2,1}.$$

That is, on a single roll, we pick up $2 \cdot 3.5$ points on average if none of the dice "die", in which case we're back where we started from (and then expect to pick up E(2) points), *or* exactly one of the dice "die", and so we expect to pick up $E(1)$ points with the remaining die. This equation yields

$$E(2) = \frac{1}{1 - P_{2,2}} \left(7P_{2,2} + E(1)P_{2,1}\right) = \frac{56}{5}.$$

Continuing in this way, we have the general equation

$$E(n) = 3.5 \cdot n \cdot P_{n,n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} E(j)P_{n,j}$$

which we can rewrite as

$$E(n) = \frac{1}{1 - P_{n,n}} \left(3.5 \cdot n \cdot P_{n,n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E(j)P_{n,j}\right)$$

With this formula, we can calculate $E(n)$ for whatever value of $n$ we want. Here is a table of $E(n)$:

| $n$ | $E(n)$ |
|-----|--------|
| 1 | 7 |
| 2 | $\frac{56}{5} = 11.2$ |
| 3 | $\frac{1302}{95} \approx 13.70526$ |
| 4 | $\frac{3752}{247} \approx 15.19028$ |
| 5 | $\frac{837242}{52117} \approx 16.06466$ |
| 6 | $\frac{4319412}{260585} \approx 16.57583$ |
| 10 | $\frac{9932935945754444}{577645434482545} \approx 17.19556$ |
| 20 | $\approx 17.26399$ |
| 30 | $\approx 17.264123714008007018098 41213$ |
| 100 | $\approx 17.264124236018670573249 93502$ |
| 250 | $\approx 17.264124221877832202470 82379$ |



So we see that a game of Drop Dead, using 5 dice, will have, on average, a score of about 16.06.

**Further questions:** Notice that if we play the game with more than 5 dice, the expected score does not increase very much. In fact, it appears as if there is an *upper bound* on the expected score; that is, it seems that there is some $B$ so that $E(n) < B$ for all $n$. What is the smallest possible value for $B$? Also, we expect $E(n)$ to always increase as $n$ increases. Can we prove this is so?

(b) Calculating the exact probabilities of scores seems to be a bit of a pain. The easiest score to work out is zero. To get zero, the player must roll at least one 2 or 5 on every roll. If we define a Markov process, with states 0, F, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 (in that order), where 0 means a score of zero has been achieved, F means a score greater than 0 has been achieved, and 5 through 1 are the current number of dice

being rolled, we have the following transition matrix:

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{243} & \frac{32}{243} & 0 & \frac{80}{243} & \frac{80}{243} & \frac{40}{243} & \frac{10}{243} \\ \frac{1}{81} & \frac{16}{81} & 0 & 0 & \frac{32}{81} & \frac{8}{27} & \frac{8}{81} \\ \frac{1}{27} & \frac{8}{27} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{9} & \frac{2}{9} \\ \frac{1}{9} & \frac{4}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{9} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the games takes at most five rolls, the fifth power of this matrix tells us what we want to know:

$$P_0^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{978853}{4782969} & \frac{3804116}{4782969} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{4163}{19683} & \frac{15520}{19683} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{55}{243} & \frac{188}{243} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{7}{27} & \frac{20}{27} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we see that the probability of achieving a score of zero is $\dfrac{978853}{4782969} = \dfrac{978853}{3^{14}}$, which is about 0.2046538457598....

The probability of achieving a score of 1 is calculatable in a similar way. Our transition matrix is

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{11}{81} & 0 & \frac{80}{243} & \frac{80}{243} & \frac{40}{243} & \frac{10}{243} \\ 0 & \frac{17}{81} & 0 & 0 & \frac{32}{81} & \frac{8}{27} & \frac{8}{81} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{9} & \frac{2}{9} \\ 0 & \frac{5}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{9} \\ \frac{1}{18} & \frac{17}{18} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A note on this lower-left most entry: once the player has only one die left, they have a 1/6 chance of rolling a one; but then, the die must die, which occurs with probability 1/3. Hence the 1/18 probability of getting a score of 1 after the state of one die is attained.

Raising this matrix to the fifth power yields

$$
P_1^5 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{305285}{14348907} & \frac{4477684}{4782969} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1300}{59049} & \frac{18383}{19683} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{17}{729} & \frac{226}{243} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2}{81} & \frac{25}{27} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{18} & \frac{17}{18} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and thus the probability of a score of 1 in this game is $305285/14348907 = 0.0212758365497804118....$

Scores higher than 1 are more difficult, since it will not be necessary to reach a single die. On the other hand, to achieve a score of $n$, there can be at most $n+4$ rolls, so the problem is finite. Appendix F has experimental data for comparison to any exact calculations you might attempt.

35. **Threes**     *In the game of Threes, the player starts by rolling five standard dice. In the game, the threes count as zero, while the other faces count normally. The goal is to get as low a sum as possible. On each roll, at least one die must be kept, and any dice that are kept are added to the player's sum. The game lasts at most five rolls, and the score can be anywhere from 0 to 30.*

*For example a game might go like this. On the first roll the player rolls*

$$ 2 - 3 - 3 - 4 - 6 $$

*The player decides to keep the 3s, and so has a score of zero. The other three dice are rolled, and the result is*

$$ 1 - 5 - 5 $$

*Here the player keeps the 1, so their score is 1, and rerolls the other two dice. The result is*

$$ 1 - 2 $$

*Here, the player decides to keep both dice, and their final score is 4.*

*If a player plays optimally (i.e., using a strategy which minimizes the expected value of their score), what is the expected value of their score?*

This is certainly best analysed in reverse.

Suppose we are rolling one die. Then the expected value of the result is

$$ \frac{1 + 2 + 0 + 4 + 5 + 6}{6} = 3. $$

Suppose we roll two dice. The rules require that we keep at least one, so clearly we must keep the lower of the two. The questions is whether to keep the other one. If we don't keep it, our expected value from it will be 3 when we reroll. Hence, we should keep it if it is a 3, a 1, or a 2.

Following this method, the expected value with two dice is expressible as

$$ E_2 = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \left( \min\{i,j\} + \min\{\max\{i,j\}, 3\} \right) = \frac{158}{36} = \frac{79}{18} = 4.388.... $$

Suppose we roll three dice. We must keep the lowest die, so we need to decide whether to keep either of the other two dice. Obviously, if we keep only one of them, we would keep the lower one. Call the three dice

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3.$$

Then if we keep both $d_2$ and $d_3$, our sum is $d_2 + d_3$. If we reroll only $d_3$, then our expected sum is $d_2 + 3$. If we reroll both $d_2$ and $d_3$, then our expected sum is 4.3888...  . Thus we want to choose the option so that our expected sum is

$$\min\{d_2 + d_3, d_2 + 3, E_2\}.$$

Analyzing this, we find that if $d_2 \geq 4$, we should reroll both. If $d_2 = 3$, we should keep both if $d_3 < 3$. If $d_2 = 2$, then we should keep $d_3$ if $d_3 = 2$; otherwise we should reroll both. (This is the surprising part of the optimal strategy: a two is not necessarily keepable by itself, but depends on the value of other die.) If $d_2 = 1$ and $d_3 = 1$ or 2, keep both; otherwise, keep $d_2$ and reroll $d_3$.

The calculation of the expected value with three dice can be expressed as

$$E_3 = \frac{1}{6^3} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ =0}}^{6}{}' (d_1 + \min\{d_2 + d_3, d_2 + 3, E_2\}) = \frac{2261}{2 \cdot 6^3} = 5.233796...$$

where the sum skips 3, and $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3$ is $\{i, j, k\}$ sorted in increasing order.

Continuing in this way, the expected value with four dice can be expressed as

$$E_4 = \frac{1}{6^4} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l \\ =0}}^{6}{}' (d_1 + \min\{d_2 + d_3 + d_4, d_2 + d_3 + 3, d_2 + E_2, E_3\}) = \frac{1663107}{6^7} = 5.833858...$$

where the sum skips 3, and $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq d_4$ is $\{i, j, k, l\}$ sorted in increasing order.

Finally, the expected value with five dice can be expressed as

$$E_5 = \frac{1}{6^5} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l,m \\ =0}}^{6}{}' \left( d_1 + \min\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{5} d_n, d_2 + d_3 + d_4 + 3, d_2 + d_3 + E_2, d_2 + E_3, E_4 \right\} \right)$$
$$= \frac{13613549985}{6^{12}} = 6.253978525....$$

where the sum skips 3, and $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \leq d_4 \leq d_5$ is $\{i, j, k, l, m\}$ sorted in increasing order.

Thus, the expected score in this game, played with an optimal strategy, is about 6.25398.

But, what is the optimal stategy? It is essentially encoded above, but is there a more simple statement? A small simplification is made by noting that a sum of integers is less than, say, 4.38888... only if the sum is less than or equal to 4. So the $E_i$ values that appear in the sums above can be replaced by their integer parts.

It is a tricky strategy to paraphrase. Consider that if you roll 3-3-3-2-2, you should keep all the dice, but if you roll 3-3-2-2-2, you should reroll the 2s, since $6 > E_3 = 5.233796...$ . The strategy is not summarizable to a "this die or less should always be kept on roll $i$" simplicity.

A further question: what is the probability of getting a score of zero? This question has more than one interpretation: (a) what is the probability of getting a score of zero if played using the "optimal"

strategy above, and (b) what is the probability of getting a score of zero if the player does everything possible to get a score of zero (i.e., keeps only 3s as long as possible).

*(Special thanks to David Korsnack for inspiring me to look into this problem, and for providing some numerics with which I could compare my calculations.)*

36. *Suppose we play a game with a die where we roll and sum our rolls as long as we keep rolling larger values. For instance, we might roll a sequence like 1-3-4 and then roll a 2, so our sum would be 8. If we roll a 6 first, then we're through and our sum is 6. Three questions about this game:*

    (a) *What is the expected value of the sum?*

    (b) *What is the expected value of the number of rolls?*

    (c) *If the game is played with an $n$-sided die, what happens to the expected number of rolls as $n$ approaches infinity?*

We can consider this game as a Markov chain with an absorbing state. If we consider the state to be the value of the latest roll, or 0 if the latest roll is not larger than the previous one, then we have the following transition matrix:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/6 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 2/6 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 3/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 4/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 5/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.15)$$

Using the notation of Appendix D, we have

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ is

$$
N = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1/6 & 7/36 & 49/216 & 343/1296 & 2401/7776 \\
0 & 1 & 1/6 & 7/36 & 49/216 & 343/1296 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1/6 & 7/36 & 49/216 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/6 & 7/36 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1/6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

The row sum of $N$ is

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
16807/7776 \\
2401/1296 \\
343/216 \\
49/36 \\
7/6 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and so the expected number of rolls before absorbtion (i.e., the number of rolls that count in the sum) is

$$
(1/6)\,(16807/7776 + 2401/1296 + 343/216 + 49/36 + 7/6 + 1) = 70993/7776 \approx 1.521626.
$$

We use $N$ to calculate the expected sum as well. If the first roll is a 1, the expected sum will be

$$
1 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 3 \cdot \frac{7}{36} + 4 \cdot \frac{49}{216} + 5 \cdot \frac{343}{1296} + 6 \cdot \frac{2401}{7776} = 6.
$$

In fact, for any first roll, the expected sum is 6. Hence, the expected sum is 6.

Now, suppose the game is played with an $n$-sided die. Let $E$ be the expected number of rolls. Let $E(j)$ be the expected number of rolls if the first roll is $j$. Then,

$$
E(j) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}E(j+1) + \frac{1}{n}E(j+2) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}E(n)
$$

and so

$$
E(j+1) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}E(j+2) + \frac{1}{n}E(j+3) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}E(n)
$$

from which we can conclude

$$
E(j) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) E(j+1).
$$

Since $E(n) = 1$, we have

$$
E(j) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-j}.
$$

Thus,

$$E = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} E(j) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-j} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{j}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n}\left(1-\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{n}\right) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{n} - 1.$$

And so we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E = e - 1 = 1.718281828459....$$

37. *Suppose we play a game with a die in which we use two rolls of the die to create a two digit number. The player rolls the die once and decides which of the two digits they want that roll to represent. Then, the player rolls a second time and this determines the other digit. For instance, the player might roll a 5, and decide this should be the "tens" digit, and then roll a 6, so their resulting number is 56.*

   *What strategy should be used to create the largest number on average? What about the three digit version of the game?*

   A strategy in this game is merely a rule for deciding whether the first roll should be the "tens" digit or the "ones" digit. If the first roll is a 6, then it must go in the "tens" digit, and if it's a 1, then it must go in the "ones" digit. This leaves us with what to do with 2,3,4 and 5. If the first roll is $b$, then using it as the "ones" digit results in an expected number of $\frac{7}{2}\cdot 10 + b$. Using it as the "tens" digit results in an expected number of $10b + \frac{7}{2}$. So, when is $10b + \frac{7}{2} > \frac{7}{2}\cdot 10 + b$? When $b \geq 4$. Thus, if the first roll is 4, 5 or 6, the player should use it for the "tens" digit. With this strategy, the expected value of the number is

   $$\frac{1}{6}(63.5 + 53.5 + 43.5 + 38 + 37 + 36) = 45.25.$$

   In the three-digit version of the game, once we have decided what to do with the first roll, we'll be done, since we will then be in the two-digit case which we solved above. Note this is obviously true if we place the first roll in the "hundreds" digit. If we place the first roll in the "ones" digit, then the strategy to maximize the resulting number is the same as the two-digit case, simply multiplied by a factor of ten. If we place the first roll in the "tens" digit, then our strategy is to put the next roll $b$ in the "hundreds" digit if

   $$100b + 3.5 > 350 + b$$

   i.e., if $b \geq 4$. Thus we have the same strategy in all three cases: put the second roll in the largest digit if it is at least 4.

   Now, if the first roll, $b$, is placed in the "hundreds" digit, then the expected value will be $100b+45.25$. If the first roll is placed in the "ones" digit, then the expected value will be $452.5 + b$. If the first roll is places in the "tens" digit, then the expected value will be

   $$10b + (351 + 352 + 353 + 403.5 + 503.5 + 603.5)/6 = 427.75 + 10b.$$

   Our strategy thus comes down to maximizing the quantities $100b+45.25$, $427.75+10b$, and $452.5+b$. From the graph below, we see that $100b + 45.25$ is the largest when $b \geq 5$; $427.75 + 10b$ is largest when $3 \leq b \leq 4$, and $452.5 + b$ is largest when $b < 3$. Thus our strategy for the first roll is this: if it is at least 5, put it in the "hundreds" digit; if it is 3 or 4, put it in the "tens" digit; otherwise, put it in the ones digit. If the second roll is 4, 5, or 6, place it in the largest available digit.

The expected value using this strategy is thus

$$(645.25 + 545.25 + (40 + 427.75) + (30 + 427.75) + (452.5 + 2) + (452.5 + 1))/6 = 504.$$

# Chapter 4

# Problems for the future

Here are some problems that I intend to add to this collection some time in the future, as soon as I get around to writing decent solutions.

1. More Drop Dead: probability of getting zero? probability of any particular value?

2. More Threes: probability of getting any particular score?

3. Law of Large Numbers related: What is the expected length of rolls of a single die needed until the proportion of 2s (say) thrown is within some specified interval around 1/6 (e.g., $1/6 - 0.01 \leq r \leq 1/6 + 0.01$ ?

4. What is the expected length of rolls of two die needed until all sums have appeared?

5. A die is rolled until a run of six distinct faces appears. What is the expected number of rolls?

6. If a die is rolled 100 times (say), what is the probability that all six sides have appeared at least once? If a die is rolled 100 times (say), what is the probability that there is a run of 6 that has all six possible faces? What about a run of 10 with all six faces at least once?

7. A die is rolled repeatedly and summed. What is the expected number of rolls until the sum is:

   (a) a prime? Experimentally it appears to be around 2.432211 (one million trials).
   (b) a square? Experimentally it appears to be about 7.08.
   (c) a power of 2? Hmmmm...
   (d) a multiple of n? It appears to be n.

8. For every composite $n$, there appear to pairs of "weird" dice with $n$ sides (i.e. a pair of dice not numbered in the usual way with sum probabilities equal to the standard dice). Prove this. For many $n$, there are many such pairs. Give useful bounds on the number of such pairs in terms of $n$.

   For $n = 4k + 2$, it appears that the dice

   $$\{1, 2, 2, 3, 3, ..., 2k+3, 2k+3, 2k+4\}, \{1, 3, 5, ..., 2k+1, 2k+2, 2k+3, ..., n-1, n, n+2, n+4, ..., 6k+2\}$$

   do the trick.

# Bibliography

[1] Duane M. Broline. Renumbering of the faces of dice. *Mathematics Magazine*, 52(5):312–314, 1979.

[2] Lewis C. Robertson, Rae Michael Shortt, and Stephen G. Landry. Dice with fair sums. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 95(4):316–328, 1988.

# References

[Ang 1] Angrisani, Massimo, A necessary and sufficient minimax condition for the loaded dice problem (Italian), *Riv. Mat. Sci. Econom. Social.* **8** (1985), no. 1, 3-11

[Ble 1] Blest, David; Hallam Colin, The design of dice, *Math. Today (Southend-on-Sea)* **32** (1996), no. 1-2, 8-13

[Bro 1] Broline, Duane M., Renumbering of the faces of dice, *Math. Mag.* **52** (1979), no. 5, 312-314

[Dia 1] Diaconis, Persi; Keller, Joseph B., Fair dice, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **96** (1989), no. 4, 337-339

[Faj 1] Fajtlowitz, S., $n$-dimensional dice, *Rend. Math. (6)* **4** (1971), 855-865

[Fel 1] Feldman, David; Impagliazzo, Russell; Naor, Moni; Nisan, Noam; Rudich, Steven; Shamir, Adi, On dice and coins: models of computation for random generation, *Inform. and Comput.* **104** (1993), 159-174

[Fer 1] Ferguson, Thomas S., On a class of infinite games related to liar dice, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** (1970) 353-362

[Fre 1] Freeman, G.H., The tactics of liar dice, *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. C* **38** (1989), no. 3, 507-516

[Gan 1] Gani, J., Newton on "a question touching ye different odds upon certain given chances upon dice", *Math. Sci.* **7** (1982), no. 1, 61-66

[Gri 1] Grinstead, Charles M., On medians of lattice distributions and a game with two dice, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **6** (1997), no. 3, 273-294

[Gup 1] Gupta, Shanti S.; Leu, Lii Yuh, Selecting the fairest of $k \geq 2$, $m$-sided dice, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods*, **19** (1990), no. 6, 2159-2177

[Ito ] Itoh, Toshiya, Simulating fair dice with biased coins, *Inform. and Compu.* **126** (1996), no.1, 78-82

[Koo ] Koole, Ger, An optimal dice rolling policy for risk, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4)* **12** (1994), no. 1-2, 49-52

[Mae 1] Maehara, Hiroshi; Yamada, Yasuyuki, How Many icosahedral dice?, *Ryukyu Math. J.* **7** (1994), 35-43

[McM 1] McMullen, P., A dice probability problem, *Mathematika* **21** (1974), 193-198

[Pom 1] Pomeranz, Janet Bellcourt, The dice problem - then and now, *College Math. J.* **15** (1984), no. 3, 229-237

[Rob 1] Roberts, J. D., A theory of biased dice, *Eureka* **1955** (1955), no. 18, 8-11

[Rob 2]* Robertson, Lewis C., Shortt, Rae Michael; Landry, Stephen G., Dice with fair sums, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **95** (1988), no. 4, 316-328

[Sav 1] Savage, Richard P., Jr., The paradox of non-transitive dice, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **101** (1994), no. 5, 429-436

[She 1] Shen, Zhizhang; Marston, Christian M., A Study of a dice problem, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **73** (1993), no. 2-3, 231-247

[Sol 1] Sol de Mora-Charles, Leibniz et le probleme des partis. Quelches papiers inedits [Leibniz and the problem of dice. Some unpublished papers], *Historia Math.* **13** (1986), no. 4,352-369

[Wys 1] Wyss, Roland, Identitaten bei den Stirling-Zahlen 2. Art aus kombinatorischen Uberlegungen bein Wurfelspiel [Identities for Stirling numbers of the second kind from combinatorial considerations in a game of dice], *Elem. Math.* **51** (1996), no. 3,102-106

# Appendix A

# Dice sum probabilities

**Sums of 2, 6-Sided Dice**

| Sum | Probability |
| --- | --- |
| 2 | 1/36 |
| 3 | 2/36 = 1/18 |
| 4 | 3/36 = 1/12 |
| 5 | 4/36 = 1/9 |
| 6 | 5/36 |
| 7 | 6/36 = 1/6 |
| 8 | 5/36 |
| 9 | 4/36 =1/9 |
| 10 | 3/36 =1/12 |
| 11 | 2/36 =1/18 |
| 12 | 1/36 |

**Sums of 3, 6-Sided Dice**

| Sum | Probability |
| --- | --- |
| 3 | 1/216 |
| 4 | 3/216 = 1/72 |
| 5 | 6/216 = 1/36 |
| 6 | 10/216 = 5/108 |
| 7 | 15/216 = 5/72 |
| 8 | 21/216 = 7/72 |
| 9 | 25/216 |
| 10 | 27/216 = 1/8 |
| 11 | 27/216 = 1/8 |
| 12 | 25/216 |
| 13 | 21/216 = 7/72 |
| 14 | 15/216 = 5/72 |
| 15 | 10/216 = 5/108 |
| 16 | 6/216 = 1/36 |
| 17 | 3/216 = 1/72 |
| 18 | 1/216 = 1/216 |

# Appendix B

# Handy Series Formulas

For $|r| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ar^n = \frac{a}{1-r} \tag{B.1}$$

For $|r| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} ar^n = \frac{a(1-r^{N+1})}{1-r} \tag{B.2}$$

For $|r| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nr^n = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2} \tag{B.3}$$

For $|r| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} nr^n = \frac{r\left(1 - r^N\left(1 + N - N\,r\right)\right)}{(1-r)^2} \tag{B.4}$$

For $|r| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+k)r^n = \frac{r(1+k-kr)}{(1-r)^2} \tag{B.5}$$

# Appendix C

# Dice Sums and Polynomials

Very often in mathematics a good choice of notation can take you a long way. An example of this is the following method for representing sums of dice. Suppose we have an $n$-sided die, with sides $1, 2, ..., n$ that appear with probability $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$, respectively. Then, if we roll the die twice and add the two rolls, the probability that the sum is $k$ is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j p_{k-j} = \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-k} p_j p_{k-j} \tag{C.1}$$

if we say $p_i = 0$ if $i < 1$ or $i > n$.

Now consider the following polynomial:

$$P = p_1 x + p_2 x^2 + \cdots + p_n x^n \tag{C.2}$$

If we square $P$, we get

$$P^2 = a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \cdots + a_{2n} x^{2n} \tag{C.3}$$

where $a_k$, for k=2, 3,..., $2n$, is given by

$$a_k = \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-k} p_j p_{k-j}. \tag{C.4}$$

In other words, the probability of rolling the sum of $k$ is the same as the coefficient of $x^k$ in the polynomial given by squaring the polynomial $P$.

Here's an example. Suppose we consider a standard 6-sided die. Then

$$P = \frac{1}{6}x + \frac{1}{6}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{6}x^4 + \frac{1}{6}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^6 \tag{C.5}$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^2 &= \frac{x^2}{36} + \frac{2x^3}{36} + \frac{3x^4}{36} + \frac{4x^5}{36} + \frac{5\,x^6}{36} + \frac{6x^7}{36} + \frac{5\,x^8}{36} + \frac{4x^9}{36} + \frac{3x^{10}}{36} + \frac{2x^{11}}{36} + \frac{x^{12}}{36} \tag{C.6} \\
&= \frac{x^2}{36} + \frac{x^3}{18} + \frac{x^4}{12} + \frac{x^5}{9} + \frac{5\,x^6}{36} + \frac{x^7}{6} + \frac{5\,x^8}{36} + \frac{x^9}{9} + \frac{x^{10}}{12} + \frac{x^{11}}{18} + \frac{x^{12}}{36} \tag{C.7}
\end{aligned}
$$

And so, we see that the probability of rolling a sum of 9, for instance, is $1/9$.

For two different dice the method is the same. For instance, if we roll a 4-sided die, and a 6-sided die, and sum them, the probability that the sum is equal to $k$ is give by the coefficient on $x^k$ in the polynomial

$$\left( \frac{x}{4} + \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{x^3}{4} + \frac{x^4}{4} \right) \left( \frac{x}{6} + \frac{x^2}{6} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{6} + \frac{x^5}{6} + \frac{x^6}{6} \right) \tag{C.8}$$

which, when expanded, is

$$\frac{x^2}{24} + \frac{x^3}{12} + \frac{x^4}{8} + \frac{x^5}{6} + \frac{x^6}{6} + \frac{x^7}{6} + \frac{x^8}{8} + \frac{x^9}{12} + \frac{x^{10}}{24}. \tag{C.9}$$

Notice that this can be written as

$$\frac{1}{24}\left(x^2 + 2\,x^3 + 3\,x^4 + 4\,x^5 + 4\,x^6 + 4\,x^7 + 3\,x^8 + 2\,x^9 + x^{10}\right) \tag{C.10}$$

In general, a fair $n$-sided die can be represented by the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{n}\left(x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots + x^n\right) \tag{C.11}$$

With this notation, many questions about dice sums can be transformed into equivalent questions about polynomials. For instance, asking whether or not there exist other pairs of dice that give the same sum probabilities as a pair of standard dice is the same as asking: in what ways can the polynomial

$$(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + ... + x^n)^2$$

be factored into two polynomials (with certain conditions on the degrees and coefficients of those polynomials)?

Using more general terminology, such polynomials are called *generating functions*. They can be applied in lots of situations involving discrete random variables.

# Appendix D

# Markov Chain Facts

A *Markov chain* is a mathematical model for describing a process that moves in a sequence of steps through a set of states. A finite Markov chain has a finite number of states, $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$. When the process is in state $s_i$, there is a probability $p_{ij}$ that the process will next be in state $s_j$. The matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ is called the *transition matrix* for the Markov chain. Note that the rows of the matrix sum to 1.

The $ij$-th entry of $P^k$ (i.e. the $k$-th power of the matrix $P$) gives the probability of the process moving from state $i$ to state $j$ in exactly $k$ steps.

An *absorbing state* is one which the process can never leave once it is entered. An *absorbing chain* is a chain which has at least one absorbing state, and starting in any state of the chain, it is possible to move to an absorbing state. In an absorbing chain, the process will eventually end up in an absorbing state.

Let $P$ be the transition matrix of an absorbing chain. By renumbering the states, we can always rearrange $P$ into *canonical form*:

$$P = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} J & O \\ \hline R & Q \end{array} \right)$$

where $J$ is an identity matrix (with 1's on the diagonal and 0's elsewhere) and $O$ is a matrix of all zeros. $Q$ and $R$ are non-negative matrices that arise from the transition probabilities between non-absorbing states.

The series $N = I + Q + Q^2 + Q^3 + \ldots$ converges, and $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$. The matrix $N$ gives us important information about the chain, as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem 1** *Let $P$ be the transition matrix for an absorbing chain in canonical form. Let $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$. Then:*

- *The $ij$-th entry of $N$ is the expected number of times that the chain will be in state $j$ after starting in state $i$.*

- *The sum of the $i$-th row of $N$ gives the mean number of steps until absorbtion when the chain is started in state $i$.*

- *The $ij$-th entry of the matrix $B = NR$ is the probability that, after starting in non-absorbing state $i$, the process will end up in absorbing state $j$.*

An *ergodic* chain is one in which it is possible to move from any state to any other state (though not necessarily in a single step).

A *regular* chain is one for which some power of its transition matrix has no zero entries. A regular chain is therefore ergodic, though not all ergodic chains are regular.

**Theorem 2** *Suppose $P$ is the transition matrix of an ergodic chain. Then there exists a matrix $A$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{P + P^2 + P^3 + \cdots + P^k}{k} = A$$

*For regular chains,*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} P^k = A.$$

The matrix $A$ has each row the same vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$. One way to interpret this is to say that the long-term probability of finding the process in state $i$ does not depend on the initial state of the process.

The components $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ are all positive. The vector $\mathbf{a}$ is the unique vector such that

$$a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = 1$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}P = \mathbf{a}$$

For this reason, $\mathbf{a}$ is sometimes called the *fixed point probability vector*.

The following theorem is sometimes called the *Mean First Passage Theorem*.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose we have a regular Markov chain, with transition matrix $P$. Let $E = (e_{ij})$ be a matrix where, for $i \neq j$, $e_{ij}$ is the expected number of steps before the process enters state $j$ for the first time after starting in state $i$, and $e_{ii}$ is the expected number of steps before the chain re-enters state $i$. Then*

$$E = (I - Z + JZ')D$$

*where $Z = (I - P - A)^{-1}$, $A = \lim_{k \to \infty} P^k$, $Z'$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the same as $Z$, $J$ is the matrix of all 1's, and $D$ is a diagonal matrix with $D_{ii} = 1/A_{ii}$.*

# Appendix E

# Linear Recurrence Relations

Here's a useful theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Consider the linear recurrence relation*

$$x_n = a_1 x_{n-1} + a_2 x_{n-2} + \cdots + a_k. \tag{E.1}$$

*If the polynomial equation (known as the characteristic equation of the recurrence relation)*

$$x^n - a_1 x^{n-1} - a_2 x^{n-2} - \cdots - a_k = 0 \tag{E.2}$$

*has $k$ distinct roots $r_1, \ldots r_k$, then the recurrence relation E.1 has as a general solution*

$$x_n = c_1 r_1^n + c_2 r_2^n + \cdots + c_k r_k^n. \tag{E.3}$$

**Proof:** We can prove this with a bit of linear algebra, but we'll do that some other time.
**Example:** May as well do the old classic. The Fibonacci numbers are defined by

$$f_0 = 1, f_1 = 1, \text{ and } f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \text{ for } n > 1.$$

The characteristic equation is

$$x^2 - x - 1 = 0$$

which has roots

$$r_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \text{ and } r_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

So

$$f_n = A \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + B \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

for constants $A$ and $B$. Since

$$f_0 = 1 = A + B$$

and

$$f_1 = 1 = A + B + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}(A - B)$$

we conclude that

$$A = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} \text{ and } B = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}}$$

so that

$$f_n = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{5})^{n+1} - (1 - \sqrt{5})^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}\sqrt{5}}.$$

# Appendix F

# Drop Dead Simulation Data

In $10^7$ simulated games of Drop Dead, the following frequencies of scores were achieved.

| score $(n)$ | number of games with score $n$ | number of games with score $\leq n$ |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | 2044719 | 2044719 |
| 1 | 212885 | 2257604 |
| 2 | 69266 | 2326870 |
| 3 | 226785 | 2553655 |
| 4 | 353402 | 2907057 |
| 5 | 180453 | 3087510 |
| 6 | 338023 | 3425533 |
| 7 | 335320 | 3760853 |
| 8 | 220094 | 3980947 |
| 9 | 258632 | 4239579 |
| 10 | 321143 | 4560722 |
| 11 | 233060 | 4793782 |
| 12 | 259303 | 5053085 |
| 13 | 257301 | 5310386 |
| 14 | 240123 | 5550509 |
| 15 | 225187 | 5775696 |
| 16 | 241364 | 6017060 |
| 17 | 223916 | 6240976 |
| 18 | 211570 | 6452546 |
| 19 | 208928 | 6661474 |
| 20 | 199351 | 6860825 |
| 21 | 184160 | 7044985 |
| 22 | 179823 | 7224808 |
| 23 | 170278 | 7395086 |
| 24 | 158398 | 7553484 |
| 25 | 150177 | 7703661 |
| 26 | 144061 | 7847722 |
| 27 | 133669 | 7981391 |
| 28 | 126951 | 8108342 |
| 29 | 120721 | 8229063 |
| 30 | 112686 | 8341749 |
| 31 | 107082 | 8448831 |
| 32 | 101481 | 8550312 |
| 33 | 95625 | 8645937 |
| 34 | 90543 | 8736480 |
| 35 | 85022 | 8821502 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 36 | 80163 | 8901665 |
| 37 | 74323 | 8975988 |
| 38 | 70833 | 9046821 |
| 39 | 66156 | 9112977 |
| 40 | 61407 | 9174384 |
| 41 | 57766 | 9232150 |
| 42 | 53754 | 9285904 |
| 43 | 50170 | 9336074 |
| 44 | 47367 | 9383441 |
| 45 | 44263 | 9427704 |
| 46 | 41087 | 9468791 |
| 47 | 38652 | 9507443 |
| 48 | 35709 | 9543152 |
| 49 | 32950 | 9576102 |
| 50 | 31230 | 9607332 |
| 51 | 28709 | 9636041 |
| 52 | 26898 | 9662939 |
| 53 | 25022 | 9687961 |
| 54 | 23160 | 9711121 |
| 55 | 21400 | 9732521 |
| 56 | 19890 | 9752411 |
| 57 | 18385 | 9770796 |
| 58 | 17360 | 9788156 |
| 59 | 16018 | 9804174 |
| 60 | 14872 | 9819046 |
| 61 | 13627 | 9832673 |
| 62 | 12830 | 9845503 |
| 63 | 11798 | 9857301 |
| 64 | 10968 | 9868269 |
| 65 | 10345 | 9878614 |
| 66 | 9332 | 9887946 |
| 67 | 8584 | 9896530 |
| 68 | 8028 | 9904558 |
| 69 | 7478 | 9912036 |
| 70 | 6846 | 9918882 |
| 71 | 6220 | 9925102 |
| 72 | 5928 | 9931030 |
| 73 | 5411 | 9936441 |
| 74 | 5091 | 9941532 |
| 75 | 4660 | 9946192 |
| 76 | 4263 | 9950455 |
| 77 | 3796 | 9954251 |
| 78 | 3750 | 9958001 |
| 79 | 3401 | 9961402 |
| 80 | 3077 | 9964479 |
| 81 | 2940 | 9967419 |
| 82 | 2674 | 9970093 |
| 83 | 2455 | 9972548 |
| 84 | 2174 | 9974722 |
| 85 | 2087 | 9976809 |
| 86 | 2019 | 9978828 |
| 87 | 1701 | 9980529 |
| 88 | 1650 | 9982179 |
| 89 | 1504 | 9983683 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 90 | 1334 | 9985017 |
| 91 | 1234 | 9986251 |
| 92 | 1149 | 9987400 |
| 93 | 1056 | 9988456 |
| 94 | 975 | 9989431 |
| 95 | 845 | 9990276 |
| 96 | 817 | 9991093 |
| 97 | 772 | 9991865 |
| 98 | 676 | 9992541 |
| 99 | 615 | 9993156 |
| 100 | 583 | 9993739 |
| 101 | 539 | 9994278 |
| 102 | 501 | 9994779 |
| 103 | 460 | 9995239 |
| 104 | 395 | 9995634 |
| 105 | 354 | 9995988 |
| 106 | 326 | 9996314 |
| 107 | 318 | 9996632 |
| 108 | 285 | 9996917 |
| 109 | 247 | 9997164 |
| 110 | 223 | 9997387 |
| 111 | 225 | 9997612 |
| 112 | 194 | 9997806 |
| 113 | 160 | 9997966 |
| 114 | 175 | 9998141 |
| 115 | 148 | 9998289 |
| 116 | 140 | 9998429 |
| 117 | 119 | 9998548 |
| 118 | 138 | 9998686 |
| 119 | 105 | 9998791 |
| 120 | 99 | 9998890 |
| 121 | 96 | 9998986 |
| 122 | 105 | 9999091 |
| 123 | 64 | 9999155 |
| 124 | 75 | 9999230 |
| 125 | 54 | 9999284 |
| 126 | 54 | 9999338 |
| 127 | 65 | 9999403 |
| 128 | 52 | 9999455 |
| 129 | 48 | 9999503 |
| 130 | 45 | 9999548 |
| 131 | 28 | 9999576 |
| 132 | 32 | 9999608 |
| 133 | 33 | 9999641 |
| 134 | 37 | 9999678 |
| 135 | 27 | 9999705 |
| 136 | 27 | 9999732 |
| 137 | 34 | 9999766 |
| 138 | 12 | 9999778 |
| 139 | 23 | 9999801 |
| 140 | 21 | 9999822 |
| 141 | 12 | 9999834 |
| 142 | 17 | 9999851 |
| 143 | 12 | 9999863 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 144 | 13 | 9999876 |
| 145 | 14 | 9999890 |
| 146 | 15 | 9999905 |
| 147 | 5 | 9999910 |
| 148 | 13 | 9999923 |
| 149 | 6 | 9999929 |
| 150 | 5 | 9999934 |
| 151 | 7 | 9999941 |
| 152 | 5 | 9999946 |
| 153 | 8 | 9999954 |
| 154 | 3 | 9999957 |
| 155 | 2 | 9999959 |
| 156 | 7 | 9999966 |
| 157 | 1 | 9999967 |
| 158 | 2 | 9999969 |
| 159 | 1 | 9999970 |
| 160 | 2 | 9999972 |
| 161 | 1 | 9999973 |
| 162 | 2 | 9999975 |
| 163 | 3 | 9999978 |
| 164 | 3 | 9999981 |
| 165 | 2 | 9999983 |
| 166 | 4 | 9999987 |
| 167 | 2 | 9999989 |
| 170 | 2 | 9999991 |
| 172 | 1 | 9999992 |
| 174 | 2 | 9999994 |
| 175 | 1 | 9999995 |
| 177 | 2 | 9999997 |
| 178 | 1 | 9999998 |
| 181 | 1 | 9999999 |
| 192 | 1 | 1000000 |