UNIT TESTING

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Produce testable code
- Design, structure, and automate tests
- Know how to apply equivalence partitioning and boundary value analysis in tests
- Know general features and usage of xUnit Framework API
- Implement high quality automated tests with xUnit Framework

INTRODUCTION

WHY MAKE TESTS?

Leads to better code and design

Generates code targeted at tests

Reduces manual testing

Ensures basic functionality is independently scrutinized for proper operation regularly

Creates control of code working as assumed throughout project process

Requires more consideration when refactoring and redesigning is done

Catches regression defects when they are introduced

WHEN TO WRITE TESTS?

After the code is written

- Verify code is working as intended
- Tests might be influenced by implementation

While writing the code

- Co-design a unit and its tests together, in an iterative fashion
- Things gradually become more clear

Before any code is written

- Helps clarifying the needed functionality
- Becomes a design process
- Tests are not influenced by implementation knowledge

WHAT CODE TO TEST?

Getters / Setters? Logic? Not so interesting More interesting

WHAT MAKES CODE TESTABLE?

Easily testable code guide lines...

- Follow the SOLID rules
- Follow the single responsibility principle
- Create simple constructors
- Use new with care
- Use inversion of control and dependency injection
- Reduce dependencies
- Avoid hidden dependencies
- Avoid logic in constructors
- Avoid static methods
- Avoid singletons
- Avoid too many parameters in methods
- Avoid too large methods
- Favor generic methods
- Favor composition over inheritance
- Favor polymorphism over conditionals

Untestable code should be refactored

WHAT MAKES A TEST A UNIT TEST?

Smallest testable parts Individual units of work Separate components Class methods

No external dependencies

Does not communicate with database, across networks or use file system

DESIGN / STRUCTURE / AUTOMATE

HOW TO DESIGN TESTS

- 1. Identify which conditions to test
- 2. Design test cases that cover conditions

Dynamic testing = Tests are executed on running code

Black box techniques

Implementation is not known

High level / Testers / No programming knowledge

External / Based on requirements documentation

Equivalence partitioning / Boundary value analysis / Decision tables / State transition

White box techniques

Implementation is known

Low level / Developers / Programming knowledge

Internal / Based on design documentation

EQUIVALENCE PARTITIONING

Good all round technique to use first

Aims at minimizing the number of test cases

Divide set of test conditions into groups that can be considered the same and handled equivalently

Input values are partitioned into equivalence classes if they result in the same program behavior and find the same errors

Only need to test one condition from each partition, since it is assumed that all conditions in a partition will be treated the same way and either work or not work

Identify partitions and write a test case for each partition

Both valid and invalid partitions need to be considered

Invalid inputs are separate equivalence classes

Equivalence partitions = Equivalence classes

Divide a set of test conditions into groups or sets that can be considered the same Identify classes / Select class value

Test only one condition from each partition

No need to test many values that act the same way only one

Think about possible valid and invalid classes
Equivalence partitioning can be applied to both input and output

BOUNDARY VALUE ANALYSIS

Equivalence partitioning and boundary value analysis are closely related

Based on testing at the boundaries between partitions

Off by one errors often show at the boundaries between equivalence classes

Boundary value is on the edge of an equivalence partition

Choose minimum and maximum values from an equivalence class together with first and last value respectively in adjacent equivalence classes

Open boundaries

Open boundaries are when one of the sides in a equivalence class is left open

Open boundaries are more difficult to test, but there are ways to approach them

Best solution to open boundaries is to find out what the boundary should be specified as

Examine specification or investigate other related areas of the system

If no boundaries can be found, then use intuition or experience-based approach

2 or 3 value approach

Two value approach is on boundary value and one side, where three value approach is on boundary value and two sides

Technically, because every boundary is in some partition, if you did only boundary value analysis you would also have tested every equivalence partition, but...

If the boundary values fail:

Is it only the boundary values which failed or did the partition fail?

If one boundary value fails but not the other:

Does the partition then fail?

Middle value

Also choose a "normal" input in the equivalence class

Not only extreme values should be tested but also more normal values which are not boundary values

STRUCTURING TESTS

HOW TO STRUCTURE TESTS?

Naming conventions

- BDD

Premises and assumptions

What should be done in a given situation

Should do something

Prefix test methods with "Should"

 $\label{thm:control} Example: "ShouldAlertUserIfAccountBalanceIsExceeded" / "ShouldFailForNegativeAmount" \\$

Unit of work / State under test / Expected behavior

unit test may exercise more than a single method

- 1. First part correspond to methods or classes
- 2. Second part describe the action performed

What's being done?

What's passed in?

3. Third part convey an expectation

What's supposed to happen?

What result is expected

Example: "Atm_NegativeWithdrawal_FailsWithMessage" / "Divide_DenominatorIsZero_ExThrown"

Think about conditions and outcomes

What is tested? / What is performed? / What is expected?

Organizing conditions

Arrange-Act-Assert

- 1. Set up test objects and arrange data
- 2. Execute the test code
- 3. Verify the outcome and assert that result is as expected

The Arrange Act Assert style obstructs repeating each of the steps several times

There are other styles of structuring tests, such as...

Operate-Check Given-When-Then

Setup-Execute-Verify-Teardown

Asserts

One test case – Many asserts

Fewer test cases are needed

Good enough for some test cases

One test case – One test assert

More test cases are needed

If a test fails it is easier to find out why

One liners might make it harder to identify problems

Have a concentrated focus in each test

AUTOMATING TESTS

WHY AUTOMATE TESTS?

Manual testing is tiresome / Make sure new code works / Make sure new code doesn't break existing code

WHY NOT USE SYSTEM OUT?

Needs human interpretation / Confusing with many old messages / Slows down code

HOW TO AUTOMATE TESTS?

xUnit frameworks and build tools can be used to automate execution of tests

GOOD TESTS

WHAT MAKES A TEST A GOOD UNIT TEST?

- Must have a clear intention
- Must be easy to understand
- Must use descriptive test names
- Must be based on good test case design
- Must consider invalid conditions
- Must follow the style of arranging, acting and asserting
- Must only have a single assert in each test
- Must not be dependent on environment
- Must not be dependent on external factors
- Must not be dependent on other tests
- Must provide understandable feedback
- Must provide same inputs and expect same result each time
- Must be reliable and consistent
- Must be repeatable and automated
- Must be executed quickly

MAVEN

Build automation tool used primarily for Java projects

Standard way to build project / Clear definition of what project consists of / Easy way to publish project information / Way to share JARs across several projects

Default lifecycle comprises of the following phases

- validate validate the project is correct and all necessary information is available
- compile compile the source code of the project
- test test the compiled source code using unit testing framework and code not being packaged or deployed
- package take the compiled code and package it in its distributable format, such as a JAR.
- verify run any checks on results of integration tests to ensure quality criteria are met
- install install the package into the local repository, for use as a dependency in other projects locally
- deploy done in the build environment, copies the final package to the remote repository for sharing with other developers and projects.

Dependencies and plugins can be added to pom.xml file

Helps building project infrastructure in nice way

Unit tests are usually included within the build process, which means they are run by a build tool like Maven.

Used to handle project dependencies via the pom.xml and execute commands in console

XUNIT (JUNIT / NUNIT / CPPUNIT / PHPUNIT / JSUNIT)

Group of testing frameworks Used for test automation

Architecture components

- Test cases
- Test suites
- Test fixture
- Test runner
- Assertions

JUNIT

A testing framework which uses annotations to identify methods that specify a test Executes methods with test annotation

A JUnit TEST, called a TEST CLASS, is a method contained in a class which is only used for testing.

To write a JUnit test you annotate a method with the @org.junit.Test annotation.

Test methods execute code during test and ASSERT methods, called ASSERTS or ASSERT STATEMENTS, provided by JUnit or another assert framework, checking an expected result with the actual result.

Meaningful messages are provided in assert statements, so that it is easy to identify and fix problems.

JUnit is a regression testing framework

Used by developers to implement unit tests

"Framework" because subclasses are created from abstract classes

"Regression" since tests can be kept and run frequently

Project structure

Junit best practice: Put test classes in the same package as the class they test but in a parallel directory structure.

Usage

Create test classes of JUnit's with test methods
Run test classes directly from main() or with test running utility, such as JUnit TestRunners
JUnit assumes all test methods can be executed in an arbitrary order
Most IDE's has built in functionality in GUI for executing JUnit tests

Create / Update tests

Options: IntegrationTest / Levels / Generate / Comments

Naming

Mandatory prefixes and postfixes for tests to be executed during build (Test* / *Test / *TestCase) Can be used to disable tests or might be the cause why tests are not run

JUNIT4

MAVEN - JUNIT4 DEPENDENCY

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>junit</groupId>
  <artifactId>junit</artifactId>
  <version>4.12</version>
</dependency>
```

JUNIT5

JUnit 5 = JUnit Platform + JUnit Jupiter + JUnit Vintage

```
Architecture
```

4 separate modules:

JUnit Platform

For launching testing frameworks

JUnit Jupiter

Programming and extension model for writing tests and extensions

JUnit Runner

Describe, collect, run and analyze tests

JUnit Vintage

Support for JUnit 3 and 4 tests

MAVEN - JUNIT5 DEPENDENCY

```
<dependency>
  <groupId>org.junit.jupiter</groupId>
  <artifactId>junit-jupiter-engine</artifactId>
  <version>5.3.2</version>
</dependency>
```

MAVEN - JUNIT4 + JUNIT5 DEPENDENCIES

JUNIT FEATURES

ANNOTATIONS

@Test (Test methods)

@BeforeAll / @AfterAll (Methods executed before and after all test methods)
@BeforeEach / @AfterEach (Methods executed before and after each test method)

@Disabled (Classes / Methods)

@DisplayName (Overwrite test methods default name)

ASSERTIONS

fail(message)

assertEquals(expected, actual, message) assertNotEquals(expected, actual, message)

assertTrue(boolean, message) assertFalse(boolean, message)

assertNull(object, message)
assertNotNull(object, message)
assertSame(expected, actual, message)
assertNotSame(expected, actual, message)
assertArrayEquals(expectedArray, resultArray, message)
assertAll()
assertThrows()

Fixtures Fixed state of a set of objects used as a baseline for running tests

Do not use constructors and destructors, use test fixture methods instead

Initializers / Clean up methods

Advantage: Eliminates need for repeating test code

Disadvantage: Separates test code into multiple different methods

Split test classes if only some of the test methods need fixtures or need different

fixtures or possibly refactor code if it is poorly designed to begin with

Tests Defines test cases to be executed

Results in either passed or failed

Tests can be however also be skipped or time out

Suites Several test classes can be combined into a test suite

Running a test suite executes all test classes in that suite in the specified order

A test suite can also contain other test suites

A test suite is simply a logical grouping of test classes that can be run together as a

group

Assertions Communicates success or failure and expresses outcome of test

Any failing assertions will result in a failed run of the tests by the testing framework

Use the assertions that best communicate the intent Be careful when setting up actual and expected data Remember order of parameters actual and expected

Runners Used for executing test cases

JUnit provides a set of different test runners to execute tests, including runners for

backward compatibility

Normally JUnit will detect the right test runner to use without asking, based on the

test cases

Test runner is given a list of test classes

For each test class:

Create an instance of the test class

Run constructor, setups, checks and teardowns

If checks fails, assertions are thrown and test method fails

Produce test report

Latest features in JUnit5...

Nesting / Tagging / Assumptions / Extensions / Conditions / Lambdas / Parameters