Logic (PHIL 2080, COMP 2620, COMP 6262) Chapter: Propositional Natural Deduction — Negation, Disjunction



Introduction



Introduction •0

Recap on Natural Deduction

- What are theorems? (Sequents without assumptions!)
- Relationship between ⊢ and →:
 - They live in completely different worlds!
 - \rightarrow is a connective and thus part of a formula, just like \neg , \wedge , and \vee .
 - ⊢ is not a connective and can thus not possibly be part of any formula! It only states whether we can derive a single formula A from a set of formulae X, expressed by X ⊢ A.
- How do proofs in natural deduction look?
 - We use a list/table format with 4 columns.
 - All of these columns are essential!
- Introduction and elimination rules for:
 - Conjunction (easy!)
 - Implication (not quite that easy!)
- So what's missing?
 - Negation (not as easy as you might think!)
 - Disjunction (quite hard... Practice it!)



Negation



Introduction: Intuitive Meaning

- What does the negation connective in logics mean?
 - Socrates is a goat (= p)
 - ISocrates is not a goat $(= \neg p)$

Negation

- It inverts truth values (semantic).
- If assuming A leads to a contradiction, then we have the negation of A (syntactic).



Introduction: Truth Table

 Since the not connective simply inverts a single truth value we get a simple truth table:

	p	$\neg p$	р	$\neg p$	$\neg \neg p$
•	0	1	0	1	0
	1	0	1	0	1

- I.e., in classical logic, we have the double negation elimination.
- It's not true that it's not true that Socrates is a goat (So it is true!)



The 1-Step Rules: Double-Negation Elimination and Introduction

- The (second) truth table gives us the following two rules:
- **Double-Negation Elimination and Introduction Rules:**

$$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \neg \neg E$$

$$\frac{A}{\neg \neg A} \neg \neg I$$

Again based on sequents:

$$\frac{X \vdash \neg \neg A}{X \vdash A} \neg \neg E$$

$$\frac{X \vdash A}{X \vdash \neg \neg A} \neg \neg I$$



The 1-Step Rules: A Mistake That Will Cost You Marks

Avoid the next common mistake:

- Look carefully what/where the main connective is!
- The rule refers to a *complete formula*!
- So, e.g., we cannot go from $p \land \neg \neg q$ to $p \land q$ in just one step!

$$\frac{\neg \neg A}{A} \neg \neg E$$
 $\frac{A}{\neg \neg A} \neg \neg I$



The 1-Step Rules: A Mistake That Will Cost You Marks

Avoid the next common mistake:

- Look carefully what/where the main connective is!
- The rule refers to a *complete formula*!
- So, e.g., we cannot go from $p \land \neg \neg q$ to $p \land q$ in just one step!
- Correctly handling that: (with a slightly more complex example)



The 1-Step Rules: Negation-Elimination

- With the double-negation rules we can't introduce or eliminate a single negation.
- To deal with single negations, we require the symbol <u>↓</u>.
- We introduced it before: it represents "false", an "absurd" constant that can never be satisfied.
- Negation-Elimination rule: (without and with sequent-notation)

$$\frac{A \qquad \neg A}{\bot} \neg E \qquad \qquad \frac{X \vdash A \qquad Y \vdash \neg A}{X, \ Y \vdash \bot} \neg E$$
(False/0)



The 1-Step Rules: Negation-Introduction

Negation-Introduction rule: (without and with sequent-notation)

[A] if A leads to a contradiction, then A must not stand
$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\bot}{\neg A} \neg I$$

$$\frac{X, A \vdash \bot}{X \vdash \neg A} \neg I$$

- Negation-Introduction discharges assumption A.
- Interesting fact(s):
 - Since we do not pose further restrictions on A, we can blame the contradiction on anything we want! E.g., if X = {A₁,..., A_n} and X ⊢ ⊥, we can conclude X \ {A_i} ⊢ ¬A_i for any A_i ∈ X.
 - This rule is the main proof idea behind the proof technique "Proof by contradiction". (There are, e.g., nice illustrations on YouTube proving that $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational by that technique.)



The 1-Step Rules: Negation-Elimination and -Introduction, Example 1

• If you are in Canberra (p), you are not in Sydney $(\neg q)$; thus: if you are in Sydney (q), you are not in Canberra $(\neg p)$

$$p
ightharpoonup \neg q \vdash q
ightharpoonup q$$
 $lpha_1$ (1) $p
ightharpoonup \neg q$ A
 $lpha_2$ (2) q A
 $lpha_3$ (3) p A



The 1-Step Rules: Negation-Elimination and Introduction, Example 1

• If you are in Canberra (p), you are not in Sydney $(\neg q)$; thus: if you are in Sydney (q), you are not in Canberra $(\neg p)$

$$p \rightarrow \neg q \vdash q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$\alpha_{1} \qquad (1) \qquad p \rightarrow \neg q \quad A$$

$$\alpha_{2} \qquad (2) \qquad q \qquad A$$

$$\alpha_{3} \qquad (3) \qquad p \qquad A$$

$$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3} \qquad (4) \qquad \neg q \qquad 1,3 \rightarrow E$$

$$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \qquad (5) \qquad \bot \qquad 2,4 \neg E$$

$$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \qquad (6) \qquad \neg p \qquad 5[\alpha_{3}] \neg I$$

$$\alpha_{1} \qquad (7) \qquad q \rightarrow \neg p \qquad 6[\alpha_{2}] \rightarrow I$$



The 1-Step Rules: Negation-Elimination and -Introduction, Example 2

A contradiction entails anything.

$$\frac{X \vdash A \quad Y \vdash \neg A}{X, Y \vdash \bot} \neg E$$

$$\frac{X,A\vdash\bot}{X\vdash\neg A}\neg I \qquad \boxed{\frac{X\vdash\neg\neg A}{X\vdash A}\neg\neg E}$$

• Here we have another example of vacuous discharge: We blame the contradiction on a non-existing assumption $\neg q$.

$$X$$
, $\neg q \vdash \bot$
 $\cdots \neg I$
 $X \vdash \neg \neg q$



A 2-Step Rule: Reductio ad Absurdum (RAA)

• We can combine Negation-Elimination with its Introduction: Again, notations without and with sequents:

$$[B] \qquad [B]$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\frac{A \qquad \neg A}{\neg B} RAA \qquad \frac{X, B \vdash A \qquad Y, B \vdash \neg A}{X, Y \vdash \neg B} RAA$$

- The rules discharge assumption B.
- Why is it correct?

$$\frac{X,A\vdash\bot}{X\vdash\neg A}\neg I \quad \frac{X\vdash A \quad Y\vdash\neg A}{X,Y\vdash\bot}\neg E \quad \frac{X,B\vdash A \quad Y,B\vdash\neg A}{X,Y\vdash\neg B}\neg I$$



A 2-Step Rule: Reductio ad Absurdum (RAA), Example

• $\neg p \rightarrow p \vdash p$: if p is even implied by its own negation, then it *must* be true!

Again! Since p and $\neg p$ can't be true at the same time, the implication $\neg p \rightarrow p$ cannot be "activated", so its precondition must be false.

$$\neg p
ightarrow p dash p$$

$$\frac{X, B \vdash A \qquad Y, B \vdash \neg A}{X, Y \vdash \neg B} RAA$$

$$\alpha_1$$
 (1) $\neg \mu$

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$$
 (3)

$$egin{array}{lll} lpha_2 & ext{(2)} & \neg p & & \mathsf{A} \\ lpha_1, lpha_2 & ext{(3)} & p & & \mathsf{1,2} \rightarrow E \end{array}$$

$$lpha_{ extsf{1}}$$

(4)
$$\neg \neg p$$
 2,3[α_2] *RAA*

$$\alpha_{1}$$

$$(5)$$
 p





Introduction: (Our) Or versus Exclusive Or

- Disjunctions are of the form $A \vee B$
- It rains this afternoon or this evening. (But it can also be both!)
- The cat is either dead or alive. (Unless it's a physicist's cat, the choice is *exclusive*! The cat cannot be both dead and alive!)
- We use the first, non-exclusive, notion of or: At least one proposition needs to be true!



The 1-Step Rules: Disjunction-Introduction

Disjunction-Introduction Rules:

Notation without sequents:

$$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \vee I$$

$$\frac{B}{A \vee B} \vee B$$

Notation with sequents:

$$\frac{X \vdash A}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$

$$\frac{X \vdash B}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$



The 1-Step Rules: Disjunction-Elimination, Introduction

- If x is even, then $x^2 + x$ is even.
- If x is odd, then $x^2 + x$ is even.
- x is either odd or even.
- Thus, $x^2 + x$ is even.
- Formally, this can be expressed as $p \rightarrow r, q \rightarrow r, p \lor q \vdash r$



The 1-Step Rules: Disjunction-Elimination Rule (Based on Sequents)

Disjunction elimination rule:



The 1-Step Rules: When to Use that Rule

$$\frac{X \vdash A \lor B \qquad Y, A \vdash C \qquad Z, B \vdash C}{X, Y, Z \vdash C} \lor E$$

- Technically, this rule is used to "eliminate" a disjunction.
- But in practice, we use it to prove one!
- How is that possible? Because we can use any formula for C!
- I.e., when we want to derive a disjunction, we can use it as Cbut this will also require another disjunction for the first sequent!
- We often obtain that one via assuming it.



Disjunction is commutative: $p \lor q \vdash q \lor p$

$$\alpha_{1}$$
 (1) $p \lor q$ A
 α_{2} (2) p A
 α_{2} (3) $q \lor p$ 2 $\lor I$
 α_{3} (4) q A
 α_{3} (5) $q \lor p$ 4 $\lor I$
 α_{1} (6) $q \lor p$ 1,3[α_{2}],5[α_{3}] $\lor E$

$$\frac{X \vdash B}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$

$$\frac{X \vdash A}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} X = \overbrace{\{p \lor q\}}^{\alpha_1} & A = \alpha_2 = p \\ Y = \emptyset & B = \alpha_3 = q \\ Z = \emptyset & C = q \lor p \end{vmatrix}$$



Disjunction is commutative: $p \lor q \vdash q \lor p$

$$\alpha_{1}$$
 (1) $p \lor q$ A
 α_{2} (2) p A
 α_{2} (3) $q \lor p$ 2 $\lor I$
 α_{3} (4) q A
 α_{3} (5) $q \lor p$ 4 $\lor I$
 α_{1} (6) $q \lor p$ 1,3[α_{2}],5[α_{3}] $\lor E$

$$\frac{X \vdash B}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$

$$\frac{X \vdash A}{X \vdash A \lor B} \lor I$$

- In line 3, the q was just some arbitrary truth value that we've added due to Disjunction-Introduction!
- Similarly in line 5 the p was arbitrary. Notably, that's not the p from assumption α_2 .



 Conjunction and disjunction behave just like multiplication and addition, e.g. $p \cdot (q + r) = p \cdot q + p \cdot r$:

$$p, q \lor r \vdash (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$$



 Conjunction and disjunction behave just like multiplication and addition, e.g. $p \cdot (q + r) = p \cdot q + p \cdot r$:

1.3 *∧I*

1.4 *∧I*

 $5 \vee I$

$$p, q \lor r \vdash (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$$

$$\alpha_{1}$$
 α_{1}
 α_{2}
 (2)
 $q \lor r$
 A
 α_{3}
 (3)
 q
 A
 α_{4}
 (4)
 r
 α_{1}, α_{3}
 (5)
 $p \land q$
 α_{1}, α_{3}
 (6)
 $(p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$
 α_{1}, α_{4}
 (7)
 $p \land r$

(8) $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r) \quad 7 \vee I$ α_1, α_4

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$$
 (9) $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ 2,6[α_3],8[α_4] $\vee E$



Summary



Content of this Lecture

- The remaining rules for natural deduction: negation and disjunction
- → The entire Logic Notes sections:
 - Propositional natural deduction: Negation
 - Propositional natural deduction: Disjunction
 - \rightarrow We are done now with everything until Section 2!

