```
Section 3
1. We know that XEIXIX2). YE (41.42).
   Assume X2 is not evidence again y.
   then P(Y=Y_1 | X=X_2) > P(Y=Y_1)
    we know that P(Y=Y, (X=X1) > P(Y=Y1)
    then P(Y=Y_1) = P(Y=Y_1, X=X_1) + P(Y=Y_1, X=X_2)
                     = P(X=X1) P(Y=Y1 | X=X1) + P(X=X2) P(Y=Y1 | X=X2)
                     = P(X=X1) P(Y=Y1/X=X1) + (1-P(X=X1)) P(Y=Y1/X=X2)
       If p(Y= y1 | X=X2) > p(Y= y1), then
 P(Y=YD = P(X=X1) P(Y=Y1/X=X1) + (1-P(X=X1)) P(Y=Y1/X=X2)
         > P(X= XI) P(Y=YI) + (1-P(X=XI)) P(Y=YI)
         = P(x = x_1) P(Y = Y_1) + P(Y = Y_1) - P(x = x_1) P(Y = Y_1)
         = P(Y=Y1) .
      i-e. P(Y=Y1) > P(Y=Y1)
          which is a contradiction.
      Thus X2 must be an evidence against Y1.
2. (1) When h=1, i.e. H times flips have I head,
          then P(H=0) = (7)90(1-9)^{H=0} = (1-9)^{W}
        Assume H=n P(H=n) = (h) qn (1-q)N-n
         then P(H=n+1) = P(H=n) \cdot q \cdot Ch(1) = \binom{N}{n} \binom{n+2}{1} \cdot q \cdot q^n \cdot (+q)^{N-n}
                        = (N/1) 9h+1 (1-9) N+h+1)
                                              PCh heads in N flips/Coin=leal) P(coin=leal)
  (2) P (Coin = real | h reads in N flips) =
                                              P(h leads in N flips)
     RHS = PCh heads in N flips (Coin = leal) P(Coin = leal)
           PCh heads in N flips/Coin=leal) D(Coin=leal) +
           PCh heads in N flips/ Coin = falle) D(coin = falle)
    We know that P(coin = leal) = P Coin = falce) = }
                    PCh heads in N flips/Coin=leal) = (N) 9h (1-9)N-h
```

PCh heads in N-flips/Coin=fale) = (N) ph (1-p)N-h

 $\frac{1}{2} \times \binom{N}{h} \frac{9^{h} (1-9)^{N-h}}{1-9^{N-h} + 2} \times \binom{N}{h} \frac{9^{h} (1-9)^{N-h}}{1-9^{N-h} + 2} = \frac{9^{h} (1-9)^{N-h}}{2^{h} (1-9)^{N-h} + p^{h} (1-p)^{N-h}}$