

## COMP3670/6670: Introduction to Machine Learning

### Question 1

### Matrix Properties

#### 1. Uniqueness of inverses

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Assume  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible. Prove that the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  is unique, (that is, there is only one matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  that satisfies  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{I}_n$ )

**Solution.** Assume not for contradiction. Then at least two inverses of  $\mathbf{A}$  must exist (as  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible.) Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  denote distinct inverses of  $\mathbf{A}$ . (i.e that  $\mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{Y}$ ). Then by definition,

$$\mathbf{XA} = \mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{YA} = \mathbf{AY} = \mathbf{I}$$

So then

$$\mathbf{AY} = \mathbf{AX}$$

Left multiplying by any inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  (we choose  $\mathbf{X}$ ).

$$\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{AY}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{AX})$$

$$(\mathbf{XA})\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{XA})\mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{IY} = \mathbf{IX}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence inverses are unique.

#### 2. Inverse of an inverse

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Assume  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible. Prove that  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}$ .

**Solution.** We need to find a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  such that

$$\mathbf{XA}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}$$

Choose  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A}$ . Note from the definition of the inverse, we have that

$$\mathbf{AA}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$$

Hence by definition, the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is  $\mathbf{A}$ , and

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}$$

#### 3. Distributing the transpose

For  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , prove that  $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^T = \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{B}^T$

**Solution.** We check the  $i, j$ th element, and verify they both match.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})_{i,j}^T \\ &= (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})_{j,i} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{j,i} + \mathbf{B}_{j,i} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^T + \mathbf{B}_{i,j}^T \\ &= (\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{B}^T)_{i,j} \end{aligned}$$

The above proof works as addition is performed elementwise.

#### 4. Matrix Cancellation

Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$  all be square matrices of the same dimension. Assume  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{AC}$ . Does it always follow that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ ?

**Solution.** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, then yes, as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{AB} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{AC} \\ \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{C}\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  isn't invertible, then it might not hold. (E.g. If  $\mathbf{A}$  was the zero matrix, then the equation would hold for any  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ .)

#### Question 2

#### Moore-Penrose Inverse

Assuming  $\mathbf{A}$  is invertible, prove that the Moore-Penrose inverse  $(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T$  equals  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

How does this show that the Moore-Penrose inverse is more general than the inverse?

Give an example of a matrix that does not have a Moore-Penrose inverse.

**Solution.**

$$(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

This is more general than the inverse, as the Moore-Penrose inverse can be defined for non-square matrices, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The square zero matrix of any dimension  $\mathbf{Z}$  has no Moore-Penrose inverse, as  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}$ , and thus  $(\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z})^{-1}$  is undefined.

#### Question 3

#### Linear Equations

Prove that a system of linear equations  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  either has no solutions, a unique solution or infinitely many solutions.

(This was done in lecture slides, but try to write the proof in great detail.)

(Hint: If there are at least two solutions  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ , consider the vector  $\mathbf{v}_\lambda = \lambda \mathbf{p} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{q}$ .)

**Solution.** If  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  has no solutions or a unique solution, we are done. So assume not. So there exists at least two distinct solutions  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ . So we have  $\mathbf{Ap} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{Aq} = \mathbf{b}$ . For some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\mathbf{v}_\lambda = \lambda \mathbf{p} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{q}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Av}_\lambda &= \mathbf{A}(\lambda \mathbf{p} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{q}) \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{Ap} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{Aq} \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{b} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{b}\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{v}_\lambda$  is a solution for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we have infinitely many solutions.

#### Question 4

#### Vector Subspaces

Prove that the set of solutions to  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  is a vector subspace<sup>1</sup> if and only if  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ .

<sup>1</sup>As a reminder, to check if a non-empty set  $E \subseteq V$  is a vector subspace of  $V$ , we need to check two things:

**Closure under addition:** For every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in U$ ,  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in U$ .

**Closure under scalar multiplication:** For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  we have  $\lambda \mathbf{u} \in U$ .

**Solution.** Assume  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ . The set of solutions is not empty, as  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  denote two solutions. Then the sum  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$  is also a solution, as

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

We can scalar multiply any solution  $\mathbf{v}$  and still have a solution, as

$$\mathbf{A}(\lambda\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$$

hence the set of solutions to  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is a subspace.

Assume that  $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then closure under scalar multiplication fails, as if  $\mathbf{v}$  was a solution, then

$$\mathbf{A}(2\mathbf{v}) = 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = 2\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{b}$$

and hence, the set of solutions to  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is not a subspace.

### Question 5

### Linear Independence

Let  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be a matrix. Let  $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$  be a set of linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ . Assume that  $\{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}\}$  are linearly dependant. Prove there exists non-zero  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$  such that  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Solution.** Linear dependence means there exists scalars  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , at least one of them non-zero, such that

$$c_1\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} + c_2\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$$

Using the fact that matrix multiplication distributes over scalar multiplication and vector addition,

$$\mathbf{T}(c_1\mathbf{u} + c_2\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Now since  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are linearly independent, and it is not the case that both  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are zero, it follows that  $(c_1\mathbf{u} + c_2\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , hence we have a non-zero solution to  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

### Question 6

### Combining vector subspaces

Let  $V$  be a vector space. Let  $A \subseteq V$  and  $B \subseteq V$  be vector subspaces of  $V$ .

1. Prove that  $A \cap B$  is a vector subspace of  $V$ .

**Solution.** We need to check the two properties.

Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be in  $A \cap B$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are in  $A$ , and so  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in A$ , since  $A$  is a vector subspace, and is closed under addition. By a similar argument,  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in B$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in A \cap B$ , and the set is closed under addition. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x} \in A \cap B$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in B$ . Since both  $A$  and  $B$  are vector subspaces,  $\lambda\mathbf{x} \in A, \lambda\mathbf{x} \in B$ . Thus  $\lambda\mathbf{x} \in A \cap B$ , and the set is closed under scalar multiplication.

2. (Tricky) Prove that  $A \cup B$  is a vector subspace of  $V$  if and only if  $A$  is contained in  $B$ , or  $B$  is contained in  $A$ .

(This proof is easy in one direction, and tricky the other direction. As a hint, if the sets are not contained in each other, then there must lie a vector in  $A \setminus B$  and in  $B \setminus A$ . Consider the sum of these vectors.)

**Solution.** Assume that one of  $A$  or  $B$  is contained in the other. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \cup B = B$ , and the result immediately follows, as  $B$  is a vector subspace. Similar argument for  $B \subseteq A$ .

Assume  $A$  is not contained in  $B$ , and vice versa. Assume for contradiction that  $A \cup B$  is a vector subspace. So there must exist an element  $\mathbf{a} \in A \setminus B$  and an element  $\mathbf{b} \in B \setminus A$ . Consider  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ . Since by assumption  $A \cup B$  is a vector subspace, it must be closed under vector addition. So  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$  lies in  $A$  or  $B$  (or both.) If  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$  is in  $A$ , then note that  $(-1)\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a}$  is also in  $A$  (by closure under scalar multiplication), but  $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) + (-\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}$  is not in  $A$ , violating the property of closure under addition.

We can make the same argument if  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$  is in  $B$ .

In either case we get a contradiction, and  $A \cup B$  cannot be a vector subspace.