

## *Class Notes*

### *Statistical Computing & Machine Learning*

#### *Class 14*

#### *Model & Theory building*

##### *Occam's Razor: A heuristic*

*Non sunt multiplicanda entia sine necessitate.*

- Entities must not be multiplied beyond necessity.

But what's "beyond necessity?"

##### *Einstein's proverb*

*Man muß die Dinge so einfach wie möglich machen. Aber nicht einfacher.*

- Make things as simple as possible, but not one bit simpler.

But what's "too simple?"

#### *Statistical parallel*

##### *Bias versus variance*

Bias refers to the ways that  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{X})$  is systematically different from the idealized  $f(\mathbf{X})$ .

Variance refers to the ways that  $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{X})$  varies with the particular sample  $i$  used for training.

##### *Goal for model building*

##### **Make model error small**

###### **Sub-Goals:**

- Make bias as small as possible
  - use many parameters, flexible function architectures.
- Make variance as small as possible.
  - use large training sample size  $n$
  - use few parameters/stiff function architectures

Basic modeling-building question:

- Does adding this [variable, model term, potential flexibility] help?
  - For reducing bias: yes
  - For reducing variance: maybe (if it eats up residual variance)
  - For reducing error: maybe

### *Operationalizing model choice*

Think in terms of comparing two models to select which one is better.

“Better” needs to be transitive: if A is better than B, and B is better than C, then A is better than C.

Then, any number of models can be compared to find the one (or more) that is the best.

### *Some definitions of “better”*

- Larger likelihood (non-iid Gaussian error models)
- Smaller mean square prediction error (same as larger likelihood with iid Gaussian error model)
- Classification error rate is smaller
- Loss function is smaller

### *Training and Testing*

Evaluation of performance using training data is biased to give larger likelihood (smaller MSE or classification error or loss error).

Unbiased evaluation is done on separate testing data.

### *Trade-off*

- Need large testing dataset for good estimate of performance
- Need large training dataset for reducing variance in fit.

How to get both:

1. Collect a huge amount of data. When this works, go for it!
2. K-fold cross validation
  - Pull out  $1/K$  part of the data for performance testing.
  - Fit to the other  $(K-1)/K$  part of the data.
  - Repeat K times and average the prediction results over the K trials.
3. Once you’ve found the best *form* of model, fit it to the whole data set. That’s your model.

### *Programming Basics: Loops/Iteration*

Loops are the programming control structure that allows you to repeat the same commands many times.

*A definition of insanity:* Doing something over and over again and expecting a different result.

*Parts of a loop*

1. Preparation — creating a place to hold the results  
This is called the “accumulator.”
2. Identify a set to loop over.
3. Inside the loop, modify the accumulator
4. When the loop is done, package up the results.

*Trivial examples*

- Find the sum of squares of a vector
- Find the biggest element of a vector
- Find the  $k$ th Fibonacci number

*More realistic example*

Leave-one-out cross-validation.

```
# preparation
my_data <- mosaicData::KidsFeet
error <- numeric(nrow(my_data))

# The looping set: each row in my_data
for (k in 1:nrow(my_data)) {
  # the body of the loop
  mod <- lm(width ~ length * sex, data = my_data[ -k, ])
  mod_value <- predict(mod, newdata = my_data[k, ])
  error[k] <- my_data$width[k] - mod_value
}
```

```
# packaging up the results
result <- sum(error^2)
```

Look at the result:

```
result

## [1] 6.304381

regular_model <- lm(width ~ length * sex, data = my_data)
sum(resid(regular_model)^2)

## [1] 5.329327

anova(regular_model)
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: width
##           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## length    1 4.0557  4.0557 26.6353
## sex        1 0.4790  0.4790  3.1456
## length:sex  1 0.0037  0.0037  0.0246
## Residuals 35 5.3293  0.1523
##           Pr(>F)
## length    9.86e-06 ***
## sex        0.08484 .
## length:sex 0.87638
## Residuals
## ---
## Signif. codes:
##  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

In ANOVA, we use a degrees of freedom to adjust for the under-estimate of residuals.

```
sum(resid(regular_model)^2) / 35
```

```
## [1] 0.1522665
```

In leave-one-out, we can simply average the errors:

```
result / 38
```

```
## [1] 0.1659048
```

### *Jubilee Week*

Work on completing the first 12 in-class activities.