

| Total Subscribers Analysis | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Reconciliation (Excel vs. SQL) | | Conversion Rate [1] | 0.02 | | | | | |
| | | Product cost [2] | 5 | | | | | |
| | | Campaign cost [3] | \$50,000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Difference (Excel vs. SQL) [4] | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Name | AVG Views Per Video (Excel) | AVG Views Per Video (SQL) | Potential Product Sales Per Video (Excel) | Potential Product Sales Per Video (SQL) | Potential Revenue Per Video (\$) (Excel) | Potential Revenue Per Video (\$) (SQL) | Net Profit (\$) (Excel) | Net Profit (\$) (SQL) |
| NoCopyrightSounds | 6,920,000 | 6,920,000 | 138,400 | 138,400 | 692,000 | 692,000 | 642,000 | 642,000 |
| DanTDM | 5,340,000 | 5,340,000 | 106,800 | 106,800 | 534,000 | 534,000 | 484,000 | 484,000 |
| Dan Rhodes | 11,150,000 | 11,150,000 | 223,000 | 223,000 | 1,115,000 | 1,115,000 | 1,065,000 | 1,065,000 |
| Recommendations | | | | | | | | |
| After our analysis, we recommend doing buisness with Dan Rhodes because he has a better viewer engagement than the other channels. In fact, Dan Rhodes channel has a better ROI than its competition based on the average view per video, potential of sales per video and potential revenue per video. | | | | | | | | |

[1] Defines the average viewers that purchase the product that's been advertise.

[2] Cost of the product that's been advertised

[3] The cost of the advertisement campaign.

[4] This column determines if Excel calculations matches the SQL calculation. The idea is to have a match because it confirms that the calculation data is correct.