



# Alaska Electricity Trends Report

Something profound and illuminating.

ACEP Data Team

# Table of contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
Welcome . . . . .	3
1.0.1. How to Cite . . . . .	3
Executive Summary . . . . .	3
Key Takeaways . . . . .	4
1.0.1. Capacity . . . . .	4
1.0.2. Generation . . . . .	4
1.0.3. Consumption . . . . .	4
1.0.4. Prices . . . . .	5
<b>2. Acknowledgements and Roles</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1. Acknowledgements . . . . .	6
2.1.1. Funding . . . . .	6
2.1.2. Partners . . . . .	6
2.2. Credits and Roles . . . . .	7
2.2.1. Affiliations . . . . .	8
<b>3. Acronym Definitions</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1. Acronyms . . . . .	9
<b>4. Price of Electricity</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1. General Overview . . . . .	10
4.1.1. Regional Overview . . . . .	10
4.1.2. Regional Averages . . . . .	11
4.2. Coastal . . . . .	11
4.3. Railbelt . . . . .	12
4.4. Rural Remote . . . . .	13
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>A. About this Report</b>	<b>15</b>
A.1. General Overview . . . . .	15
A.2. Historical Timeline of Prior Reports . . . . .	15
A.3. Technical Details . . . . .	16
<b>B. Setup</b>	<b>17</b>
B.1. Welcome . . . . .	17

B.2. <code>renv</code> Package Management . . . . .	17
B.3. Rendering a PDF . . . . .	18
B.4. Conditional Rendering to HTML and PDF . . . . .	18
B.5. A tale of two chunks . . . . .	18

# 1. Introduction

An Analysis of Electricity in Alaska, Data Years 2011-2021

## Welcome

This interactive report summarizes electricity data gathered from federal, state, and utility sources and presented in the form of a web book. It provides an overview of electricity capacity, generation, consumption, and price trends from 2011 to 2021. A comprehensive report highlighting these trends has not been produced for the state of Alaska since 2013's *Alaska Energy Statistics Report*.

This web book is designed as 'best available' document for the 2011-2021 energy trends data and reports. This website will be updated when updates to the underlying 2011-2021 data or fixes become available. Future year trends reports will be tackled in a different context and reporting structure.

Please explore the data using the chapter navigation links in the left sidebar and the section navigation links in the right sidebar.

### 1.0.1. How to Cite

include \_citations.qmd

## Executive Summary

The objective of this work is to provide regulators, legislators, and other energy stakeholders with a holistic look at recent trends in electrical generation. The first impetus for this report is a lack of combined reporting on electricity generation across the state that extends to federally and non-federally regulated electric utilities (most of Alaska's electric utilities do not meet the minimum threshold for federal reporting requirements). The second impetus for this report is to aid in decision-making processes surrounding Alaska's energy future.

With uncertainty in natural gas sources on the Railbelt, technological advancements in generation technology, and improvements in the affordability of technologies, understanding trends in the state's capacity, generation, consumption, and prices is vital to more informed decision-making.

In this report, we present data collected from federal, state, and local sources supplemented by correspondence with utilities. We show trends for capacity, generation, consumption, and prices. The capacity and generation trends include data from 2011 to 2021, and the consumption and prices trends are data limited to 2019. More information on sources and methods are provided in the subsequent sections throughout the report. This report uses data visualizations as the primary mode for presenting the trends. To accommodate this presentation style, we present trends as simplified regions of the state as opposed to the Alaska Energy Authority energy regions.

We emphasize that this report is designed to provide factual information to the best of our ability without providing recommendations or in-depth analysis. However, context is provided for more impactful trends.

## **Key Takeaways**

### **1.0.1. Capacity**

- Generation capacity on average increased across all of Alaska from 2011 to 2021.
- The state saw large increases in renewable energy capacity, storage, and on-demand peaking units.

### **1.0.2. Generation**

- Net generation has remained relatively stable
- The Coastal region generated more power from wind and hydro, but less from oil in 2021 than in 2011.
- The Railbelt region generated more power from wind, hydro, coal, and solar, but less from oil and gas in 2021 than in 2011.
- The Rural Remote region generated more power from wind and solar, but less from oil and hydro in 2021 than in 2011.
- We have seen significant increases in the usage of utility-scale battery storage.

### **1.0.3. Consumption**

- Electricity consumption overall has fallen for all customer classes, with residential customers seeing the most reductions.
- The number of customer accounts have continued to increase throughout the state.
- Per capita consumption for the residential sector is highest in the Coastal region and lowest in the Rural Remote region.

#### **1.0.4. Prices**

- Residential electricity rates increased on average across Alaska after adjusting for inflation, the PCE subsidy, and population weighting.
- The region that experienced the least residential rate increase was the Coastal region with a 6% increase.
- The region experiencing the highest residential rate increase was the Railbelt with a 26% increase.
- Commercial and Other customers in the Coastal and Rural Remote regions saw rate decreases where Commercial customers in the Railbelt region saw price increases of about 15%.
- PCE subsidies continue to dampen residential prices in the Coastal and Rural Remote regions.

## 2. Acknowledgements and Roles

About the Team that Created the 2024 Alaska Electricity Trends Report

### 2.1. Acknowledgements

#### 2.1.1. Funding

Funding support for this team was provided by leveraging support from multiple partners that include:

- Office of Naval Research's ([ONR](#)) Alaska Regional Collaboration for Technology Innovation and Commercialization ([ARCTIC](#)) program (award #N00014-19-1-2235)
- [Denali Commission](#) - Alaska Energy Project Partnerships (award #1659)
- State of Alaska

Note: Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding supporters.

#### 2.1.2. Partners

- Alaska Center for Energy and Power ([ACEP](#)) at the University of Alaska Fairbanks ([UAF](#))
- Institute of Social and Economic Research ([ISER](#)) at the University of Alaska Anchorage ([UAA](#))
- Alaska Energy Authority ([AEA](#))
- DOWL Engineering ([DOWL](#))

Data used in the energy workbooks comes from a variety of sources and partners, but special thanks to the Alaska Energy Authority for partnering with the team to make the base line power cost equalization datasets available. Without this openness, this report would not be possible. We would also like to thank the utilities who responded directly when we had questions. A full list of these organizations has been compiled in [?@sec-sources](#).

## 2.2. Credits and Roles

The Alaska Electrical Trends web book has been produced by the Alaska Center for Energy and Power ([ACEP](#)) at the University of Alaska Fairbanks ([UAF](#)). It is a collaboration between data scientists, researchers, and policy experts. Roles here are described by [Contributor Roles Taxonomy \(CRediT\)](#).

- [Jesse Kaczmarski](#)<sup>1</sup>
  - Roles: data curation, formal analysis, project administration, software, validation, visualization, writing – original draft
- [Ian MacDougall](#)<sup>1</sup>
  - Roles: data curation, formal analysis, software, validation, visualization, writing – original draft
- [Steve Colt](#)<sup>2</sup>
  - Roles: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, validation, writing – review & editing
- [Elizabeth \(Liz\) Dobbins](#)<sup>1</sup>
  - Roles: software, project administration, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing
- [Neil McMahon](#)<sup>3</sup>
  - Roles: data curation, investigation, validation
- [Sara Fisher-Goad](#)<sup>4</sup>
  - Roles: conceptualization, validation, writing – review & editing
- [Brittany Smart](#)<sup>2</sup>
  - Roles: project administration, writing – review & editing
- [Dayne Broderon](#)<sup>1</sup>
  - Roles: conceptualization, funding acquisition
- [Gwen Holdmann](#)<sup>2</sup>
  - Roles: conceptualization, funding acquisition
- [Shivani Mathur](#)<sup>2</sup>
  - Roles: validation, writing – review & editing
- [Erika Boice](#)<sup>2</sup>
  - Roles: writing – review & editing



### **2.2.1. Affiliations**

ACEP's Data and Cyberinfrastructure Management (DCM) Team includes software developers, mathematicians, spatial analysts, economists, open science enthusiasts, and experts in information security and the deployment of computer infrastructure. ACEP's Energy Transition Initiative (ETI) is a group of experts that respond quickly to informational requests about Alaska energy. These teams together maintain a reliable pathway for data from collection to distribution.

## 3. Acronym Definitions

Acronyms Commonly used in the Report

### 3.1. Acronyms

These abbreviations are used throughout this report

- ACEP: Alaska Center for Energy and Power, UAF
- AEA: Alaska Energy Authority
- BUECI: Barrow Utilities & Electric Cooperative, Inc.
- DCM: the Data and Cyberinfrastructure Management team at ACEP, UAF
- PCE: Power Cost Equalization
- EIA: Energy Information Administration
- ETI: Energy Transitions Initiative, ACEP
- FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- CAGR: Compound Annual Growth Rate
- kW: Kilowatt
- kWh: Kilowatt-hour
- MW: Megawatt
- MWh: Megawatt-hour
- GW: Gigawatts
- GWh: Gigawatt-hour
- UAF: University of Alaska Fairbanks

## 4. Price of Electricity

Residential, Commercial, and Industrial Customer Classes, 2011-2019

### 4.1. General Overview

Utilities in Alaska serve multiple customers, namely residential, commercial, industrial, government/municipal, and community customer classes. Each customer class experiences a different set of costs such as per kWh charge as well as monthly customer charges. In this section, we aim to highlight trends in electricity prices for the residential, commercial, and other customer classes across the Coastal, Railbelt, and Rural Remote regions. We again restrict the data years in this section to 2011 to 2019 due to concerns with data validity for 2020-21.

In rural areas, many communities are eligible to participate in the PCE program (described in [?@sec-pce-description](#)). It is important to note that the prices presented here reflect the post-PCE adjustment and are annualized averages based on the calendar year. Yearly average effective rates listed here reflect the calendar year and not the fiscal year, which will make them different from those reported in the AEA's annual reports. We also note that for PCE communities, the rates are reported in the original data. For data sourced from the EIA, rates were calculated by dividing total revenue by total kWh sold in each customer class - this may overestimate the rate as this would include revenue from customer charges.

Note that all prices in this section have been adjusted for inflation over time to 2021 dollars using the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all items in urban Alaska (BLS CUUSA427SA0). To our knowledge, there is no CPI that properly accounts for price changes over time in rural Alaska. However, the general trend shows that customer account-weighted prices have been relatively stagnant across all regions of the state.


#### 4.1.1. Regional Overview

[?@fig-price-regions-classes](#) shows the distribution of prices across a selected customer class. The three classes used in this analysis can be selected from the dropdown menu. Hover your pointer over the dot to display utility information.

Most notably, the dramatic effects of the PCE subsidy can be seen by comparing the price distribution of the residential customer class against the price distribution of the commercial/other customer classes in the Rural Remote and Coastal regions.

4.1.2. Regional Averages

Due to the wide range of electricity prices in Alaska, it is difficult to accurately summarize the data. Because of this, we determined that averages were best calculated using a customer account weighted average. Population is roughly correlated to price, with small communities experiencing higher rates than larger communities. The average number of customer accounts for the year was used to calculate the weighted arithmetic mean price for each year and region. **?@fig-price-over-time** is a graph of residential customer prices over time after weighting for the number of customer accounts.



[1] "PDF code block active"


4.2. Coastal

**?@fig-price-sector-coastal** shows the average price of electricity in the Coastal region for each customer class and year. Between 2011 and 2019, the region experienced decreasing prices for Commercial and Other customers, while Residential customers have seen a slight increase in price.

The average real price (in 2021 dollars) of electricity for Residential customers in the Coastal region rose {ojs} coastal\_res\_change% from {ojs} coastal\_res\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} coastal\_res\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. The average price of electricity for Commercial customers in the Coastal region fell {ojs} coastal\_com\_change% from {ojs} coastal\_com\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} coastal\_com\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. Finally, the average price of electricity for Other customers in the Coastal region fell {ojs} coastal\_other\_change% from {ojs} coastal\_other\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} coastal\_other\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019.

Residential customers in the Coastal region saw increases in the price of electricity while commercial and other customers saw decreases. However, the residential customer class continues to pay the lowest per kWh in the region due to a combination of low prices in high population areas and PCE subsidies in eligible communities.

Figure 3: Sector Price Over Time, Coastal Region



### 4.3. Railbelt


?@fig-price-sector-railbelt shows the average price of electricity in the Railbelt region for each customer class and each year of the report. Between 2011 and 2019, Other customers saw a large decrease in price, followed by a gradual increase. Residential and Commercial customers experienced slight decreases in price until 2013 when prices reversed and rose dramatically.

The average real price of electricity for Residential customers in the Railbelt rose {ojs} railbelt\_res\_change% from {ojs} railbelt\_res\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} railbelt\_res\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. The average price of electricity for Commercial customers in the Railbelt rose {ojs} railbelt\_com\_change% from {ojs} railbelt\_com\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} railbelt\_com\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. Finally, the average price of electricity for Other customers

in the Railbelt fell {ojs} railbelt\_other\_change% from {ojs} railbelt\_other\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} railbelt\_other\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019.

This region differs significantly from the Coastal and Rural Remote regions in that residential customers pay more for electricity than the Commercial or Other customer classes.

Figure 3: Sector Price Over Time, Railbelt Region




#### 4.4. Rural Remote

?@fig-price-sector-rural shows the average price of electricity in the Rural Remote region for each customer class and year of the report. Between 2011 and 2019, Residential customers experienced a gradual increase in rates, while Commercial and Other customers experienced a gradual decrease in rates.

The average price of electricity for the Residential customers in the Rural Remote region rose {ojs} rural\_res\_change% from {ojs} rural\_res\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} rural\_res\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. The average price of electricity for Commercial customers in the Rural Remote region fell {ojs} rural\_com\_change% from {ojs} rural\_com\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} rural\_com\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019. Finally, the average price of electricity for Other customers in the Rural Remote region fell {ojs} rural\_other\_change% from {ojs} rural\_other\_2011 cents/kWh in 2011 to {ojs} rural\_other\_2019 cents/kWh in 2019.

Figure 3: Sector Price Over Time, Rural Remote Region



# A. About this Report

Description of the 2024 Alaska Electricity Trends Report Web Book

## A.1. General Overview

This Alaska Electrical Trends Report (AETR) Web Book has been produced by the Alaska Center for Energy and Power (ACEP) at the University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF). It is designed to be interactive and dynamically updated when new data becomes available.

Throughout the years, several agencies have prepared and published reports and data compilations on energy use in Alaska. AETR is complementary to those prior reports, but is not presented in a comparable format.

## A.2. Historical Timeline of Prior Reports




Figure A.1.: Timeline of Energy Reports

Starting in 1969, the first Electric Power Trends report was published by the Alaska Power Administration. During this time, the Alaska Power Administration was a federal agency housed



within the U.S. Department of the Interior. Their first publication was known as the “First Annual Report” and covered data from the 1968 fiscal year. However, this became the “Alaska Electric Power Statistics Report” in 1971 and examined data from the 1960-1969 data years. The APA continued to produce intermittent reports until 1983 when the State of Alaska established the Alaska Power Authority (APA), which later became the Alaska Energy Authority (AEA).

Under state direction, the APA/AEA continued to publish intermittent reports on electric power statistics until their final publication in 1992 which covered data years 1960 to 1991. To address the reporting gap, the Alaska Systems Coordinating Council in collaboration with the State of Alaska, Department of Community and Regional Affairs, Division of Energy continued generating reports until 1996 with their final report covering data years 1960 to 1995. Finally, the University of Alaska Anchorage, Institute of Social and Economic Research produced several reports with their last covering 1960 to 2012. Since then, there have been no electric power statistical reports.

The Table [A.1](#) provides a summary of this timeline. This report serves to supplement the reporting gap in electric power statistics for the State of Alaska.

Table A.1.: Historical Timeline of Reports

Year Published	Institution	Data Coverage
1971 to 1983	Alaska Power Administration	1960 to 1982
1984 to 1988	Alaska Power Authority	1960 to 1987
1989 to 1992	Alaska Energy Authority	1960 to 1991
1992 to 1996	Alaska Systems Coordinating Council; State of Alaska	1960 to 1995
2003, 2011 to 2015	University of Alaska Anchorage, Institute of Social and Economic Research	1960 to 2012

For a table of links to these historic reports, please refer to [?@tbl-historic-reports](#).

### A.3. Technical Details

The book is formatted using [Quarto](#), an open-source scientific and technical publishing system. The template was developed by the Openscapes project, as part of their [Quarto Website Tutorial](#).

The markdown files that make up the book reside in the [aetr-web-book](#) GitHub repository. The generation process is publicly accessible. Errors in the document can be flagged using GitHub issues where they can be tracked and addressed by the DCM team.

The book also integrates R code for data processing and figure generation. When data files are updated, manually triggering the Quarto render will update the figures automatically.

## B. Setup

Getting started with Quarto and conditional rendering

### B.1. Welcome

Welcome to the technical setup page.

### B.2. `renv` Package Management

Packages in this repo are managed by `renv`. The workflow takes a second to get used to, but doesn't have to be a big deal. Similar to `npm`, `renv` is globally installed on your system independent of the repo environment.

Once the repository has been cloned to your computer and `renv` is installed on your system, install the necessary R dependencies:

1. In a terminal window, navigate to the root directory of the repo
2. Start R by typing `R` and pressing Enter
3. In the resulting R console, run the following command

```
renv::restore() #installs packages in the `renv.lock` file
```

As you write code that needs additional packages, include them at the top of your scripts as `library("new-package")`. Then, before committing, run steps 1 through 3 above, but use `renv::snapshot()` in step 3. This will comb through the repo to look for packages not in the `renv.lock` file. When you are prompted for a selection, select option 2: "Install the packages, then snapshot" to bring the new packages into the fold.

*Note: To get you started, the `renv.lock` file of this repo includes all *tidyverse* packages*

## B.3. Rendering a PDF

To render a PDF of this code, open a terminal, navigate to the root of the repo and run the following command. Make sure to change the output directory.

```
quarto render --to pdf --output-dir /path/to/output/dir
```

*Please note that rendering to PDF requires a LaTeX distribution to be installed on your system. If you don't have one, you can install TinyTeX, a lightweight, portable, and easy-to-maintain LaTeX distribution. You can install it in R with `tinytex::install_tinytex()`.*

## B.4. Conditional Rendering to HTML and PDF

Quarto bills itself as a “scientific and technical publishing system” and the system delivers. Code can be rendered into many formats, such as articles, presentations, dashboards, websites, blogs, and books.

The data team at ACEP has explored rendering to HTML and to PDF formats in order to build interactive websites and print publications.

Despite Quarto's powers, QMD files that generate Javascript and HTML won't render to PDF. In some cases, the render will throw an error. In order to maintain a code base that builds both an interactive website and a PDF document, we utilize separate code blocks and conditional rendering. Effectively, code blocks are turned on or off depending on the target output. This is done in the chunk header.

If you'd like the chunk to run when building a website, use: `{r, eval=knitr::is_html_output()}`

If you'd like the chunk to run when building a PDF, use: `{r, eval=knitr::is_latex_output()}`


## B.5. A tale of two chunks

Below is code to render two graphs using R. One code block is straight `ggplot`, the other contains an interactive tooltip from the `ggiraph` package. Since the interactive tooltip builds out as HTML and Javascript, it will break if Quarto is rendering a PDF.

And so we have two chunks, one with interactivity that's destined for the web, and the other is static for the PDF report. By including them side-by-side, the flow of the document is preserved. By conditionally evaluating using the header code from above, we can build a website and static report from the same code base.

You will only see one graph no matter what the render format because the other is conditionally executed for the other format. Look below the graph to see either “HTML code block active” or “PDF code block active” depending on the format you are viewing.

Figure 2: Residential Price Over Time



[1] "PDF code block active"