V346 Normae: first post-outburst observations of an FU Orionis star

S. Kraus,[1]★ A. Caratti o Garatti,[2] R. Garcia-Lopez,[2] A. Kreplin,[1] A. Aarnio,[3] J. D. Monnier,[3] T. Naylor[1] and G. Weigelt[4]

[1]*School of Physics, Astrophysics Group, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QL, UK*
[2]*Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, School of Cosmic Physics, Astronomy and Astrophysics Section, 31 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin 2, Ireland*
[3]*Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 311 West Hall, 1085 South University Ave, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*
[4]*Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany*

ABSTRACT

During their formation phase, stars gain most of their mass in violent episodic accretion events, such as observed in FU Orionis (FUor) and EXor stars. V346 Normae is a well-studied FUor that underwent a strong outburst beginning around 1980. Here, we report on photometric and spectroscopic observations, which show that the visual/near-infrared brightness has decreased dramatically between the 1990s and 2010 ($\Delta R \approx 10.9$ mag, $\Delta J \approx 7.8$ mag and $\Delta K \approx 5.8$ mag). The spectral properties of this fading event cannot be explained by variable extinction alone, but indicate a drop in accretion rate by two to three orders of magnitude. This is the first time that a member of the FUor class has been observed to switch to a very low accretion phase. Remarkably, in the last few years (2011–2015) V346 Nor has brightened again at all near-infrared wavelengths, indicating the onset of a new outburst event. The observed behaviour might be consistent with the clustered luminosity bursts that have been predicted by recent gravitational instability and fragmentation models for the early stages of protostellar evolution. Given V346 Nor's unique characteristics (concerning outburst duration, repetition frequency and spectroscopic diagnostics), our results also highlight the need to revisit the FUor/EXor classification scheme.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – stars: individual: V346 Normae – stars: pre-main sequence – stars: variables: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

FU Orionis (FUor) stars are pre-main-sequence stars that undergo optical outbursts, interpreted as extremely active phases of mass accretion ($\sim 10^{-4}$ M$_\odot$ yr^{-1}). These sources are classified by the observation of outbursts that increase the optical/infrared brightness by up to 6 mag for several decades as well as their spectroscopic characteristics, which include CO overtone bandhead 2.3 μm absorption and P Cygni Hα profiles that point towards strong outflow activity (for a recent review, see Audard et al. 2014). Only about a dozen stars are generally considered to belong to the FUor class, with a few more dozen FUor candidates. Besides their extremely high accretion luminosities, FUor outbursts are characterized by their very long outburst durations (estimated to be $\sim 10^2$– 10^3 yr; Hartmann & Kenyon 1996). In fact, the commonly accepted FUor members are still in outburst since their discovery decades ago, including the prototype FU Orionis itself. The long outburst durations are also used to separate FUor stars from EXor stars, which are eruptive stars of shorter outburst decay time (months to a few years) and with lower accretion rates ($\sim 10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$ yr^{-1}; Herbig 1989).

V346 Normae is in the Sa 187 cloud at a distance of 700 pc and is generally considered to be a genuine member of the FUor class (Prusti et al. 1993; Reipurth et al. 1997). Outburst activity of V346 Nor was first discovered in 1983 when Graham & Frogel (1985) reported the appearance of a star-like object in the visible ($V = 16$ mag). Ábrahám et al. (2004) compiled the light curve and concluded that the near-infrared brightness increased continuously throughout the 1990s. The FUor classification is also based on the detection of strong Li I absorption, a P Cygni-like Hα profile and the detection of strong water vapour absorption around 1.9 μm (Reipurth 1985).

Here, we report on photometric observations (Section 2) that show that V346 Nor has experienced a dramatic drop in visual/infrared excess, which indicates that the object has switched from a high-accretion to a low-accretion phase. We discuss our observed dramatic variability in Section 3. We close with a summary and discussion on the broader implications of our study in Section 4.

★ E-mail: skraus@astro.ex.ac.uk

2 OBSERVATIONS

We construct the light curve of V346 Nor using the following near- and mid-infrared imaging and spectroscopy data.

(i) VLT/SINFONI (2007-07-28; Eisenhauer et al. 2003): integral field spectroscopy, covering the K band with spectral resolution $R = 4000$ and pixel sizes of 25 and 250 mas pixel^{-1}.

(ii) Magellan/FIRE (2011-03-16; Simcoe et al. 2008): echelle spectroscopy, covering the $J/H/K$ band with $R = 8000$ (0.45 arcsec slit). We also recorded J-band acquisition images on V346 Nor and standard stars. These were used to check for slit losses that might have affected the absolute flux calibration.

(iii) VLT/XSHOOTER (2015-06-20; Vernet et al. 2011): echelle mode spectroscopy, covering the $J/H/K$ band with $R = 10\,500$ (slit size of 0.4 arcsec in the near-infrared arm). Additional data were taken with a wide 5-arcsec slit and used for the photometric calibration to avoid slit losses.

(iv) VLT/VISIR (2015-07-25; Lagage et al. 2004): imaging in a J7.9 ($7.78 \pm 0.55\,\mu m$), SiV ($10.49 \pm 0.16\,\mu m$), Q1 ($17.65 \pm 0.83\,\mu m$) and Q3 ($19.50 \pm 0.40\,\mu m$) filter.

(v) VLT/VISIR (2016-02-29): long-slit spectroscopy, covering the N band with $R = 350$.

(vi) VLTI/MIDI (2005-07-22/24, 2005-09-17/19, 2007-03-31, 2007-04-10/11, 2007-05-06/29, 2007-06-28, 2007-07-24): mid-infrared interferometry, covering the N band with $R = 30$. Photometry frames were recorded both on V346 Nor and the calibrator stars, allowing us to retrieve absolute fluxes.

(vii) Magellan/IMACS (2011-03-19): wide-field imaging in the $B/V/R$ band with a pixel size of 0.11 arcsec pixel^{-1}.

We reduced the SINFONI, XSHOOTER and VISIR data using the standard ESO instrument pipelines (versions 2.7.0, 2.6.8 and 4.1.7, respectively), while the MIT data reduction pipeline was used to extract the FIRE spectrum. All spectroscopic observations were accompanied by standard star observations (2007-07-28, HD 139579; 2011-03-16, HD 103125; 2015-06-20, HIP 85885 and HR 6572; 2015-07-25 and 2015-08-08, HD 178345; 2016-02-29, HD 149447 and HD 151680), which allows us to flux-calibrate the spectra. The MIDI data were reduced using the MIA+EWS software (Release 2.0; Jaffe 2004; Leinert et al. 2004) and observations on the calibrator stars HD 152820, HD 220704, HD 163376, HD 146791, HD 160668 and HD 138816 were used to extract absolute-calibrated photometry.

In this paper, we use the derived spectra to build the light curve (Fig. 1, top). The line emission signatures and interferometry results will be discussed in a future paper (Kraus et al., in preparation).

We also include photometry from Reipurth & Wamsteker (1983), Reipurth (1985), Graham & Frogel (1985), Molinari et al. (1993), Minniti et al. (2010, VVV), Cutri et al. (2014, WISE), the United States Naval Observatory (USNO), the Guide Star Catalogue II (GSC2), the Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS), the Deep Near-Infrared Survey of the Southern Sky (DENIS) catalogue, and *Spitzer*/IRS spectroscopy (AORKEY 3570688+16260864; first epoch published by Green et al. 2006). The derived photometry measurements are listed in Table 1, together with a compilation of measurements from the literature.

3 RESULTS

Our light curve (Fig. 1, top) shows that the near-infrared magnitude of V346 Nor dropped by $\Delta K = 5.8$ mag, $\Delta J = 7.8$ mag and $\Delta R = 10.9$ mag between the late 1990s and 2011. The dramatic drop in

Figure 1. Top: light curve of V346 Nor, including $R/J/H/K$-band and 3.5 and 12 μm. Bottom: the field around V346 Nor in outburst (left panel, 1999, 2MASS) and in the low-accretion phase (right panel, 2011, VVV).

brightness is also illustrated in Fig. 1 (bottom), where we compare images from 1999 and 2011. From these data, we construct the spectral energy distribution (SED) in the outburst (1990s), near the photometric minimum (2007–2011) and in the latest brightening phase (2015), as shown in Fig. 2.

In order to explain the observed dramatic photometric variability, we consider changes in the outburst properties of V346 Nor, or, alternatively, in the line-of-sight extinction. We find that variable extinction alone is not sufficient to explain the observed spectral slope, as the change in colour between the high- and low-accretion phases is much too shallow to be consistent with dust extinction. Between 1997/1998 and 2010, the K-band magnitude dropped by $\Delta K = 5.3$ with remarkably blue colours of $\Delta(J - K) = 2.1$ and $\Delta(R - K) = 2.6$. Dust extinction would result in much redder colours, e.g. $\Delta(J - K) = 7.8$ and $\Delta(R - K) = 36.6$ for the small ISM-like dust population (0.1 μm silicate grains) that was detected around V346 Nor (Schütz, Meeus & Sterzik 2005). We also tested scenarios with a large dust grain population (e.g. 10-μm grains, $\Delta(J - K) = 4.6$, $\Delta(R - K) = 16.6$), with a power-law grain size distribution, and dust grain mixtures. However, we were not able to reproduce the observed very blue colours with extinction alone. This finding is also consistent with the fact that the silicate absorption feature does not exhibit any significant changes in our 2005+2006+2007+2015+2016 mid-infrared spectra compared to

Table 1. Photometry on V346 Nor. The photometric data have been taken from the following references (while new measurements are referenced with the instrument name): rei83, Reipurth & Wamsteker (1983); rei85, Reipurth (1985); gra85, Graham & Frogel (1985); mol93, Molinari, Liseau & Lorenzetti (1993); mon98, Monet (1998); cut03, Cutri et al. (2003, 2MASS); den05, DENIS consortium (2005 September); las08, Lasker et al. (2008, GSC2.3.2); min10, Minniti et al. (2010, VVV); cut14, Cutri et al. (2014, WISE).

Epoch	Band	Magnitude/flux	Ref.
1980–12–22	R	11.8	mon98
1997–03–30	R	14.72 ± 0.19	las08
1979–02–01	J	12.20 ± 0.08	mol93
1979–02–11	J	12.20 ± 0.05	gra85
1979–08–??	J	11.96 ± 0.08	rei83
1983–04–25	J	10.17 ± 0.03	rei85
1983–04–25	J	9.98 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–05–10	J	9.97 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–19	J	10.08 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–21	J	10.12 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–10–23	J	9.99 ± 0.05	gra85
1985–01–01	J	10.01 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–02–01	J	10.24 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–05–01	J	10.05 ± 0.02	mol93
1986–02–01	J	10.17 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–05–01	J	10.03 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–06–01	J	9.88 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–02–01	J	10.51 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–03–30	J	10.77 ± 0.03	mol93
1991–01–27	J	10.09 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–22	J	10.31 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–02–22	J	10.47 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–26	J	10.51 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–09–18	J	11.27 ± 0.04	mol93
1992–01–20	J	10.57 ± 0.02	mol93
1992–05–13	J	10.35 ± 0.01	pru93
1998–07–01	J	10.318 ± 0.060	den05
1998–11–03	J	10.327 ± 0.070	den05
1999–05–20	J	10.178 ± 0.025	cut03
2010–03–16	J	17.749 ± 0.076	min10
2015–06–20	J	16.3 ± 0.2	XSHOOTER
1979–02–01	H	10.01 ± 0.07	mol93
1979–02–11	H	10.01 ± 0.05	gra85
1979–08–??	H	9.87 ± 0.03	rei83
1981–01–23	H	8.78 ± 0.13	rei85
1983–04–25	H	8.74 ± 0.02	rei85
1983–04–25	H	8.86 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–05–10	H	8.65 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–19	H	8.67 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–21	H	8.73 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–10–23	H	8.68 ± 0.05	gra85
1985–01–01	H	8.73 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–02–01	H	8.79 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–05–01	H	8.78 ± 0.02	mol93
1986–02–01	H	8.80 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–05–01	H	8.64 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–06–01	H	8.57 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–02–01	H	8.75 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–03–30	H	8.90 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–01–27	H	8.39 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–22	H	8.59 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–02–22	H	8.70 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–26	H	8.72 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–09–18	H	9.08 ± 0.03	mol93
1992–01–20	H	8.56 ± 0.01	mol93

Table 1 *– continued*

Epoch	Band	Magnitude/flux	Ref.
1992–05–13	H	8.46 ± 0.01	pru93
1999–05–20	H	8.599 ± 0.031	cut03
2010–03–16	H	15.289 ± 0.017	min10
2015–06–20	H	13.7 ± 0.2	XSHOOTER
1983–04–25	K	7.64 ± 0.01	rei85
1983–04–25	K	7.59 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–05–10	K	7.56 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–19	K	7.66 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–21	K	7.70 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–10–23	K	7.61 ± 0.10	gra85
1985–01–01	K	7.79 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–02–01	K	7.73 ± 0.02	mol93
1985–05–01	K	7.77 ± 0.02	mol93
1986–02–01	K	7.75 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–05–01	K	7.51 ± 0.02	mol93
1988–06–01	K	7.48 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–02–01	K	7.31 ± 0.02	mol93
1989–03–30	K	7.34 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–01–27	K	7.01 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–22	K	7.14 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–02–22	K	7.21 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–26	K	7.24 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–09–18	K	7.26 ± 0.03	mol93
1992–01–20	K	6.95 ± 0.01	mol93
1992–05–13	K	6.86 ± 0.01	pru93
1998–07–01	K	7.312 ± 0.070	den05
1998–11–03	K	7.215 ± 0.070	den05
1999–05–20	K	7.176 ± 0.021	cut03
2007–07–28	K	10.78 ± 0.16	SINFONI
2010–03–15	K	12.615 ± 0.003	min10
2010–03–16	K	12.649 ± 0.010	min10
2010–08–14	K	12.662 ± 0.004	min10
2011–05–13	K	12.33 ± 0.05	min10
2011–05–14	K	12.38 ± 0.06	min10
2011–05–16	K	12.33 ± 0.06	min10
2011–05–17	K	12.39 ± 0.06	min10
2011–05–30	K	12.59 ± 0.05	min10
2011–06–09	K	12.68 ± 0.06	min10
2011–08–18	K	12.38 ± 0.05	min10
2011–08–23	K	12.43 ± 0.08	min10
2011–08–31	K	12.492 ± 0.05	min10
2011–09–01	K	12.35 ± 0.06	min10
2011–09–01	K	12.513 ± 0.05	min10
2011–09–02	K	12.42 ± 0.06	min10
2011–09–19	K	12.635 ± 0.05	min10
2011–09–20	K	12.55 ± 0.06	min10
2011–10–02	K	12.57 ± 0.06	min10
2012–06–05	K	11.85 ± 0.05	min10
2012–06–05	K	11.84 ± 0.05	min10
2012–06–20	K	11.75 ± 0.05	min10
2012–06–21	K	11.75 ± 0.05	min10
2012–06–27	K	11.65 ± 0.06	min10
2012–06–27	K	11.66 ± 0.06	min10
2012–06–30	K	11.74 ± 0.06	min10
2013–05–27	K	10.8 ± 0.0.1	min10
2013–06–27	K	10.77 ± 0.05	min10
2013–06–28	K	11.06 ± 0.05	min10
2013–06–30	K	11.01 ± 0.05	min10
2013–07–01	K	10.90 ± 0.05	min10
2013–07–02	K	10.88 ± 0.05	min10
2013–07–14	K	10.92 ± 0.06	min10
2013–07–17	K	11.09 ± 0.06	min10
2013–07–20	K	10.52 ± 0.06	min10
2013–07–21	K	10.51 ± 0.06	min10

Table 1 – *continued*

Epoch	Band	Magnitude/flux	Ref.
2013–07–21	K	10.59 ± 0.06	min10
2013–07–22	K	10.81 ± 0.06	min10
2013–07–25	K	10.55 ± 0.09	min10
2013–07–28	K	10.66 ± 0.08	min10
2013–07–31	K	10.65 ± 0.05	min10
2015–06–20	K	10.8 ± 0.2	XSHOOTER
1979–08–??	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.18 ± 0.03	rei83
1981–01–23	$3.6\,\mu m$	6.57 ± 0.08	rei85
1983–03–26	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.19 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–04–25	$3.5\,\mu m$	5.86 ± 0.05	rei85
1983–04–25	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.22 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–09–21	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.34 ± 0.05	gra85
1983–10–23	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.25 ± 0.05	gra85
1985–01–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.74 ± 0.05	mol93
1985–02–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.07 ± 0.05	mol93
1985–05–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.41 ± 0.05	mol93
1986–02–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.00 ± 0.05	mol93
1988–05–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	5.70 ± 0.05	mol93
1988–06–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	6.08 ± 0.05	mol93
1989–02–01	$3.5\,\mu m$	5.25 ± 0.05	mol93
1989–03–30	$3.8\,\mu m$	5.26 ± 0.09	mol93
1991–01–27	$3.8\,\mu m$	4.97 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–02–22	$3.8\,\mu m$	5.01 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–02–22	$3.8\,\mu m$	5.07 ± 0.01	mol93
1991–02–26	$3.8\,\mu m$	5.02 ± 0.02	mol93
1991–09–18	$3.8\,\mu m$	4.82 ± 0.04	mol93
1992–01–20	$3.8\,\mu m$	4.66 ± 0.01	mol93
2010–03–01	$3.35\,\mu m$	0.177 ± 0.005 Jy	cut14
2010–03–01	$4.6\,\mu m$	1.59 ± 0.09 Jy	cut14
2010–03–01	$11.6\,\mu m$	18.2 ± 0.4 Jy	cut14
2004–02–27	$12\,\mu m$	7.18 Jy	IRS
2005–07–21	$12\,\mu m$	6.6 ± 0.3 Jy	MIDI
2005–09–16	$12\,\mu m$	9.0 ± 0.4 Jy	MIDI
2005–09–18	$12\,\mu m$	6.2 ± 0.3 Jy	MIDI
2006–04–16	$12\,\mu m$	7.87 Jy	IRS
2007–03–30	$12\,\mu m$	7.2 ± 0.4 Jy	MIDI
2007–03–30	$12\,\mu m$	7.1 ± 0.4 Jy	MIDI
2007–04–09	$12\,\mu m$	9.1 ± 0.5 Jy	MIDI
2007–04–10	$12\,\mu m$	9.3 ± 0.5 Jy	MIDI
2007–04–10	$12\,\mu m$	8.3 ± 0.4 Jy	MIDI
2007–05–06	$12\,\mu m$	7.4 ± 0.4 Jy	MIDI
2007–05–28	$12\,\mu m$	9.6 ± 0.5 Jy	MIDI
2007–05–28	$12\,\mu m$	9.2 ± 0.5 Jy	MIDI
2007–06–27	$12\,\mu m$	12.3 ± 0.6 Jy	MIDI
2015–07–25	$12\,\mu m$	11.7 ± 0.5 Jy	VISIR
2010–03–01	$22.1\,\mu m$	28.259 ± 0.16 Jy	cut14
2010–03–19	B	$<23.6^a$	IMACS
2010–03–19	V	$<23.3^a$	IMACS
2010–03–19	R	22.7 ± 0.2	IMACS
2015–07–25	$7.78\,\mu m$	8.7 ± 0.5 Jy	VISIR
2015–07–25	$10.49\,\mu m$	8.5 ± 0.5 Jy	VISIR
2015–07–25	$17.65\,\mu m$	25.6 ± 0.5 Jy	VISIR
2015–07–25	$19.50\,\mu m$	29.1 ± 0.5 Jy	VISIR
2016–02–29	$12\,\mu m$	6.5 ± 0.2 Jy	VISIR

^{a}The source has not been detected in these images, so we provide upper limits.

the outburst phase (Schütz et al. 2005; Quanz et al. 2007), indicating that the line-of-sight extinction remained unchanged.

We propose that the observed fading event was caused by a dramatic drop in accretion luminosity. We estimate the corresponding change in accretion rate by integrating the short-wavelength SED


Figure 2. SED measured at three epochs: 1990s, 2007–2011 and 2015.

covered by our observations ($\lambda \lesssim 25\,\mu m$) during the outburst phase (1990s) and near the photometric minimum (2010). A major uncertainty concerns the line-of-sight extinction, where Audard et al. (2014) proposed $A_V > 12$ mag. This value is higher than some earlier estimates (e.g. $5.6^{+4.1}_{-3.7}$ mag; Connelley & Greene 2010), but supported by our SINFONI data, where H_2 1–0 line transitions detected in the vicinity of V346 Nor favour very high extinction values (details will be outlined in Kraus et al., in preparation). Also, the $J/H/K$-band colours measured in the low-accretion phase are consistent with those of a classical T Tauri star for extinction values of $A_V = 20 \pm 5$ mag. Adopting the lower-limit value of $A_V = 12$ mag implies that the accretion luminosity and mass accretion rate changed by two orders of magnitudes, while $A_V = 15$ mag implies a change by $\sim10^3$.

These estimates suggest that V346 Nor switched from an FUor outburst (with $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ yr^{-1}) to a passive phase with accretion rates similar to those observed towards T Tauri stars ($\sim10^{-7}$ $M_\odot$ yr^{-1}). Remarkably, in the last few years (2011–2015), the object has brightened again at all near-infrared wavelengths, indicating that the object has entered a new outburst event. This is the first time that an FUor star has been observed to switched between active–passive–active phases, although further monitoring will be needed to determine whether the new eruption will reach again the extreme accretion state of the 1980s/1990s.

The near-infrared variability is also accompanied by changes in the mid-infrared flux, where we observe an anticorrelated variability. For instance, during the near-infrared fainting event between 2004 and 2007, the 8–13 μm mid-infrared continuum flux increased by ~50 per cent between 2004 and 2007. During the new near-infrared brightening event in 2015/2016, the mid-infrared flux decreased again by ~70 per cent. This anticorrelated behaviour might provide important clues about how the intermediate disc regions responded to the dramatic change in accretion luminosity.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our study shows that V346 Nor has switched from an extreme FUor-type outburst into a much less active phase, consistent with a change in the accretion rate by two to three orders of magnitude. With $\Delta R = 10.9$ mag and $\Delta K = 5.8$ mag, the observed dimming event far exceeded the variability that has been observed on other FUor stars previously (e.g. $\Delta R \approx 3$ mag, V899 Mon; Ninan et al. 2015).

Therefore, V346 Nor gives us the opportunity, for the first time, to study an FUor system in post-outburst and to investigate how the disc adjusted to the sudden drop in accretion luminosity. For instance, some valuable insights might arise from modelling the observed anticorrelated variability at near-infrared/mid-infrared wavelengths. Also, the short-wavelength flux (*R* band) started to decay a few years ahead of the near-infrared flux (e.g. *J*/*H*/*K* band; Fig. 1, top), which might indicate that the disc needed a few years to react to the sudden drop in accretion luminosity.

With an outburst duration of 20–25 yr, the V346 Nor outburst was shorter than expected for FUor stars (10^2–10^3 yr), but significantly longer than for EXor (up to a few years). This suggests that eruptive stars might not be well represented by the classical bimodal FUor/EXor classification scheme, but exhibit a more continuous range of properties. Already, a few other objects with 'intermediate' outburst durations of a few years have been identified (Audard et al. 2014; Contreras Peña et al. 2016) and have started to blur the conventional distinctions between the FUor/EXor class. However, V346 Nor represents the most extreme case, in particular as the object already entered into a new outburst, less than a decade after the decay of its FUor-type eruption. This recurrence cycle is orders of magnitudes shorter than the typical time-span of ∼5000–50 000 yr, which has been proposed for classical, isolated FUor outbursts (Scholz, Froebrich & Wood 2013). However, it might be consistent with the clustered luminosity bursts that have been predicted by recent disc gravitational instability and fragmentation models for the earliest stages of protostellar evolution (e.g. Vorobyov & Basu 2015).

Besides its atypical light curve, V346 Nor is also ambiguous in its spectral diagnostics, as it features Brγ and the CO 2.3-μm bandheads in emission (Reipurth et al. 1997), which is more commonly observed in EXors than FUor stars (e.g. Lorenzetti et al. 2006).

With its unique characteristics concerning outburst duration, repetition frequency and spectroscopic diagnostics, V346 Nor provides important new insights on the relation between the FUor and EXor phenomenon and might help to identify their triggering mechanism(s).

REFERENCES

Ábrahám P., Kóspál Á., Csizmadia S., Kun M., Moór A., Prusti T., 2004, A&A, 428, 89
Audard M. et al., 2014, in Beuther H., Klessen R. S., Dullemond C. P., Henning T., eds, Protostars and Planets VI. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, p. 387
Connelley M. S., Greene T. P., 2010, AJ, 140, 1214
Contreras Peña C. et al., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1602.06267)
Cutri R. M. et al., 2003, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 2246, 0
Cutri R. M. et al., 2014, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 2328
Eisenhauer F. et al., 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4841, 1548
Graham J. A., Frogel J. A., 1985, ApJ, 289, 331
Green J. D., Hartmann L., Calvet N., Watson D. M., Ibrahimov M., Furlan E., Sargent B., Forrest W. J., 2006, ApJ, 648, 1099
Hartmann L., Kenyon S. J., 1996, ARA&A, 34, 207
Herbig G. H., 1989, in Reipurth B., ed., ESO Workshop on Low Mass Star Formation and Pre-Main Sequence Objects, Vol. 33. ESO, Garching, p. 233
Jaffe W. J., 2004, Proc. SPIE, 5491, 715
Lagage P. O. et al., 2004, The Messenger, 117, 12
Lasker B. M. et al., 2008, AJ, 136, 735
Leinert C. et al., 2004, A&A, 423, 537
Lorenzetti D. et al., 2006, A&A, 453, 579
Minniti D. et al., 2010, New Astron., 15, 433
Molinari S., Liseau R., Lorenzetti D., 1993, A&AS, 101, 59
Monet D. G., 1998, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc., 30, 1427
Ninan J. P. et al., 2015, ApJ, 815, 4
Prusti T., Bontekoe T. R., Chiar J. E., Kester D. J. M., Whittet D. C. B., 1993, A&A, 279, 163
Quanz S. P., Henning T., Bouwman J., van Boekel R., Juhász A., Linz H., Pontoppidan K. M., Lahuis F., 2007, ApJ, 668, 359
Reipurth B., 1985, A&A, 143, 435
Reipurth B., Wamsteker W., 1983, A&A, 119, 14
Reipurth B., Olberg M., Gredel R., Booth R. S., 1997, A&A, 327, 1164
Scholz A., Froebrich D., Wood K., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2910
Schütz O., Meeus G., Sterzik M. F., 2005, A&A, 431, 165
Simcoe R. A. et al., 2008, Proc. SPIE, 7014, 70140U
Vernet J. et al., 2011, A&A, 536, A105
Vorobyov E. I., Basu S., 2015, ApJ, 805, 115

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.