

Wald Ch. 3 Solutions

Ian Haines

Jan. 18, 2022

1 Torsion

1.1 Torsion tensor

We want to show that given a non-torsion-free derivative operator, ∇_a , and a scalar field, f , there exists a tensor, T_{ab}^c , such that

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b f - \nabla_b \nabla_a f = -T_{ab}^c \nabla_c f \quad (1)$$

Suppose ∇_a is a derivative operator that is not torsion-free and $\tilde{\nabla}_a$ is a torsion-free derivative operator.

Let f be a scalar field and ω_b be a dual vector field. Consider the difference

$$\nabla_a(f\omega_b) - \tilde{\nabla}_a(f\omega_b) = \omega_b \nabla_a f + f \nabla_a \omega_b - \omega_b \tilde{\nabla}_a f - f \tilde{\nabla}_a \omega_b \quad (2)$$

$$= f(\nabla_a \omega_b - \tilde{\nabla}_a \omega_b) \quad (3)$$

where the second equality comes from the condition that derivative operators act identically on scalar fields.

Suppose there exists another dual vector field, ω'_b , such that $\omega'_b(p) = \omega_b(p)$. Since ω is a dual vector field, $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Hence, to first order we have

$$\omega_b(x) = \omega_b(p) + \sum_{\mu=1}^n (x^\mu - p^\mu) \frac{\partial \omega_b}{\partial x^\mu}. \quad (4)$$

So, for smooth functions $f_{(\mu)}$ which vanish at $x = p$ and smooth vector fields $\nu^{(\mu)}$, we have

$$\omega'_b(x) - \omega_b(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f_{(\mu)}(x) \nu_b^{(\mu)}(x) \quad (5)$$

which vanishes at $x = p$ as we desire.

Consequently, the difference (where we dropped the explicit dependence)

$$\tilde{\nabla}_a(\omega'_b - \omega_b) - \nabla_a(\omega'_b - \omega_b) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f_{(\mu)}(\tilde{\nabla}_a \nu_b^{(\mu)} - \nabla_a \nu_b^{(\mu)}) \quad (6)$$

vanishes at $x = p$.

Thus,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_a \omega'_b - \nabla_a \omega'_b = \tilde{\nabla}_a \omega_b - \nabla_a \omega_b \quad (7)$$

which shows that the difference between the derivative operators only depends upon the value of ω_b at p .

We see that $(\tilde{\nabla}_a - \nabla_a)$ defines a tensor that takes dual vectors to $(0, 2)$ type tensors. Thus, it defines a tensor C_{ab}^c such that

$$\nabla_a \omega_b = \tilde{\nabla}_a \omega_b - C_{ab}^c \omega_c \quad (8)$$

If we let $\omega_b = \nabla_b f$, then we have

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b f = \tilde{\nabla}_a \tilde{\nabla}_b f - C_{ab}^c \nabla_c f \quad (9)$$

This gives us

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b f - \nabla_b \nabla_a f = \tilde{\nabla}_a \tilde{\nabla}_b f - \tilde{\nabla}_b \tilde{\nabla}_a f - C_{ab}^c \nabla_c f + C_{ba}^c \nabla_c f \quad (10)$$

Because $\tilde{\nabla}_a$ is torsion-free, we see that

$$\boxed{\nabla_a \nabla_b f - \nabla_b \nabla_a f = -T_{ab}^c \nabla_c f} \quad (11)$$

where T_{ab}^c is non-zero because ∇_a is not torsion-free and so $C_{ab}^c \neq C_{ba}^c$.

1.2 Commutator of vector fields for a general connection

Let X^a and Y^a be two smooth vector fields. Let ∇_a be a covariant derivative associated with a general connection. Let $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denote the commutator of two vector fields. Then we have

$$[X, Y](f) = X^a \nabla_a (Y^b \nabla_b f) - Y^a \nabla_a (X^b \nabla_b f) \quad (12)$$

$$= (X^a \nabla_a Y^b) \nabla_b f + Y^b X^a \nabla_a - (Y^a \nabla_a X^b) \nabla_b f - X^a Y^b \nabla_b \nabla_a f \quad (13)$$

$$= (X^a \nabla_a Y^b - Y^a \nabla_a X^b) \nabla_b f - T_{ab}^c \nabla_c f X^a Y^b \quad (14)$$

Hence,

$$\boxed{T_{ab}^c X^a Y^b = X^a \nabla_a Y^c - Y^a \nabla_a X^c - [X, Y]^c} \quad (15)$$

1.3 Uniqueness of compatible connection with torsion

We are given a general metric. Associated with this metric is a connection and therefore a covariant derivative. We enforce the criterion that the metric is compatible. In other words,

$$\nabla_a g_{bc} = 0 \quad (16)$$

The covariant derivative operator is defined by the action of the partial derivative operator ∂_a , the torsion tensor T^a_{bc} , and the connection coefficients C^a_{bc} . We wish to determine the connection coefficients in terms of the partial derivative operator and the torsion tensor.

We know from the action of the covariant derivative on a general tensor that

$$\nabla_a g_{bc} = \partial_a g_{bc} - C^d_{ab} g_{dc} - C^d_{ac} g_{bd} \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla_b g_{ac} = \partial_b g_{ac} - C^d_{ba} g_{dc} - C^d_{bc} g_{ad} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\nabla_c g_{ab} = \partial_c g_{ab} - C^d_{ca} g_{db} - C^d_{cb} g_{ad} \quad (19)$$

Because of the compatibility criterion, we have

$$0 = \partial_a g_{bc} - \partial_b g_{ac} - \partial_c g_{ab} - T^c_{ab} - T^b_{ac} + C^a_{bc} + C^a_{cb} \quad (20)$$

where $T^c_{ab} = C^c_{ab} - C^c_{ba}$.

Using the antisymmetry of the torsion tensor, we have

$$2C_{abc} = \partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_c g_{ab} - \partial_a g_{bc} + T_{cab} + T_{bac} + T_{abc} \quad (21)$$

Thus, we have

$$\boxed{C^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ad} (\partial_b g_{dc} + \partial_c g_{db} - \partial_d g_{bc} + T_{cdb} + T_{bdc} + T_{dbc})} \quad (22)$$

2 Symmetries of the Riemann Curvature Tensor

2.1 A useful identity

We wish to show that

$$R_{abcd} = R_{cdab} \quad (23)$$

Consider the equations

$$R_{[abc]d} = R_{abcd} - R_{bacd} + R_{bcad} - R_{cbad} + R_{cabd} - R_{acbd} \quad (24)$$

$$= 0 \quad (25)$$

and

$$R_{[cad]b} = R_{cadb} - R_{acdb} + R_{adcb} - R_{dacb} + R_{dcab} - R_{cdab} \quad (26)$$

$$= 0 \quad (27)$$

Observe that $R_{cadb} - R_{acdb} = -R_{cabd} + R_{acbd}$. Thus, we have

$$R_{abcd} - R_{bacd} + R_{bcad} - R_{cbad} = -(R_{adcb} - R_{dacb} + R_{dcab} - R_{cdab}) \quad (28)$$

Notice that the left-hand side is just the right-hand side with the indices a and c swapped and b and d swapped. Hence,

$$\boxed{R_{abcd} = R_{cdab}} \quad (29)$$

2.2 Independent components from symmetries

We wish to show that the Riemann curvature tensor has $n^2(n^2 - 1)/12$ independent components using the known symmetries of it.

The first symmetry says

$$R_{abcd} = -R_{bacd} \quad (30)$$

and the second symmetry says

$$R_{abcd} = -R_{abdc} \quad (31)$$

These tell us that R must be antisymmetric in the first and second and third and fourth indices. Hence, the first and second indices can only have

$$n^2 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \quad (32)$$

possible unique values. The second term comes from the fact that the swapped indices for off-diagonal terms are related by a negative sign. The third term comes from the fact that the diagonal must be filled with 0's due to the antisymmetry.

Thus, we see that we have

$$\left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right]^2 = \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} \quad (33)$$

components coming from the first two symmetries. Now, the totally antisymmetric property tells us

$$R_{[abc]d} = 0 \quad (34)$$

Hence, our number of independent components decreases by an

$$\frac{n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times n}{3!} = \frac{n^2(n-1)(n-2)}{6} \quad (35)$$

where the numerator comes from the possible combinations and the $3!$ comes from the number of permutations.

Thus, we have

$$\boxed{\frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} - \frac{n^2(n-1)(n-2)}{6} = \frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}} \quad (36)$$

3 Low dimensional curvature

3.1 Two-dimensional Riemann tensor

We wish to show that in 2-dimensions that the Riemann curvature tensor reduces to

$$R_{abcd} = R g_{a[c} g_{d]b} \quad (37)$$

We do this by showing that the right-hand side expression generates the vector space of tensors having the symmetries of the RCT.

Observe that

$$g_{a[c} g_{d]b} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{ac} g_{db} - g_{ad} g_{cb}) \quad (38)$$

Due to the symmetry of the metric tensor, this expression is antisymmetric with respect to interchanging a, b and c, d . So, we only need to show that the antisymmetrization of a, b, c forces the tensor to vanish.

After performing some algebra, we see this is just

$$g_{[a[c} g_{d]b]} = (g_{ac} g_{db} - g_{ad} g_{cb}) + (g_{ba} g_{dc} - g_{bd} g_{ac}) + (g_{cb} g_{da} - g_{cd} g_{ba}) \quad (39)$$

Using the symmetry of the metric tensor, we see that each term on the right-hand side cancels leaving us with the desired symmetry property.

Now, in $n = 2$ dimensions, we have 1 independent component: R_{1212} . However, $C_{1212} = C^1_{212} g_{11} = 0 g_{11} = 0$. So, the Weyl tensor must vanish for $n = 2$ dimensions. The middle term in equation (3.2.28) in Wald also vanishes when plugging in 1, 2, 1 and 2 for a, b, c and d . Thus, the right-hand side of (37) generates the vector space of tensors with the symmetries of the RCT.

4 Affine parameters and affine transformations

1. We wish to show that any curve satisfying

$$T^a \nabla_a T^b = \alpha T^b$$

can be reparameterized to satisfy

$$T^a \nabla_a T^a = 0.$$

Using the definition of the covariant derivative, we have

$$T^a \nabla_a T^b = \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{dt^2} + \sum_{\sigma, \nu} \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\nu} \frac{dx^\sigma}{dt} \frac{dx^\nu}{dt} = \alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{dt}.$$

Now, suppose that $t = f(t^*)$. Using the chain rule, we have

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{dt} = \frac{dx^\mu}{dt^*} \frac{dt^*}{dt},$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{dt^2} = \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{dt^{*2}} \left[\frac{dt^*}{dt} \right]^2 + \frac{dx^\mu}{dt^*} \frac{d^2 t^*}{dt^2}.$$

Plugging these in to the geodesic equation yields

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{dt^{*2}} \left[\frac{dt^*}{dt} \right]^2 + \frac{dx^\mu}{dt^*} \frac{d^2 t^*}{dt^2} + \sum_{\sigma, \nu} \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\nu} \frac{dx^\sigma}{dt^*} \frac{dx^\nu}{dt^*} \left[\frac{dt^*}{dt} \right]^2 = \alpha \frac{dx^\mu}{dt^*} \frac{dt^*}{dt}.$$

Hence, for any α , we can reparameterize the curve with a function $t = f(t^*)$ such that

$$\frac{d^2 t^*}{dt^2} = \alpha \frac{dt^*}{dt}.$$

This yields the affine condition.

2. Now because of the second derivative in the LHS of the equation above, we see that t^* will be an affine parameter, (i.e., $\alpha = 0$), if and only if $t^* = at + b$.

5 Christoffel Symbols and Geodesics in Spherical Coordinates

1. The Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\nu\sigma}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{\partial g_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^{\nu}} - \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \right).$$

In this case, the metric is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

So, we have

$$\Gamma^1_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma^2_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/r & 0 \\ 1/r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin \theta \cos \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

and lastly

$$\Gamma^3_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/r \\ 0 & 0 & \cot \theta \\ 1/r & \cot \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. The geodesic equation says

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\sigma}}{ds^2} + \sum_{\mu, \nu} \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{ds} = 0.$$

So, the r component equation says

$$\frac{d^2 r}{ds^2} - r \left(\frac{d\theta}{ds} \right)^2 - r \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right)^2 = 0,$$

the θ component equation says

$$\frac{d^2 \theta}{ds^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dr}{ds} \frac{d\theta}{ds} - \sin \theta \cos \theta \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right)^2 = 0,$$

and the ϕ component equation says

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{ds^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dr}{ds} \frac{d\phi}{ds} + 2 \cot \theta \frac{d\phi}{ds} \frac{d\theta}{ds} = 0.$$

A line from a point $P = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ to a point $Q = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ is parameterized as follows:

$$\gamma(s) = ((x_2 - x_1)s + x_1, (y_2 - y_1)s + y_1, (z_2 - z_1)s + z_1).$$

We show that this curve is a solution to the geodesic equations above. From the definition of the spherical coordinates transformation,

$$\frac{dr}{ds} = \frac{[(x_2 - x_1) + (y_2 - y_1) + (z_2 - z_1)]s + (x_1 + y_1 + z_1)}{r},$$

$$\frac{d^2 r}{ds^2} = \frac{[(x' + y' + z')(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) - (x + y + z)^2](x^2 + y^2)}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)},$$

$$r \left(\frac{d\theta}{ds} \right)^2 = \frac{\left[z'(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) - z(x + y + z) \right]^2}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)},$$

and

$$r \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\phi}{ds} \right)^2 = \frac{(y'x - x'y)^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)}.$$

Plugging this into the r component equation, we find it to be true. Thus, the solutions to the geodesic equation are straight lines.

6 Curvature of a Lorentz Metric

We have a manifold with a Lorentz Metric

$$ds^2 = \Omega(x, t)(-dt^2 + dx^2),$$

or

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to compute the curvature using both the component method and the tetrad method.

1. Using the coordinate method, we have

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho}{}^{\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}(\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\rho}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}(\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\rho}) + \sum_{\alpha}(\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha\nu} - \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha\mu}).$$

We need to calculate the Christoffel symbols from the metric. They are given by

$$\Gamma^1{}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega_t/2\Omega & -\Omega_x/2\Omega \\ -\Omega_x/2\Omega & \Omega_t/2\Omega \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Gamma^2{}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_x/2\Omega & \Omega_t/2\Omega \\ \Omega_t/2\Omega & -\Omega_x/2\Omega \end{pmatrix},$$

where the subscripts correspond to partial derivatives with respect to the subscripted variable. Since $n = 2$, there is only 1 independent component. The independent component is given by

$$R_{121}{}^2 = \frac{\Omega_x^2 + \Omega_t^2}{2\Omega^2} - \frac{\Omega_{xx} + \Omega_{tt}}{2\Omega}.$$

Using the symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor, we have for the other components:

$$R_{111}{}^1 = 0$$

$$R_{111}{}^2 = 0$$

$$R_{112}{}^1 = 0$$

$$R_{121}{}^1 = 0$$

$$R_{211}{}^1 = 0$$

$$R_{112}{}^2 = 0$$

$$R_{121}{}^2 = R_{121}{}^2$$

$$R_{211}{}^2 = -R_{121}{}^2$$

$$R_{122}{}^1 = -R_{121}{}^2$$

$$R_{212}{}^1 = R_{121}{}^2$$

$$R_{221}{}^1 = 0$$

$$R_{222}{}^1 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{221}^2 &= 0 \\
R_{212}^2 &= 0 \\
R_{122}^2 &= 0 \\
R_{222}^2 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Now, we use the tetrad method to calculate the Riemann curvature tensor. To do this, we need to calculate the spin connection 1-forms ω_μ^{ab} . The formula is given by

$$\omega_\mu^{ab} = e_\nu^a \partial_\mu e^{\nu b} + e_\nu^a \Gamma^\nu_{\sigma\mu} e^{\sigma b}.$$

The vierbein is given by the formula

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab},$$

or

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{ab} e_\mu^a e_\nu^b dx^\mu dx^\nu.$$

Since $ds^2 = \Omega(x, t)(-dt^2 + dx^2)$, we see that

$$e_\mu^a = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\Omega} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Omega} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$e^{\mu a} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\sqrt{\Omega}}{\Omega} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{\Omega}}{\Omega} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Plugging in the appropriate values, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1^{ab} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Omega_x/2\Omega \\ \Omega_x/2\Omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\omega_2^{ab} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_t/2\Omega \\ -\Omega_t/2\Omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$