Gradient Checking Welcome to the final assignment for this week! In this assignment you'll be implementing gradient checking. By the end of this notebook, you'll be able to: Implement gradient checking to verify the accuracy of your backprop implementation Table of Contents • 1 - Packages • 2 - Problem Statement · 3 - How does Gradient Checking work? • 4 - 1-Dimensional Gradient Checking ■ Exercise 1 - forward_propagation Exercise 2 - backward_propagation Exercise 3 - gradient_check · 5 - N-Dimensional Gradient Checking Exercise 4 - gradient_check_n 1 - Packages numpy as np m public_tests import * gc_utils import sigmoid, relu, dictionary_to_vector, vector_to_dictionary 🚺 ext autoreload 2 - Problem Statement You are part of a team working to make mobile payments available globally, and are asked to build a deep learning model to detect fraud--whenever someone makes a payment, you want to see if the payment might be fraudulent, such as if the user's account has been taken over by a hacker. You already know that backpropagation is quite challenging to implement, and sometimes has bugs. Because this is a mission-critical application, your company's CEO wants to be really certain that your implementation of backpropagation is correct. Your CEO says, "Give me proof that your backpropagation is actually working!" To give this reassurance, you are going to use "gradient checking." Let's do it! 3 - How does Gradient Checking work? Backpropagation computes the gradients $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$, where θ denotes the parameters of the model. J is computed using forward propagation and your loss function. Because forward propagation is relatively easy to implement, you're confident you got that right, and so you're almost 100% sure that you're computing the cost J correctly. Thus, you can use your code for computing J to verify the code for computing $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$. Let's look back at the definition of a derivative (or gradient): $rac{\partial J}{\partial heta} = \lim_{arepsilon o 0} rac{J(heta + arepsilon) - J(heta - arepsilon)}{2arepsilon}$ If you're not familiar with the " \lim " notation, it's just a way of saying "when ε is really, really small." You know the following: $rac{\partial J}{\partial heta}$ is what you want to make sure you're computing correctly. You can compute J(heta+arepsilon) and J(heta-arepsilon) (in the case that heta is a real number), since you're confident your implementation for J is correct. Let's use equation (1) and a small value for ε to convince your CEO that your code for computing $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$ is correct! 4 - 1-Dimensional Gradient Checking Consider a 1D linear function $J(\theta) = \theta x$. The model contains only a single real-valued parameter θ , and takes x as input. You will implement code to compute J(.) and its derivative $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$. You will then use gradient checking to make sure your derivative computation for J is correct. compute function J (≈ forward prop) J(₀) compute derivatives of J (≈ back prop) Figure 1:1D linear model The diagram above shows the key computation steps: First start with x, then evaluate the function J(x) ("forward propagation"). Then compute the derivative $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$ ("backward propagation"). Exercise 1 - forward_propagation Implement forward propagation . For this simple function compute J(.)forward_propagation(x, theta): In [24]: $J = forward_propagation(x, theta)$ forward_propagation_test(forward_propagation Exercise 2 - backward_propagation Now, implement the backward propagation step (derivative computation) of Figure 1. That is, compute the derivative of J(heta)= heta x with respect to heta. To save you from doing the calculus, you should get $dtheta=rac{\partial J}{\partial heta}=x.$ backward_propagation(x, theta): dtheta = x $dtheta = backward_propagation(x, theta$ print ("dtheta = " + str(dtheta backward_propagation_test(backward_propagation Exercise 3 - gradient_check To show that the backward_propagation() function is correctly computing the gradient $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$, let's implement gradient checking. Instructions: • First compute "gradapprox" using the formula above (1) and a small value of ε . Here are the Steps to follow: 1. $\theta^+ = \theta + \varepsilon$ $2. \theta^- = \theta - \varepsilon$ 3. $J^+ = J(\theta^+)$ $4. J^- = J(\theta^-)$ 5. $gradapprox = \frac{J^+ - J^-}{2\varepsilon}$ • Then compute the gradient using backward propagation, and store the result in a variable "grad" • Finally, compute the relative difference between "gradapprox" and the "grad" using the following formula: $difference = rac{\mid\mid grad - gradapprox\mid\mid_2}{\mid\mid grad\mid\mid_2 + \mid\mid gradapprox\mid\mid_2}$ (2)You will need 3 Steps to compute this formula: 1'. compute the <u>numerator</u> using np.linalg.norm(...) • 2'. compute the denominator. You will need to call np.linalg.norm(...) twice. 3'. divide them. • If this difference is small (say less than 10^{-7}), you can be quite confident that you have computed your gradient correctly. Otherwise, there may be a mistake in the gradient computation. fee gradient_check(x, theta, epsilon=1e-7, print_msg=Fellse): theta plus = theta + epsilon theta minus = theta - epsilon J plus = forward propagation (x, theta plus)J_minus = forward_propagation(x, theta_minus gradapprox = (J_plus - J_minus) / (2*epsilon grad = backward_propagation(x, theta) numerator = np.linalg.norm(grad-gradapprox) denominator = np.linalg.norm(grad) + np.linalg.norm(gradapprox) difference = numerator / denominator if print_msg: difference > 2e-7: print ("\033[93m" + "There is a mistake in the backward propagation! turn difference difference = gradient_check(2,4, print_msg=&we) Congrats, the difference is smaller than the 10^{-7} threshold. So you can have high confidence that you've correctly computed the gradient in backward_propagation(). Now, in the more general case, your cost function J has more than a single 1D input. When you are training a neural network, hetaactually consists of multiple matrices $W^{[l]}$ and biases $b^{[l]}$! It is important to know how to do a gradient check with higher-dimensional inputs. Let's do it! 5 - N-Dimensional Gradient Checking The following figure describes the forward and backward propagation of your fraud detection model. $Z^{[2]} = W^{[2]}A^{[1]} + b^{[2]}$ $Z^{[3]} = W^{[3]}A^{[2]} + b^{[3]}$ $Z^{[1]} = W^{[1]}X + b^{[1]}$ **LINEAR** LINEAR LINEAR **SIGMOID** $A^{[1]} = relu(Z^{[1]})$ $A^{[2]} = relu(Z^{[2]})$ $A^{[3]} = \sigma(Z^{[3]})$ ∂J $\partial W^{[1]}$ Cost J(θ) ∂J $\partial b^{[1]}$ ∂J $\partial \overline{W^{\scriptscriptstyle [2]}}$ **Backward Propagation** ∂J $\partial b^{[2]}$ ∂J $\partial W^{[3]}$ ∂J $\partial b^{[3]}$ Figure 2: Deep neural network. LINEAR -> RELU -> LINEAR -> RELU -> LINEAR -> SIGMOID Let's look at your implementations for forward propagation and backward propagation. forward propagation n(X, Y, parameters) m = X.shape[1]W1 = parameters["W1"] b1 = parameters["b1"] W2 = parameters["W2"] b2 = parameters["b2"] W3 = parameters["W3"] b3 = parameters["b3"] A1 = relu(Z1)A2 = relu(Z2)A3 = sigmoid(Z3) $log_probs = np.multiply(-np.log(A3),Y) + np.multiply(-np.log(L - A3), L - Y)$ cost = 1. / m * np.sum(log_probs) Now, run backward propagation. backward propagation n(X, Y, cache) m = X.shape[1](Z1, A1, W1, b1, Z2, A2, W2, b2, Z3, A3, W3, b3) = cachedZ3 = A3 - Ydb3 = 1. / m * np.sum(dZ3, axis=1, keepdims=100e) dZ2 = np.multiply(dA2, np.int64(A2 > 0))db2 = 1. / m * np.sum(dZ2, axis=1, keepdims=True) dZ1 = np.multiply(dA1, np.int64(A1 > 0)) dW1 = 1. / m * np.dot(dZ1, X.T)db1 = 4. / m * np.sum(dZ1, axis=1, keepdims=twe) gradients You obtained some results on the fraud detection test set but you are not 100% sure of your model. Nobody's perfect! Let's implement gradient checking to verify if your gradients are correct. How does gradient checking work?. As in Section 3 and 4, you want to compare "gradapprox" to the gradient computed by backpropagation. The formula is still: $rac{\partial J}{\partial heta} = \lim_{arepsilon o 0} rac{J(heta + arepsilon) - J(heta - arepsilon)}{2arepsilon}$ (1)However, θ is not a scalar anymore. It is a dictionary called "parameters". The function " dictionary_to_vector() " has been implemented for you. It converts the "parameters" dictionary into a vector called "values", obtained by reshaping all parameters (W1, b1, W2, b2, W3, b3) into vectors and concatenating them. The inverse function is " Vector_to_dictionary " which outputs back the "parameters" dictionary. vector_to_dictionary() $values = (W_1^{11}, W_1^{12}, W_1^{13}, ..., W_1^{54}, b_1^1, b_1^2, ..., W_3^{13}, b_3^1)$ Figure 2: dictionary_to_vector() and vector_to_dictionary(). You will need these functions in gradient_check_n() The "gradients" dictionary has also been converted into a vector "grad" using gradients_to_vector(), so you don't need to worry about that. Now, for every single parameter in your vector, you will apply the same procedure as for the gradient_check exercise. You will store each gradient approximation in a vector gradapprox. If the check goes as expected, each value in this approximation must match the real gradient values stored in the grad vector. Note that $\ensuremath{\mbox{grad}}$ is calculated using the function $\ensuremath{\mbox{gradients_to_vector}}$, which uses the gradients outputs of the backward_propagation_n function. Exercise 4 - gradient_check_n Implement the function below. Instructions: Here is pseudo-code that will help you implement the gradient check. For each(i) in num_parameters: • To compute J_plus[i]: 1. Set θ^+ to np.copy(parameters_values) 2. Set θ_i^+ to $\theta_i^+ + \varepsilon$ 3. Calculate J_i^+ using to forward_propagation_n(x, y, vector_to_dictionary(θ^+)). • To compute $J_{minus}[i]$: do the same thing with $\theta^$ ullet Compute $gradapprox[i] = rac{J_i^+ - J_i^-}{2c}$ Thus, you get a vector gradapprox, where gradapprox[i] is an approximation of the gradient with respect to parameter_values[i] . You can now compare this gradapprox vector to the gradients vector from backpropagation. Just like for the 1D case (Steps 1', 2', 3'), compute: $difference = rac{\|grad - gradapprox\|_2}{\|grad\|_2 + \|gradapprox\|_2}$ (3)Note: Use np.linalg.norm to get the norms gradient check n(parameters, gradients, X, Y, epsilon=1e-7, print msg=False): parameters_values, _ = dictionary_to_vector(parameters) grad = gradients_to_vector(gradients) num_parameters = parameters_values.shape[0] J_plus = np.zeros((num_parameters, 1)) J_minus = np.zeros((num_parameters, 1)) gradapprox = np.zeros((num parameters, 1)) for i in range(num parameters): theta_plus = np.copy(parameters_values) theta_plus[i][0] = theta_plus[i][0] + epsilon J_plus[i], _ = forward_propagation_n(X, Y, vector_to_dictionary(theta_plus)) theta_minus = np.copy(parameters_values) theta minus[i][0] = theta minus[i][0] - epsilon $J_{minus[i]}$, _ = forward_propagation_n(X, Y, gradapprox[i] = (J_plus[i] - J_minus[i]) / (2*epsilon) numerator = np.linalg.norm(grad-gradapprox) denominator = np.linalg.norm(grad) + np.linalg.norm(gradapprox) difference = numerator / denominator if print_msg: if difference > 2e-7: print ("\033[93m" + "There is a mistake in the backward propagation! erence = " + str(difference) + "\033[0m") ceturn difference X, Y, parameters = gradient_check_n_test_case() cost, cache = forward propagation n(X, Y, parameters) gradients = backward propagation n(X, Y, cache) difference = gradient check n(parameters, gradients, X, Y, 1e-7, True) expected values = [0.2850931567761623, 1.1890913024229996e-07] ssert not(type(difference) == np.ndarray), "You are not using np.linalg.norm for ssext np.any(np.isclose(difference, expected_values)), "Wrong value. It is not **Expected output:** There is a mistake in the backward propagation! difference = 0.2850931567761623

computed using forward phecking is slow, so you derrect, then turn it off and	use backprop for th		