Initialization Welcome to the first assignment of Improving Deep Neural Networks! Training your neural network requires specifying an initial value of the weights. A well-chosen initialization method helps the learning process. If you completed the previous course of this specialization, you probably followed the instructions for weight initialization, and seen that it's worked pretty well so far. But how do you choose the initialization for a new neural network? In this notebook, you'll try out a few different initializations, including random, zeros, and He initialization, and see how each leads to different results. A well-chosen initialization can: Speed up the convergence of gradient descent • Increase the odds of gradient descent converging to a lower training (and generalization) error Let's get started! **Table of Contents** • 1 - Packages • 2 - Loading the Dataset • 3 - Neural Network Model 4 - Zero Initialization Exercise 1 - initialize\_parameters\_zeros • 5 - Random Initialization ■ Exercise 2 - initialize\_parameters\_random • 6 - He Initialization ■ Exercise 3 - initialize\_parameters\_he • 7 - Conclusions 1 - Packages ort numpy as np port matplotlib.pyplot as plt port sklearn irom public tests import \* init\_utils import sigmoid, relu, compute\_loss, forward\_propagation backward\_propagation init\_utils import update\_parameters, predict, load\_dataset plot decision boundary, predict dec plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (7.0, 4.0) # set default size of plots plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest' plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray' 2 - Loading the Dataset 1.0 0.5 0.0 -1.0-1.01.0 For this classifier, you want to separate the blue dots from the red dots. 3 - Neural Network Model You'll use a 3-layer neural network (already implemented for you). These are the initialization methods you'll experiment with: • Zeros initialization -- setting initialization = "zeros" in the input argument. • Random initialization -- setting initialization = "random" in the input argument. This initializes the weights to large random values. • He initialization -- setting initialization = "he" in the input argument. This initializes the weights to random values scaled according to a paper by He et al., 2015. Instructions: Instructions: Read over the code below, and run it. In the next part, you'll implement the three initialization methods that this model() calls. print cost initialization = "he"): grads = {} m = X.shape[1] # number of examples layers dims = [X.shape[0], 10, 5, 1]if initialization == "zeros" parameters = initialize parameters zeros(layers dims parameters = initialize\_parameters\_random(layers\_dims) parameters = initialize parameters he(layers dims for i in range(num iterations): a3, cache = forward\_propagation(X, parameters cost = compute loss(a3, Y) grads = backward\_propagation(X, Y, cache parameters = update\_parameters(parameters, grads, learning\_rate if print\_cost and i % 1000 == 0: print("Cost after iteration {}: {}".format(i, cost)) costs.append(cost) plt.xlabel('iterations (per hundreds)') plt.show() eturn parameters 4 - Zero Initialization There are two types of parameters to initialize in a neural network: • the weight matrices  $(W^{[1]},W^{[2]},W^{[3]},\ldots,W^{[L-1]},W^{[L]})$ ullet the bias vectors  $(b^{[1]}, b^{[2]}, b^{[3]}, \dots, b^{[L-1]}, b^{[L]})$ Exercise 1 - initialize\_parameters\_zeros Implement the following function to initialize all parameters to zeros. You'll see later that this does not work well since it fails to "break symmetry," but try it anyway and see what happens. Use np.zeros((..,..)) with the correct shapes. def initialize\_parameters\_zeros(layers\_dims): parameters = {} L = len(layers dims) for 1 in range(1, L): parameters['W' + str(l)] = np.zeros((layers\_dims[l], layers\_dims[l-l])) parameters['b' + str(1)] = np.zeros((layers dims[1], 1)) turn parameters parameters = initialize\_parameters\_zeros([3, 2, 1]) print("W1 = " + str(parameters["W1"])) print("b1 = " + str(parameters["b1"])) print("W2 = " + str(parameters["W2"])) print("b2 = " + str(parameters["b2"])) initialize\_parameters\_zeros\_test(initialize\_parameters\_zeros) Run the following code to train your model on 15,000 iterations using zeros initialization. In [40]: parameters = model(train\_X, train\_Y, initialization = "zeros") print ("On the train set: predictions\_train = predict(train\_X, train\_Y, parameters) print ("On the test set:") predictions\_test = predict(test\_X, test\_Y, parameters Learning rate =0.01 0.73 0.72 0.71 0.70 0.69 0.68 0.67 0.66 iterations (per hundreds) The performance is terrible, the cost doesn't decrease, and the algorithm performs no better than random guessing. Why? Take a look at the details of the predictions and the decision boundary: print str(predictions\_train) + str(predictions test print plt.title axes = plt.gca( axes.set ylim([-1.5, 1.5])plot\_decision\_boundary(lambda x: predict\_dec(parameters, x.T), train\_X, train\_Y Model with Zeros initialization 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.0-1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.5 1.0 0.0 Note: For sake of simplicity calculations below are done using only one example at a time. Since the weights and biases are zero, multiplying by the weights creates the zero vector which gives 0 when the activation function is ReLU. As z = 0a = ReLU(z) = max(0, z) = 0At the classification layer, where the activation function is sigmoid you then get (for either input):  $\sigma(z)=rac{1}{1+e^{-(z)}}=rac{1}{2}=y_{pred}$ As for every example you are getting a 0.5 chance of it being true our cost function becomes helpless in adjusting the weights. Your loss function:  $\mathcal{L}(a, y) = -y \ln(y_{pred}) - (1 - y) \ln(1 - y_{pred})$ For y=1,  $y_pred=0.5$  it becomes:  $\mathcal{L}(0,1) = -(1)\ln(\frac{1}{2}) = 0.6931471805599453$ For y=0,  $y_pred=0.5$  it becomes:  $\mathcal{L}(0,0) = -(1)\ln(\frac{1}{2}) = 0.6931471805599453$ As you can see with the prediction being 0.5 whether the actual ( y ) value is 1 or 0 you get the same loss value for both, so none of the weights get adjusted and you are stuck with the same old value of the weights. This is why you can see that the model is predicting 0 for every example! No wonder it's doing so badly. In general, initializing all the weights to zero results in the network failing to break symmetry. This means that every neuron in each layer will learn the same thing, so you might as well be training a neural network with  $n^{[l]}=1$  for every layer. This way, the network is no more powerful than a linear classifier like logistic regression. What you should remember: • The weights  $W^{[l]}$  should be initialized randomly to break symmetry. However, it's okay to initialize the biases  $b^{[l]}$  to zeros. Symmetry is still broken so long as  $W^{[l]}$  is initialized randomly. 5 - Random Initialization To break symmetry, initialize the weights randomly. Following random initialization, each neuron can then proceed to learn a different function of its inputs. In this exercise, you'll see what happens when the weights are initialized randomly, but to very large values. Exercise 2 - initialize\_parameters\_random Implement the following function to initialize your weights to large random values (scaled by \*10) and your biases to zeros. Use np.random.randn(..,..) \* 10 for weights and np.zeros((.., ..)) for biases. You're using a fixed np.random.seed(..) to make sure your "random" weights match ours, so don't worry if running your code several times always gives you the same initial values for the parameters. initialize\_parameters\_random(layers\_dims): np.random.seed(3) parameters = {} L = len(layers dims) for 1 in range(1, L): parameters['W' + str(l)] = np.random.randn(layers dims[l], layers dims[lparameters['b' + str(l)] = np.zeros((layers\_dims[l], l)) return parameters parameters = initialize parameters random([3, 2, 1]) print("W1 = " + str(parameters["W1"])) print("b1 = " + str(parameters["b1"])) print("b2 = " + str(parameters["b2"])) initialize\_parameters\_random\_test(initialize\_parameters\_random\_ Run the following code to train your model on 15,000 iterations using random initialization. parameters = model(train\_X, train\_Y, initialization = "rando predictions\_train = predict(train\_X, train\_Y, parameters) predictions\_test = predict(test\_X, test\_Y, parameters Learning rate =0.01 0.60 0.50 0.45 0.40 12 iterations (per hundreds) If you see "inf" as the cost after the iteration 0, this is because of numerical roundoff. A more numerically sophisticated implementation would fix this, but for the purposes of this notebook, it isn't really worth worrying about. In any case, you've now broken the symmetry, and this gives noticeably better accuracy than before. The model is no longer outputting all 0s. Progress! In [54]: print (predictions train (predictions test print axes = plt.gca() axes.set\_ylim([-1.5,1.5]) plot\_decision\_boundary(lambda x: predict\_dec(parameters, Model with large random initialization 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.00.5 1.0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 Observations: • The cost starts very high. This is because with large random-valued weights, the last activation (sigmoid) outputs results that are very close to 0 or 1 for some examples, and when it gets that example wrong it incurs a very high loss for that example. Indeed, when  $\log(a^{[3]}) = \log(0)$ , the loss goes to infinity. Poor initialization can lead to vanishing/exploding gradients, which also slows down the optimization algorithm. If you train this network longer you will see better results, but initializing with overly large random numbers slows down the optimization. In summary: • Initializing weights to very large random values doesn't work well. Initializing with small random values should do better. The important question is, how small should be these random values be? Let's find out up next! **Optional Read:** The main difference between Gaussian variable ( numpy random randn ( ) ) and uniform random variable is the distribution of the generated random numbers: • numpy.random.rand() produces numbers in a uniform distribution. and numpy.random.randn() produces numbers in a normal distribution. When used for weight initialization, randn() helps most the weights to Avoid being close to the extremes, allocating most of them in the center of the range. An intuitive way to see it is, for example, if you take the sigmoid() activation function. You'll remember that the slope near 0 or near 1 is extremely small, so the weights near those extremes will converge much more slowly to the solution, and having most of them near the center will speed the convergence. 6 - He Initialization Finally, try "He Initialization"; this is named for the first author of He et al., 2015. (If you have heard of "Xavier initialization", this is similar except Xavier initialization uses a scaling factor for the weights  $W^{[l]}$  of  $sqrt(1./layers\_dims[l-1])$  where He initialization would use sqrt(2./layers\_dims[l-1]).) Exercise 3 - initialize\_parameters\_he Implement the following function to initialize your parameters with He initialization. This function is similar to the previous initialize\_parameters\_random(...). The only difference is that instead of multiplying np.random.randn(..,..) by 10, you will multiply it by  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\text{dimension of the previous layer}}}$ , which is what He initialization recommends for layers with a ReLU activation. lef initialize\_parameters\_he(layers\_dims) np.random.seed(3) parameters = {} L = len(layers\_dims) - 1 # integer representing the number of layers for l in range (1, L + 1): parameters['W' + str(1)] = np.random.randn(layers\_dims[1], layers\_dims[1-]) \* np.sqrt(2/layers\_dims[l-1]) parameters['b' + str(1)] = np.zeros((layers\_dims[1], 1)) eturm parameters parameters = initialize\_parameters\_he print("W1 = " + str(parameters["W1"])) print("b2 = " + str(parameters["b2"])) initialize parameters he test (initialize parameters he **Expected output** W1 = [[1.78862847 0.43650985]][ 0.09649747 -1.8634927 ][-0.2773882 -0.35475898][-0.08274148 - 0.62700068]]b1 = [[0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]]W2 = [[-0.03098412 - 0.33744411 - 0.92904268 0.62552248]]b2 = [[0.]]Run the following code to train your model on 15,000 iterations using He initialization. parameters = model(train\_X, train\_Y, initialization = "he") print ("On the train set:") predictions train = predict(train X, train Y, parameters predictions test = predict(test X, test Y, parameters

