

# Problem Set 5 \*

Ian McGroarty

Course Number: 625.603

April 4, 2019

---

\*Problem list 5.2.12, 5.3.10, 5.3.14, 5.4.20, 5.6.6

**Problem 5.2.12.** A random sample of size  $n$  is taken from the pdf:

$$f_y(y; \theta) = \frac{2y}{\theta^2}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_y(y_i; \theta) \quad \text{Def. 5.2.1 (281)}$$

$$= \theta^{-2n} (2y)^n$$

It is easy to see that  $L(\theta)$  is decreasing in  $\theta$ . To maximize  $L(\theta)$  we must minimize  $\theta$ . Since  $y \leq \theta$ ,  $\hat{\theta} = Y_{max}$ .

**Problem 5.3.10.** Babe Ruth batted 0.356 with 192 hits in 540 at-bats. Construct a 95% confidence interval.

**Solution** To construct a 95% confidence interval, we can apply Theorem 5.3.1 (299) with  $k = 192$ ,  $n = 540$ , and  $z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$ .<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{k}{n} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1 - k/n)}{n}}, \frac{k}{n} + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{(k/n)(1 - k/n)}{n}}$$

$$\frac{192}{540} - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(192/540)(1 - 192/540)}{540}}, \frac{192}{540} + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(192/540)(1 - 192/540)}{540}}$$

$$(0.315, 0.396)$$

This is his batting average, so the 95% confidence interval for hits is  $540 * (0.315, 0.396) = (170, 214)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>From Table A.1 (675)

**Problem 5.3.14.** If  $(0.57, 0.63)$  is a 50% confidence interval for  $p$ , find  $\frac{k}{n}$  and  $n$ .

**Solution** The margin of error can be found by using the average distance from the mean, which is the difference in the bounds.  $\frac{0.63-0.57}{2} = 0.03 = d = \frac{k}{n}$ . To find how many observations were taken we use Definition 5.3.1 (301)  $d = \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} \implies n = (\frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2d})^2 = (\frac{0.675}{2*(0.03)})^2 = 126.6 \approx 127$  observations.

**Problem 5.4.20.** Calculate the relative efficiency (r.e.) of  $\hat{\lambda}_1 = X_1$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_2 = \bar{X}_2$ .

*Proof.* I mean they are both “relatively efficient”<sup>2</sup>. □

**Solution** Since it is a Poisson distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} Var_1(X) &= \lambda & \text{Theorem 4.2.4 (224)} \\ Var_2(\bar{X}) &= Var(\frac{X_1}{n} + \dots + \frac{X_n}{n}) \\ &= \frac{Var(x)}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{n} \end{aligned}$$

The relative efficiency is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} r.e.(\hat{\lambda}_1) &= \frac{Var(\hat{\lambda}_2)}{Var(\hat{\lambda}_1)} & \text{Def. 5.4.2 (314)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda/n}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Einstein’s Theory of relativity

**Problem 5.6.6.** Is  $W = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(y; \theta) && \text{Def. 5.6.1 (322)} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta y^{\theta-1} \\
 &= \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right)^{\theta-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $L(\theta)$  depends on  $\theta$  but only depends on  $y$  through the values of  $\prod_{i=1}^n y_i$ . So define  $h(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$  and  $g(h(x); \theta) = \theta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i)^{\theta-1}$  and  $b(k_1, \dots, k_n) = 1$ . By theorem 5.6.1 (324)  $W = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$