Problem Set 7 *

Ian McGroarty

Course Number: 625.641

July 16, 2019

1 Theorems

Theorem 3.9.2 (Larsen Marx (2018) page 184): Let X and Y be any two random variables, and let a and b be constants. Then:

$$E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y)$$

Theorem 3.9.5 (Larsen, Marx (2018) page 188) Suppose X and Y are random variables with finite variances, and a and b are constants. Then

$$Var(aX + bY) = a^{2}Var(X) + b^{2}Var(Y) + 2abCov(X, Y)$$

^{*}Problems: 2,5,6,8,9

<u>Proposition 1 (notes module 7)</u> Suppose X follows a normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ . Then

$$VaR_h(X) = -\sigma F_N^{-1}(h) - \mu$$

<u>Definition</u>: Conditional Value at Risk (notes module 7) of a position X is the conditional expectation values of the associates loss of X given that the losses are at least equal to its value at risk:

$$CVaR_h(X)CVaR_h(X) = E[-X|X \le -VaR_h(X)]$$

<u>Definition:</u> Expected Value (Larsen, Marx (2018) page 138) Let Y be a continuous random variable with pdf $f_Y(y)$, $E(Y) = \mu = \mu_Y = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \cdot f_Y(y) dy$

Problem 2. Suppose X is a normal with zero mean and standard deviation of \$10 million:

- (a) Find the value at risk for X for the risk tolerances h = 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10, 0.50, 0.60, and 0.95. Solution: See figure 1.
- (b) Is there a relation between VaR for values of $h \leq 0.50$ and values for $h \geq 0.50$

Solution Well it seems that 0.5 is the 0 point. Values $h \leq 0.5$ are associated with a positive value at risk and values $g \geq 0.5$ are associated with negative values at risk. It also appears that they are inverses of each other which makes sense since it is (1 - h). Since X is normal, there is symmetry about the mean.

Figure 1: Value at Risk for various Risk Tolerances

Mean	0	
Standard Deviation	10	
Risk Tolarance h	FN(h)	VaR
0.01	2.326348	23.26348
0.02	2.053749	20.53749
0.05	1.644854	16.44854
0.1	1.281552	12.81552
0.5	0	0
0.6	-0.25335	-2.53347
0.95	-1.64485	-16.4485

Problem 5. Suppose X_1 and X_2 are jointly normal positions with parameters $\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_{12}$. Show that: $VaR_h(X_1 + X_2) \leq VaR_h(X_1) + VaR_h(X_2)$

$$VaR_{h}(X_{1}+X_{2}) \leq VaR_{h}(X_{1}) + VaR_{h}(X_{2})$$

$$-\sigma_{12}F_{N}^{-1}(h) - \mu_{12} \leq -\sigma_{1}F_{N}^{-1}(h) - \mu_{1} + -\sigma_{2}F_{N}^{-1}(h) - \mu_{2} \quad \text{Proposition 1 in notes}$$

$$\mu_{12} = \mu_{1} + \mu_{2} \quad \text{By Theorem 3.9.2. Thus:}$$

$$-\sigma_{12}F_{N}^{-1}(h) \leq -\sigma_{1}F_{N}^{-1}(h) + -\sigma_{2}F_{N}^{-1}(h)$$

$$-\sigma_{12} \leq \sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2}$$

$$-\sigma_{12}^{2} \leq (\sigma_{1} + \sigma_{2})^{2} \quad \text{Square both sides}$$

$$Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y) \leq \sigma_{1}^{2} + 2\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} + \sigma_{2}^{2} \quad \text{Theorem 3.9.5}$$

$$2Cov(X, Y) \leq 2\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}$$

$$\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} \leq \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}$$

Problem 6. ind $AVaR_h(X)$ for the X of Exercise 3. (Also see Exercise 9.) **Solution** We know that the density of X is $f(x) = \frac{1}{60-40} = \frac{1}{100}$ for $x \in (-40,60)$ because it is uniform. The distribution function is $F_X(x) = \frac{x+40}{100}$ for $x \in (-40,60)$. So we have $F_X^{-1}(h) = 100h - 40$. Therefore the Value at Risk is

$$VaR_h = -F_X^{-1}(h) = 40 - 100h$$

The Average value at Risk is

$$AVaR_h(X) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h VaR_u(X)du$$
$$= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h 40 - 100h$$
$$= 40 - 50h$$

Problem 8. Let X be a position with a probability distribution F that is strictly increasing and smooth. Let f(x) = F'(x) be the associated probability density.

(a) Verify that $CVaR_h(X) = -\frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{-VaR_h(X)} x f(x) dx$

Solution By Def CVaR: $CVaR_h(X) = E[-X|X \le -VaR_h(X)]$. This is equivalent to: E[-X] s.t. $x \in (-\infty, -VaR_h(X)]$. So by Def. Expected Value

$$E[-X] = \int_{-\infty}^{-VaR_h(X)} f_X(x) \cdot x$$

Since VaR is based on the h quantile we multiply by 1/h?

(b) For any $u \in (0,1)$ let $x = F^{-1}(u)$ be the value of X that defines the u-quantile of X. Conversely, for any specific value x of X, we have u = F(x) as the quantile value associates with x Using the change of variable u = F(x) in the equation (of part a) show that: $CVaR_h(X) = -\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h F^{-1}(u) du$

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{-VaR_h(X)} f_X(x) \cdot x = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{-VaR_h(F^-(u))} f_X(F^-(u)) \cdot F^-(u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{-VaR_h(F^-(u))} F^-(u) du$$

To determine $-VaR_h(F^-(u))$: $h = P(-X > V) = P(-F^-(u) > V) = P(F^-(u) \le V) = P(u \le F(V)) = F(F^-(V)) = V \implies VaR(x) = h$? Thus, $CVaR_h(X) = -\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h F^{-1}(u) du$

(c) Interpret the right hand side of equation (in part b) to obtain:

$$AVaR(X) = -\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h F^{-1}(u) du$$

and hence conclude that $CVaR_h(X) = AVaR_h(X)$. Solution Does anything need to be done here?

Problem 9. ind $CVaR_h(X)$ for the linear case of exercise 3 (also see exercise 6).

Solution We know that the density of X is $f(x) = \frac{1}{60-40} = \frac{1}{100}$ for $x \in (-40, 60)$ because it is uniform. The distribution function is $F_X(x) = \frac{x+40}{100}$ for $x \in (-40, 60)$. So we have $F_X^{-1}(h) = 100h - 40$. Therefore the Value at Risk is

$$VaR_h = -F_X^{-1}(h) = 40 - 100h$$

For the conditional VaR we have $CVaR_h(X) = E[-X|X \le -VaR_h(X)]$ and $P(X \le -VaR_h(X)) = h$. So the conditional VaR is

$$CVaR_h(X) = \int_{-40}^{100h-40} \frac{-x}{100h} dx = 40 - 50h$$