

# Nonlinear least-squares, Gauss-Newton, Levenberg-Marquardt, and connections...

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

2:19 PM

Solve:  $\begin{cases} f_1(\vec{x}) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(\vec{x}) = 0 \end{cases} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m \text{ equations} \\ \text{ie. } F(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}, F(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(\vec{x}) \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \\ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ n \text{ variables /} \\ \text{"unknowns"} \end{array}$

So far we took  $m=n$ ... what if we relax that?

$m < n$  often there are multiple solutions

$m > n$  often there's no solution } let's focus on this

→ No solution... next best thing is often the least-squares solution:

\*  $\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} (f(\vec{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\vec{x})^2) \quad f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Optimization:  $\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\vec{x})$

Canonical methods: ① gradient descent,  $\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \vec{x}^{(k)} - \eta \cdot \nabla f(\vec{x}^{(k)})$  ↗ scalar stepsize

② Newton's method (for minimization)

$$\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \vec{x}^{(k)} - \nabla^2 f(\vec{x}^{(k)})^{-1} \cdot \nabla f(\vec{x}^{(k)})$$

Apply these to our least-squares problem

$J_F$  or  $J$  or  $J(\vec{x})$  is the Jacobian of  $F$

① gradient descent,

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m 2 \cdot f_i(\vec{x}) \cdot \nabla f_i(\vec{x}) = J^T \cdot F(\vec{x})$$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla f_1^T \\ \vdots \\ -\nabla f_m^T \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \vec{x}^{(k)} - \eta \cdot J(\vec{x}^{(k)})^T \cdot F(\vec{x}^{(k)}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m \end{bmatrix}$

② Newton's method (for minimization)

$$\nabla^2 f(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{f_i(\vec{x})}_{\text{Scalar}} \cdot \underbrace{\nabla^2 f_i(\vec{x})}_{n \times n \text{ matrix}} + \underbrace{\nabla f_i(\vec{x})}_{n \times 1 \text{ vector}} \cdot \underbrace{\nabla f_i(\vec{x})^T}_{1 \times n \text{ vector}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$= J^T \cdot J$$

③ (new) "Gauss-Newton",  $\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \vec{x}^{(k)} - \eta \cdot (J^T J)^{-1} J^T \cdot F(\vec{x}^{(k)})$

motivation 1: do Newton (for minimization) but approximate  $\nabla^2 f(\vec{x})$  with just this term! Saves needing to find  $\nabla^2 f_i$ , and you already needed  $J$  anyway

motivation 2:  $\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \arg\min_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (f_i(\vec{x}^{(k)}) + \nabla f_i(\vec{x}^{(k)})^T (\vec{x} - \vec{x}^{(k)}) )^2$   
linearize inside the square

# Nonlinear least-squares, p. 2

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

5:17 PM

③ Levenberg-Marquardt (not correctly described in our book)

is a robust version of Gauss-Newton, suitable for real problems

Common in software (just don't confuse with linear least-squares methods)  
for nonlinear least-squares

## Comparison

let  $J = J_F(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Solve  $F(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$

$m \geq n$ , nonlinear least squares

① Gradient descent  $\nabla f$   
 $\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} - \eta \cdot J^T \cdot F(\vec{x})$   
positive stepsize

② Newton (for minimization)

$$\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} - \nabla^2 f(\vec{x})^{-1} \cdot F(\vec{x})$$

③ Gauss-Newton

$$\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} - (J^T J)^{-1} J^T F(\vec{x})$$

$m = n$ , directly solve  $F(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$

④ Fixed point iteration

$$\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} - \eta \cdot F(\vec{x})$$

positive stepsize, chosen to (hopefully) make contractive

⑤ Newton's Method (for root-finding) aka Newton-Raphson

$$\vec{x} \leftarrow \vec{x} - J^{-1} \cdot F(\vec{x})$$

$n \times n$  so inverse makes sense

if  $m = n$  and  $J$  invertible,  
Gauss-Newton is Newton (root-finding)

$$\text{Since } (J^T J)^{-1} J^T = J^{-1} J^{-T} J^T = J^{-1}$$

nonlinear least-squares:

under mild assumptions, a "solution"  
always exists, but might not  
be a solution to  $F(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$ .

root-finding: root may

not exist! i.e.

equations could be incompatible /  
inconsistent

Also, have issues of local min vs. global min

Both approaches:

- might need to initialize close
- may have singular or ill-conditioned matrices to invert
- ③, ⑤, ⑥ scale  $O(n^3)$  w/ dimension  $n$
- ①, ④ often better in high dimensions