PRIOR ART ANALYSIS - CLERKY PATENT APPLICATION

Demonstrating Novelty and Non-Obviousness

Application: Clerky Al-Powered Clinical Decision Support Platform

Analysis Date: January 2025

Reviewer: CLERKYAI LTD Technical Team

© SEARCH METHODOLOGY

Patent Databases Searched

• UK IPO Database: patents.ipo.gov.uk

• European Patent Office: worldwide.espacenet.com

• USPTO Database: patents.uspto.gov

• WIPO Global Brand Database: www3.wipo.int

Search Terms Used

- "clinical decision support AI"
- "medical guideline analysis"
- "multi-provider artificial intelligence healthcare"
- "real-time medical recommendation"
- "interactive clinical documentation"
- "automated medical protocol analysis"

Classification Codes

- A61B 5/00: Measuring for diagnostic purposes
- G16H 50/20: ICT for medical diagnosis, medical simulation or medical data mining
- G06N 3/00: Computing arrangements based on biological models (Al/ML)
- G06F 16/00: Information retrieval; Database structures

IDENTIFIED PRIOR ART

1. Traditional Clinical Decision Support Systems

Example: Epic EHR Clinical Decision Support

- Technology: Rules-based alert system within electronic health records
- Limitations:
 - Single-provider, proprietary system
 - o Rule-based rather than Al-driven
 - Limited to pre-programmed alerts
 - No real-time guideline analysis
- Differentiation: Clerky's multi-modal Al analysis and real-time learning vs. static rule sets

Example: Cerner PowerChart

- **Technology**: Integrated clinical documentation with basic decision support
- Limitations:
 - Embedded within specific EHR system
 - Limited AI capabilities

- No multi-guideline analysis
- o Provider-locked architecture
- Differentiation: Clerky's provider-agnostic, multi-Al architecture

2. AI-Powered Medical Systems

Example: IBM Watson for Oncology (Discontinued 2022)

- Technology: Al-powered cancer treatment recommendations
- Limitations:
 - Single specialty focus
 - Single Al provider (IBM Watson)
 - No real-time learning from user decisions
 - Limited guideline integration
- Differentiation: Clerky's multi-specialty, multi-provider approach with interactive learning

Example: Google Health AI

- Technology: Machine learning for medical imaging and diagnosis
- Limitations:
 - Focused on diagnostic imaging
 - Single Al provider (Google)
 - No clinical documentation integration
 - Limited to specific medical tasks
- Differentiation: Clerky's comprehensive clinical documentation analysis

3. Guideline Management Systems

Example: GuidelineAccess by AHCPR

- Technology: Static repository of clinical practice guidelines
- Limitations:
 - Static, searchable database only
 - No Al analysis or recommendations
 - Manual guideline consultation required
 - No integration with clinical workflow
- Differentiation: Clerky's automated, real-time guideline analysis and application

Example: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Guidance

- Technology: Authoritative clinical guidelines database
- Limitations:
 - Reference material only
 - No Al-powered analysis
 - Manual consultation required
 - No personalization or adaptation
- Differentiation: Clerky's intelligent interpretation and application of guidelines

4. Medical AI Platforms

Example: Babylon Health AI

- Technology: Al-powered symptom checker and triage
- Limitations:
 - Consumer-focused rather than clinical
 - o Single Al model

- o Limited to symptom assessment
- No guideline integration or clinical documentation support
- Differentiation: Clerky's professional clinical focus with comprehensive guideline analysis

Example: Ada Health Assessment

- Technology: Al-powered medical assessment app
- Limitations:
 - o Patient self-assessment focus
 - Single Al engine
 - o Limited clinical integration
 - No professional clinical documentation support
- Differentiation: Clerky's clinician-focused professional platform

NOVELTY ANALYSIS

Novel Technical Combinations

1. Multi-Provider Al Integration

- Prior Art: Single Al provider systems (IBM Watson, Google Health)
- · Clerky Innovation: Dynamic routing between multiple AI providers (OpenAI, DeepSeek, Gemini) with automatic failover
- Technical Advantage: Improved reliability, performance optimization, and reduced vendor lock-in

2. Real-Time Multi-Guideline Analysis

- Prior Art: Static guideline repositories or single-guideline focus
- Clerky Innovation: Simultaneous analysis against ~300 guidelines with conflict resolution
- · Technical Advantage: Comprehensive evidence-based recommendations with contradiction handling

3. Interactive Learning Framework

- Prior Art: Static recommendation systems or basic feedback mechanisms
- Clerky Innovation: Real-time adaptation based on clinician accept/reject patterns with decision tracking
- Technical Advantage: Personalized recommendations that improve over time

4. Automated Clinical Documentation Enhancement

- Prior Art: Basic spell-check or template systems
- **Clerky Innovation**: Al-powered quality assessment and intelligent completion suggestions
- Technical Advantage: Improved documentation quality and clinical workflow efficiency

5. Distributed Processing Architecture

- **Prior Art**: Monolithic systems or single-cloud deployments
- Clerky Innovation: GitHub Actions integration with multi-cloud processing (Firebase + Render)
- Technical Advantage: Scalable, version-controlled guideline management with distributed processing



NON-OBVIOUSNESS ASSESSMENT

Technical Complexity Factors

Synergistic Combination

The combination of multi-provider AI routing, real-time guideline analysis, and interactive learning creates unexpected results:

- Performance Enhancement: Multi-provider architecture provides better reliability than sum of individual providers
- Learning Acceleration: Real-time feedback improves recommendation accuracy faster than traditional ML approaches
- Clinical Integration: Seamless workflow integration reduces cognitive load on clinicians

Problem-Solution Fit

Industry Problem: Clinical decision support systems are either too rigid (rule-based) or too narrow (singlespecialty/single-provider) Technical Solution: Multi-modal, adaptive AI platform that combines broad guideline coverage with personalized learning Non-Obvious Insight: Using multiple AI providers with intelligent routing solves both reliability and performance optimization simultaneously

Implementation Challenges

- Al Provider Integration: Complex API management and failover logic
- Real-Time Processing: Balancing speed with comprehensive analysis across hundreds of guidelines
- Clinical Workflow: Seamless integration without disrupting established practices
- Learning Algorithms: Adapting to individual clinician preferences while maintaining evidence-based recommendations

COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE

Market Analysis

- Large EHR Vendors: Focus on integration rather than Al innovation
- Al Companies: Typically single-provider, narrow focus applications
- Medical Device Companies: Hardware-focused with limited software innovation
- Startups: Usually single-specialty or single-feature solutions

Clerky's Unique Position

- Broad Scope: Multi-specialty platform with expansion capability
- **Technical Innovation**: Multi-provider Al with real-time learning
- Clinical Focus: Professional-grade clinical documentation support
- Regulatory Pathway: Clear medical device certification route

6 PATENT STRENGTH ASSESSMENT

Strong Claims (Likely to be Granted)

- 1. Multi-Provider Al Integration: Novel technical architecture not found in prior art
- 2. Real-Time Multi-Guideline Analysis: Unique approach to comprehensive guideline application
- 3. Interactive Learning Framework: Innovative adaptation mechanism for clinical decision support
- 4. Automated Documentation Enhancement: Novel application of AI to clinical documentation quality

Potential Challenges

- 1. General AI Claims: Avoid overly broad AI/ML claims that might overlap with existing patents
- 2. **EHR Integration**: Some prior art exists for clinical system integration
- 3. Basic Decision Support: Ensure claims focus on novel technical implementations rather than general concepts

Recommended Claim Strategy

- Focus on Technical Implementation: Emphasize specific algorithms and architectures
- **Highlight Combinations**: Patent the synergistic effects of combined innovations
- Include Performance Benefits: Document measurable technical advantages
- Maintain Scope: Broad enough for commercial protection, specific enough for patentability

CONCLUSION

Novelty Assessment: STRONG

Clerky's multi-provider Al architecture with real-time learning and comprehensive guideline analysis represents a significant advance over existing prior art.

Non-Obviousness Assessment: STRONG

The synergistic combination of technologies creates unexpected benefits that would not be obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Commercial Potential: HIGH

Clear market differentiation from existing solutions with strong technical barriers to entry.

Recommendation: PROCEED WITH FILING

The patent application has strong potential for grant with appropriate claim strategy focusing on technical implementation details.

Analysis Status: Complete Confidence Level: High

Next Steps: Proceed with UK IPO filing as planned

Date: January 2025