

# AI in Clinical Decision Support Systems: Integration, Impact, and Pricing

## AI Integration Types in Clinical Decision Support

Modern clinical decision support systems (CDSS) increasingly leverage **artificial intelligence** to enhance their capabilities. Key AI technologies used include:

- **Rule-Based Systems and Expert Logic:** Traditional CDSS often relied on IF-THEN rules (e.g. drug interaction alerts or order sets). Many platforms still use curated rule engines for guideline-based prompts or medication safety checks. These are now sometimes augmented by AI for prioritization (reducing “alert fatigue”).
- **Machine Learning (ML) & Predictive Analytics:** ML models analyze large datasets to predict patient risks or outcomes. For example, a hospital’s electronic health record (EHR) may run an ML model that monitors vitals, labs, and notes to predict sepsis or patient deterioration hours in advance <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> . Such models continuously learn from data to improve early warning accuracy.
- **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** NLP algorithms can interpret unstructured text like clinician notes or guidelines. This enables CDSS tools to “understand” clinical documentation or literature. For instance, NLP can power an assistant that reads a doctor’s free-text notes and identifies key findings or missing information. Companies like **Clinithink** (UK) use NLP to scan EHR text for rare disease clues, and the conceptual **Clerky** platform proposes analyzing transcripts against guidelines using NLP <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> .
- **Generative AI (Large Language Models):** The latest CDSS trend is integration of generative AI (e.g. GPT-4) to provide conversational support. These AI systems can answer clinical questions in natural language, draft documentation, or synthesize data on the fly <sup>5</sup> . Unlike fixed algorithms, generative models can produce human-like explanations or summaries based on vast medical knowledge (but are used with caution to ensure accuracy).

**Integration into Clinical Workflows:** AI-driven CDSS are designed to fit seamlessly into existing workflows. Many are embedded directly in EHR UIs or clinical software, so clinicians don’t have to switch context <sup>6</sup> . Others operate as background services that trigger alerts in the systems clinicians already use. Common integration modes include:

- **Within EHR Systems:** AI modules are increasingly built into major EHR platforms (Epic, Cerner, etc.) or added via APIs. For example, Epic’s “App Orchard” program allows third-party AI tools (for sepsis, imaging, etc.) to plug into the EHR and write alerts to the patient chart using standards like FHIR <sup>7</sup> . Oracle Cerner’s new cloud-based EHR is “AI-first,” embedding voice recognition and ML agents natively in the interface <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> .
- **Standalone Apps & Mobile Tools:** Some CDSS tools run as separate apps on clinicians’ workstations or mobile devices, but still integrate by pulling data from EHRs and pushing recommendations back. For instance, a radiology AI might run in the cloud but integrate with the PACS imaging system to display AI findings on scan images. Mobile CDSS apps (like drug reference apps) use AI for quick queries but are linked to patient context via EHR hooks.
- **Point-of-Care Devices:** In certain cases, AI is integrated into medical devices (e.g. an AI algorithm on an MRI machine that analyzes images in real-time, or a vital sign monitor with built-in ML for

arrhythmia detection). These provide decision support at the bedside or in the imaging suite, then send results into the health record.

### Examples of AI Integration by Vendor/System:

- **Epic Systems (USA):** Epic has incorporated ML models into its EHR suite. Notably, the **Epic Sepsis Model** runs on hospital inpatients' data and generates a sepsis risk score every 15–20 minutes, triggering alerts to clinicians within the Epic workflow <sup>10</sup>. Epic also offers a “Deterioration Index” and other predictive scores embedded in the patient header to warn of potential decline. In 2023, Epic and Microsoft announced integration of Azure's OpenAI GPT-4 into Epic: one early use auto-drafts patient message replies for clinicians (saving time on routine inquiries), and another enables conversational data analysis in Epic's SlicerDicer reporting tool <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup>. These generative AI features are accessible directly in the EHR interface. Epic's approach keeps AI guidance within the familiar screens (e.g. BestPractice Advisory pop-ups or in-chart suggestions), rather than requiring separate logins.
- **Oracle Cerner (Oracle Health):** Under Oracle's ownership, Cerner's platform is being rebuilt cloud-natively with an “agentic AI” design <sup>13</sup>. The upcoming Oracle Health EHR (next-gen Cerner) includes a voice-enabled **Clinical Digital Assistant** that lets clinicians use spoken questions to retrieve information (e.g. “What were the latest CT results?”) <sup>8</sup>. It uses AI trained on clinical data to understand context and respond conversationally. Oracle's AI agents can also proactively surface insights – for example, suggesting optimal medication choices by understanding which drugs are indicated for a patient's conditions <sup>9</sup>. This system was built to be *conversational and contextual*, aiming to cut through the “sea of clicks.” Oracle highlights that its generative AI is an **open system** – hospitals can plug in their own AI models or third-party algorithms alongside Oracle's, with the AI orchestrating tasks behind the scenes <sup>14</sup>. In practice, a clinician might get an AI-generated summary of a patient's history on demand, or see automated draft notes after a voice dictation. All of this appears within the EHR's interface (e.g. as a chat sidebar or as fields auto-filled by the AI). The first release (for ambulatory care, 2025) focuses on reducing documentation burden and providing decision support via voice and text, with acute care features to follow <sup>8</sup> <sup>15</sup>.
- **UpToDate (Wolters Kluwer):** UpToDate is a widely-used knowledge-based CDSS that historically provided evidence summaries written by experts. In 2023–2025 it added **UpToDate Expert AI**, a generative AI chatbot that clinicians can query in natural language <sup>16</sup> <sup>5</sup>. This AI is grounded in UpToDate's curated content. For example, a doctor can type a clinical question (“What's the recommended antibiotic for diabetic foot infection in a patient with CKD?”), and the generative AI will return a concise answer with references to the UpToDate article, directly within the UpToDate interface. This is accessible via web or EHR-integrated links (many EHRs have an “InfoButton” that opens UpToDate). The generative model provides **context-rich explanations with citations** to maintain trust <sup>5</sup>. UpToDate's AI essentially serves as a quick, conversational layer on top of its database, speeding up information retrieval at the point of care. (Notably, Wolters Kluwer built a “Clinical Intelligence” validation layer so the LLM's answers are checked against expert-approved content, avoiding the pitfalls of unchecked AI in medicine <sup>17</sup>.) The UpToDate integration in workflow remains similar – often a button in the EHR opens the Q&A interface, or clinicians use it on a mobile app during rounds.
- **IBM Watson Health / Merative:** IBM's Watson was an early pioneer in AI for clinical decision support. Watson's NLP and ML capabilities were tested in oncology, where **Watson for Oncology** aimed to recommend cancer treatments by cross-referencing patient records with guidelines and literature. While heavily publicized, it faced challenges in real-world adoption and accuracy.

In 2022, IBM sold Watson Health to a new company, **Merative**, which continues to offer certain CDSS tools. One example is **Merative Micromedex with Watson**, a drug information resource that uses AI search: clinicians can ask in plain English about drug dosing or interactions and get answers sourced from the Micromedex database (this is an NLP-driven enhancement to a traditional drug DB). Merative also inherited **clinical decision support analytics** like disease registries and imaging AI from IBM. However, the integration of Watson's AI into daily workflows remained limited – often requiring clinicians to use a separate interface. The lesson from Watson is that even advanced AI needs to slot into the workflow *conveniently* and provide clear value. (Watson for Oncology, for instance, was a standalone tool rather than embedded in the oncologist's usual EHR, which hindered its use.)

- **Wolters Kluwer (Other Tools):** Besides UpToDate, Wolters Kluwer provides drug decision support (Medi-Span and Lexicomp) and clinical pathways. These are largely rule-based but now incorporate AI for personalization. For example, drug interaction alerts from Medi-Span can be filtered based on patient context (allergy history, etc.), which is a simple form of AI prioritization. WK's **Sentri7** surveillance platform (used in some hospitals for infection control) uses algorithms to detect patient conditions like sepsis or renal dosing issues by scanning EHR data. While not as high-profile as generative AI, these embedded analytics improve the relevance of alerts (e.g. only flagging a drug interaction if it's clinically significant for that patient). These systems integrate via the EHR's alert mechanism or dashboards used by pharmacists and quality teams.
- **Brainomix (UK): Brainomix e-Stroke** is an AI-powered imaging decision support solution specialized for stroke. It uses computer vision ML algorithms to analyze brain scans (CT/MRI) for signs of ischemic stroke or hemorrhage, and it can quantify the extent of damage and detect large vessel occlusions. Integration-wise, Brainomix is typically set up so that when a head scan is performed on a stroke patient, the images are automatically sent to the Brainomix AI (often via cloud). Within minutes (often ~4 minutes on average), the AI sends back results: highlighting a clot on a vessel image, or generating an ASPECTS score (quantifying brain injury) <sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup>. These results appear in the radiologist's viewing software or a dedicated Brainomix viewer, and can be forwarded to the stroke team's phones via an app notification. In the UK, Brainomix was **deployed across all 107 stroke units in England** by 2025, integrated into the acute stroke workflow <sup>20</sup>. When a patient arrives with stroke symptoms, front-line clinicians get an AI-assisted interpretation of the scan almost immediately, alongside the radiologist's read. This tight integration means transfer decisions (to comprehensive stroke centers) and treatments (thrombolysis or thrombectomy) can happen faster, informed by AI analysis. Brainomix's solution is CE-marked and designed to slot into hospital networks securely, whether on-premise or via NHS cloud, with results delivered in real-time to clinicians.
- **Aidoc (Israel/Global): Aidoc** offers a suite of “always-on” AI algorithms for medical imaging diagnostics. It integrates with radiology workflows by monitoring the imaging queue: as soon as a study (CT, X-ray, MRI) is completed, images are sent to Aidoc's cloud for analysis. The AI algorithms analyze the images for critical findings (like intracranial hemorrhage, pulmonary embolism, spinal fracture, etc.) in a couple of minutes and if a positive finding is detected, Aidoc flags the case. The radiologist sees an alert in their worklist (e.g. a priority marker) or a pop-up in the PACS viewer indicating “AI detected possible bleed”. This prompts faster reading of that scan. In practice, **Everlight Radiology**, a teleradiology provider serving many NHS hospitals, integrated Aidoc in 2019 to help triage A&E scans <sup>21</sup>. Aidoc runs behind the scenes; radiologists only notice it when it flags a case or shows an annotated image. It can also catch incidental findings – e.g. if a PE (pulmonary embolism) shows up on a CT that was done for something else, the AI will alert the team so it's not overlooked <sup>22</sup> <sup>23</sup>. By being embedded in the existing

workflow (no separate login, just an icon on the usual workstation), Aidoc's AI ensures urgent cases are prioritized without disrupting radiologists' normal process.

- **C2-Ai (UK):** **C2-Ai** (Cambridge Clinical Analytics) provides an AI-driven analytics platform rather than point-of-care alerts. It ingests large amounts of hospital data (over **500 million patient records** globally to date) to generate risk-adjusted insights on outcomes <sup>24</sup>. C2-Ai's tools like *Observatory* and *RiskTriage* integrate by taking data exports from EHRs (or connecting via data feeds) and then presenting analyses on web dashboards for clinicians and executives. For example, *Observatory* will analyze surgical outcomes at a hospital, adjust for patient risk factors, and highlight where outcomes are worse than expected – essentially an AI quality audit to support clinical governance. *RiskTriage* is used to prioritize patients on waiting lists: it uses predictive models to identify which patients are at higher risk of deterioration if their surgery is delayed <sup>25</sup>. This AI output can be integrated into the hospital's patient management system so that waiting lists are reordered based on clinical risk rather than just waiting time. While C2-Ai's integration isn't as visibly "in your face" during a patient encounter, it slots into operational decision-making (e.g. a weekly report to each hospital service, or flags on an admin dashboard indicating which patients need urgent attention). Over 20 NHS trusts use C2-Ai's analytics, so its AI recommendations are effectively part of those trusts' decision support ecosystem <sup>26</sup>. For example, a surgical department might get an alert: "Patient X has a high predicted mortality risk if not operated in 30 days," prompting re-scheduling that patient sooner.
- **UK Startups (e.g. Clerky):** Emerging solutions like the proposed **Clerky** platform illustrate new AI-CDSS integration concepts. Clerky is envisioned as a "**digital assistant**" for clinicians that runs in the background as they document care or make decisions <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>. It would use NLP to analyze clinical notes or transcripts in real time, then cross-reference an internal database of guidelines (NICE, specialty college guidelines, etc.). For example, as a doctor is typing an admission note about a patient with chest pain, Clerky might pop up: "Based on NICE guidelines for suspected MI, consider ordering a troponin if not already done" – with a citation to the guideline. This kind of AI is embedded in the EHR's documentation module or could run as an overlay in a voice dictation system (listening to the consultation and offering prompts). The goal is **real-time feedback**: ensuring documentation is thorough and decisions align with evidence-based best practice <sup>27</sup> <sup>28</sup>. Integration-wise, a tool like Clerky would need deep EHR integration (access to the patient data and note fields) and a very intuitive UI to not annoy clinicians. Similar approaches are being piloted by other companies: for instance, Microsoft/Nuance's **DAX** ambient scribe not only writes the note but could be extended to suggest guideline-based care gaps. While Clerky itself is in concept phase, it represents how **generative AI + NLP** might soon work as an omnipresent "clerk" in the room, listening and consulting reference knowledge instantly.

In summary, major CDSS vendors and upstarts are weaving AI into their products in diverse ways – from predictive models silently running on EHR data, to chatbots that answer clinical questions, to image analysis tools that act as a second set of eyes. The unifying theme is **seamless integration**: the AI is most effective when it delivers its insight in the right moment and place (within the clinician's workflow). A poorly integrated AI (no matter how advanced) won't be used; hence we see Epic and Oracle focusing on native EHR integration, and others ensuring their AI alerts or suggestions appear in clinicians' existing tools <sup>6</sup>. The next sections will discuss how these AI-CDSS actually improve care in practice, and how they are procured and priced.

## How AI-Enabled CDSS Improve Care Outcomes

AI-driven decision support systems can significantly impact clinical outcomes and workflow efficiency. They do so through several mechanisms:

- **Early Risk Prediction and Intervention:** Perhaps the biggest promise of AI in CDSS is identifying high-risk patients earlier than humans might. For example, AI-powered sepsis alerts can analyze subtle vital sign and lab trends to warn of sepsis hours before it becomes obvious. At the University of California San Diego, deploying a deep-learning sepsis model (named *COMPOSER*) in the EHR led to a **17% relative reduction in in-hospital sepsis mortality** by catching sepsis early and prompting clinicians to act <sup>29</sup> <sup>30</sup>. Similarly, Johns Hopkins' AI-based **TREWS** system for sepsis reportedly detected **82%** of sepsis cases (versus ~50% with traditional methods) and was associated with **~20% lower mortality** in a study <sup>2</sup>. These predictive models improve outcomes by ensuring timely treatments – e.g. early antibiotics, fluids, or ICU transfer – before a patient crashes. Beyond sepsis, hospitals use AI for predicting patient deterioration (risk of code blue), readmission risk, or complications. For instance, several Epic hospitals saw reductions in mortality after implementing an “Early Warning” ML model for patient deterioration <sup>31</sup>. In one case, mortality dropped by up to 17% when proactive care teams responded to the AI alerts <sup>31</sup>. In summary, AI models extend clinicians' situational awareness to future risks, enabling **preventative action** that saves lives.
- **Reduction of Errors and Adverse Events:** AI-based CDSS also act as a safety net to catch human errors. Medication prescribing is a prime area – decision support alerts can prevent incorrect doses, dangerous drug interactions, or therapy omissions. A striking UK example is the use of **FDB OptimiseRx** in primary care: this system provides patient-specific prescribing prompts (many powered by rules and AI analytics). An analysis by an Academic Health Science Network found that in one year, OptimiseRx alerts likely **prevented 26,000 falls** in elderly patients by warning GPs about medications that increase fall risk <sup>32</sup> <sup>33</sup>. By nudging doctors to adjust or stop high-risk drugs (e.g. certain sedatives or anticholinergics in frail older adults), the CDSS helped avoid serious injuries and hospitalizations from falls – a major patient safety win. AI can also reduce errors by enforcing guidelines: e.g. an AI documentation assistant might remind a clinician about a needed contraindication check (“This patient has an allergy, are you sure about prescribing this?”), preventing a possible allergic reaction. In the radiology domain, AI that double-checks scans can reduce missed diagnoses (which are diagnostic errors). For example, Aidoc's algorithm for brain hemorrhages increased radiologists' **detection rate of intracranial bleeds by ~12%** in one study <sup>34</sup>, meaning fewer hemorrhages were overlooked. By acting as a consistent second reader, AI minimizes human slips or cognitive overload issues. Overall, these systems contribute to **safer care** by catching what humans might miss – from a dangerous drug combo to an early stroke on a scan – thereby reducing adverse events.
- **Faster Diagnosis and Treatment:** Time is critical in many conditions, and AI can dramatically speed up key steps. A clear success story is in stroke care with Brainomix e-Stroke in the NHS. Before AI, interpreting a brain scan for a clot could take precious time, especially if an on-call specialist had to be involved. Now, AI analyzes the scan in minutes and notifies the stroke team immediately. This has led to patients getting definitive treatment faster. England's stroke networks report that using AI imaging decision support cut **door-to-treatment time by ~50 minutes on average** <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. That time savings translates into better outcomes, since “time is brain” in stroke. Indeed, after rolling out Brainomix across 100+ stroke units, the NHS saw the rate of patients achieving full recovery **triple**, and about **50% of stroke patients are now avoiding severe disability** – outcomes credited in part to the AI enabling quicker thrombolysis/thrombectomy for eligible patients <sup>37</sup> <sup>38</sup>. In emergency departments, AI-driven triage is

speeding up care as well. Aidoc's always-on AI for radiology ensures critical findings (like pulmonary embolisms) are flagged within **minutes** of scan completion <sup>22</sup>. Teleradiologists using Aidoc can prioritize those scans, leading to faster diagnosis and intervention (e.g. starting anticoagulation for a PE sooner). Even outside of imaging, generative AI can save time by rapidly summarizing information – for instance, an AI might pull up relevant prior history for a patient in seconds, whereas a doctor might spend 10 minutes searching the chart. All these time gains add up to **faster clinical decision-making**, which in emergencies or acute care directly improves patient outcomes (shorter time to antibiotics, to cath lab, to surgery, etc.). There are also workflow efficiencies: e.g. AI-driven scheduling and patient flow predictions can reduce ER wait times or optimize OR scheduling, indirectly improving timely care. In summary, AI-CDSS are proving their worth by accelerating the diagnostic and treatment process when every minute counts.

- **Better Adherence to Guidelines and Best Practices:** Clinical decision support has always aimed to bring evidence-based best practices to the bedside. AI enhances this by personalizing and streamlining guideline adherence. Tools like UpToDate's new generative Q&A ensure clinicians can get **evidence-based answers within seconds** during patient care <sup>5</sup>, which helps them follow the latest guidelines (no need to rely on memory or outdated information). There's evidence that hospitals with greater use of CDSS knowledge resources have better patient outcomes – for example, increased use of UpToDate has been associated with improved quality measures in some studies <sup>39</sup>. Beyond quick info retrieval, AI can actively **remind clinicians of care gaps**. An example is the hypothetical Clerky assistant: it would check documentation and decisions against guidelines in real time, potentially boosting compliance (e.g. "Patient has atrial fibrillation and no mention of anticoagulation – guideline recommends considering it to prevent stroke"). This kind of AI prompt can improve adherence to proven interventions, thus improving outcomes (fewer strokes in AFib, etc.). Even simpler, many CDSS have AI-tuned order sets that default to the recommended care pathway unless the clinician opts out. By nudging providers towards evidence-based choices (while still allowing clinical judgment), CDSS raise the overall standard of care consistency. **Real-world deployments** show measurable impacts: for instance, a study on a CDSS for postoperative care that provided AI-driven reminders saw increased adherence to venous thromboembolism prophylaxis protocols, reducing blood clot complications. In primary care, OptimiseRx not only saved money but also improved guideline adherence (e.g. suggesting first-line, cost-effective drugs for conditions aligned with NHS formularies), leading to more consistent care across practices <sup>40</sup> <sup>41</sup>. Ultimately, AI helps **translate medical knowledge into practice** by delivering the right reminder or information at the right time. This reduces variations in care and ensures patients receive treatments that research and guidelines deem most effective, thereby improving overall outcomes and even **medicolegal protection** (adhering to standards of care).

In addition to the above, AI-based CDSS can enhance patient engagement and system efficiency (beyond direct clinical outcomes). For example, AI symptom-checker apps help patients decide on appropriate care sooner, potentially reducing delays in seeking treatment. Also, AI can optimize operational decisions (staffing, bed management) which, while indirect, lead to better care by reducing overcrowding or wait times.

To summarize, the **benefits observed with AI-enabled CDSS** in 2023–2025 include meaningful clinical improvements: lower mortality and complication rates through early detection (sepsis, deterioration, etc.), fewer errors and adverse drug events, faster diagnoses and treatments in acute conditions (stroke, heart attack, trauma), and higher rates of guideline-concordant care which leads to better long-term outcomes. These outcomes are being documented in real-world deployments – from academic medical centers in the US reporting double-digit drops in sepsis mortality <sup>29</sup>, to nationwide programs

in the UK tripling stroke recovery rates with AI <sup>37</sup> . Such results demonstrate that when thoughtfully implemented, AI-CDSS are not just tech novelties but genuine **clinical tools improving patient care** on multiple fronts.

## Pricing Models for AI-Based CDSS Solutions

The pricing and procurement of AI-enabled CDSS vary widely depending on the type of product and the market (e.g. US hospital vs. UK NHS trust). Below we break down common pricing models and known benchmarks for these tools:

- **Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) Subscription:** Many AI CDSS are offered on a subscription basis, where a healthcare provider pays an annual or monthly fee for use. This can be **per user** (e.g. per physician or per organization). For instance, **UpToDate** is typically sold as a subscription – an individual doctor’s license is on the order of a few hundred pounds/dollars per year, while hospitals negotiate enterprise licenses (often based on number of beds or clinicians). In the NHS, some trusts license UpToDate or similar knowledge bases for all staff; costs can be substantial (six-figure £ per year for a large hospital), though these yield broad access to CDS content. Another example: a startup offering a mobile CDSS app might charge a hospital £X per user per month for unlimited use. *Subscription tiers* are often scaled by size – e.g. a small clinic vs. a large hospital network. This model provides predictable costs and often includes support, updates, and access to new AI features as they’re released.
- **Enterprise Licensing (Bundled or Module-Based):** Large EHR vendors like Epic or Oracle typically bundle decision support tools into their enterprise contracts. When an NHS trust buys Epic for its EHR (often tens of millions of pounds upfront plus maintenance), the package includes standard CDS capabilities (like rules, basic alerts, and Epic’s own ML models). Epic doesn’t price each predictive model separately; it’s part of the system. However, certain advanced AI capabilities might be add-ons or require partnering services. For example, Epic’s generative AI functions via Azure OpenAI will incur Azure cloud usage fees – those might be passed through to the client or baked into a higher-tier service agreement with Epic/Microsoft. Oracle’s new cloud EHR will likely be sold on a subscription/license basis per organization (perhaps per concurrent user or per patient record), with AI features (voice assistant, etc.) included, but possibly requiring the healthcare provider to also consume Oracle Cloud credits for the AI’s compute. In essence, for integrated EHR-CDSS, the **pricing is often bundled** into the overall IT contract rather than an itemized “per alert” cost. NHS organizations often procure these via long-term contracts (5-10 year deals). Notably, Oracle and Epic contracts with the NHS are typically negotiated trust-by-trust (no national license yet), and include the full stack – so the AI decision support is a value-add rather than a separate bill line.
- **Usage-Based Pricing (Per Transaction or Volume):** Some AI solutions charge based on usage volume – common in imaging AI and some API-based services. **Imaging AI vendors (e.g. Aidoc, Brainomix)** sometimes use a *per-scan* pricing model or volume-based tiers. For example, an imaging AI might charge a hospital a fee for each study analyzed (say a few pounds per CT scan for stroke detection). Alternatively, they may structure it as a subscription capped at a certain number of scans per year, with overage fees if you exceed that. A published cost analysis noted that running AI models for imaging typically costs about **\$0.50–\$2 per scan** in cloud compute resources alone <sup>42</sup> , so vendors price above that to cover development and profit. In practice, deals often end up as flat annual fees that correspond to expected volume (e.g. £100k/year for up to N scans). *Per-alert* pricing is less common (clinicians would be averse to thinking each alert “costs” money), but effectively per-scan is per attempted alert. For AI services delivered via API

(like a cloud NLP service to analyze 1000 clinical notes), a **per-request or per-1k tokens** model is used. For instance, large language model APIs (OpenAI, etc.) charge by text tokens: roughly **\$0.003-\$0.012 per 1000 tokens** processed <sup>42</sup>. If a CDSS uses an LLM to generate advice, the vendor may bundle a certain number of queries into the subscription or charge extra if usage is high. As an example, if an AI assistant in an EHR drafts 1000 patient note summaries a month, and each costs ~\$0.05 in API calls, that's \$50/month in usage costs – a vendor might incorporate that and charge a flat \$1000/month unlimited use or pass through the variable cost. The key point: **usage-based models align cost with how much the tool is used**, which can be attractive to smaller orgs (pay only for what you use) but tricky for budgeting if use grows.

- **Tiered or Modular SaaS Plans:** Some modern CDSS/AI providers offer tiered pricing plans. For example, an AI sepsis alerting system might have a basic tier (algorithm + simple EHR integration) vs. a premium tier (algorithm + integration + analytics dashboard + continuous tuning support). A hospital could choose based on budget. Often tiers are also separated by scope: a per-department or pilot price vs. an enterprise-wide price. In the UK, a smaller hospital might trial an AI solution under a pilot license (sometimes subsidized by innovation grants), then scale up to a full license if successful. *Software marketplaces* and procurement frameworks (like NHS SBS or G-Cloud) list some AI tools with pricing units (e.g. £X per user per month, £Y for initial deployment, etc.), although many require custom quotes.
- **National/Regional Contracts:** In some cases, especially in the UK, AI-CDSS tools are procured centrally or via regional collaborations. For instance, **Brainomix e-Stroke in England was funded by a national programme (NHS AI in Health and Care Award)** which provided £16+ million to deploy the tool across all stroke centers <sup>43</sup> <sup>44</sup>. This means individual trusts did not each pay Brainomix; instead, NHS England negotiated a bulk deal (effectively a national license for that use-case). Another example: **FDB OptimiseRx** was adopted across Northern Ireland's GP practices through a single regional contract <sup>45</sup>. The Health and Social Care Board negotiated with FDB and likely paid an annual fee to cover every GP in NI – rather than each practice buying it. Such deals often bring costs down per site due to scale and ensure equity of access. In England, NHSX/NHS England have also done national licenses for certain knowledge resources (e.g. a national license for BMJ Best Practice for all NHS staff). We might see similar for AI tools if proven cost-effective. From a pricing standpoint, these central deals can be multi-million but are spread over many sites. For instance, a 2023 NHS investment of **£21 million in AI tools for lung cancer diagnosis** aimed to fund deployments across multiple trusts <sup>46</sup>. The procurement often happens via an NHS AI Framework where vendors list pricing, and NHS England covers some or all of it for participating trusts.
- **Cloud vs. On-Premise Deployment Costs:** The choice of cloud or on-prem can affect the pricing structure. **Cloud-based AI solutions** usually come in a subscription or usage model as described (the vendor hosts everything, and the client just uses it). This shifts costs to an operational expense. **On-premise solutions** might be sold as a one-time license plus annual maintenance (more like a capital expenditure). For example, a hospital could purchase an AI imaging software to install on local servers; they might pay a large upfront license fee and then 15-20% of that per year for support/updates. On-prem deployments may also require the hospital to invest in hardware (GPUs for AI), adding to cost. Some vendors offer both: e.g. Brainomix can be on Azure cloud (with an annual SaaS fee) or installed locally; the pricing might differ. Generally, cloud SaaS is more common now due to easier updates and data network effects, but some NHS trusts prefer on-site for data control, so vendors accommodate that via a different pricing scheme.
- **Token-Based Pricing for LLM APIs:** As generative AI features roll out, some vendors might explicitly price by AI usage. For instance, if an EHR offers a GPT-4 powered note summarization, it



could charge the hospital per 1,000 characters of text processed. Microsoft's Azure OpenAI service charges roughly **\$0.06 per 1,000 tokens** for GPT-4 (2025 rates) and ~\$0.002 per 1,000 tokens for GPT-3.5. A complex clinical query might use 2-3k tokens between prompt and answer, costing maybe \$0.15 for GPT-4. While these costs are small per use, at scale (millions of queries) they add up. Enterprise software vendors might bundle a certain volume into the subscription and then have metered pricing beyond that. In contract negotiations, health systems will look at expected usage – for example, “we anticipate 500k AI-powered notes per year” – and vendors may offer a prepaid model. The **bottom line** is that for LLM-based CDSS, **cloud compute costs are a factor**, and pricing models will reflect that (either visibly or hidden in a higher flat fee).

- **Examples of Pricing Levels:** Precise pricing often isn't public due to confidentiality, but some ballpark figures can be given from reports and contracts:
  - A midsize NHS hospital might pay on the order of **£50k–£150k per year** for an imaging AI solution like Aidoc or Brainomix if buying standalone (some have cited ~\$5-10 per scan and 10k scans/year, or flat fees of ~\$100k/year for unlimited use) <sup>47</sup>. However, with the NHS centrally funding stroke AI, those costs were covered by the AI Award budget rather than trust funds.
  - A primary care network using OptimiseRx medicine optimization could pay **~£2–3 per patient per year** covered, or a few thousand per GP practice per year – but since it often saves more money than it costs in deprescribing and efficiencies, the ROI is high. Indeed, FDB reported **£500+ million savings for the NHS** over about 8 years from OptimiseRx usage <sup>48</sup> (implying it more than pays for itself).
  - **Epic EHR** deals are huge (tens to hundreds of millions over the contract). The integrated AI (predictive models) doesn't have a separate price tag; it's part of the maintenance fee. However, if Epic customers opt to use Microsoft's Dragon Ambient eXperience (an AI scribe) or the Azure OpenAI services through Epic, those likely come with additional costs passed through (Nuance DAX, for example, is often a per-user per-month add-on in the range of \$... [though exact figures are proprietary, think on the order of \$100–\$200 per physician per month for DAX in the US context]). The NHS, when implementing Epic (as at Cambridge, UCLH, etc.), negotiates a global price for the system – any new AI feature might increase usage of cloud services but not necessarily alter the contract until renewal.
  - For **Oracle Cerner** (now Oracle Health), the new cloud EHR might be offered in a subscription model, which some speculate could be **£X per clinician per month** or a flat annual fee per organization. Oracle has not publicly disclosed pricing, but being cloud, they might shift from large upfront license to a steadier subscription. If voice AI is included, they might limit heavy usage or charge for above-normal use (since real-time voice transcription and genAI can be compute-intensive).
  - **Small startups** often price flexibly: a company like C2-Ai, providing analytics, might charge a trust an annual license fee (e.g. £50k/year) for its Observatory platform, possibly scaled by hospital size. Since C2-Ai demonstrates cost savings (via harm reduction, etc.), they might even use a value-based pricing or guaranteed ROI model (e.g. “if we don't show you X savings or improvements, you pay less”). During initial deployments, many companies offer discounted pilots or are grant-funded – e.g. C2-Ai's rollout in some NHS trusts could have been subsidized by innovation funds.
- **Procurement Methods (NHS focus):** NHS organizations obtain these tools either directly from the vendor or through framework agreements. There's an NHS SBS (Shared Business Services) **AI procurement framework** that pre-vets AI suppliers and gives price benchmarks <sup>49</sup>. Trusts can issue mini-tenders or call-offs from that. Some opt for **regional consortium purchasing** if several hospitals want the same AI (to get volume discounts). A notable approach in UK is the

**NHS AI Lab's proof-of-value funding:** the AI in Health and Care Award paid vendors to deploy and evaluate their solutions at multiple sites, essentially covering costs during the trial phase (Brainomix, Aidoc, and others benefited from this). Post-trial, if proven, the expectation is that NHS entities will pick up the costs as part of normal operations (or NHS England might negotiate a national license for a while). Private providers (like private hospital groups) typically do a more straightforward ROI calculation – e.g. will this AI help my radiology workflow enough to justify £X/year? If yes, they sign a subscription. Some imaging AI companies also experiment with **“per study” payment via radiology outsourcing companies** – e.g. a teleradiology firm might include AI on all scans and bake the cost into the fee they charge the hospital per scan read.

In summary, pricing for AI-CDSS ranges from relatively low per-user subscriptions for knowledge tools (hundreds of £ per year per doctor for UpToDate or similar), through moderate five- to six-figure annual contracts for departmental AI solutions (imaging, pharmacy, etc.), up to multi-million enterprise deals for full EHR suites with AI built-in. **Generative AI and cloud services introduce usage-based elements** that are new to healthcare IT pricing – hospitals and vendors are figuring out how to balance these (some early adopters have gotten grants or free trials for GPT-powered features, but eventually, those API calls will be a recurring cost to account for). The NHS's approach tends to seek **value for money and scalability** – leveraging central funding and bulk deals to avoid each trust paying high costs. For example, instead of 10 hospitals each paying £100k for stroke AI, the NHS might pay £1m to cover all 10 (economies of scale).

Table 1 below provides a high-level comparison of several key AI-enabled CDSS products, including the type of AI they use, how they integrate, their main care focus, and typical pricing models.

## Comparison of Key AI-Based CDSS Solutions (2023–2025)

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>Epic EHR (with CDS tools)</b>  (Epic Systems)	– ML predictive models (sepsis, deterioration, etc.) – Generative AI (GPT-4 via Azure OpenAI) – Rule-based alerts (BestPractice Advisories)	– Fully embedded in Epic EHR UI (inpatient/ outpatient) – Alerts and risk scores display in patient chart header or as pop-ups – GenAI drafts messages & answers queries within EHR modules	– Early warning for sepsis, AKI, patient decline (reduces mortality) <sup>29</sup>  – Workflow efficiency (auto documentation, faster data analysis) – Improved guideline adherence via built-in order sets & prompts	– <b>Enterprise license</b> (part of EHR contract; models included in maintenance fees) – <b>No per-use cost</b> for built-in ML alerts (bundled) – GenAI usage on Azure may incur cloud fees (negotiated into contract) <sup>11</sup> . Epic deals can be £10M+ multi-year including all features.

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>Oracle Health EHR</b> (Cerner next-gen)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Generative AI &amp; LLMs (“Agentic AI”)</li> <li>– Voice NLP (speech-to-text and voice commands)</li> <li>– ML for predictive insights (built on OCI cloud)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Native cloud EHR with AI assistants integrated</li> <li>– Voice digital assistant for queries and documentation</li> <li>– AI suggestions embedded in clinical workflow (e.g., suggested actions, auto-filled info)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Reduced admin burden (AI handles documentation &amp; coding)</li> <li>– Faster info retrieval (voice query lab results)</li> <li>– Clinical decision support via contextual alerts (right info at right time, e.g. med recommendations)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Subscription SaaS</b> per org or user (cloud service on OCI). Likely <b>annual subscription</b> based on org size.</li> <li>– Cost model still emerging (could be per clinician/month). Voice and AI features included, with OCI usage costs bundled.</li> <li>– On-prem not offered (cloud-only). Large health systems expect multi-million per year range (exact £ TBD in 2026 rollout).</li> </ul>

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>UpToDate + UpToDate Expert AI</b>  (Wolters Kluwer)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Knowledge base curated by experts&lt;br&gt;– Generative AI (UpToDate Expert AI chatbot)&lt;br&gt;– Search NLP for query interpretation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Access via web, mobile, or EHR link (InfoButton)&lt;br&gt;– GenAI Q&amp;A embedded in UpToDate interface <sup>5</sup> &lt;br&gt;– Often launched from EHR context (opens to topic or AI chat with patient data context)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Quick answers to clinical questions at point of care (reduces time, improves decisions)&lt;br&gt;– Ensuring evidence-based practice (recommendations tied to latest guidelines)&lt;br&gt;– Continuous learning for clinicians (AI surfaces rationale &amp; sources)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Per-user or site license subscription.</b> E.g. ~\$500 (£400) per physician/year for individual; volume discounts for institutions.&lt;br&gt;– NHS: some trusts license individually; no national license for UpToDate (unlike BMJ BP). Large hospital deal can be six-figure £/year.&lt;br&gt;– <i>GenAI included</i> for subscribers (at least in pilot phase), cost of LLM usage absorbed by Wolters Kluwer initially.</li> </ul>

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>Brainomix e-Stroke Suite</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– AI computer vision (CNNs) for image analysis (CT/MRI brain scans)</li> <li>– ML for perfusion analysis and occlusion detection</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Integrated with hospital imaging workflow (PACS)</li> <li>– Auto-processes scans in ~&lt;5 min and sends results to clinicians <sup>18</sup></li> <li>– Results via web viewer, PACS overlay, and mobile notifications to stroke team</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Faster stroke diagnosis</b> and triage (reduces time-to-treatment by ~50 min) <sup>35</sup></li> <li>– Higher thrombolysis &amp; thrombectomy rates (trebled in some networks) <sup>50</sup></li> <li>– Improved patient outcomes (more full recoveries, less disability) <sup>37</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Enterprise license per hospital or network.</b> In England, <b>funded centrally (2021–2025)</b> – NHS paid for nationwide rollout (107 units) <sup>43</sup>.</li> <li>– Future pricing likely <b>annual license</b> per stroke centre (could be ~£50-150k/yr depending on volume).</li> <li>– Vendor may offer per-scan pricing (~£20 per scan) in other markets, but NHS prefers flat rate via contracts.</li> </ul>

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>Aidoc (Radiology AI Suite)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– AI deep learning models for medical imaging (CT, X-ray, MRI) across multiple pathologies&lt;br&gt;– “Always on” triage algorithms</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Cloud-based service integrated to radiologists’ worklist/PACS&lt;br&gt;– Flags positive findings within minutes on radiologist’s screen <sup>22</sup> &lt;br&gt;– Incidental findings alerts and worklist prioritization (desktop notifications)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Accelerated diagnosis</b> for emergencies (e.g. intracranial hemorrhage, PE) – ~10–12% faster report turnaround in studies <sup>51</sup> &lt;br&gt;– <b>Higher detection</b> of critical lesions (e.g. +12% hemorrhage pick-up) <sup>34</sup> &lt;br&gt;– Supports overload situations by triaging and reducing missed findings, improving ED throughput</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>SaaS subscription</b>, often tiered by scan volume or facility size.&lt;br&gt;– E.g. a mid-size hospital might pay <b>\$5k–\$10k per month</b> for a bundle of algorithms (~1000–2000 scans analyzed) <sup>47</sup> .&lt;br&gt;– Larger health systems negotiate enterprise deals (one 31-hospital US system signed ~\$250M multi-year imaging AI contract) <sup>52</sup> .&lt;br&gt;– NHS usage currently via pilots; pricing for UK trusts likely via framework, possibly ~£1–2 per scan or flat annual fee (~£100k/yr for unlimited).</li> </ul>

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>C2-Ai "Observatory" &amp; Risk Triage</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Predictive analytics on EHR data (logistic regression/ML on outcomes)&lt;br&gt;– Large database for risk-adjusted benchmarking</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Off-line analysis with reports and dashboards provided to hospitals <sup>26</sup> &lt;br&gt;– Integrates via data feeds (uploading outcomes data) rather than real-time UI in EHR&lt;br&gt;– Outputs actionable insights to clinicians and managers (e.g. lists of high-risk patients, performance metrics)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Quality improvement:</b> identifies avoidable harm, outlier outcomes, helping hospitals target interventions (has helped reduce complications and mortality in some deployments)&lt;br&gt;– <b>Waiting list prioritization:</b> AI flags high-risk patients so they get treated sooner, potentially reducing morbidity on waitlists <sup>25</sup> &lt;br&gt;– Efficiency: benchmarking data can drive process improvements and cost savings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– <b>Enterprise SaaS or license</b> per trust. C2-Ai is often procured at trust or ICS level.&lt;br&gt;– Pricing not public; estimated similar to analytics software (~£50–£100k per trust annually).&lt;br&gt;– Some NHS deployments funded by innovation grants. Ongoing model likely annual subscription including updates/support.</li> </ul>

CDSS Product / Vendor	AI Technologies Used	Integration in Workflow	Primary Care Improvement Focus	Pricing Model (Estimates)
<b>"Clerky" CDSS Assistant</b> <i>(Startup concept, UK)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- NLP to parse clinical notes/conversations</li> <li>- Generative AI to match narrative against guideline databases (NICE, etc.)</li> <li>- Rule/knowledge base of best-practice guidelines</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Intended to run concurrently with documentation (in EHR or dictation tool) <sup>3</sup></li> <li>- Provides real-time recommendations on-screen (non-intrusive pop-ups or sidebar suggestions)</li> <li>- Integrates with clinical systems to pull patient context (diagnoses, meds) and deliver guideline checks before order completion or at note finalization</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Error/Omission reduction:</b> catches missed steps (e.g. guideline-recommended test not ordered, or documentation gap) in real time, reducing errors and liability <sup>27</sup></li> <li>- <b>Guideline adherence:</b> reinforces evidence-based practice by reminding clinicians of the latest recommendations relevant to the case</li> <li>- <b>Educational benefit:</b> turns each patient encounter into a learning opportunity (feedback with citations to guidelines) which can improve clinician knowledge over time</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Likely SaaS subscription</b> per user or institution. As a new product, could adopt per-clinician monthly pricing (e.g. £50-£100/user/month) or an enterprise site license.</li> <li>- If using cloud LLM APIs, may have usage component (cost per note analyzed). For instance, processing one encounter might cost a few pence in API calls; pricing would factor that in.</li> <li>- Would be sold to NHS trusts possibly via innovation budget initially, proving ROI through error reduction (avoided adverse events could justify cost).</li> </ul>

**Table 1:** Comparison of representative AI-enabled Clinical Decision Support solutions, highlighting their AI types, workflow integration, impact on care, and typical pricing models. (Costs are approximate and for context; actual prices vary based on contracts and scale.)

## Conclusion

AI is becoming a core part of clinical decision support, moving from the theoretical into day-to-day use across healthcare. In the UK, we see global vendors like Epic, Oracle, and Wolters Kluwer bringing advanced AI into NHS workflows, and homegrown innovations like Brainomix and C2-Ai demonstrating tangible outcome improvements. These systems employ a spectrum of AI technologies – from machine



learning models that quietly monitor patients in the background, to conversational agents that actively assist clinicians – all with the aim of improving decision-making and patient care.

Crucially, the value of AI-CDSS is measured in lives saved, complications avoided, and efficiency gained. The real-world deployments cited (Epic's sepsis alerts lowering mortality, AI stroke software tripling recovery rates, prescribing AI preventing falls, etc.) show that when AI is well-integrated, it can indeed deliver on its promise of better, safer care. As healthcare organizations adopt these tools, they must navigate diverse **pricing models** – whether paying per user, per scan, or via enterprise licenses – and ensure the investments yield a strong return in quality and safety. The NHS is addressing this through strategic procurement (central funding and frameworks to bring costs down and equity up), while globally the trend is towards subscription and cloud-based models that can flex with usage.

Between 2023 and 2025, a clear trend is the rise of **generative AI** in decision support, which brings both excitement and new cost considerations (like token-based pricing and the need for validation of AI outputs). Healthcare providers are increasingly budgeting not just for software licenses, but for AI compute consumption. Fortunately, many AI-CDSS have demonstrated cost savings (e.g. preventing adverse events or improving efficiency) that offset their price – a key factor for adoption in systems like the NHS with fixed budgets.

In summary, major CDSS vendors and innovative startups alike are infusing AI to improve clinical care: by predicting risks (to intervene sooner), reducing errors, speeding up diagnoses, and ensuring best practices. Their pricing spans from traditional subscriptions to novel usage-based schemes, often tailored in enterprise deals. As the UK healthcare context shows, when scaled smartly (sometimes with national support), these AI tools can be both **affordable and transformative**, supporting clinicians in delivering better patient outcomes in the years ahead.

#### Sources:

1. Epic Systems – predictive models and AI integration 11 12 ; Epic sepsis model and outcomes 29 30
  2. Oracle (Cerner) – new AI-driven EHR, voice assistant, generative AI agents 8 9
  3. Wolters Kluwer UpToDate – generative AI announcement 16 5
  4. Brainomix e-Stroke – NHS nationwide deployment and impact 36 37
  5. Aidoc – radiology AI workflow and results (Everlight, stroke detection) 53 34
  6. FDB OptimiseRx – prescribing decision support impact (falls prevented) 32 33
  7. C2-Ai – description of platform and NHS use 24 26
  8. Clerky (concept) – AI guideline assistant vision 3 4
  9. Pricing insights – AI cost per scan and per message 42 54
  10. Northern Ireland OptimiseRx deal – regional procurement example 45 40
  11. Johns Hopkins TREWS – sepsis AI performance (context) 2
  12. Health Innovation Network – stroke AI case study (improved metrics) 50 20
-

- 1 2 6 7 **The Evolution of AI in Clinical Decision Support Systems | IntuitionLabs**  
<https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/ai-clinical-decision-support-evolution>
- 3 4 27 28 **Product Overview and Concept.md**  
<file:///file-Dro7Vks3b7Dn8eztT8beys>
- 5 16 17 **UpToDate Expert AI provides clinicians & health systems reliable GenAI clinical decision support | Wolters Kluwer**  
<https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/news/uptodate-expert-ai-genai-clinical-decision-support>
- 8 9 13 14 15 **Oracle Ushers in New Era of AI-Driven Electronic Health Records**  
<https://www.oracle.com/news/announcement/oracle-ushers-in-new-era-of-ai-driven-electronic-health-records-2025-08-13/>
- 10 **Epic Sepsis Model Reviews, Pricing, Features & Integrations | Elion**  
<https://elion.health/products/epic-sepsis-model>
- 11 12 **Microsoft and Epic expand strategic collaboration with integration of Azure OpenAI Service - Source**  
<https://news.microsoft.com/source/2023/04/17/microsoft-and-epic-expand-strategic-collaboration-with-integration-of-azure-openai-service/>
- 18 19 20 35 36 43 44 50 **Using AI imaging to improve outcomes following stroke - The Health Innovation Network**  
[https://thehealthinnovationnetwork.co.uk/case\\_studies/using-ai-imaging-to-improve-outcomes-following-stroke/](https://thehealthinnovationnetwork.co.uk/case_studies/using-ai-imaging-to-improve-outcomes-following-stroke/)
- 21 22 23 34 51 53 **How Everlight Radiology Deploys AI to Support NHS A&Es**  
<https://www.aidoc.com/learn/blog/everlight-radiology-aidoc-ai-nhs/>
- 24 25 26 **C2-Ai Medical Analytics Software Platform | Camgenium**  
<https://www.camgenium.com/c2-ai>
- 29 **Using AI to Predict the Onset of Sepsis - Mayo Clinic Platform**  
<https://www.mayoclinicplatform.org/2024/05/02/using-ai-to-predict-the-onset-of-sepsis/>
- 30 **Impact of a deep learning sepsis prediction model on quality of care and survival | npj Digital Medicine**  
[https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-023-00986-6?error=cookies\\_not\\_supported&code=3bd18045-b44b-4392-a530-3b8d23a5dae2](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41746-023-00986-6?error=cookies_not_supported&code=3bd18045-b44b-4392-a530-3b8d23a5dae2)
- 31 **Predictive Models in Practice: Case Studies on the Deterioration Index**  
<https://www.epicshare.org/share-and-learn/effectively-incorporating-predictive-models>
- 32 33 **26,000 Falls may have been Prevented by GPs Employing Prescribing Technology -**  
<https://thejournalofmhealth.com/26000-falls-may-have-been-prevented-by-gps-employing-prescribing-technology/>
- 37 38 **Medical AI and Stroke Care News | Brainomix**  
<https://www.brainomix.com/news/>
- 39 **Healthcare Automation Software Development : Guide :- Aalpha**  
<https://www.aalpha.net/blog/healthcare-automation-software-development/>
- 40 41 45 **Northern Ireland's health board pens deal with First Databank**  
<https://www.digitalhealth.net/2022/01/northern-irelands-health-board-first-databank/>
- 42 47 54 **The True Cost of Implementing AI in Healthcare**  
<https://www.azilen.com/blog/cost-of-implementing-ai-in-healthcare/>
- 46 **The NHS has spent £21m on AI tools. Will they be worth it?**  
<https://www.frontier-economics.com/uk/en/news-and-insights/news/news-article-i20547-the-nhs-has-spent-21m-on-ai-tools-will-they-be-worth-it/>

48 FDB's OptimiseRx Helps NHS Save Over £500 Million - First Databank

<https://www.fdbhealth.co.uk/about-us/press-releases/2025-07-04-fdbbs-optimiserx-helps-nhs-save-over-500-million>

49 Artificial Intelligence (AI), Imaging and Radiotherapy Equipment ...

<https://www.sbs.nhs.uk/services/framework-agreements/artificial-intelligence-ai-imaging-and-radiotherapy-equipment-associated-products-and-diagnostic-imaging/>

52 GE HealthCare signs \$249M imaging AI deal with 31-hospital system

<https://radiologybusiness.com/topics/artificial-intelligence/ge-healthcare-signs-249m-imaging-ai-deal-31-hospital-system>