# State Legislative Aggregate Ideology Data June 2015 Update\*

Boris Shor<sup>†</sup> Nolan McCarty<sup>‡</sup>

June 30, 2015

<sup>\*</sup>We thank Project Votesmart for access to NPAT survey data. The roll call data collection has been supported financially by the Laura and John Arnold Foundation, the Russell Sage Foundation, the Princeton University Woodrow Wilson School, the Robert Wood Johnson Scholar in Health Policy program, and NSF Grants SES-1059716 and SES-1060092. Special thanks are due to Michelle Anderson for administering this vast data collection effort, and to Peter Koppstein for his magical software engineering. We also thank the following for exemplary research assistance: Steve Rogers, Michael Barber, and Chad Levinson. Party data for Nebraska is included thanks to Seth Masket, who generously provided the informal partisan affiliations for Unicameral legislators. Thanks to Simon Jackman whose pscl package for R we use in estimating ideal points, and who has helped whenever we've run into estimation issues. We thank the Sunlight Foundation and the OpenStates project for providing public access to its roll call data for 2011-2014.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Government, Georgetown University; boris@bshor.com

Woodrow Wilson School, Princeton University; nmccarty@princeton.edu

## 1 Introduction

The Shor-McCarty state legislative aggregate ideology data is being released as an update to the data underlying Shor and McCarty (2011). These are based on individual-level ideal point estimates (called "Shor-McCarty NPAT Scores") described fully in that article. Estimates are all in NPAT common ideological space to facilitate explicit comparisons across time and between states.

The new data now stretches through 2014, with many holes filled in for earlier years. There are now with around 121 additional chamber-years of new data. The individual level data underlying this release has been again been extensively cleaned to minimize the random noise inherent in acquiring roll call votes from printed journals and online sources.

The newest version of the data and codebook can always be found on the American Legislatures web site (http://americanlegislatures.com). We are actively working on additional data, both in the future and the past, and will be releasing updated data sets over time.

### 2 Overview

Ideology and polarization estimates are measured at the chamber level. Not all stateyears have measurements, due to the ragged availability of state legislative roll call data. The authors are actively working on additional data, and will be releasing updated data sets over time.

We generated bootstrapped error estimates for our scores at both individual and aggregate levels. At the individual level, we take advantage of the simulations that underlie the Bayesian IRT model to get a sense of the estimation uncertainty of legislator ideology in roll call space. We then simulate a large number of state-specific mapping coefficients for each state. Then, for each simulation of the legislator's ideal point, we randomly draw (with replacement) one set of mapping coefficients, predicting an NPAT score each time. The standard deviation of the simulations is our bootstrapped error estimate. At the aggregate level, we simulate quantities of interest using the individual common space scores and their bootstrapped errors. For example, in each simulation, we calculate a chamber median. Iterating over a large number of simulations, we take the standard deviation of the simulated medians as our measure of aggregate uncertainty.

## 3 Codebook

st

State abbreviation

alpha

State code, alphabetical order

fips

State FIPS code

icpsr

State ICPSR code

year

Chronological Year

 $*_{chamber}$ 

Whole chamber median, by chamber

 $*_{dem}$ 

Democratic party median, by chamber

\*\_rep

Republican party median, by chamber

\* majority

Majority party median, by chamber

\*\_diffs

Distance between party medians, by chamber. Our preferred measure of polarization.

#### $*_distance$

Average distance between any two members, by chamber. This is an alternative, party-free, measure of polarization.

 $*_dem_sd$ 

Democratic party heterogeneity, by chamber

\*\_rep\_sd

Republican party heterogeneity, by chamber

 $*_chamber_sd$ 

Whole chamber heterogeneity, by chamber

#### \*\_dem\_error

Democratic party median error estimate, by chamber

#### \*\_rep\_error

Republican party median error estimate, by chamber

### \*\_chamber\_error

Whole chamber median error estimate, by chamber

### \*\_majority\_error

Majority party chamber median error estimate, by chamber

# References

Shor, Boris and Nolan McCarty. 2011. "The Ideological Mapping of American Legislatures."  $American\ Political\ Science\ Review\ 105(3):530-551.$