



EÖTVÖS LORÁND TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM
FACULTY OF INFORMATICS
DEPARTMENT OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Test case generation based on fuzzing for C++

Supervisor:

Zoltan Porkolab

Associate Professor

Author:

Ia Mgvdliashvili

Computer Science BSc

Budapest, 2019

Test based development is a favorable development method for modern software. We create all the necessary test cases to test the software under development and then we implement the functionality. This is a widely accepted method for library development, when the test cases try to cover all meaningful combinations of API calls. However, in real software systems, the possible combinations can grow exponentially. It is very hard to determine the minimum necessary set of meaningful API call sequences. In this thesis we try to apply fuzzy testing methods for automatically generate API call sequences for testing C++ libraries. We will use the LLVM toolset to exploit the existing code coverage and test input mutation methods. However, our target is not to generate a random input sequence but a meaningful sequence of API calls. It is also in our plans to analyse the result to create a minimal classification set.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	User Documentation	6
3	Developer Documentation	10

Chapter 1

Introduction

Most software heavily relies on unit tests as its primary source for logic and fault tolerance verification. This approach has been largely considered as essential, but it has some inherent difficulties associated with it. Although testing single member functions independently is more often than not trivial, most of the time the user will call various combinations of them. It is impossible to write unit tests with all possible function call sequences since such space is effectively infinite. Therefore, the need arises for the developer to personally determine which function call sequences are most meaningful.

Other than that, a lot of times the behavior of the function will depend on internal state of the instance, which is in itself reached after certain function calls.

1.1 Background on fuzzing

Fuzz testing reaches amazing/astonishing results in exposing interface vulnerabilities in very short amount of time.

(one or two short paragraphs about fuzzing).

There are two ways for fuzzing, generation and mutation based. (few words about those)

1.2 using fuzz testing for ..

Although fuzz testing has been mostly defined to be for exploiting the vulnerabilities of the program, we decided to apply its coverage based philosophy to explore the possible member function call sequences and pinpoint ones which might be most interesting for the developer.

This also required to change the overall approach with which fuzzing is used.

(talk about difference between classic interface fuzzing and our case)

1.3 Program description

To achieve the intended results, I created a program that uses LLVM's sanitizer coverage library and generation based fuzzing. The test case needs almost minimal setup which consists of the user specifying all the member functions it wants to use in testing, and passing a single function pointer for constructing an instance of the class. Modern c++ tools have aided greatly with this by giving the ability to

store pointers to functions with different type signatures. There are still difficulties with regards to determining and passing the function arguments, which is in scope of a larger [research / project](#). In order for this issue to not interfere with the initial program implementation, I allow users to additionally pass pointers to the functions that will in turn call the specific member function with desired arguments.

The [sanitizer coverage](#) library is able to communicate its results using a single global object. [\(more about this\)](#)

1.4 Results

Although the original intention was to discover new test cases, there were some surprising outcomes that could not have been anticipated. For example, the program is very good in minimizing the total number of test cases. For the sample stack class, it discovered that [\(move results here\)](#). This outcome would be crucial for reducing the size of test suites, which leads to reduced runtime and maintenance cost

Chapter 2

User Documentation

This section provides full information for users of the program. I am using a simple stack class implementation as an example.

(class declaration will go here)

2.1 intended audience

this software is intended for c++ developers who would like to increase
Therefore, at least basic knowledge of c++ is assumed, and the user will need to implement and pass pointers for several functions.

2.1.1 Requirements

(...) and test target should satisfy following:

The program is intended to test a single unit

Current version can not analyze any of the dependencies of the class.

You need to be able to be compiled separately

In order to analyze , the object file of the test target needs to be compiled with special flags separately of the rest of

2.2 dependencies

2.3 installation instructions

run these commands from the directory where you want to install the project

```
git clone # download the contents in any way you want
cd <dir>
make test-main
make test # to make sure that everything works
```

After tests pass successfully, you can move on to next step and set up the

2.4 setup and running

After installation, and successful tests

2.4.1 structure of the main file

2.4.2 compile and run

using commands

```
make run-guards
```

This command will (...relevant section from the makefile)

2.5 output

(TODO)

2.5.1 results

2.5.2 memory leaks

After it's finished running

```
==32362==ERROR: LeakSanitizer: detected memory leaks
```

Since the program will be compiled using the '-fsanitize=address' compiler flag, any existing memory leaks will be discovered.

(example with a stack class but missing constructor)

For more info about interpreting and fixing these messages visit [AddressSanitizer](#).

2.6 troubleshooting

There are few mistakes

2.6.1 installation

the tests are designed so that all of the underlying infrastructure will be checked.

If you start having any problems:

test if clang build works correctly

There might be problems with the addressSanitizer. To see if the program can run independently, use the make command, which will compile and run all the source files without the flag. The program will still work and call functions, but the coverage will not be reported. If this step is successful describe how then please check your compiler

2.6.2 running

(TODO)

Out of Memory error for AddressSanitizer

Chapter 3

Developer Documentation

3.1 Information about the project

3.1.1 structure of the source folder

(talk about contents of each directory, how Makefile is organized, etc)

3.1.2 code conventions

Code is formatted according to LLVM standards. Clang-format is used you add ‘make format’ to your commit hook, or alternatively use clang-format plugin for IDE of your choice.

3.2 dependencies

3.2.1 sanitizer coverage

The program relies primarily on LLVM's built in coverage instrumentation to measure coverage of different function call sequences. Basic understanding of how these functions work is necessary for development.

(2-3 medium sized paragraphs about the internals of SanitizerCoverage)

Sanitizer Coverage library offers numerous ways to observe the control flow of the program, including ones for. This could aid in refining the program for more complex applications.

3.2.2 catch2

The project is thoroughly tested using the catch2 framework. Tests are represented with Given-When-Then style, and described scenarios carefully follow documentation.

(short paragraph about why it was chosen)

The `hpp` file is included with the project, in `lib/` directory

steps

```
make test-main
```

This compiles the `test-main.cpp` which defines the main function of catch. Since it needs to be defined just once and used for any test case, it is more efficient to compile it to an object which is later included in tests.

```
make test
```

runs the tests for all units in the project, excluding the combination tester.

(I will create an integration test along with guards test here)

3.2.3 documentation

Doxygen is used with javadoc style. All classes are thoroughly documented. run `doxygen Doxyfile` to generate documentation in html and latex source. Latex source needs additional compiling which can be done by running the command ‘make’ in the `latex/` directory. If you’d like to change doxygen settings, you can copy the `Doxyfile` and run `doxygen my-Doxyfile`.

3.3 class documentation

(this can be found in refman.pdf folder. It has its own typesetting because contents are auto-generated in latex from documentation in the code. I'll look into transforming the typesetting to match ELTE requirements, or manually move it here. I'll add a few graphs and example as well)