The Social-Legal-Ethical Implications of AI-Driven Dense Segmentation Models in Marine Protected Areas:

A Stakeholder-Centered Analysis for ReefSupport's Conservation Technology

Berke Söker (1821458)

Kaan Mutlu Celik (1785559)
Cezara Victoria Chira (1913514)
Shen Jikun (1833847)
Chenxiao Zhou (1879243)
Eren Yildizaydin (1889397)

Abstract

Using dense segmentation masks to monitor coral reefs raises ethical, legal and societal discussions, that are in the theme of technology and marine preservation. In this report, it is explored how the coral reef ecosystems balance between the traditional view of ecological knowledge and shaped technological innovations and how it could be further enhanced to help ReefSupport to pursue its goal. This goal is enhanced by presenting local knowledge systems and associated theoretical solutions while considering the views and needs of the stakeholders. The recommended solutions are further explored with potential guidelines for ensuring a balanced use of artificial intelligence within the cultural heritage of the local and global marine preservation initiatives.

1 Introduction

The use of artificial Intelligence and dense segmentation masks is a debatable subject, which could offer both advantages and create problematic situations. Reef support's goal in this situation is to monitor the coral reef's health and promote the blue economy. Although Reef Support has the goal to improve efficiency for coral reef preservation, some aspects of the its mission concern the areas of technology, society and environmental care. Therefore, the use of artificial intelligence within the goal of marine conservation presents new challenges that are linked to different stakeholders. Such challenges could impact the traditional practices already in place, hinder the culture rooted in the local communities, pose legal implications, and have an effect on the sustainability of the process. Given the following research question, the report aims to analyze certain social, ethical and legal problems that may concern specific stakeholders, with the help of literature support. It also aims to find possible guidelines to regulate the balance between AI usage, social, ethical and legal implications.

- **Main Research Question:** To what degree could the application of dense segmentation models for coral reef monitoring inside marine protected areas affect the ethical, social, and legal interests of the main stakeholder, ReefSupport, and other stakeholders, such as local communities, marine management authorities, and eco-tourism operators?
- **Sub-Questions:**
  - How do ethical considerations of using dense segmentation models influence the livelihoods and cultural practices of local fishermen and coastal communities in marine protected areas?
  - What are the legal challenges associated with data governance, transparency, and the sharing of coral monitoring data between marine management authorities and international conservation bodies, and how could these frameworks impact decision-making in protected areas?
  - How do societal and stakeholder considerations, particularly the interests of eco-tourism operators, affect the deployment of coral reef monitoring technologies, and how can these technologies contribute to sustainable tourism practices in marine protected areas?

Artificial intelligence is a controversial topic in the systems of conventional coastal governments including the local fishermen as these people have a cultural heritage and traditional ecological knowledge that is inevitably connected to coral reefs. This area is investigated because it incorporates cultural knowledge with the integration of AI technologies respecting the community's heritage rather than displacing them. The use of dense segmentation models in coral reef monitoring, results in large-scale data with a high resolution, that possibly introduces data governance issues linked with transparency in the situation of sharing them with local and global marine management authorities. This research area was investigated because there is a need for a transparent and collaborative environment for coral monitoring and data governance. After all, such clear protocols prevent potential conflicts.[1] Additionally,the use of dense segmentation masks for coral reef monitoring affects the eco-tourism operators that rely on a sustainable way of accessing the reef ecosystem.[2] This area is investigated because the balance between conservation and tourism should be always at an equilibrium, with guidelines supporting eco-tourism interests. With such guidelines, tourism practices can take place while conserving the environment of marine and coral health and also potentially benefiting the local economy.

2 Approach

Aiming to answer the major research topic and its sub-questions with a comprehensive and multifarious study, this report uses a structured method to investigate the ethical, legal, and sociological implications of applying dense segmentation models for coral reef monitoring. Deeper exploration of each topic area, such as ethics, legal frameworks, and social repercussions, addresses the complex interaction between technical innovation and traditional ecological knowledge, in line with ReefSupport's goals and the interests of other relevant stakeholders. Regarding ethics, the focus is on how artificial intelligence-powered technology might alter or assist coastal communities' way of life as well as that of local fishermen. This section looks at the moral imperative to respect traditional ecological knowledge, therefore ensuring that artificial intelligence supports rather than challenges recognized cultural practices and community maintenance of marine habitats. The legal study tackles the issues of data governance and openness related with the great, high-quality data produced by dense segmentation techniques. Analyzing data ownership, privacy, and legal compliance helps one evaluate the current systems managing data flow between local and international organizations. The aim is to identify feasible means to provide a transparent and cooperative environment, improving data governance and supporting smart decision-making in preservation. Focusing on social effect, the report examines how local economies and eco-tourism are affected by the incorporation of artificial intelligence technology. Knowing that operators of eco-tourism rely on sustainable reef access, this section explores how AI could support conservation goals without endangering travel interests, by enabling a harmonic framework that balances environmental preservation with sustainable tourism practices. This approach aims to provide a whole strategy which respects stakeholders interest, enhances marine conservation, and promotes sustainable, data-driven practices inside protected coral reef areas by means of which quantitative and qualitative data from ecological, legal, and socio-economic origins is combined.

[1] Diane A. Isabelle, "A Review and Categorization of Artificial Intelligence-Based Opportunities in Wildlife, Ocean and Land Conservation".

[2] Galaz et al., "Artificial intelligence, systemic risks, and sustainability".

3 Social-Legal-Ethical Analysis and Discussion

Artificial intelligence-driven coral reef monitoring systems raise complex ethical questions, largely related to data privacy. Maintaining the privacy of delicate ecological and community data is vital in marine protected zones, in order to prevent any abuse that can affect nearby populations. Data privacy protection helps to match AI monitoring with community ideals, thereby fostering acceptance and collaboration. Previous studies underline this relevance; "The use of conservation surveillance technologies, like AI-driven monitoring tools, requires a responsible approach to data privacy, as these tools often capture sensitive data on both wildlife and people, which, if misused, could harm local communities and erode trust".[3] Likewise crucial for marine safety is relating AI with cultural sensitivity. Designed over decades, traditional ecological knowledge is vital for sustainable practices in places like the Seafower MPA, where the Raizal community rely on indigenous knowledge to monitor adjacent marine resources.[4] Ignoring these cultural customs poses the risk of alienating surrounding residents and losing vital data on preservation. Encouragement of culturally sensitive environmental preservation hinges on "integrating Artificial Intelligence with Indigenous Knowledge as AI's data-driven insights can support, rather than shadow, traditional ecological practices".[5] A more open and cooperative environment could inspire people to participate more on the environmental initiatives, so AI could also be applied to the process. Good conservation calls for communities to be actively engaged in the design and use of artificial intelligence systems and to be well-informed. "Transparent cooperation with local people is vital for the ethical deployment of AI in conservation, hence assuring informed, respectful involvement. This strategy conforms to the need for involvement of stakeholders and preserves local sovereignty. The need of measuring ecosystem services inside Marine Protected Areas to enhance design, management, and community resilience by means of which ecological assessments encourage both conservation and local engagement."[6] From a legal perspective problems such as data access and ownership could arise from the volumes of data generated by the dense segmentation masks. The normal frameworks might not able to manage the AI driven monitoring techniques, which could further stress the need for data sharing agreements between local and international organizations. Often based on stationary regulating ideas, traditional legal systems find it difficult to handle the complexity brought about by new AI-driven technologies, hence underlining a great need for flexible legal structures and revised data-sharing agreements to properly control responsible innovation.[7] From the perspective of eco-tourism operators and sustainable tourism that have a big impact on the local economy in the places like the Seaflower region, AI may have big implications. To improve the experiences of the tourists by deepening their knowledge about the local ecosystem and marine-biodiversity, and at the same time to increase environmental awareness, the data supplied by the dense segmentation models could be used. However, when implementing such models, the disturbance in regional customs and financial disruptions must be taken into account, as technol-

[3] Sandbrook et al., "Principles for the socially responsible use of conservation monitoring technology and data".

[4] Taylor et al., "Seaflower marine protected area: Governance for sustainable development".

[5] Molino, "Interreligious Views on the Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Indigenous Knowledge for Environmental Preservation".

[6] Arkema et al., "Advancing the design and management of marine protected areas by quantifying the benefits of coastal ecosystems for communities".

[7] Lescrauwaet et al., "Adaptive Legal Frameworks and Economic Dynamics in Emerging Technologies: Navigating the Intersection for Responsible Innovation".

ogy can overshadow the revenues coming to the community led businesses.[8] However If it could be applied successfully, integration of artificial intelligence could improve operational efficiency while reducing environmental concerns, provide immersive and personalized visitor experiences that also emphasize environmental conservation and cultural awareness.[9] Addressing ethical, legal, and social issues guarantees a reasonable use of AI in the monitoring of coral reefs. Building on already published research, highlighting the integration of traditional knowledge, clear legal frameworks, and cooperative tourist techniques, AI technology may be used in ways that respect and support community involvement. This approach highlights how AI should be an ally in marine preservation as it provides inclusive results that benefit the surroundings, as well as local people by means of sustainable practices.

4 Argumentation

The implication of AI-driven coral reef monitoring systems raises a range of ethical, social, and legal concerns that need to be carefully considered to ensure successful effective conservation and community support. One of the major ethical considerations is the protection of data privacy of organizations considering the importance of the ecological and community data in the marine protected areas. As seen in the Seaflower MPA, advanced anonymization and limited access are essential to protect identities and cultural integration of local communities which demonstrates trust among stakeholders that is reinforced by robust privacy precautions.[10] Hence ReefSupport and similar organizations can create a transparent and secure data environment through the protection that aligns with community interests. When incorporating AI applications into marine conservation and monitoring tasks, organizations must prioritize adherence to cultural sensitivities of locals. Carrying out cultural impact assessments enables conservation groups to evaluate the impact AI technologies may have on indigenous traditions and beliefs, prior to implementation. In the Seaflower MPA, the Raizal community's traditional ecological knowledge plays a vital role in sustainable marine practices; thus, integrating such knowledge into AI models can enhance the cultural relevance and acceptance of these tools. Combining local expertise with dense segmentation models ensures that not only plays a complementary role but enhances conservation practices that have been used for decades.[11] Another key factor is transparent and collaborative community engagement which ensures that communities are well informed about ongoing practices such as data collection and protection. Involving local communities into areas with strong traditions of marine supervision, or protection through transparent communication, can meet the local needs thanks to technological interventions. This partnership mode strengthens local independence while framing AI as a resource that aids, rather than replaces human knowledge in ecosystem observation. From the legal perspective, the generation of the large-scale data by dense segmentation models introduce new challenges regarding data governance and ownership. Traditional legal frameworks which were mainly designed for conventional conservation efforts. Hence

[8] Obura et al., "Coral Reef Monitoring, Reef Assessment Technologies, and Ecosystem-Based Management".

[9] Zhang and Deng, "Exploring the nexus of smart technologies and sustainable ecotourism: A systematic review".

[10] Mylrea and Robinson, "Artificial Intelligence (AI) Trust Framework and Maturity Model: Applying an Entropy Lens to Improve Security, Privacy, and Ethical AI".

[11] Chen, Lu, and Han, "Hybrid Intelligence for Marine Biodiversity: Integrating Citizen Science with AI for Enhanced Intertidal Conservation Efforts at Cape Santiago, Taiwan".

they are not adequately address the AI-driven monitoring complexities. In order to prevent these jurisdictional conflicts it is essential to apply standardized data-sharing agreements between local marine authorities and international conservation bodies. Therefore it will clarify data rights and access along with upholding the principle of data sovereignty, respecting the control local communities have over their environmental information. In the Seaflower MPA, implementing such agreements could streamline conservation efforts across stakeholder groups, while ensuring data remains accessible and secured. The stakeholders should carefully consider the possible warrants for eco-tourism. Dense segmentation models make it possible for eco-tourism operators to improve educational initiatives by providing visitors with detailed and real-time insights of coral reef ecosystems.[12] However, while integrating these technologies into eco-tourism, local authorities must ensure that they do not undermine local customs or lead to economic inequality. It should be balanced between environmental preservation and sustainable tourism practices which provide significant financial benefits to locals in regions like SeaFlower.[13] Thanks to these educational programs which were supported by AI, visitors can obtain deeper knowledge and importance of reef conservation while respecting nature and cultural values. To summarise, legal authorities and involved organizations should balance AI deployment in coral reef monitoring and consider these ethical, legal, and social dimensions. ReefSupport can leverage AI technologies to support protection and observation through integrating traditional knowledge, establishing clear legal framework and improving eco-tourism initiatives while respecting local communities and stakeholders. Technological efficiency and social responsibility facilitates AI as an ally in the preservation of reef ecosystems, while promoting sustainable and inclusive conservation outcomes.

5 Limitation

The pace of developing AI technology can be an issue in coral reef evaluation. Marine conservation projects that depend on AI to process collected data will have to upgrade to a newer version of the program because of the fast-developing nature of AI but the evolution of governance structures can't keep up with the pace of this technology. . With the new problems that coral reefs face the current study of dense segmentation models might need to adapt to these difficulties. In areas with little infrastructure, the time gap between the deployment of these latest versions of technology and society's adaptation to the use of these models creates uncertainty in the long-term evaluations of coral reefs. Another major limitation is geographic and cultural differences. Because of the uniqueness of each marine protected area that's discussed in this study, the generalizability of results is restricted to a degree. Research shows that local stakeholder interactions are impacted differently by cultural settings, governance systems, and biological circumstances throughout marine protected areas, therefore influencing the density segmentation models . For this reason, throughout the research, it's important to be cautious and to think twice while implementing a suggestion, especially in places where strong conventional management systems are in use. The third limitation is inadequate funding. This creates pragmatic limitations that greatly affect the adoption of technology in marine conservation . Dense segmentation models need significant technological infrastructure and knowledge which is hard to come by in marine conservation zones given that most of the pristine reef ecosystems are in remote areas. This resource inequality between well-

[12]Gunadi, Hariz, and Magdalena, "A mathematical model on the effects of tourism on coral reef ecosystems".

[13]Zeldovich, "Can Eco-Tourism Save Coral Reefs?"

resourced and underfunded areas can create technical dependencies and may aggravate already existing inequities according to recent marine conservation technology reviews. Integrating AI into conventional practice in marine conservation still is an issue to be solved. Although there are many ways to integrate knowledge systems, it's the actual application that can be a challenge in marine conservation projects . Both conventional knowledge and AI have dynamic characteristics that need constant modifications of integration solutions. These restrictions point several paths for further studies on use of marine conservation technologies. Future deployment might benefit much from long-term research documenting the change of stakeholder relationships in response to technological interventions. Comparative studies across many marine protected areas might also serve to spot more generalizable trends in stakeholder reaction to AI deployment, especially in various cultural and economic settings.

6 Conclusion

The essay highlights three key elements for implementing dense segmentation models in coral reef conservation. Firstly, in areas with established conservation policies, integrating traditional ecological knowledge with modern monitoring systems is important. Dense segmentation models should complement, not replace, existing strategies, allowing local populations to remain central to conservation efforts. Secondly, legal frameworks governing environmental data must adapt to address challenges around data ownership, access rights, and sharing. These systems should enable effective coordination among maritime authorities while balancing stakeholder interests and transparency needs. What's more, ReefSupport's use of dense segmentation models can enhance coral reef preservation, but this relies on careful stakeholder involvement, appropriate governance, and equitable access to technology. Successful implementation requires ongoing communication between technology developers, local communities, marine authorities, and tourism operators to ensure the interests of all parties are met and conservation goals are achieved. In conclusion,future marine conservation technologies must prioritize ecological efficiency alongside social responsibility. Striking this balance is essential to ensuring that advancements in coral reef monitoring contribute to more sustainable and inclusive conservation policies.

References

Arkema, Katie K. et al. "Advancing the design and management of marine protected areas by quantifying the benefits of coastal ecosystems for communities". In: *One Earth* 7.6 (2024), pp. 989–1006. ISSN: 2590-3322. DOI: 10.1016/j.oneear.2024.04.019. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590332224002094.

Chen, Vincent Y., Day-Jye Lu, and Yu-San Han. "Hybrid Intelligence for Marine Biodiversity: Integrating Citizen Science with AI for Enhanced Intertidal Conservation Efforts at Cape Santiago, Taiwan". In: *Sustainability* 16.1 (2024). ISSN: 2071-1050. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/1/454.

Diane A. Isabelle, Mika Westerlund. "A Review and Categorization of Artificial Intelligence-Based Opportunities in Wildlife, Ocean and Land Conservation". In: (2022). URL: https://doi.org/10.3390/su14041979.

Galaz, Victor et al. “Artificial intelligence, systemic risks, and sustainability”. In: *Technology in Society* 67 (2021), p. 101741. ISSN: 0160-791X. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101741. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160791X21002165.

Gunadi, Reinhart, Ahmad Afif Aulia Hariz, and Ikha Magdalena. “A mathematical model on the effects of tourism on coral reef ecosystems”. In: *AIP Conference Proceedings*. Vol. 2540. 1. AIP Publishing. 2023. URL: https://pubs.aip.org/aip/acp/article-abstract/2540/1/080012/2873388/A-mathematical-model-on-the-effects-of-tourism-on.

Lescrauwaet, Lyytinen et al. “Adaptive Legal Frameworks and Economic Dynamics in Emerging Technologies: Navigating the Intersection for Responsible Innovation”. In: *Law and Economics* 16 (2022), pp. 202–220. DOI: 10.35335/laweco.v16i3.61. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375988655_Adaptive_Legal_Frameworks_and_Economic_Dynamics_in_Emerging_Tech-nologies_Navigating_the_Intersection_for_Responsible_Innovation.

Molino, Jeramie. “Interreligious Views on the Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Indigenous Knowledge for Environmental Preservation”. In: *Religion and Social Communication* 21 (2023), pp. 431–455. DOI: 10.62461/JNM110723. URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378386860_Interreligious_Views_on_the_Integration_of_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Indigenous_Knowledge_for_Environmental_Preservation.

Mylrea, Michael and Nikki Robinson. “Artificial Intelligence (AI) Trust Framework and Maturity Model: Applying an Entropy Lens to Improve Security, Privacy, and Ethical AI”. In: *Entropy* 25.10 (2023). ISSN: 1099-4300. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/25/10/1429.

Obura, David O. et al. “Coral Reef Monitoring, Reef Assessment Technologies, and Ecosystem-Based Management”. In: *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6 (2019). URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2019.00580.

Sandbrook, C. et al. “Principles for the socially responsible use of conservation monitoring technology and data”. In: *Conservation Science and Practice* 3.5 (2021). DOI: 10.1111/csp2.374. URL: https://conbio.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/csp2.374.

Taylor, Elizabeth et al. “Seaflower marine protected area: Governance for sustainable development”. In: *Marine Policy* 41 (2013). Governing marine protected areas: towards social-ecological resilience through institutional diversity, pp. 57–64. ISSN: 0308-597X. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2012.12.023. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X12002643.

Zeldovich, Lina. “Can Eco-Tourism Save Coral Reefs?” In: (2019). URL: https://daily.jstor.org/can-eco-tourism-save-coral-reefs/.

Zhang, Yin and Bin Deng. “Exploring the nexus of smart technologies and sustainable ecotourism: A systematic review”. In: *Heliyon* 10.11 (2024), e31996. ISSN: 2405-8440. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31996. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024080277.